

Employment effects of offshoring. An application to Japanese industries, 1980-2005

Agnese, Pablo

IESE Business School, Autonomous University of Barcelona

20 July 2009

Online at https://mpra.ub.uni-muenchen.de/16506/ MPRA Paper No. 16506, posted 30 Jul 2009 00:13 UTC

Employment effects of offshoring. An application to Japanese industries, 1980-2005^{*}

Pablo Agnese[†]

IESE Business School and Autonomous University of Barcelona

July 20th, 2009

Abstract

This paper estimates the possible effects of offshoring on Japanese employment. Both the positive and negative effects are here considered as a result of both the offshoring of production (or materials) and services. My main finding is that the net amount of jobs lost to offshoring during the past two and a half decades is negligible, as it was the role of offshoring as a source of sector-bias change in an era of major structural changes for Japan. I argue that, as a natural result of trade and profit-seeking, the positive and negative forces entailed in the relocation of activities worldwide tend to compensate each other. Further, the evidence presented here hints at the possibility of skill upgrading only as a result of services offshoring.

Keywords: offshoring, employment, Japan, deindustrialization JEL Classification: F16, J23

^{*}Acknowledgments: I am grateful to Fundación BBVA for financial support through project grant 162/06. Also, I wish to thank Professors Ricart and Sala for their staunch support and insightful comments. [†]IESE Business School, Center for Globalization and Strategy, Pearson Ave 21, 08034, Spain; tel: +34

^{93 2534200 (4544);} email: pagnese@iese.edu; web: www.pabloagnese.com

1 Introduction

The goal of this study is to determine the effects of offshoring, both of materials and services, on Japanese domestic employment. Strictly speaking, relocation processes usually entail the laying off of workers domestically. But what if this "job destruction" is a mere reassignment of tasks within or between firms, industries, or sectors of the economy? What if the final net effect on employment is not significant overall? And finally, can we not be led to believe that this "job destruction" actually makes room for more productive activities (e.g. skill upgrading)? I answer yes to all three questions.

Offshoring in general and services offshoring in particular seem to be relatively new phenomena. Multiple breakthroughs in the past few decades in the area of telecommunications have opened the door to such entrepreneurial practices. Thanks to the development of the Internet, every task that can now be put through a wire is liable to be relocated. These technological advances have motivated a new or second-generation offshoring basically centered around services, which came after the first wave of offshoring of production processes.¹

But to what point this is really new? After all, from the era of Smith and Ricardo entrepreneurs have unalterably kept on maximizing their profits through trade. The invisible hand is as in force today as it ever was. Can we not think of offshoring as a particular form of trade? In fact, some modern economists define it as the ultimate manifestation of trade (Mankiw and Swagel, 2006) from which the world as a whole cannot lose (Blinder, 2006). We might as well be saying that, as in the basic Ricardian theory of trade, there are two sides (the offshoring and hosting partners here) which can mutually benefit from this particular exchange.

Of course, adjustment costs for some workers and firms are one harsh reality. But productivity gains and price cuts that could lead to a gradual stimulation of the domestic demand for goods and services are also another possibility. Therefore, it might not be the quantity of workers that should worry us much. Perhaps, it is the employment composition across industries or sectors of the economy that we should focus on more intensively. Shifts in this composition due to offshoring are commonly addressed as a form of sector bias (Arndt, 1997, 1998, and 1999). However, another alternative is to interpret offshoring as a factor-bias change within labor markets (Feenstra and Hanson, 1996, 1999). Here, highskilled employment results favored after offshoring takes place because low-skill activities are more prone to go offshore due to potential labor cost gains. This might just produce an increase in the skill-intensity of production that comes with an increase in the wage rate for high to low-skilled labor.²

In the past few decades Japan has entered an era of structural changes. Some of them

¹Here I refer to it as materials offshoring, for reasons that will become clear later. This has been the usual name given in the literature.

 $^{^{2}}$ Krugman (2000) and Leamer (1998) elaborate models on relative factor prices adjustments as a result of either sector or factor bias.

were encouraged by the government (like the change in lifestyle habits), but others were the natural result of a developed and still growing economy. Especially during the 1990s, the manufacturing sector began to lose terrain to services as the exports-led model showed its first signs of exhaustion. Naturally, this deindustrialization process implied a readjustment of factors among both these sectors that coincided with the post-bubble restructuring and a regional crisis in 1997. Was there a role for offshoring during this era? Can offshoring account for much of this sector bias? I argue below that the amount of workers actually involved in this process is negligible. As for factor bias, even though it goes beyond the scope of this paper, I present some evidence that hints at potential skill upgrading for Japanese workers.

To carry out the empirical analysis, the Japanese Industry Productivity database (JIP) offers a vast amount of information on 108 industries covering the whole economy during the years 1970 to 2005. The industry classification used by the JIP database does not correspond exactly to the industry classification of other well-known databases (e.g. ISIC, rev. 3, or the EU KLEMS project), yet stands as a close approximation.

Following Feenstra and Hanson (1996, 1999) I use these data to produce an index of offshoring intensity based on the import content of intermediate trade. Afterwards, I estimate the direct effects of offshoring on employment through the labor demand setting proposed by Amiti and Wei (2005, 2006). There, offshoring enters the labor demand equation as an inverse proxy of foreign labor prices. The final effect of both types of offshoring on employment is ambiguous, and depends on the strength of the substitution and scale effects which may vary from industry to industry. Luckily, the structure of the data allows for an industry-by-industry approach, instead of the usual panel estimation regularly found in this sort of studies. Once I obtain the offshoring elasticities for each industry, both of materials and services, I estimate the change in employment that resulted from a change in the offshoring variable. That is, the contribution of offshoring to the real changes in employment.

Additionally, I perform a simple correlation analysis between the estimated elasticities and other variables of interest. Here I try to identify a general profile of industries with large effects (positive and negative) of offshoring. In doing this separate analysis I take advantage of the information on the different categories of workers, also provided by the JIP database. This part of the paper, yet humbler in its pretensions, is more in line with studies concerning a factor bias of offshoring.³ For instance, in a sample of US occupations, Blinder (2007) finds that there is little or no correlation between the occupation's level of "offshorability" and the skill level of its workers.

The structure of the paper goes as follows. Section 2 explains our offshoring measure

³There is a heap of references on this particular subject. Among others, see Berman *et al.* (1994), Canals (2006), Crinò (2007), Egger and Egger (2003, 2005), Ekholm and Hakkala (2006), Feenstra and Hanson (1996, 1999), Geishecker and Görg (2005), Hijzen *et al.* (2005), and Strauss-Kahn (2004).

and discusses its evolution for the Japanese economy in a very general way. Section 3 presents data on Japan for the period 1970-2005, highlighting the deindustrialization process undertaken in later years and the contribution of each industry to the country's offshoring intensity. Section 4 sets up the framework on which we later take up our empirical analysis. In section 5 we show our estimations on the offshoring-induced employment change, both for our materials and services offshoring indices, and both as regards positive and negative effects. Section 6 goes over some final remarks.

2 The offshoring index

The particular subject of offshoring for Japan is even less clear and documented than that of her blazing success throughout great part of the 20^{th} century. The truth is that few surveys have so far gone exhaustively through the details on the real extent of this relatively new phenomenon.

One of these surveys is Tomiura (2005), who considers data from 1998 of 118.300 firms in the manufacturing sector. Here, nearly 98 percent of the firms did not offshore any of their production overseas. The study also finds the endowment of human skills and the experience with FDI to be highly related to these business practices. In the same line, more productive firms and those whose products are more labor-intensive display a larger offshoring intensity. Two limitations of the study, as made explicit by the author, lead us to treat these conclusions with some care. First, offshoring of services is not covered, and second, only manufacturing firms are considered.

Another survey is Ito *et al.* (2007). The authors here analyze data from 2006 including more than 5.000 large-sized firms from the manufacturing sector. Their main results indicate that offshoring is more present now than five years ago, and that services offshoring is still of a rather narrow scope as compared to materials. Also according to these data, offshoring of Japanese firms is mainly restricted to own affiliates within East Asia. To the problem of the limited size of the sample we should also add that the data refers to manufacturing firms alone.

It is therefore of key interest to fill in the gaps left by the previous literature and thus enrich the ongoing research. More, estimates by consulting companies (Forrester, 2004, and McKinsey, 2003, for instance) have in general tended to magnify the real extent of offshoring as well as its potential effects in terms of job losses. For this reason, a more in-depth analysis is certainly required, now introducing the services sector into the picture and implementing a comprehensive index of both materials and services offshoring.

Following Feenstra and Hanson (1996, 1999) I define the offshoring intensity of industries as the share of imported intermediate inputs in the total purchase of inputs. This is indeed an indirect indicator, and the rationale for using it goes as follows. To begin with, offshoring always implies the relocation of entrepreneurial functions or activities abroad. These foreign activities, it is to expect, will eventually feed back into domestic production processes through the importing of inputs. We should yet note that importing trade stands for an important amount of intra and inter firm trade nowadays, and this, it is also argued, can have a stronger influence on employment and wages than trade in final goods (Feenstra and Hanson, 2001, p.1). As a result, offshoring "intensity" is proxied by an index of input trade, and this is equally useful both for its measurement and the assessment of its effects on the labor market.

As done subsequently and for the very first time by Amiti and Wei (2005, 2006), I divide this index in its materials and services versions. Respectively, these indices stand for the share of imported material inputs in total material inputs (OSM) and the share of imported service inputs in total service inputs (OSS). More formally, we have:

$$OSM_{it} = \sum_{j} \left(\frac{M_{jt}}{Q_t^M}\right)^i \left(\frac{\Pi_{jt}}{D_{jt}}\right) \quad and \quad OSS_{it} = \sum_{k} \left(\frac{S_{kt}}{Q_t^S}\right)^i \left(\frac{\Pi_{kt}}{D_{kt}}\right) \quad (1)$$

where M_{jt} and S_{kt} are purchases of material input j and service input k by industry i at time t, Q_t^M and Q_t^S are total inputs of materials and services used by i at time t, while Π is total imports of goods j or k and D their domestic demands.⁴ Due to data availability issues, the first term in both formulas generally stems from input-output tables, while the second term, which is an economy-wide import share, is obtained from trade data. This is not our case though, for the JIP database contains all the necessary information to calculate both indices. However, the dark side of it is that they cannot escape the drawbacks commonly attached to all the Feentra-Hanson-type indices. First, offshoring does not necessarily imply an increase of imports, and vice versa. And second, to estimate the import content of intermediate trade in (1), the economy-wide import share or import penetration ratio (the second term) is taken as equal for every industry. This is due to data constraints, and supposes that all industries import the input material j and the input service k with the same intensity.

It is also to note that our formulas above are somewhat different to those offered by Amiti and Wei (2005, 2006), and thus, not directly comparable. Relying almost exclusively on data from the manufacturing sector, these authors split the ratio of imported inputs to total inputs (that is, a "total offshoring index") in two, materials and services, so as to share a common denominator.⁵ Contrariwise, the two indices presented here are not related because the denominators are not the same. Consequently, adding up both indices is not possible and would not, in our case, deliver a "total offshoring" index. The reason for doing

⁴Other similar indices often found in the literature are: the share of imported inputs in output (Egger and Egger, 2003, 2005), or the vertical specialization index, which accounts for the imported input content of exports (Campa and Goldberg, 1997, and Hummels *et al.*, 2001).

⁵This translates to: $OS_{it} = OSM'_{it} + OSS'_{it} = \sum_{j} \left(\frac{M_{jt}}{Q_t}\right)^i \left(\frac{\Pi_{jt}}{D_{jt}}\right) + \sum_{j} \left(\frac{S_{jt}}{Q_t}\right)^i \left(\frac{\Pi_{jt}}{D_{jt}}\right)$ where OS_{it} represents total offshoring and Q_t is all nonenergy material inputs plus the following five service inputs: communication, financial, insurance, other business services, and computing and information.

this is the following. Consider for a moment a hypothetical economy where only two cars are produced: Ford and Chevy. If we were interested in knowing the overall share of defective cars, we only have to divide the total number of defective by the total production. Yet the story would be a slightly different one if we were to gauge the number of defective in both brands as a share of their outputs. This is what I do here and where I depart from Amiti and Wei. I think this observation was necessary at this point, for since I do use data for the whole economy (unlike Amiti and Wei), our measures here should better illustrate the phenomenon in both its versions, materials and services.



Figure 1: Materials and services offshoring, 1980-2005

Note: materials and services offshoring indices according to formula (1), weighted by industry GDP. Source: all tables and figures calculated from JIP database (2006, 2008).

According to the formulas in (1), figure 1 reproduces both offshoring measures at the country level.⁶ I should point that these offshoring indices do not account for the region of origin of the imported intermediate inputs, since these data were unavailable. What we get from figure 1 is that materials and services offshoring, proxied by the trade in intermediates, have dissimilar patterns of growth in Japan. While the one has grown unrelentingly for much of the period of study, the other has remained practically unchanged. A couple of facts are worth stressing at this point.

 $^{^{6}}$ To calculate both indices I employ the Input-Output tables in section 1.4 of JIP and the final demand tables in section 1.7, both at constant prices (2000). The import figures had to be linearly interpolated; only years 1980, 1985, 1990, 1995, and 2000 were available. As a result, the analysis of the employment effects of offshoring starts in 1980.

First, materials offshoring, proxied by its import content in the industries' total use of materials, is expectedly more predominant. Second, the annual rate of growth of services offshoring is, on average, surprisingly smaller than that of materials in the whole sample period (1.98% to 5.31%). Globalization and the technologies revolution would have led us to believe the opposite. Only prior to the bubble crisis and the period known as the lost decade (1990-2000) do we get to see similar rates of growth for both indices.

3 Japanese industries through 1970-2005

Even after the Izanagi boom (1965-1970), that period of unusual growth characterized by real GDP growth rates above the 10%, the Japanese managed to keep a more than enviable position in the world economy. It is a known fact that Japan has for long trod on the shiny path of success, pretty much unaware of the many international crises that shook less fortunate economies. However, the economy awoke to the toils of real life as soon as the Heisei ("bubble") boom ended in 1990, and people started wondering about the country's uncertain fate. With a large and eager market at the doorstep and the need to gain efficiency to accommodate to the ups and downs of the slump, Japanese entrepreneurs began to put aside their former suspicions and embark more confidently on offshoring strategies. This change in the business philosophy has notably materialized in higher levels of materials offshoring, yet time is apparently not ripe for services (see figure 1).

In this section I intend to set out the study as to account for the main features that characterize the different industries in our sample. Accordingly, it is first necessary to assess the weight of every industry in the real economy, and then proceed to check their contributions to the aggregate index of offshoring. This would hopefully give an idea of the relative importance of offshoring across the industries and sectors of the economy.

3.1 Towards a deindustrialization era

A first step in understanding offshoring, especially for such a particular economy, is to understand how much its industries contribute to the GDP. Is Japan really that much different when considering the shares of her manufacturing and services sectors? A look at figure 2 would suggest that it is. Compared to other developed countries, the increase in the share of services that comes naturally with economic development and rising incomes has taken longer to manifest. Indeed, it is to remark the apparent stability of the shares throughout the sample, except for the period starting in 1990. It looks like the three-sector hypothesis has taken a while to finally sink in.⁷ Foresightedly, back in the 1980s Balassa and

⁷The three-sector hypothesis is an economic theory which divides economies into the three main sectors of activity: primary (extraction of raw materials), secondary (manufacturing), and tertiary (services). According to this theory, as development takes place, the main focus of the economy should shift gradually

Noland (1988) put forth an explanation on why this could be so. Seemingly, the share of services went up in the 1990s and not before, because of the diminishing of Japan's strong reliance on exports as a source of growth. With the continued decline of exports, which had previously contributed to a high manufacturing share, the 1990s witnessed a significant increase in the services share of the economy. While manufacturing moved from almost 34% of the share in 1990 to 29% in 2000, services went up from 61% to 67%; for the latter, that is roughly a 10% increase in a decade (JIP database).



Figure 2: Sectors' shares of GDP, 1970-2005

Note: Manufacturing includes construction and civil engineering; Other is primary sector plus energy.

Further evidence of this shift is seen in table 1. Let us first take a look at the GDP rows. Either in terms of the total change or the compound annual growth rate index (CAGR),⁸ we observe a contraction in the GDP growth of the primary (plus energy) and secondary sectors during 1990-2005. This is not the case of services, which only experienced a less steep growth path in the post-bubble period. As for the share figures we see the important downsizing process undergone by both the primary and manufacturing sectors. Naturally, the former started off long ago while for manufacturing industries it seemingly became significant during the 1990s The last row presents a summary of the evolution for the total economy, showing the same pattern as before: a less than modest growth from 1990 onwards.

from the primary, through the secondary, and finally to the tertiary sector.

⁸This can be expressed as follows: $CAGR = \left(\frac{ending \ value}{beginning \ value}\right)^{\left(\frac{1}{\# \ of \ years}\right)} - 1$

| | | То | tal change (| %) | CAGR(%) | | | | | |
|---------------|-------|-----------|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|--|--|
| | | 1970-2005 | 1970-1989 | 1990-2005 | 1970-2005 | 1970-1989 | 1990-2005 | | | |
| Other | GDP | 28,10 | 38,75 | -10,65 | 0,69 | 1,65 | -0,70 | | | |
| | share | -52,07 | -36,29 | -23,90 | -2,02 | -2,23 | -1,69 | | | |
| Manufacturing | GDP | 91,20 | 98,48 | -7,04 | 1,82 | 3,49 | -0,46 | | | |
| | share | -28,45 | -8,87 | -20,82 | -0,93 | -0,46 | -1,45 | | | |
| Services | GDP | 238,69 | 142,22 | 33,04 | 3,45 | 4,52 | 1,80 | | | |
| | share | 26,74 | 11,22 | 13,31 | 0,66 | 0,53 | 0,78 | | | |
| Total | GDP | 167,24 | 117,78 | 17,41 | 2,77 | 3,97 | 1,01 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

Table 1: GDP and GDP shares, growth by sector, 1970-2005

We need now to go deeper and see what particular industries make the economy tick. Without any doubt the 1990s were a special time for Japan, a time of changes (some would say it's not over yet). The burst of the bubble on the last day of 1989, a soaring unemployment rate, an unbridled government debt, the aging population problem, and a severe productivity slowdown, to name just a few. And along these events there came the take-off in services. This was motivated somehow by the exhaustion of an export-led model of growth, together with a change in the attitude of the Japanese towards a more leisure-oriented lifestyle. The government even pushed to achieve this "lifestyle transformation", in measures like adopting five-day weeks, establishing new public holidays, promoting Monday holidays and, also, promoting the shortening of the total working hours per week (see Fuess, 2006). Certainly, all these facts helped somehow in increasing the consumption of service goods and in making 1990 a turning point year for the Japanese economy.

Table 2 offers some detailed information. A generalized drop in the shares of most manufacturing industries is perceived during the period that followed 1990. In fact, only 14 manufacturing industries out of 56 displayed a higher average contribution to the GDP for 1990-2005, compared to 1970-1989 (see the column labeled Δ). On the other hand, there were 22 services industries out of 42 displaying that same pattern. In terms of growth of these contributions (or shares) to the GDP, we have that the CAGR has been positive for 20 manufacturing and 27 services industries, for the whole sample period. Again, if we were to divide the sample in two as before (1970-1989 and 1990-2005), then the CAGR indices turn out higher for the latter period in 10 manufacturing and 22 services industries (see the column labeled Δ p.p.). All these data point to the agglomeration of activities in the services sector, suggesting that the 1990s implied a strong deindustrialization process for Japan.

Table 2: Industries' shares of GDP and employment growth, 1970-2005

| JIPAVGAVGAVGAVGAVGACAGR (%)CAGR (%)CAGR (%)CAGR (%) Δ (P.p.)Total change (%)CAGRCAGRcodeOther1970-20051970-19891990-20051970-19891990-20051970-19891990-20051970-19891990-20051970-2005 | (%) 989 1990-2005 3 -4.88 -1.33 4 -97 -0.97 5 -7.09 -4.34 0 -4.10 -0.83 -1.35 -0.99 -2.59 3.29 3.29 |
|--|---|
| OolRice, wheat production 0.57 0.79 0.32 -0.47 -4.36 -5.92 -2.63 3.29 -85.25 -65.50 -55.06 -5.18 -5.902 001Rice, wheat production 0.57 0.79 0.32 -0.47 -4.36 -5.92 -2.63 3.29 -85.25 -65.50 -55.06 -5.18 -5.92 002Miscellaneous crop farming 0.85 1.05 0.63 -0.43 -2.72 -2.74 -2.61 0.13 -35.08 -18.49 -19.24 -1.19 003Livestock and sericulture farming 0.28 0.36 0.20 -0.16 -3.60 -2.44 -4.89 -2.44 -77.94 -50.74 -55.77 -4.11 -3.60 004Agricultural services 0.09 0.11 0.08 -0.03 -1.64 -1.59 -2.07 -0.47 92.95 127.83 -14.40 1.84 4.23 005Forestry 0.20 0.24 0.16 -0.08 -3.45 -5.09 -1.85 3.24 -84.44 -48.39 -69.16 -5.04 -3.69 006Fisheries 0.58 0.83 0.30 -0.53 -4.78 -3.69 -5.79 -2.10 -69.24 -22.20 -50.83 -3.22 -50.83 -3.22 -50.83 -3.22 -50.83 -3.22 -50.83 -3.22 -50.83 -3.22 -50.83 -3.22 -50.84 -4.34 -4.56 006F | 8 -4.88 2 -1.33 3 -4.97 5 -7.09 2 -4.34 0 -4.10 -0.83 -1.35 -0.99 -2.59 3.29 3.29 |
| 001Rice, wheat production 0.57 0.79 0.32 -0.47 -4.36 -5.92 -2.63 3.29 -85.25 -65.50 -55.06 -5.18 $-5.$ 002Miscellaneous crop farming 0.85 1.05 0.63 -0.43 -2.72 -2.74 -2.61 0.13 -35.08 -18.49 -19.24 -1.19 003Livestock and sericulture farming 0.28 0.36 0.20 -0.16 -3.60 -2.44 -4.89 -2.44 -77.94 -50.74 -55.77 -4.11 -3.60 004Agricultural services 0.09 0.11 0.08 -0.03 -1.64 -1.59 -2.07 -0.47 92.95 127.83 -14.40 1.84 4.2 005Forestry 0.20 0.24 0.16 -0.08 -3.45 -5.09 -1.85 3.24 -84.44 -48.39 -69.16 -5.04 -3.2 006Fisheries 0.58 0.83 0.30 -0.53 -4.78 -3.69 -5.79 -2.10 -69.24 -32.20 -50.83 -3.22 -1.63 007Mining 0.29 0.41 0.15 -0.27 -5.64 -6.60 -5.45 1.15 -79.74 -58.52 -48.78 -4.34 -4.26 062Electricity 1.49 1.31 1.69 0.37 0.28 0.65 -0.11 -0.75 -16.34 -4.07 -12.55 -0.49 -0.26 063Gas, hea | 8 -4.88 2 -1.33 3 -4.97 5 -7.09 2 -4.34 0 -4.10 -0.83 -1.35 -0.99 -2.59 3.29 3.29 |
| 002Miscellaneous crop farming0.851.050.63 -0.43 -2.72 -2.74 -2.61 0.13 -35.08 -18.49 -19.24 -1.19 -1.19 003Livestock and sericulture farming0.280.360.20 -0.16 -3.60 -2.44 -4.89 -2.44 -77.94 -50.74 -55.77 -4.11 -3.60 004Agricultural services0.090.110.08 -0.03 -1.64 -1.59 -2.07 -0.47 92.95 127.83 -14.40 1.84 4.2 005Forestry0.200.240.16 -0.08 -3.45 -5.09 -1.85 3.24 -84.44 -48.39 -69.16 -5.04 -3.2 006Fisheries0.580.830.30 -0.53 -4.78 -3.69 -5.77 -2.10 -69.24 -32.20 -50.83 -3.22 -1.9 007Mining0.290.410.15 -0.27 -5.64 -6.60 -5.45 1.15 -79.74 -58.2 -48.78 -4.34 -4.26 062Electricity1.491.311.690.370.280.65 -0.11 -0.75 -16.34 -4.07 -12.55 -0.49 -0.26 063Gas, heat supply0.200.170.230.060.841.560.29 -1.26 -0.68 24.35 -19.55 -0.02 1.116064Mixeurine0.420.400.200.140 | $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ |
| 003Livestock and sericulture farming0.280.360.20 -0.16 -3.60 -2.44 -4.89 -2.44 $-7/.94$ -50.74 -55.77 -4.11 -3.60 004Agricultural services0.090.110.08 -0.03 -1.64 -1.59 -2.07 -0.47 9.95 127.83 -14.40 1.84 4.7 005Forestry0.200.240.16 -0.08 -3.45 -5.09 -1.85 3.24 -84.44 -48.39 -69.16 -5.04 -3.3 006Fisheries0.580.830.30 -0.53 -4.78 -3.69 -5.79 -2.10 -69.24 -32.20 -50.83 -3.22 -1.66 007Mining0.290.410.15 -0.27 -5.64 -6.60 -5.45 1.15 -79.74 -38.52 -48.78 -4.34 -4.32 062Electricity1.491.31 1.69 0.37 0.28 0.65 -0.11 -0.75 -16.34 -4.07 -12.55 -0.49 -0.76 063Gas, heat supply0.200.170.230.060.84 1.56 0.29 -1.26 -0.68 24.35 -19.55 -0.02 1.11 064MaxMax 0.20 0.17 0.23 0.06 0.84 1.56 0.29 -1.26 -0.68 24.35 -19.55 -0.02 1.12 | $\begin{array}{cccc} & -4.97 \\ & -0.97 \\ & -7.09 \\ & -4.34 \\ & -4.10 \\ & -0.83 \\ & -1.35 \\ & -0.99 \\ & -2.59 \\ & 3.29 \\ \end{array}$ |
| 004Agricultural services 0.09 0.11 0.06 -0.05 -1.04 -1.39 -2.07 -0.47 92.95 127.85 -14.40 1.64 4 005 Forestry 0.20 0.24 0.16 -0.08 -3.45 -5.09 -1.85 3.24 -84.44 48.39 -69.16 -5.04 -3 006 Fisheries 0.58 0.83 0.30 -0.53 -4.78 -3.69 -5.79 -2.10 -69.24 -32.20 -50.83 -3.22 -1.5 007 Mining 0.29 0.41 0.15 -0.27 -5.64 -6.60 -5.45 1.15 -79.74 -8.52 -48.78 -4.34 -4.34 062 Electricity 1.49 1.31 1.69 0.37 0.28 0.65 0.11 -0.75 -16.34 -4.07 -12.55 -0.49 -07 063 Gas, heat supply 0.20 0.17 0.23 0.06 0.84 1.56 0.29 -1.26 -0.68 24.35 -19.55 -0.02 1.166 0.64 0.20 0.17 0.23 0.06 0.84 1.56 0.29 -1.26 -0.68 24.35 -19.55 -0.02 1.166 | $\begin{array}{cccc} -0.97\\ 5 & -7.09\\ 2 & -4.34\\ 0 & -0.83\\ -1.35\\ -0.99\\ -2.59\\ 3.29\end{array}$ |
| 006Fisheries 0.29 0.41 0.15 0.02 0.60 1.69 0.10 007 Mining 0.29 0.41 0.15 -0.27 -5.64 -6.60 -5.45 1.15 -79.74 -58.52 -48.78 -4.34 -4.3 062 Electricity 1.49 1.31 1.69 0.37 0.28 0.65 -0.11 -0.75 -16.34 -4.07 -12.55 -0.49 -0.2 063 Gas, heat supply 0.20 0.17 0.23 0.06 0.84 1.56 0.29 -1.26 -0.68 24.35 -19.55 -0.02 1.11 064 Without bit 0.42 0.40 0.23 0.16 0.27 0.26 0.27 -1.26 -0.68 24.35 -19.55 -0.02 0.11 | 2 -4.34 -4.10 -0.83 -1.35 -0.99 -2.59 3.29 |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ |) -4.10 -0.83 -1.35 -0.99 -2.59 3.29 |
| 062 Electricity 1.49 1.31 1.69 0.37 0.28 0.65 -0.11 -0.75 -16.34 -4.07 -12.55 -0.49 -0.75 063 Gas, heat supply 0.20 0.17 0.23 0.06 0.84 1.56 0.29 -1.26 -0.68 24.35 -19.55 -0.02 1.1 064 With much line 0.49 0.20 0.17 0.23 0.06 0.84 1.56 0.29 -1.26 -0.68 24.35 -19.55 -0.02 1.1 | -0.83 -1.35 -0.99 -2.59 3.29 |
| 063 Gas, heat supply 0.20 0.17 0.23 0.06 0.84 1.56 0.29 -1.26 -0.68 24.35 -19.55 -0.02 1.1 | -1.35 -0.99 -2.59 3.29 |
| | -0.99 -2.59 3.29 |
| 0.43 0.43 0.48 0.58 -0.10 -0.95 -1.70 0.07 1.78 -5.52 11.16 -14.77 -0.16 0.5 | -2.59 3.29 |
| 065 Water supply for industrial use 0.03 0.03 0.02 -0.01 -0.87 -0.78 -0.54 0.24 -1.66 49.44 -34.32 -0.05 2.0 | 3.29 |
| 066 Waste disposal 0.35 0.40 0.30 -0.10 0.30 3.01 -1.59 -4.60 577.41 285.02 67.91 5.46 6.9 | |
| Manufacturing | |
| | |
| 008 Livestock products 0.22 0.24 0.20 -0.04 0.57 3.46 0.02 -3.44 25.20 44.99 -9.09 0.63 1.8 | -0.59 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | -1.51 |
| 010 Flour and gram mill products 0.39 0.45 0.32 -0.14 -1.00 -0.30 -0.81 -0.51 -69.57 19.30 -72.24 -3.25 0.8 | -7.70 |
| 011 Miscellaneous foods $1.08 	1.23 	0.93 	-0.30 	-0.34 	0.88 	-0.73 	-1.61 	27.92 	29.20 	-0.42 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	0.69 	1.2 	$ | -0.03 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | -5.61 |
| 014 Tobacco 0.70 0.86 0.51 -0.35 -2.78 -3.57 -1.83 1.74 -79.31 -50.34 -50.02 -4.28 -3.57 | 4 -4.24 |
| 015 Textile products 1.07 1.41 0.69 -0.72 -5.97 -3.84 -8.36 -4.52 -71.30 -20.18 -63.64 -3.41 -1. | -6.13 |
| 016 Lumber and wood products 0.35 0.43 0.26 -0.17 -2.18 -0.42 -4.34 -3.92 -71.29 -44.55 -47.85 -3.41 -2.4 | -3.99 |
| 017 Furniture and fixtures 0.39 0.50 0.28 -0.22 -2.61 0.21 -5.95 -6.16 -51.42 -8.81 -47.07 -1.99 -0.4 | -3.90 |
| 018 Pulp, paper, and other paper 0.34 0.37 0.31 -0.05 -1.43 -1.14 -1.62 -0.48 -43.64 -15.57 -32.12 -1.58 -0.43 | -2.39 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | -1.91 |
| 020 Printing, and plate making 0.71 0.67 0.76 0.10 0.52 1.77 -1.27 -3.04 10.37 36.56 -23.07 0.27 1.5 | -1.63 |
| 021 Learner and learner products 0.10 0.15 0.07 -0.06 -2.71 0.15 -0.05 -0.76 -45.95 18.05 -55.55 -1.09 0.8 | -4.94 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | -2.10 |
| 024 Basic inorganic chemicals 0.19 0.22 0.16 -0.07 4.20 -2.98 -5.71 -2.73 -48.76 -25.16 -32.10 -1.84 -1. | -2.39 |
| 025 Basic organic chemicals 0.08 0.08 0.08 0.00 0.59 -0.88 2.03 2.91 -47.51 -16.17 -46.77 -1.77 -0.5 | -3.86 |
| 026 Organic chemicals 0.41 0.41 0.42 0.01 -3.08 1.33 -6.73 -8.06 -37.20 -14.45 -28.48 -1.28 -0.7 | -2.07 |
| 027 Chemical fibers 0.06 0.07 0.04 -0.03 -4.29 -2.44 -5.37 -2.93 -85.10 -64.64 -55.50 -5.15 -5.1 | -4.93 |
| 028 Miscellaneous chemical pdts. 0.45 0.41 0.49 0.08 1.15 3.49 -1.28 -4.77 2.61 6.33 -6.83 0.07 0.3 | -0.44 |
| 029 Pharmaceutical products 0.45 0.33 0.58 0.25 3.18 3.67 2.84 -0.83 6.98 10.85 -6.82 0.19 0.5 | -0.44 |
| 030 Petroleum products 1.23 1.29 1.15 -0.13 -4.45 -5.80 -1.83 3.97 -27.37 -0.22 -25.67 -0.88 -0.8 | -1.84 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | -3.83 |
| 0.33 Cement and its products 0.39 0.45 0.33 -0.13 -3.68 -3.01 -4.15 -24.69 -7.05 -40.59 -1.63 -0.77 -6.7 | -3.19 |
| 034 Pottery 0.11 0.13 0.09 -0.04 -2.47 -2.55 -1.96 0.58 -54.59 -13.93 -47.38 -2.17 -0. | -3.93 |
| 035 Miscellaneous ceramic 0.25 0.31 0.18 -0.13 -2.59 -3.36 -1.25 2.11 -47.48 -14.88 -38.48 -1.77 -0.4 |) -2.99 |
| 036 Pig iron and crude steel 0.40 0.53 0.26 -0.27 -4.28 -5.08 -2.26 2.81 -65.80 -37.16 -43.31 -2.94 -2.2 | -3.49 |
| 037 Miscellaneous iron and steel 0.87 0.99 0.74 -0.25 -1.74 -1.41 -1.64 -0.23 -50.87 -29.34 -30.93 -1.95 -1.7 | -2.29 |
| 038 Smelting non-ferrous metals 0.09 0.10 0.08 -0.02 -1.20 -3.65 0.87 4.52 -40.38 -17.81 -27.29 -1.43 -0.9 | -1.97 |
| 0.39 Non-terrous metal products 0.31 0.31 0.31 0.00 -1.73 -1.65 -1.98 -0.33 -4.99 31.30 -29.84 -0.14 1.3 | -2.19 |
| U4U Metal products 0.43 0.45 0.42 -0.02 -2.81 -1.30 -5.40 -4.10 -22.12 5.64 -30.96 -0.69 0.2 | -2.29 |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | -1.45 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ |) _1 09 |
| 0.4 Miscellaneous machinery 0.37 0.35 0.39 0.04 1.77 5.47 -1.71 -7.18 15.40 38.25 -19.86 0.40 1.6 | -1.37 |
| 045 Office and industry machines 0.17 0.12 0.22 0.10 4.53 9.06 0.03 9.06 5.38 74.09 43.88 0.15 2.8 | -3.55 |
| 046 Electrical and ind. apparatus 0.46 0.48 0.44 -0.04 -1.90 -1.15 -3.03 -1.88 -25.23 13.82 -34.57 -0.80 0.6 | -2.62 |
| 047 Household electric appliances 0.43 0.33 0.53 0.20 3.74 5.51 0.92 -43.42 25.14 -56.40 -1.57 1.1 | -5.06 |

| | (continued) | | In | dustries' (av | verage |) share of GD | P, 1970-200 | 5 | | | Emplo | oyment (wor | kers), 1970- | 2005 | |
|------|---------------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------------|----------|---------------|-------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------|---------------|-------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|
| | | AVG | AVG | AVG | Δ | CAGR (%) | CAGR (%) | CAGR (%) | Δ (p.p.) | Т | otal change (| (%) | | CAGR (%) | |
| | | 1970-2005 | 1970-1989 | 1990-2005 | | 1970-2005 | 1970-1989 | 1990-2005 | | 1970-2005 | 1970-1989 | 1990-2005 | 1970-2005 | 1970-1989 | 1990-2005 |
| 0.40 | | 0.20 | 0.22 | 0.26 | 0.00 | 5.05 | 22.12 | 8.20 | 20.52 | 04.91 | 255 59 | 45.51 | 1.07 | (== | 2.72 |
| 048 | Electronics, computer eqpmnt. | 0.30 | 0.33 | 0.26 | -0.08 | 5.95 | 22.13 | -8.39 | -30.52 | 94.81 | 255.58 | -45.51 | 1.87 | 0.55 | -3.72 |
| 049 | Macauming instruments | 0.10 | 0.08 | 0.25 | 0.17 | 2.29 | 0.74 | 4.09 | -4.05 | 14.63 | 38.00 | -25.05 | 0.39 | 1.05 | -1.02 |
| 050 | Semiconductor and circuite | 0.20 | 0.15 | 0.25 | 0.10 | 5.20 | 17.98 | -2.65 | -10.80 | 272.84 | 254.91 | -30.13 | 0.52 | 2.70 | -2.22 |
| 051 | Electronic norte | 0.23 | 0.05 | 0.45 | 0.40 | 0.01 | 0.51 | 9.90 | -7.55 | 273.64 | 22.62 | -13.23 | 0.50 | 1.67 | -0.88 |
| 052 | Miscallanaous machinary | 0.47 | 0.20 | 0.78 | 0.38 | 9.01 | 9.51 | 0.90 | -0.55 | 23.43 | 52.05 | -3.41 | 0.59 | 2.54 | -0.55 |
| 055 | Mater vehicles | 0.50 | 0.20 | 0.47 | 0.21 | 3.03 | 4.00 | 0.82 | -5.25 | 25.21 | 65.02 | -23.30 | 0.38 | 2.34 | -1.65 |
| 054 | Motor vehicle norte | 1.25 | 0.70 | 0.62 | -0.08 | 2.01 | 5.05 | 1.50 | -4.93 | 41.57 | 05.78 | -12.04 | 0.97 | 2.50 | -0.80 |
| 055 | Other transportation account | 0.26 | 0.40 | 0.21 | 0.51 | 0.46 | 1.02 | 0.63 | -3.52 | 52.38 | 37.25 | 24 50 | 2.18 | 2 20 | 1.75 |
| 057 | Bragision machinery commut | 0.30 | 0.40 | 0.31 | -0.09 | 0.40 | 1.02 | -0.03 | -1.05 | -32.38 | -37.25 | -24.39 | -2.04 | -2.30 | -1.75 |
| 058 | Plastic products | 0.30 | 0.38 | 0.33 | 0.00 | -0.30 | -0.19 | -2.08 | 0.22 | 69.16 | 75 33 | -50.99 | -1.12 | 2.85 | -2.85 |
| 050 | Miscellaneous industries | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.37 | -0.01 | -1.02 | 1.22 | -3.93 | -5.15 | -37.67 | -2.00 | -37.88 | -1.30 | -0.10 | -2.93 |
| 059 | Construction | 5.20 | 5.66 | 4.68 | -0.01 | -1.02 | -0.59 | -2.40 | -1.81 | 20.03 | -2.00 | -17.11 | 0.73 | -0.10 | -2.93 |
| 061 | Civil engineering | 3.56 | 3.78 | 3 3 1 | -0.26 | -1.52 | 1.09 | -4.56 | -5.64 | 23.47 | 16.14 | 5 37 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.33 |
| 001 | Civil engineering | 5.50 | 5.78 | 5.51 | -0.40 | -1.05 | 1.09 | -4.50 | -5.04 | 23.47 | 10.14 | 5.57 | 0.59 | 0.75 | 0.55 |
| | Services | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 067 | Wholesale | 6.46 | 5.21 | 7.88 | 2.67 | 2.15 | 2.16 | 1.59 | -0.57 | 30.62 | 39.77 | -8.24 | 0.74 | 1.69 | -0.54 |
| 068 | Retail | 5.02 | 5.05 | 4 98 | -0.06 | -0.51 | -0.33 | -0.85 | -0.52 | 37.66 | 24 35 | 10.75 | 0.89 | 1.10 | 0.64 |
| 069 | Finance | 3.35 | 2.76 | 4.02 | 1.26 | 2.11 | 2.32 | 2.10 | -0.22 | 46.69 | 62.57 | -13.49 | 1.07 | 2.46 | -0.90 |
| 070 | Insurance | 1.64 | 1 48 | 1.82 | 0.35 | 1.20 | 3.85 | -1.26 | -5.11 | 17.38 | 50.13 | -25.64 | 0.45 | 2.05 | -1.83 |
| 071 | Real estate | 2.13 | 2.55 | 1.66 | -0.88 | -1.13 | 0.51 | -2.50 | -3.01 | 178.01 | 150.57 | 3.84 | 2.88 | 4.70 | 0.24 |
| 072 | Housing | 8.47 | 7.96 | 9.04 | 1.09 | 0.75 | 0.08 | 1.38 | 1.30 | na | na | na | na | na | na |
| 073 | Railway | 0.83 | 1.00 | 0.65 | -0.35 | -2.81 | -3.75 | -1.67 | 2.08 | -67.65 | -54.80 | -22.76 | -3.09 | -3.89 | -1.60 |
| 074 | Road transportation | 3.02 | 3.23 | 2.80 | -0.43 | -1.09 | -1.12 | -0.63 | 0.49 | 54.12 | 37.87 | 8.49 | 1.21 | 1.62 | 0.51 |
| 075 | Water transportation | 0.47 | 0.51 | 0.42 | -0.09 | 0.13 | -0.54 | 0.48 | 1.02 | -50.07 | -28.12 | -28.48 | -1.91 | -1.64 | -2.07 |
| 076 | Air transportation | 0.26 | 0.24 | 0.27 | 0.03 | 0.14 | 1.81 | -1.99 | -3.80 | 122.66 | 178.63 | -17.91 | 2.25 | 5.26 | -1.23 |
| 077 | Other transportation | 0.49 | 0.53 | 0.44 | -0.09 | -1.81 | -0.93 | -2.54 | -1.60 | 117.91 | 130.47 | -8.34 | 2.19 | 4.26 | -0.54 |
| 078 | Telegraph and telephone | 1.08 | 0.72 | 1.50 | 0.78 | 3.93 | 2.15 | 5.77 | 3.62 | -34.03 | -1.59 | -32.14 | -1.15 | -0.08 | -2.39 |
| 079 | Mail | 0.36 | 0.39 | 0.33 | -0.06 | -1.20 | -1.29 | -1.30 | -0.01 | 36.02 | 1.38 | 33.42 | 0.86 | 0.07 | 1.82 |
| 080 | Education (private and non-p) | 0.96 | 0.87 | 1.05 | 0.18 | 1.74 | 3.45 | 1.41 | -2.04 | 108.65 | 54.40 | 31.31 | 2.06 | 2.20 | 1.72 |
| 081 | Research (private) | 0.11 | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.07 | 4.01 | 6.03 | 2.10 | -3.93 | 334.05 | 174.31 | 56.32 | 4.16 | 5.17 | 2.83 |
| 082 | Medical (private) | 2.15 | 2.13 | 2.17 | 0.04 | 0.86 | -0.08 | 2.56 | 2.64 | 355.40 | 134.90 | 86.55 | 4.30 | 4.36 | 3.97 |
| 083 | Hygiene (private and non-p) | 0.11 | 0.14 | 0.07 | -0.07 | 0.55 | -2.09 | 5.09 | 7.18 | 306.05 | 104.80 | 160.19 | 3.97 | 3.65 | 6.16 |
| 084 | Other public services | 0.27 | 0.39 | 0.13 | -0.26 | -2.27 | 0.54 | -2.74 | -3.28 | -1.26 | 11.02 | -10.45 | -0.04 | 0.52 | -0.69 |
| 085 | Advertising | 0.56 | 0.59 | 0.53 | -0.06 | -1.90 | -5.64 | 2.31 | 7.95 | 58.54 | 45.83 | 11.45 | 1.29 | 1.90 | 0.68 |
| 086 | Rental of office equipment | 0.47 | 0.20 | 0.78 | 0.57 | 7.83 | 6.61 | 8.36 | 1.76 | 682.10 | 479.83 | 19.47 | 5.88 | 9.19 | 1.12 |
| 087 | Automobile maintenance | 1.11 | 1.11 | 1.11 | -0.01 | 0.49 | 1.50 | -0.36 | -1.86 | 25.39 | 29.93 | -8.15 | 0.63 | 1.32 | -0.53 |
| 088 | Other services for businesses | 2.93 | 2.45 | 3.48 | 1.03 | 1.20 | -0.97 | 2.32 | 3.29 | 479.20 | 197.32 | 79.08 | 5.00 | 5.60 | 3.71 |
| 089 | Entertainment | 1.80 | 1.90 | 1.68 | -0.22 | -0.44 | 0.67 | -2.20 | -2.87 | 123.88 | 70.17 | 21.95 | 2.26 | 2.69 | 1.25 |
| 090 | Broadcasting | 0.30 | 0.34 | 0.27 | -0.07 | 0.71 | 0.54 | 1.16 | 0.62 | 59.60 | 32.02 | 17.63 | 1.31 | 1.40 | 1.02 |
| 091 | Information and Internet ss. | 0.99 | 0.59 | 1.44 | 0.84 | 5.04 | 3.97 | 7.20 | 3.23 | 4615.50 | 2005.94 | 98.34 | 11.30 | 16.46 | 4.37 |
| 092 | Publishing | 0.48 | 0.54 | 0.42 | -0.13 | 1.60 | 4.24 | -1.59 | -5.83 | 8.42 | 16.82 | -10.03 | 0.22 | 0.78 | -0.66 |
| 093 | Video and sound | 0.09 | 0.05 | 0.13 | 0.08 | 4.28 | 6.94 | -0.09 | -7.03 | 1305.98 | 377.92 | 160.03 | 7.62 | 8.14 | 6.15 |
| 094 | Eating and drinking places | 2.33 | 2.56 | 2.08 | -0.49 | -0.26 | 0.73 | 0.01 | -0.71 | 127.07 | 83.85 | 20.82 | 2.30 | 3.09 | 1.19 |
| 095 | Accommodation | 0.85 | 0.87 | 0.82 | -0.05 | -0.83 | -1.89 | -0.53 | 1.35 | 45.48 | 39.29 | 3.11 | 1.05 | 1.67 | 0.19 |
| 096 | Laundry, beauty services | 0.91 | 0.96 | 0.84 | -0.11 | -0.95 | -0.48 | -1.31 | -0.83 | 60.54 | 27.70 | 24.79 | 1.32 | 1.23 | 1.39 |
| 097 | Other services for individuals | 0.77 | 0.64 | 0.92 | 0.28 | 1.47 | 1.31 | 0.84 | -0.47 | 59.17 | 24.77 | 23.11 | 1.30 | 1.11 | 1.31 |
| 098 | Education (public) | 3.25 | 3.53 | 2.93 | -0.59 | -0.63 | 0.34 | -1.66 | -2.00 | 17.51 | 29.60 | -7.64 | 0.45 | 1.30 | -0.50 |
| 099 | Research (public) | 0.17 | 0.13 | 0.20 | 0.07 | 2.60 | 1.04 | 4.76 | 3.72 | 16.10 | -5.05 | 24.35 | 0.42 | -0.26 | 1.37 |
| 100 | Medical (public) | 0.47 | 0.41 | 0.54 | 0.12 | 1.08 | 0.84 | 1.02 | 0.18 | 130.65 | 73.79 | 30.36 | 2.35 | 2.80 | 1.67 |
| 101 | Hygiene (public) | 0.10 | 0.09 | 0.11 | 0.03 | 1.44 | 0.23 | 2.62 | 2.39 | 49.55 | 37.52 | 8.86 | 1.12 | 1.61 | 0.53 |
| 102 | S. insur. & s. weltare (public) | 0.44 | 0.43 | 0.45 | 0.02 | 1.02 | 0.23 | 2.07 | 1.84 | 165.79 | 112.35 | 26.56 | 2.75 | 3.84 | 1.48 |
| 103 | Public administration | 4.85 | 4.52 | 5.23 | 0.71 | 1.27 | 0.33 | 2.36 | 2.03 | 7.35 | 16.25 | -9.25 | 0.20 | 0.76 | -0.60 |
| 104 | Medical (non-profit) | 0.68 | 0.58 | 0.78 | 0.20 | 0.93 | -0.10 | 1.91 | 2.01 | 220.21 | 111.72 | 47.62 | 3.29 | 3.82 | 2.46 |
| 105 | S. insur. & s. welfare (non-p) | 0.39 | 0.33 | 0.47 | 0.14 | 1.85 | -1.55 | 2.84 | 4.1/ | 1639.91 | 240.84 | 369.65 | 8.26 | 0.32 | 10.15 |
| 100 | Other (non-profit) | 1.20 | 2.04 | 0.24 | -1.80 | -1/.32 | 0.94 | -33.04 | -33.98 | 182.07 | 107.48 | 29.34 | 2.95 | 5.72 | 1.02 |
| 107 | Activities not classified | 0.40 | 0.48 | 0.45 | -0.04 | -1 55 | -0.02 | 2.00 | 20.56 | 52.75 | 30.35 | 25.27 | 1.65 | 2.19 | 1.52 |
| 100 | A set vites not classified | 0.29 | 0.25 | 0.52 | 0.07 | | -10.50 | 2.00 | 20.50 | 52.15 | 50.55 | 15.24 | 1.10 | 1.55 | 0.07 |

Further, as a complementary note, employment data come to confirm the shift towards services industries as the economy went through the 1990s and on into the next millennium. A quick glance at the right-hand part of table 2 reveals how employment went down in later years in virtually all industries within the primary and secondary sectors. Other has been the story for the services sector, where a positive change is observed in the majority of industries (see the last column).

According to the JIP database total employment in Japan was around 54.2 million in 1970, while figures for years 1990 and 2005 were in turn 64.2 and 63.9 million.⁹ This, in concurrence with the changes in employment experienced among sectors, can only be indicative of an important structural change taking place in the 1990s. Therefore, our data here seems to grant credit to a three-sector hypothesis that has taken longer to materialize in Japan, as compared to other developed countries.



Figure 3: Employment by sector (millions), 1970-2005

Note: Manufacturing includes construction and civil engineering; Other is primary sector plus energy.

To what extent this process can be blamed as taking a high toll on the economy during the 'lost decade' is difficult to know and escapes the limits of this work. However, it sure has to be taken into account whenever dealing with the causes and effects of a lost decade of growth. Figure 3 and table 3 complement all previous information, highlighting the capacity of employment absorption of the services sector during the 1990s. A cautionary remark need

⁹OECD data bear a high degree of similarity. Figures for those years were, according to the OECD Economic Outlook: 50.9 million (1970), 62.5 million (1990), and 63.5 million (2005).

here be made. Even though the employment level remained practically unchanged for the period 1990-2005, the unemployment rate rose from 2.1% to 4.5%, reaching a maximum of 5.5% in 2002 (OECD Economic Outlook). This meant, in numbers, a change from 1.3 to 2.9 million unemployed.

In Figure 3 we see the clear diverging paths for the employment records of the three sectors. In particular, it was from the year 1990 that manufacturing employment began to fall. Employment figures for the year 1990 stood at 7.9, 19.5, and 36.8 million for the three different sectors, that is: primary plus energy, manufacturing, and services. Since total employment practically did not budge during 1990-2005, sector composition remains of utter importance. Figures for 2005 were, respectively, 5.2, 15.0, and 43.7 million. In rough numbers this would imply that approximately 7.2 million workers shifted either to the services sector or the pool of unemployed in a span of 15 years. Curiously, services employment increased in 6.9 million, but due to the lack of job mobility so typical of Japan, one is prevented to draw the conclusion that all workers moving out of the manufacturing sector ended up hired in the services sector. Usually, it is new entrants to the labor force who are to be counted among those enlarging the ranks of the unemployed, but for Japan is not yet as clear.¹⁰

| | Т | otal change (% | 6) | | CAGR (%) | |
|---------------|-----------|----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | 1970-2005 | 1970-1989 | 1990-2005 | 1970-2005 | 1970-1989 | 1990-2005 |
| Other | -66,76 | -46,15 | -36,71 | -3,01 | -3,05 | -2,82 |
| Manufacturing | -12,15 | 13,00 | -23,23 | -0,36 | 0,61 | -1,64 |
| Services | 80,58 | 48,26 | 18,71 | 1,66 | 1,99 | 1,08 |
| Total | 17,96 | 16,51 | -0,42 | 0,46 | 0,77 | -0,03 |

Table 3: Employment growth by sector, 1970-2005

The deindustrialization process is also neatly perceived in table 3, either in changes of sectoral employment or as seen through the compound index. As expected, the primary sector suffered the major fall for the whole sample period, whereas the manufacturing sector started to undergo its transformation in the 1990s. The negative figures in total employment, yet of little size, can be partly understood as the outcome of the disturbing times undergone by the economy very recently. The past slump, in coinciding with the deindustrialization

¹⁰The Japanese labor market is said to be characterized by lifetime employment, seniority wages, and firmbased labor unions, which all add to its extreme rigidity. However, evidence on this regard has apparently focused exclusively on male workers in large-sized companies and governmental agencies. Further research on the subject has shown that these "three pillars" of Japanese industrial relations might not hold true for part-time workers, short-term contract workers, and workers in small-sized firms (see Cheng, 1995, and Cheng and Kalleberg, 1996).

trend, must have certainly set up a network of interactions and distortions in the economy not to be neglected. Notwithstanding its relative importance, yet due to its complex nature, the subject is left aside for future research.

| | Avg. share GDP (%), 1970-2005 | | | | Avg. share GDP (%), 1990-2005 | | | CAGR (%), 1970-2005 | | | CAGR (%), 1990-2005 | |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|---|---|---|--|--|---|---|
| 1 | 72 | Housing | 8.47 | 72 | Housing | 9.04 | 51 | Semiconductor and circuits | 14.29 | 51 | Semiconductor and circuits | 9.96 |
| 2 | 67 | Wholesale | 6.46 | 67 | Wholesale | 7.88 | 52 | Electronic parts | 9.01 | 52 | Electronic parts | 8.98 |
| 3 | 60 | Construction | 5.20 | 103 | Public administration | 5.23 | 86 | Rental of office equipment | 7.83 | 86 | Rental of office equipment | 8.36 |
| 4 | 68 | Retail | 5.02 | 68 | Retail | 4.98 | 49 | Communication equipment | 7.31 | 91 | Information and internet ss. | 7.20 |
| 5 | 103 | Public administration | 4.85 | 60 | Construction | 4.68 | 48 | Electronics, computer eapmnt, | 5.95 | 78 | Telegraph and telephone | 5.77 |
| 6 | 61 | Civil engineering | 3.56 | 69 | Finance | 4.02 | 91 | Information and internet ss. | 5.04 | 83 | Hygiene (private and non-profit) | 5.09 |
| 7 | 69 | Finance | 3.35 | 88 | Other services for businesses | 3.48 | 45 | Office and industry machines | 4.53 | 99 | Research (public) | 4.76 |
| 8 | 98 | Education (public) | 3.25 | 61 | Civil engineering | 3.31 | 93 | Video and sound | 4.28 | 49 | Communication equipment | 4.69 |
| 9 | 74 | Road transportation | 3.02 | 98 | Education (public) | 2.93 | 81 | Research (private) | 4.01 | 12 | Animal foods & fertilizers | 3.97 |
| 10 | 88 | Other services for businesses | 2.93 | 74 | Road transportation | 2.80 | 78 | Telegraph and telephone | 3.93 | 105 | S. insur. & s. welfare (non-profit) | 2.84 |
| bott | om 10 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 99 | 34 | Pottery | 0.11 | 34 | Pottery | 0.09 | 27 | Chemical fibers | -4.29 | 24 | Basic inorganic chemicals | -5.71 |
| 100 | 101 | Hygiene (public) | 0.10 | 25 | Basic organic chemicals | 0.08 | 1 | Rice, wheat production | -4.36 | 6 | Fisheries | -5.79 |
| 101 | 21 | Leather and leather products | 0.10 | 38 | Smelting non-ferrous metals | 0.08 | 30 | Petroleum products | -4.45 | 17 | Furniture and fixtures | -5.95 |
| 102 | 4 | Agricultural services | 0.09 | 4 | Agricultural services | 0.08 | 108 | Activities not classified | -4.55 | 21 | Leather and leather products | -6.63 |
| 103 | 93 | Video and sound | 0.09 | 83 | Hygiene (private and non-profit) | 0.07 | 6 | Fisheries | -4.78 | 26 | Organic chemicals | -6.73 |
| 104 | 38 | Smelting non-ferrous metals | 0.09 | 21 | Leather and leather products | 0.07 | 7 | Mining | -5.64 | 31 | Coal products | -8.04 |
| 105 | 25 | Basic organic chemicals | 0.08 | 12 | Animal foods & fertilizers | 0.05 | 15 | Textile products | -5.97 | 15 | Textile products | -8.36 |
| 106 | 23 | Chemical fertilizers | 0.07 | 27 | Chemical fibers | 0.04 | 31 | Coal products | -7.15 | 48 | Electronics. computer eqpmnt. | -8.39 |
| 107 | 27 | Chemical fibers | 0.06 | 23 | Chemical fertilizers | 0.04 | 23 | Chemical fertilizers | -8.65 | 23 | Chemical fertilizers | -10.53 |
| 108 | 65 | Water supply for industrial use | 0.03 | 65 | Water supply for industrial use | 0.02 | 106 | Research (non-profit) | -17.32 | 106 | Research (non-profit) | -33.04 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 10 | Employment, Δ (%). 1970-2005 | | | Employment, Δ (%). 1990-2005 | | | CAGR (%), 1970-2005 | | | CAGR (%), 1990-2005 | |
| | t <u>op 10</u> | Employment, Δ (%). 1970-2005 | 4(15.50 | 105 | Employment, Δ (%). 1990-2005 | 260.65 | 01 | CAGR (%), 1970-2005 | 11.20 | 105 | CAGR (%), 1990-2005 | 10.15 |
| 1 | t <u>op 10</u> 91 | Employment , Δ (%). 1970-2005 Information and internet ss. | 4615.50 | 105 | Employment , Δ (%). 1990-2005 S. insur. and s. welfare (non-profit) | 369.65 | 91 | CAGR (%), 1970-2005 Information and internet ss. | 11.30 | 105 | CAGR (%), 1990-2005 S. insur. & s. welfare (non-profit) | 10.15 |
| 1 2 2 | t <u>op 10</u> 91 105 | Employment, Δ (%). 1970-2005 Information and internet ss. S. insur. and s. welfare (non-profit) | 4615.50 1639.91 | 105 83 | Employment, Δ (%). 1990-2005 S. insur. and s. welfare (non-profit) Hygiene (private and non-profit) | 369.65 160.19 | 91 105 | CAGR (%), 1970-2005 Information and internet ss. S. insur. & s. welfare (non-profit) | 11.30 8.26 | 105 83 | CAGR (%), 1990-2005 S. insur. & s. welfare (non-profit) Hygiene (private and non-profit) | 10.15 6.16 |
| 1 2 3 | t <u>op 10</u> 91 105 93 | Employment, Δ (%). 1970-2005 Information and internet ss. S. insur. and s. welfare (non-profit) Video and sound Deutel of office empirement & could | 4615.50 1639.91 1305.98 | 105 83 93 | Employment , Δ (%). 1990-2005 S. insur. and s. welfare (non-profit) Hygiene (private and non-profit) Video and sound | 369.65 160.19 160.03 | 91 105 93 | CAGR (%), 1970-2005 Information and internet ss. S. insur. & s. welfare (non-profit) Video and sound | 11.30 8.26 7.62 | 105 83 93 | CAGR (%), 1990-2005 S. insur. & s. welfare (non-profit) Hygiene (private and non-profit) Video and sound | 10.15 6.16 6.15 |
| 1 2 3 4 | top 10 91 105 93 86 | Employment, Δ (%). 1970-2005 Information and internet ss. S. insur. and s. welfare (non-profit) Video and sound Rental of office equipment & goods Worth directed | 4615.50 1639.91 1305.98 682.10 | 105 83 93 91 | Employment, Δ (%). 1990-2005 S. insur. and s. welfare (non-profit) Hygiene (private and non-profit) Video and sound Information and internet ss. | 369.65 160.19 160.03 98.34 | 91 105 93 86 | CAGR (%), 1970-2005 Information and internet ss. S. insur. & s. welfare (non-profit) Video and sound Rental of office equipment Worth directed | 11.30 8.26 7.62 5.88 | 105 83 93 91 | CAGR (%), 1990-2005 S. insur. & s. welfare (non-profit) Hygiene (private and non-profit) Video and sound Information and internet ss. | 10.15 6.16 6.15 4.37 |
| 1 2 3 4 5 | t <u>op 10</u> 91 105 93 86 66 | Employment, Δ (%). 1970-2005 Information and internet ss. S. insur. and s. welfare (non-profit) Video and sound Rental of office equipment & goods Waste disposal | 4615.50 1639.91 1305.98 682.10 577.41 | 105 83 93 91 82 | Employment, Δ (%). 1990-2005 S. insur. and s. welfare (non-profit) Hygiene (private and non-profit) Video and sound Information and internet ss. Medical (private) | 369.65 160.19 160.03 98.34 86.55 70.08 | 91 105 93 86 66 | CAGR (%), 1970-2005 Information and internet ss. S. insur. & s. welfare (non-profit) Video and sound Rental of office equipment Waste disposal | 11.30 8.26 7.62 5.88 5.46 | 105 83 93 91 82 | CAGR (%), 1990-2005 S. insur. & s. welfare (non-profit) Hygiene (private and non-profit) Video and sound Information and internet ss. Medical (private) | 10.15 6.16 6.15 4.37 3.97 |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 | top 10 91 105 93 86 66 88 82 | Employment, Δ (%). 1970-2005 Information and internet ss. S. insur. and s. welfare (non-profit) Video and sound Rental of office equipment & goods Waste disposal Other services for businesses Modicel (crimate) | 4615.50 1639.91 1305.98 682.10 577.41 479.20 255.40 | 105 83 93 91 82 88 | Employment, Δ (%). 1990-2005 S. insur. and s. welfare (non-profit) Hygiene (private and non-profit) Video and sound Information and internet ss. Medical (private) Other services for businesses Worth directed | 369.65 160.19 160.03 98.34 86.55 79.08 67.91 | 91 105 93 86 66 88 82 | CAGR (%), 1970-2005 Information and internet ss. S. insur. & s. welfare (non-profit) Video and sound Rental of office equipment Waste disposal Other services for businesses Modical (resurct) | 11.30 8.26 7.62 5.88 5.46 5.00 4.30 | 105 83 93 91 82 88 | CAGR (%), 1990-2005 S. insur. & s. welfare (non-profit) Hygiene (private and non-profit) Video and sound Information and internet ss. Medical (private) Other services for businesses Worth directal | 10.15 6.16 6.15 4.37 3.97 3.71 3.20 |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | top 10 91 105 93 86 66 88 82 81 | Employment, Δ (%). 1970-2005 Information and internet ss. S. insur. and s. welfare (non-profit) Video and sound Rental of office equipment & goods Waste disposal Other services for businesses Medical (private) Research (private) | 4615.50 1639.91 1305.98 682.10 577.41 479.20 355.40 334.05 | 105 83 93 91 82 88 66 81 | Employment, Δ (%). 1990-2005 S. insur. and s. welfare (non-profit) Hygiene (private and non-profit) Video and sound Information and internet ss. Medical (private) Other services for businesses Waste disposal Research (rivate) | 369.65 160.19 160.03 98.34 86.55 79.08 67.91 56.32 | 91 105 93 86 66 88 82 81 | CAGR (%), 1970-2005 Information and internet ss. S. insur. & s. welfare (non-profit) Video and sound Rental of office equipment Waste disposal Other services for businesses Medical (private) Research (nivitae) | 11.30 8.26 7.62 5.88 5.46 5.00 4.30 4.16 | 105 83 93 91 82 88 66 81 | CAGR (%), 1990-2005 S. insur. & s. welfare (non-profit) Hygiene (private and non-profit) Video and sound Information and internet ss. Medical (private) Other services for businesses Waste disposal Research (private) | 10.15 6.16 6.15 4.37 3.97 3.71 3.29 2.83 |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | top 10 91 105 93 86 66 88 82 81 83 | Employment, A (%). 1970-2005 Information and internet ss. S. insur. and s. welfare (non-profit) Video and sound Rental of office equipment & goods Waste disposal Other services for businesses Medical (private) Research (private) Rusiene (private) | 4615.50 1639.91 1305.98 682.10 577.41 479.20 355.40 334.05 306.05 | 105 83 93 91 82 88 66 81 | Employment, Δ (%). 1990-2005 S. insur. and s. welfare (non-profit) Hygiene (private and non-profit) Video and sound Information and internet ss. Medical (private) Other services for businesses Waste disposal Research (private) Medical (non-profit) | 369.65 160.19 160.03 98.34 86.55 79.08 67.91 56.32 47.62 | 91 105 93 86 66 88 82 81 83 | CAGR (%), 1970-2005 Information and internet ss. S. insur. & s. welfare (non-profit) Video and sound Rental of office equipment Waste disposal Other services for businesses Medical (private) Research (private) Hwiene (nivate and non-profit) | 11.30 8.26 7.62 5.88 5.46 5.00 4.30 4.16 3.97 | 105 83 93 91 82 88 66 81 | CAGR (%), 1990-2005 S. insur. & s. welfare (non-profit) Hygiene (private and non-profit) Video and sound Information and internet ss. Medical (private) Other services for businesses Waste disposal Research (private) Medical (non-profit) | 10.15 6.16 6.15 4.37 3.97 3.71 3.29 2.83 2.46 |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | top 10 91 105 93 86 66 88 82 81 83 51 | Employment, A (%). 1970-2005 Information and internet ss. S. insur. and s. welfare (non-profit) Video and sound Rental of office equipment & goods Waste disposal Other services for businesses Medical (private) Research (private) Hygiene (private and non-profit) Semiconductor and circuits | 4615.50 1639.91 1305.98 682.10 577.41 479.20 355.40 334.05 306.05 273.84 | 105 83 93 91 82 88 66 81 104 79 | Employment, Δ (%). 1990-2005 S. insur. and s. welfare (non-profit) Hygiene (private and non-profit) Video and sound Information and internet ss. Medical (private) Other services for businesses Waste disposal Research (private) Medical (non-profit) Mail | 369.65 160.19 160.03 98.34 86.55 79.08 67.91 56.32 47.62 33.42 | 91 105 93 86 66 88 82 81 83 51 | CAGR (%), 1970-2005 Information and internet ss. S. insur. & s. welfare (non-profit) Video and sound Rental of office equipment Waste disposal Other services for businesses Medical (private) Research (private) Hygiene (private and non-profit) Semiconductor and circuits | 11.30 8.26 7.62 5.88 5.46 5.00 4.30 4.16 3.97 3.73 | 105 83 93 91 82 88 66 81 104 79 | CAGR (%), 1990-2005 S. insur. & s. welfare (non-profit) Hygiene (private and non-profit) Video and sound Information and internet ss. Medical (private) Other services for businesses Waste disposal Research (private) Medical (non-profit) Mail | 10.15 6.16 6.15 4.37 3.97 3.71 3.29 2.83 2.46 1.82 |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | 91 105 93 86 66 88 82 81 83 51 | Employment, Δ (%). 1970-2005 Information and internet ss. S. insur. and s. welfare (non-profit) Video and sound Rental of office equipment & goods Waste disposal Other services for businesses Medical (private) Research (private) Hygiene (private and non-profit) Semiconductor and circuits | 4615.50 1639.91 1305.98 682.10 577.41 479.20 355.40 334.05 306.05 273.84 | 105 83 91 82 88 66 81 104 79 | Employment, A (%). 1990-2005 S. insur. and s. welfare (non-profit) Hygiene (private and non-profit) Video and sound Information and internet ss. Medical (private) Other services for businesses Waste disposal Research (private) Medical (non-profit) Mail | 369.65 160.19 160.03 98.34 86.55 79.08 67.91 56.32 47.62 33.42 | 91 105 93 86 66 88 82 81 83 51 | CAGR (%), 1970-2005 Information and internet ss. S. insur. & s. welfare (non-profit) Video and sound Rental of office equipment Waste disposal Other services for businesses Medical (private) Research (private) Hygiene (private and non-profit) Semiconductor and circuits | 11.30 8.26 7.62 5.88 5.46 5.00 4.30 4.16 3.97 3.73 | 105 83 93 91 82 88 66 81 104 79 | CAGR (%), 1990-2005 S. insur. & s. welfare (non-profit) Hygiene (private and non-profit) Video and sound Information and internet ss. Medical (private) Other services for businesses Waste disposal Research (private) Medical (non-profit) Mail | 10.15 6.16 6.15 4.37 3.97 3.71 3.29 2.83 2.46 1.82 |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 <u>bott</u> 98 | top 10 91 105 93 86 66 88 82 81 83 51 000 10 | Employment, Δ (%). 1970-2005 Information and internet ss. S. insur, and s. welfare (non-profit) Video and sound Rental of office equipment & goods Waste disposal Other services for businesses Medical (private) Research (private) Hygiene (private and non-profit) Semiconductor and circuits | 4615.50 1639.91 1305.98 682.10 577.41 479.20 335.40 334.05 306.05 273.84 | 105 83 91 82 88 66 81 104 79 | Employment, Δ (%). 1990-2005 S. insur. and s. welfare (non-profit) Hygiene (private and non-profit) Video and sound Information and internet ss. Medical (private) Other services for businesses Waste disposal Research (private) Medical (non-profit) Mail | 369.65 160.19 160.03 98.34 86.55 79.08 67.91 56.32 47.62 33.42 | 91 105 93 86 66 88 82 81 83 51 | CAGR (%), 1970-2005 Information and internet ss. S. insur. & s. welfare (non-profit) Video and sound Rental of office equipment Waste disposal Other services for businesses Medical (private) Research (private) Hygiene (private and non-profit) Semiconductor and circuits | 11.30 8.26 7.62 5.88 5.46 5.00 4.30 4.16 3.97 3.73 | 105 83 93 91 82 88 66 81 104 79 | CAGR (%), 1990-2005 S. insur. & s. welfare (non-profit) Hygiene (private and non-profit) Video and sound Information and internet ss. Medical (private) Other services for businesses Waste disposal Research (private) Medical (non-profit) Mail | 10.15 6.16 6.15 4.37 3.97 3.71 3.29 2.83 2.46 1.82 |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 <u>bott</u> 98 99 | top 10 91 105 93 86 66 88 82 81 83 51 0 <i>m</i> 10 10 16 | Employment, Δ (%). 1970-2005 Information and internet ss. S. insur. and s. welfare (non-profit) Video and sound Rental of office equipment & goods Waste disposal Other services for businesses Medical (private) Research (private) Hygiene (private and non-profit) Semiconductor and circuits Flour and grain mill products Lumber and wood products | 4615.50 1639.91 1305.98 682.10 577.41 479.20 355.40 334.05 306.05 273.84 | 105 83 91 82 88 66 81 104 79 14 | Employment, Δ (%). 1990-2005 S. insur. and s. welfare (non-profit) Hygiene (private and non-profit) Video and sound Information and internet ss. Medical (private) Other services for businesses Waste disposal Research (private) Medical (non-profit) Mail | 369.65 160.19 160.03 98.34 86.55 79.08 67.91 56.32 47.62 33.42 | 91 105 93 86 66 88 82 81 83 51 | CAGR (%), 1970-2005 Information and internet ss. S. insur. & s. welfare (non-profit) Video and sound Rental of office equipment Waste disposal Other services for businesses Medical (private) Research (private) Hygiene (private and non-profit) Semiconductor and circuits | 11.30 8.26 7.62 5.88 5.46 5.00 4.30 4.16 3.97 3.73 | 105 83 93 91 82 88 66 81 104 79 | CAGR (%), 1990-2005 S. insur. & s. welfare (non-profit) Hygiene (private and non-profit) Video and sound Information and internet ss. Medical (private) Other services for businesses Waste disposal Research (private) Medical (non-profit) Mail | 10.15 6.16 6.15 4.37 3.97 3.71 3.29 2.83 2.46 1.82 -4.24 4.34 |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 bott 98 99 | top 10 91 105 93 86 66 88 82 81 83 51 000 10 10 16 15 | Employment, Δ (%). 1970-2005 Information and internet ss. S. insur. and s. welfare (non-profit) Video and sound Rental of office equipment & goods Waste disposal Other services for businesses Medical (private) Research (private) Hygiene (private and non-profit) Semiconductor and circuits Flour and grain mill products Lumber and wood products Textile products | 4615.50 1639.91 1305.98 682.10 577.41 479.20 335.40 334.05 306.05 273.84 -69.57 -71.29 -71.29 -71.30 | 105 83 91 82 88 66 81 104 79 14 6 | Employment, Δ (%). 1990-2005 S. insur. and s. welfare (non-profit) Hygiene (private and non-profit) Video and sound Information and internet ss. Medical (private) Other services for businesses Waste disposal Research (private) Medical (non-profit) Mail Tobacco Fisheries Rice wheat production | 369.65 160.19 160.03 98.34 86.55 79.08 67.91 56.32 47.62 33.42 -50.02 -50.83 55.06 | 91 105 93 86 66 88 82 81 83 51 | CAGR (%), 1970-2005 Information and internet ss. S. insur. & s. welfare (non-profit) Video and sound Rental of office equipment Waste disposal Other services for businesses Medical (private) Research (private) Hygiene (private and non-profit) Semiconductor and circuits | 11.30 8.26 7.62 5.88 5.46 5.00 4.30 4.16 3.97 3.73 | 105 83 91 82 88 66 81 104 79 14 6 | CAGR (%), 1990-2005 S. insur. & s. welfare (non-profit) Hygiene (private and non-profit) Video and sound Information and internet ss. Medical (private) Other services for businesses Waste disposal Research (private) Medical (non-profit) Mail Tobacco Fisheries Rice wheat production | 10.15 6.16 6.15 4.37 3.97 3.71 3.29 2.83 2.46 1.82 -4.24 -4.34 4.88 |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 9 10 99 100 | top 10 91 105 93 86 66 88 82 81 83 51 00 10 10 16 15 3 | Employment, Δ (%). 1970-2005 Information and internet ss. S. insur. and s. welfare (non-profit) Video and sound Rental of office equipment & goods Waste disposal Other services for businesses Medical (private) Research (private) Hygiene (private and non-profit) Semiconductor and circuits Flour and grain mill products Lumber and wood products Textile products Textile products | 4615.50 1639.91 1305.98 682.10 577.41 479.20 335.40 334.05 306.05 273.84 -69.57 -71.29 -71.29 -71.29 | 105 83 91 82 88 66 81 104 79 14 6 1 | Employment, Δ (%). 1990-2005 S. insur. and s. welfare (non-profit) Hygiene (private and non-profit) Video and sound Information and internet ss. Medical (private) Other services for businesses Waste disposal Research (private) Medical (non-profit) Mail Tobacco Fisheries Rice, wheat production Chemical fibers | 369.65 160.19 160.33 98.34 86.55 79.08 67.91 56.32 47.62 33.42 -50.02 -50.83 -55.06 | 91 105 93 86 66 88 82 81 83 51 10 16 15 3 | CAGR (%), 1970-2005 Information and internet ss. S. insur. & s. welfare (non-profit) Video and sound Rental of office equipment Waste disposal Other services for businesses Medical (private) Research (private) Hygiene (private and non-profit) Semiconductor and circuits Flour and grain mill products Lumber and wood products Textile products | 11.30 8.26 7.62 5.88 5.46 5.00 4.30 4.16 3.97 3.73 -3.25 -3.41 -3.41 -4.11 | 105 83 93 91 82 88 66 81 104 79 14 6 1 | CAGR (%), 1990-2005 S. insur. & s. welfare (non-profit) Hygiene (private and non-profit) Video and sound Information and internet ss. Medical (private) Other services for businesses Waste disposal Research (private) Medical (non-profit) Mail Tobacco Fisheries Rice, wheat production Chemical fibers | 10.15 6.16 6.15 4.37 3.97 3.71 3.29 2.83 2.46 1.82 -4.24 -4.34 -4.34 4.88 |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 98 99 100 101 102 | top 10 91 105 93 86 66 88 82 81 83 51 10 10 16 15 3 14 | Employment, Δ (%). 1970-2005 Information and internet ss. S. insur. and s. welfare (non-profit) Video and sound Rental of office equipment & goods Waste disposal Other services for businesses Medical (private) Research (private) Hygiene (private and non-profit) Semiconductor and circuits Flour and grain mill products Lumber and wood products Textile products Livestock and sericulture farming Tobacco | 4615.50 1639.91 1305.98 682.10 577.41 479.20 335.40 334.05 306.05 273.84 -69.57 -71.29 -71.30 -77.94 -70.31 | 105 83 91 82 88 66 81 104 79 14 6 1 27 21 | Employment, A (%). 1990-2005 S. insur. and s. welfare (non-profit) Hygiene (private and non-profit) Video and sound Information and internet ss. Medical (private) Other services for businesses Waste disposal Research (private) Medical (non-profit) Mail Tobacco Fisheries Rice, wheat production Chemical fibers Leather and leather products | 369.65 160.19 160.03 98.34 86.55 79.08 67.91 56.32 47.62 33.42 -50.02 -50.83 -55.00 -55.50 -55.50 | 91 105 93 86 66 88 82 81 83 51 10 16 15 3 14 | CAGR (%), 1970-2005 Information and internet ss. S. insur. & s. welfare (non-profit) Video and sound Rental of office equipment Waste disposal Other services for businesses Medical (private) Research (private) Hygiene (private and non-profit) Semiconductor and circuits Flour and grain mill products Lumber and wood products Textile products Livestock & sericulture farming Tobacco | 11.30 8.26 7.62 5.88 5.46 5.00 4.30 4.16 3.97 3.73 -3.25 -3.41 -3.41 -4.11 4.28 | 105 83 93 91 82 88 66 81 104 79 14 6 1 27 21 | CAGR (%), 1990-2005 S. insur, & s. welfare (non-profit) Hygiene (private and non-profit) Video and sound Information and internet ss. Medical (private) Other services for businesses Waste disposal Research (private) Medical (non-profit) Mail Tobacco Fisheries Rice, wheat production Chemical fibers Leather and leather products | 10.15 6.16 6.15 4.37 3.97 2.83 2.46 1.82 4.24 4.34 4.34 4.34 4.93 4.94 |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 0 00 101 102 103 | top 10 91 105 93 86 66 88 82 81 83 51 10 10 16 15 3 14 7 | Employment, A (%). 1970-2005 Information and internet ss. S. insur. and s. welfare (non-profit) Video and sound Rental of office equipment & goods Waste disposal Other services for businesses Medical (private) Research (private) Hygiene (private and non-profit) Semiconductor and circuits Flour and grain mill products Lumber and wood products Textile products Livestock and sericulture farming Tobacco Minine | 4615.50 1639.91 1305.98 682.10 577.41 479.20 355.40 334.05 306.05 273.84 -69.57 -71.29 -71.30 -77.94 -79.31 -79.74 | 105 83 93 91 82 88 66 81 104 79 14 6 1 27 21 | Employment, A (%). 1990-2005 S. insur. and s. welfare (non-profit) Hygiene (private and non-profit) Video and sound Information and internet ss. Medical (private) Other services for businesses Waste disposal Research (private) Medical (non-profit) Mail Tobacco Fisheries Rice, wheat production Chemical fibers Leather and leather products | 369.65 160.19 160.03 98.34 86.55 79.08 67.91 56.32 47.62 33.42 -50.02 -50.83 -55.06 -55.55 -55.55 | 91 105 93 86 66 88 82 81 83 51 10 16 15 3 14 7 | CAGR (%), 1970-2005 Information and internet ss. S. insur. & s. welfare (non-profit) Video and sound Rental of office equipment Waste disposal Other services for businesses Medical (private) Research (private) Hygiene (private and non-profit) Semiconductor and circuits Flour and grain mill products Lumber and wood products Textile products Livestock & sericulture farming Tobacco Mining | 11.30 8.26 7.62 5.88 5.46 5.00 4.30 4.16 3.97 3.73 -3.25 -3.41 -3.41 -4.11 -4.28 -4.34 | 105 83 91 82 88 66 81 104 79 14 6 1 27 21 | CAGR (%), 1990-2005 S. insur. & s. welfare (non-profit) Hygiene (private and non-profit) Video and sound Information and internet ss. Medical (private) Other services for businesses Waste disposal Research (private) Medical (non-profit) Mail Tobacco Fisheries Rice, wheat production Chemical fibers Leather and leather products | 10.15 6.16 6.15 4.37 3.97 3.71 3.29 2.83 2.46 1.82 4.24 4.34 4.88 4.93 4.94 |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 <u>bott</u> 98 99 100 101 102 103 104 | top 10 91 105 93 86 66 88 82 81 83 51 10 10 10 16 15 3 14 7 7 23 | Employment, A (%). 1970-2005 Information and internet ss. S. insur. and s. welfare (non-profit) Video and sound Rental of office equipment & goods Waste disposal Other services for businesses Medical (private) Research (private) Hygiene (private and non-profit) Semiconductor and circuits Flour and grain mill products Lumber and wood products Textile products Livestock and sericulture farming Tobacco Mining Chemical fertilizers | 4615.50 1639.91 1305.98 682.10 577.41 479.20 335.40 334.05 306.05 273.84 -69.57 -71.29 -71.30 -77.94 -79.31 -79.74 -82.39 | 105 83 91 82 88 66 81 104 79 14 6 1 27 21 3 47 | Employment, A (%). 1990-2005 S. insur. and s. welfare (non-profit) Hygiene (private and non-profit) Video and sound Information and internet ss. Medical (private) Other services for businesses Waste disposal Research (private) Medical (non-profit) Mail Tobacco Fisheries Rice, wheat production Chemical fibers Leather and leather products Livestock and sericulture farming Household electric anniiances | 369.65 160.19 160.03 98.34 86.55 79.08 67.91 56.32 47.62 33.42 -50.02 -50.83 -55.06 -55.50 -55.55 -55.75 -55.77 | 91 105 93 86 66 88 82 81 83 51 10 16 15 3 14 7 23 | CAGR (%), 1970-2005 Information and internet ss. S. insur. & s. welfare (non-profit) Video and sound Rental of office equipment Waste disposal Other services for businesses Medical (private) Research (private) Hygiene (private and non-profit) Semiconductor and circuits Flour and grain mill products Lumber and wood products Lumber and wood products Textile products Livestock & sericulture farming Tobacco Mining Chemical fertilizers | 11.30 8.26 7.62 5.88 5.46 5.00 4.30 4.16 3.97 3.73 -3.25 -3.41 -3.41 -4.11 4.28 4.34 4.34 | 105 83 91 82 88 66 81 104 79 14 6 1 27 21 3 47 | CAGR (%), 1990-2005 S. insur. & s. welfare (non-profit) Hygiene (private and non-profit) Video and sound Information and internet ss. Medical (private) Other services for businesses Waste disposal Research (private) Medical (non-profit) Mail Tobacco Fisheries Rice, wheat production Chemical fibers Leather and leather products Livestock & sericulture farming Household electric ampliances | 10.15 6.16 6.15 3.97 3.92 2.83 2.46 1.82 4.24 4.34 4.88 4.93 4.94 4.97 4.97 |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 00 10 10 100 101 102 103 104 105 | top 10 91 105 93 86 66 88 82 81 83 51 10 10 16 15 3 14 7 7 23 5 | Employment, A (%). 1970-2005 Information and internet ss. S. insur. and s. welfare (non-profit) Video and sound Rental of office equipment & goods Waste disposal Other services for businesses Medical (private) Research (private) Hygiene (private and non-profit) Semiconductor and circuits Flour and grain mill products Lumber and wood products Lumber and wood products Textile products Livestock and sericulture farming Tobacco Mining Chemical fertilizers Forestry | 4615.50 1639.91 1305.98 682.10 577.41 479.20 355.40 334.05 306.05 273.84 -69.57 -71.29 -71.30 -77.94 -79.31 -79.74 -82.39 -84.44 | 105 83 93 91 82 88 66 81 104 79 14 6 1 27 21 3 47 | Employment, A (%). 1990-2005 S. insur. and s. welfare (non-profit) Hygiene (private and non-profit) Video and sound Information and internet ss. Medical (private) Other services for businesses Waste disposal Research (private) Medical (non-profit) Mail Tobacco Fisheries Rice, wheat production Chemical fibres Leather and leather products Livestock and sericulture farming Household electric appliances | 369.65 160.19 160.03 98.34 86.55 79.08 67.91 56.32 47.62 33.42 -50.02 -50.83 -55.06 -55.50 -55.55 -55.77 -56.40 | 91 105 93 86 66 88 82 81 83 51 10 16 15 3 14 7 23 5 | CAGR (%), 1970-2005 Information and internet ss. S. insur, & s. welfare (non-profit) Video and sound Rental of office equipment Waste disposal Other services for businesses Medical (private) Research (private) Hygiene (private and non-profit) Semiconductor and circuits Flour and grain mill products Lumber and wood products Textile products Livestock & sericulture farming Tobacco Mining Chemical fertilizers Forestry | 11.30 8.26 7.62 5.88 5.46 5.00 4.30 4.16 3.97 3.73 -3.25 -3.41 -3.41 -4.11 4.28 4.34 -4.71 -5.04 | 105 83 93 91 82 88 66 81 104 79 14 6 1 27 21 3 47 | CAGR (%), 1990-2005 S. insur. & s. welfare (non-profit) Hygiene (private and non-profit) Video and sound Information and internet ss. Medical (private) Other services for businesses Waste disposal Research (private) Medical (non-profit) Mail Tobacco Fisheries Rice, wheat production Chemical fibers Leather and leather products Livestock & sericulture farming Household electric appliances Textile products | 10.15 6.16 6.15 3.97 3.71 3.29 2.83 2.46 1.82 4.24 4.34 4.88 4.93 4.94 4.97 6.61 |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 00 100 101 102 103 104 105 | top 10 91 105 93 86 66 88 82 81 83 51 10 10 16 15 3 14 7 23 5 77 | Employment, Δ (%). 1970-2005 Information and internet ss. S. insur. and s. welfare (non-profit) Video and sound Rental of office equipment & goods Waste disposal Other services for businesses Medical (private) Research (private) Hygiene (private and non-profit) Semiconductor and circuits Flour and grain mill products Lumber and wood products Luivestock and sericulture farming Tobacco Mining Chemical fertilizers Forestry Chemical fibers | 4615.50 1639.91 1305.98 682.10 577.41 479.20 335.40 334.05 306.05 273.84 -69.57 -71.29 -71.30 -77.94 -79.31 -79.74 -82.39 -84.44 -85.10 | 105 83 93 91 82 88 66 81 104 79 14 6 1 27 21 3 47 15 5 | Employment, A (%). 1990-2005 S. insur. and s. welfare (non-profit) Hygiene (private and non-profit) Video and sound Information and internet ss. Medical (private) Other services for businesses Waste disposal Research (private) Medical (non-profit) Mail Tobacco Fisheries Rice, wheat production Chemical fibers Leather and leather products Livestock and sericulture farming Household electric appliances Textile products Forestry | 369.65 160.19 160.03 98.34 86.55 79.08 67.91 56.32 47.62 33.42 -50.02 -50.83 -55.06 -55.50 -55.55 -55.77 -56.40 -63.64 -69.16 | 91 105 93 86 66 88 82 81 83 51 10 16 15 3 14 7 23 5 27 | CAGR (%), 1970-2005 Information and internet ss. S. insur, & s. welfare (non-profit) Video and sound Rental of office equipment Waste disposal Other services for businesses Medical (private) Research (private) Hygiene (private and non-profit) Semiconductor and circuits Flour and grain mill products Lumber and wood products Textile products Livestock & sericulture farming Tobacco Mining Chemical fertilizers Forestry Chemical fibers | 11.30 8.26 7.62 5.88 5.46 5.00 4.30 4.16 3.97 3.73 -3.25 -3.41 -3.41 -4.11 4.28 4.34 4.34 1-5.04 -5.15 | 105 83 93 91 82 88 66 81 104 79 14 6 1 27 21 3 47 15 5 | CAGR (%), 1990-2005 S. insur. & s. welfare (non-profit) Hygiene (private and non-profit) Video and sound Information and internet ss. Medical (private) Other services for businesses Waste disposal Research (private) Medical (non-profit) Mail Tobacco Fisheries Rice, wheat production Chemical fibers Leather and leather products Livestock & sericulture farming Household electric appliances Textile products Forestry | 10.15 6.16 6.15 3.97 3.71 3.29 2.83 2.46 1.82 4.24 4.34 4.88 4.93 4.94 4.97 5.06 6.13 7.09 |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 10 10 100 101 102 103 104 105 106 | top 10 91 105 93 86 66 88 82 81 83 51 10 10 16 15 3 14 7 7 23 5 27 1 | Employment, Δ (%). 1970-2005 Information and internet ss. S. insur. and s. welfare (non-profit) Video and sound Rental of office equipment & goods Waste disposal Other services for businesses Medical (private) Research (private) Hygiene (private and non-profit) Semiconductor and circuits Flour and grain mill products Lumber and wood products Lumber and wood products Livestock and sericulture farming Tobacco Mining Chemical fritilzers Forestry Chemical fibers Rice, wheat production | 4615.50 1639.91 1305.98 682.10 577.41 479.20 355.40 334.05 306.05 273.84 -69.57 -71.29 -71.30 -77.94 -79.31 -79.74 -82.39 -84.44 -85.10 -85.25 | 105 83 93 91 82 88 66 81 104 79 14 6 1 27 21 3 47 15 5 10 | Employment, A (%). 1990-2005 S. insur. and s. welfare (non-profit) Hygiene (private and non-profit) Video and sound Information and internet ss. Medical (private) Other services for businesses Waste disposal Research (private) Medical (non-profit) Mail Tobacco Fisheries Rice, wheat production Chemical fibers Leather and leather products Livestock and sericulture farming Household electric appliances Textile products Forestry Flour and erain mill products | 369.65 160.19 160.03 98.34 86.55 79.08 67.91 56.32 47.62 33.42 -50.02 -50.83 -55.06 -55.50 -55.55 -55.77 -56.40 -63.16 -72.24 | 91 105 93 86 66 88 82 81 83 51 10 16 15 3 14 7 23 5 27 1 | CAGR (%), 1970-2005 Information and internet ss. S. insur, & s. welfare (non-profit) Video and sound Rental of office equipment Waste disposal Other services for businesses Medical (private) Research (private) Hygiene (private and non-profit) Semiconductor and circuits Flour and grain mill products Lumber and wood products Textile products Livestock & sericulture farming Tobacco Mining Chemical fertilizers Forestry Chemical fibers Rice, wheat production | 11.30 8.26 7.62 5.88 5.46 5.00 4.30 4.16 3.97 3.73 -3.25 -3.41 -3.41 -4.28 -4.34 -4.71 -5.04 -5.15 -5.18 | 105 83 93 91 82 88 66 81 104 79 14 6 1 27 21 3 47 15 5 10 | CAGR (%), 1990-2005 S. insur. & s. welfare (non-profit) Hygiene (private and non-profit) Video and sound Information and internet ss. Medical (private) Other services for businesses Waste disposal Research (private) Medical (non-profit) Mail Tobacco Fisheries Rice, wheat production Chemical fibers Leather and leather products Livestock & sericulture farming Household electric appliances Textile products Forestry Flour and erain mill products | 10.15 6.16 6.15 4.37 3.97 3.71 3.29 2.83 2.46 1.82 4.24 4.34 4.88 4.93 4.94 4.97 5.06 6.13 7.70 |

Table 4: Top and bottom industries, GDP share and employment growth

Note: codes by sector are 1 to 7 and 62 to 66 (other), 8 to 61 (manufacturing), and 67 to 108 (services).

To wrap up this section I rearrange table 2 as to have the data, both on GDP and employment, laid out in rankings. Hence, the upper-left part of table 4 shows the preponderance of services industries over the whole period and for the sub-period of 1990-2005, as regards GDP shares. Also, with a very few exceptions, manufacturing industries cover most of the industries placing at the bottom. On the upper-right side of the table we have the industries sorted out by their (annual) GDP growth rates. Surprisingly, we distinguish some manufacturing industries among the top ones. One possible reason is that these industries have traditionally had a key role within the economy, hardly to be affected by the deindustrialization process on which Japan has recently embarked herself. Worse performing industries are again to be found among those belonging to the primary and secondary sectors.

As for employment the story is straightforward. As mentioned before, the services sector shows itself as the great benefactor for both the entire sample and the sub-sample. This is the result of a late deindustrialization process that, coincidentally, took place in an era of turmoil. The structural change along with the uneasy situation experienced back in those years come to explain why total employment has remained stationary. Again, unlucky industries turn out to be the less dynamic ones from the primary and secondary sectors.

3.2 Offshoring by industry

Having first defined offshoring in that particular way, I now focus on some possible cases of interest. First, we can consider those industries which are offshoring-intensive and display high rates of growth. Second, an industry can be offshoring-intensive but, at the same time, either exhibit a large or a small GDP share. Finally, an industry can be said to meet all these characteristics, high offshoring intensity, high growth rates, and a large share of the economy. Table 5 compiles all this information. The first two broad columns comprise all data concerning the offshoring index by industry, both of materials (OSM) and services (OSS). The right-hand side of the table provides some information about the industries' GDPs (growth and share). The idea is not to establish a causal relationship, but rather, to come up with an overview of all major offshorers and the potential impact for the economy.

Let us analyze this table, step by step. The first column under OSM is the industries' offshoring index of materials as calculated by (1), and averaged through 1980-2005. The total average across industries (taking out outliers) is 6.40%, less than that of manufacturing industries (7.07%) and more than the other two sectors, primary plus energy (6.22%) and services (5.62%). The same can be said for the period 1990-2005 (the second column), although the figures are now larger.¹¹ Reasonably enough, materials offshoring is relatively more present in the manufacturing sector than in the other two. The third and fourth columns focus on the growth of this index. If we again take averages across all industries, this would tell an unanticipated story. The averaged CAGR is 4.90%, indicating that the services sector has an above than average growth (5.17%), while the primary plus energy

¹¹The sheer growth in materials offshoring is more graphically seen in figure 1, where the index is aggregated to the country level weighting by industry GDP.

(4.77%) and manufacturing (4.72%) sectors stay below this average. This is also perceived for the subperiod of 1990-2005.

The data in the next four columns repeats all previous information but this time on the OSS index. Its average across all industries stands at 2.05% (no outliers), and now there is no significant difference among services (2.05%), manufacturing (2.06%), and primary plus energy (1.96%). For 1990-2005 the average of services is higher than the total average, whereas for the other two is lower. As for the growth rates, the total average is 1.72% during 1980-2005, and the services sector (2.04%) naturally gets ahead of the manufacturing (1.63%) and primary (0.99%) sectors. In the period 1990-2005 all averages on the CAGRs (total, services, manufacturing, and other) turn out negatively signed, and that associated to services the less affected.

The right-hand side of table 5 reports GDP data as before, but this time on the period we have data on offshoring, 1980-2005. The averaged CAGR for the total economy is here negative (-0.24%), as it is for the manufacturing (-0.43%) and primary (-2.29%) sectors but not for services (0.63%). Data on 1990-2005 are similar, yet as speculated before and due to this transition towards a more services-oriented economy, the difference is somewhat higher. The last two columns corroborate this, further arguing in favor of a structural change taking place during the 1990s, specially between the manufacturing and services sectors.

According to the variable, let us now define those industries above the average plus half a standard deviation as big offshorers (offshoring index), highly-growing industries (GDP CAGR), and large industries (GDP share). Therefore, for both the OSM and OSS indices we can track down the possible cases set out in the first paragraph of this section: high offshoring intensity and high GDP growth, high offshoring intensity and a large GDP share, and all three. Let us first take a look at the OSM index.

Following these simple criteria for the whole period of analysis I recognize twenty big offshorers, of which two deal with services, two belong to the primary plus energy sector, and the rest are naturally from manufacturing. From these twenty industries I further filter the data to obtain four big offshorers which, at the same time, are highly-growing industries, namely: electronic, computer machines, and accessories; electronic equipment and measuring instruments; electrical machinery equipment; and rental of office equipment. The former three are manufacturing industries and the last one is a services industry. Now, if we filter the data as to try to get big offshorers which are also large industries, we cannot produce any. In fact, none of these four industries are even above the mean in terms of GDP share. The evidence then seems to point out that, even if materials offshoring is relatively more important than services offshoring, it can only have a small effect on the economy after all.

Table 5: Industries' offshoring indices and GDP, 1980-2005

| | | | OSM | 1(%) | | | OSS | (%) | | GDP gro | owth (%) | GDP | share |
|------|-----------------------------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| ЛР | | AVG | AVG | CAGR | CAGR | AVG | AVG | CAGR | CAGR | CAGR | CAGR | AVG | AVG |
| code | <u>Other</u> | 1980-2005 | 1990-2005 | 1980-2005 | 1990-2005 | 1980-2005 | 1990-2005 | 1980-2005 | 1990-2005 | 1980-2005 | 1990-2005 | 1980-2005 | 1990-2005 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 001 | Rice, wheat production | 8,10 | 10,15 | 5,53 | 3,59 | 1,50 | 1,27 | -3,03 | -5,34 | -3,29 | -2,63 | 0,41 | 0,32 |
| 002 | Miscellaneous crop farming | 6,53 | 8,26 | 5,47 | 4,16 | 1,23 | 1,16 | -3,13 | -4,88 | -2,61 | -2,61 | 0,74 | 0,63 |
| 003 | Livestock and sericulture farming | 5,72 | 7,00 | 4,89 | 3,45 | 1,58 | 1,62 | -3,14 | -6,91 | -3,10 | -4,89 | 0,25 | 0,20 |
| 004 | Agricultural services | 4,08 | 0,17 8.67 | 5.02 | 5,54 | 3,39 | 3,81 | 3,44 | 2,14 | -1,/1 | -2,07 | 0,08 | 0,08 |
| 005 | Fisheries | 6.45 | 7.85 | 4.71 | 3.68 | 1.87 | 1.84 | 0,03 | -0,41 | -2,83 | -1,85 | 0,17 | 0,10 |
| 007 | Mining | 6.06 | 7,85 | 4 69 | 3 24 | 1.47 | 1 59 | 1.08 | -1.61 | -5.78 | -5.45 | 0.21 | 0.15 |
| 062 | Electricity | 5 84 | 7.63 | 6.69 | 6.27 | 1 75 | 1.96 | 1 33 | -0.65 | 1.24 | -0.11 | 1.57 | 1 69 |
| 063 | Gas, heat supply | 8.18 | 8.95 | 0.58 | 0.44 | 2.35 | 2.64 | 4.54 | -1.54 | 1.08 | 0.29 | 0.21 | 0.23 |
| 064 | Waterworks | 3,80 | 4,82 | 3,79 | 6,66 | 2,21 | 2,76 | 4,39 | 0,39 | -0,72 | 0,07 | 0,40 | 0,38 |
| 065 | Water supply for industrial use | 6,06 | 7,33 | 4,26 | 3,62 | 1,97 | 2,25 | 1,89 | 2,70 | -2,01 | -0,54 | 0,02 | 0,02 |
| 066 | Waste disposal | 6,22 | 7,76 | 5,52 | 4,96 | 2,09 | 2,46 | 3,68 | 0,92 | -2,44 | -1,59 | 0,36 | 0,30 |
| | - | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Manufacturing | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Manujaciuring | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 008 | Livestock products | 3,66 | 4,36 | 3,86 | 4,39 | 1,65 | 1,60 | 0,27 | 0,95 | -1,09 | 0,02 | 0,23 | 0,20 |
| 009 | Seafood products | 4,49 | 5,43 | 4,75 | 4,67 | 1,58 | 1,68 | 1,87 | 2,24 | -0,85 | -1,33 | 0,29 | 0,27 |
| 010 | Flour and grain mill products | 2,28 | 2,87 | 3,67 | 4,50 | 1,22 | 1,19 | 0,90 | 2,54 | -1,60 | -0,81 | 0,37 | 0,32 |
| 011 | Miscellaneous foods | 3,93 | 4,71 | 4,09 | 4,85 | 1,90 | 2,05 | 1,46 | 0,44 | -0,94 | -0,73 | 1,07 | 0,93 |
| 012 | Animal foods & fertilizers | 4,78 | 5,72 | 3,50 | 2,88 | 1,78 | 1,88 | 1,94 | 2,77 | 2,52 | 3,97 | 0,12 | 0,05 |
| 013 | Beverages | 3,84 | 4,64 | 4,27 | 4,38 | 2,24 | 2,49 | 1,74 | -0,34 | -1,06 | -0,43 | 0,98 | 0,93 |
| 014 | Tobacco | 4,52 | 5,65 | 5,11 | 5,76 | 3,29 | 3,33 | 1,49 | 1,05 | -3,13 | -1,83 | 0,62 | 0,51 |
| 015 | Textile products | 7,38 | 9,96 | 8,71 | 11,96 | 1,96 | 2,09 | 1,75 | 1,04 | -6,09 | -8,36 | 0,88 | 0,69 |
| 016 | Lumber and wood products | 16,47 | 22,26 | 7,83 | 6,32 | 1,62 | 1,58 | 0,14 | 0,51 | -3,56 | -4,34 | 0,30 | 0,26 |
| 017 | Furniture and fixtures | 10,84 | 14,48 | 7,04 | 6,18 | 1,75 | 1,82 | 1,56 | -1,12 | -4,52 | -5,95 | 0,36 | 0,28 |
| 010 | Puip, paper, and other paper | 9,71 | 12,12 | 3,03 | 2,99 | 1,69 | 1,97 | 0,80 | -0,61 | -1,00 | -1,02 | 0,33 | 0,51 |
| 019 | Printing and plate making | 4 84 | 6,23 5.44 | 2,09 | 2.45 | 2,20 | 2,50 | 2.34 | -5,05 | 0,04 | -1,00 | 0,31 | 0,50 |
| 020 | Leather and leather products | 24.56 | 34.26 | 7.85 | 8 31 | 2,44 | 2,05 | 0.93 | -3.21 | -1.88 | -1,27 | 0,74 | 0,70 |
| 022 | Rubber products | 7 91 | 9 24 | 4 49 | 3 34 | 1.93 | 1.98 | 0,95 | -4 66 | -1.47 | -2.22 | 0.07 | 0.25 |
| 023 | Chemical fertilizers | 8 90 | 11.36 | 6.96 | 3 77 | 2.18 | 2.28 | 1.84 | -3.16 | -9.08 | -10.53 | 0.05 | 0.04 |
| 024 | Basic inorganic chemicals | 15.15 | 17.53 | 4.16 | 1.07 | 2.15 | 2.37 | 1,94 | -2.77 | -4.21 | -5.71 | 0.17 | 0.16 |
| 025 | Basic organic chemicals | 4.55 | 5.10 | 2.47 | -0.21 | 2.03 | 2.21 | 1.21 | -1.11 | 3.54 | 2.03 | 0.07 | 0.08 |
| 026 | Organic chemicals | 6,89 | 7,43 | 3,09 | 1,74 | 2,09 | 2,25 | 1,90 | -3,11 | -1,87 | -6,73 | 0,42 | 0,42 |
| 027 | Chemical fibers | 9,57 | 10,58 | 3,44 | 1,55 | 2,25 | 2,34 | 1,37 | -3,91 | -4,03 | -5,37 | 0,05 | 0,04 |
| 028 | Miscellaneous chemical pdts. | 7,89 | 9,05 | 3,55 | 2,04 | 2,68 | 2,99 | 2,13 | -2,20 | 1,10 | -1,28 | 0,48 | 0,49 |
| 029 | Pharmaceutical products | 6,19 | 7,34 | 4,59 | 2,48 | 2,82 | 3,47 | 4,64 | 0,32 | 3,29 | 2,84 | 0,51 | 0,58 |
| 030 | Petroleum products | 5,04 | 5,84 | 2,78 | -0,13 | 1,75 | 1,90 | 0,37 | 0,93 | 0,63 | -1,83 | 1,07 | 1,15 |
| 031 | Coal products | 5,48 | 7,58 | 9,16 | 9,62 | 2,13 | 2,19 | 0,85 | -1,48 | -7,20 | -8,04 | 0,11 | 0,09 |
| 032 | Glass and its products | 7,34 | 9,02 | 4,69 | 3,24 | 1,89 | 2,04 | 1,61 | -2,53 | 2,12 | -0,01 | 0,16 | 0,17 |
| 033 | Cement and its products | 2,26 | 2,93 | 5,72 | 4,65 | 1,81 | 1,79 | 0,18 | -5,73 | -2,89 | -4,15 | 0,35 | 0,33 |
| 034 | Pottery | 10,16 | 12,75 | 4,83 | 3,77 | 2,04 | 2,14 | 2,07 | -3,21 | -1,15 | -1,96 | 0,10 | 0,09 |
| 035 | Miscellaneous ceramic | 10,33 | 12,69 | 4,71 | 3,20 | 2,28 | 2,27 | 0,66 | -2,51 | -1,75 | -1,25 | 0,21 | 0,18 |
| 036 | Pig iron and crude steel | 4,87 | 6,43 | 6,01 | 0,10 | 2,12 | 1,90 | -0,15 | -1,18 | -3,50 | -2,26 | 0,30 | 0,26 |
| 037 | Miscellaneous iron and steel | 4,09 | 5,18 | 4,96 | -2,74 | 2,20 | 2,29 | 1,41 | -2,16 | -1,84 | -1,64 | 0,81 | 0,74 |
| 038 | Non forrous motel products | 81,39 | 95,94 | 4,74 | -0,25 | 1,94 | 1,87 | -0,35 | -3,48 | 0,52 | 0,87 | 0,08 | 0,08 |
| 039 | Metal products | 3 15 | 4 40 | 3,30 7 52 | -0,01 5.09 | 1,05 | 1,09 | 1,04 | -0,39 | -1,14 | -1,90 | 0,30 | 0.42 |
| 040 | Miscellaneous metal products | 2,45 4 38 | 4,49 5 4 1 | 7,33 5 74 | 3,00 | 2,30 | 2,35 | 2,35 | -1,05 | -2,33 | -3,40 | 0,42 | 0.77 |
| 042 | General industry machinery | 3.81 | 4.62 | 3 56 | 4 19 | 2,05 | 2,19 | 1 99 | -1,27 | -1,20 | -1.71 | 0.75 | 0.74 |
| 043 | Special industry machinery | 4,51 | 5.69 | 4.89 | 5.95 | 2,23 | 2.34 | 2.02 | -1.81 | 0.03 | -1.66 | 0.97 | 0.94 |
| 044 | Miscellaneous machinery | 3.81 | 4.68 | 4.79 | 3.14 | 2.33 | 2.61 | 3.00 | -0.09 | 0.02 | -1.71 | 0.41 | 0.39 |
| 045 | Office and industry machines | 5,28 | 6,94 | 5,10 | 7.33 | 1.74 | 1.87 | 2,15 | 1.24 | 3,35 | 0.03 | 0,20 | 0.22 |
| 046 | Electrical and ind, apparatus | 6.45 | 7.74 | 4.69 | 4.11 | 2.10 | 2.19 | 1.78 | -2.27 | -1.10 | -3.03 | 0.45 | 0.44 |
| 047 | Household electric appliances | 6,14 | 7,69 | 4,96 | 5,01 | 2,11 | 2,23 | 1,92 | -1,07 | 2,76 | 0,92 | 0,48 | 0,53 |

| | (continued) | | OSM | 1(%) | | | OSS | (%) | | GDP gro | owth (%) | GDP | share |
|------|---------------------------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | | AVG | AVG | CAGR | CAGR | AVG | AVG | CAGR | CAGR | CAGR | CAGR | AVG | AVG |
| | | 1980-2005 | 1990-2005 | 1980-2005 | 1990-2005 | 1980-2005 | 1990-2005 | 1980-2005 | 1990-2005 | 1980-2005 | 1990-2005 | 1980-2005 | 1990-2005 |
| 0.40 | | 10.50 | 10.00 | 0.15 | 1.02 | 2.47 | 2.72 | 2.27 | 0.16 | 2 70 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
| 048 | Electronics, computer eqpmnt. | 10,50 | 12,38 | 2,45 | 4,03 | 2,47 | 2,73 | 2,37 | 0,16 | 2,70 | -8,39 | 0,21 | 0,26 |
| 049 | Maguring instruments | 8 22 | 0.45 | 5,18 | 4,41 | 2,22 | 2,44 | 2,20 | 0,37 | 2 20 | 2 82 | 0,19 | 0,25 |
| 050 | Semiconductor and circuits | 6,25 7.24 | 9,45 | 2 10 | 4,21 | 2,20 | 2,39 | 2,54 | 0,19 | 2,50 | -2,85 | 0,25 | 0,23 |
| 051 | Electronic parts | 7,24 | 7.56 | 1.74 | 0,97 | 2.41 | 2.61 | 2 55 | 0,82 | 0.72 | 9,90 | 0,50 | 0,43 |
| 053 | Miscellaneous machinery | 16.21 | 19.43 | 5 42 | 2 50 | 2,41 | 2,01 | 1.68 | -2.28 | 3 34 | 0.82 | 0.41 | 0,78 |
| 054 | Motor vehicles | 1 70 | 2 00 | 2 46 | 3 45 | 2,15 | 2,25 | 2.13 | -0.52 | 0.63 | 0,02 | 0.68 | 0.62 |
| 055 | Motor vehicle parts | 2.67 | 3.14 | 4.52 | 2.81 | 1.68 | 1.70 | 1.26 | -0.16 | 1.88 | 1.50 | 1.34 | 1.41 |
| 056 | Other transportation eqpmnt. | 6.03 | 7.21 | 4.40 | 2.83 | 1.93 | 1.98 | 0.19 | -2.45 | -1.77 | -0.63 | 0.35 | 0.31 |
| 057 | Precision machinery eqpmnt. | 10,80 | 13,61 | 5,09 | 5,61 | 2,08 | 2,16 | 0,85 | -1,92 | -1,15 | -2,08 | 0,37 | 0,33 |
| 058 | Plastic products | 6,33 | 7,19 | 3,26 | 1,92 | 2,19 | 2,32 | 2,48 | -2,84 | 0,51 | 0,03 | 0,73 | 0,71 |
| 059 | Miscellaneous industries | 11,37 | 13,75 | 4,58 | 3,87 | 1,97 | 2,10 | 1,55 | -1,40 | -1,10 | -3,93 | 0,38 | 0,37 |
| 060 | Construction | 6,94 | 9,33 | 7,14 | 6,94 | 1,73 | 1,91 | 3,27 | -2,02 | -1,26 | -2,40 | 4,98 | 4,68 |
| 061 | Civil engineering | 3,37 | 4,51 | 7,43 | 7,04 | 1,58 | 1,77 | 2,83 | -1,85 | -2,93 | -4,56 | 3,44 | 3,31 |
| | Services | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | <u>Services</u> | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 067 | Wholesale | 5,59 | 7,00 | 5,36 | 6,05 | 1,75 | 2,00 | 1,44 | 0,21 | 1,48 | 1,59 | 7,01 | 7,88 |
| 068 | Retail | 4,37 | 5,45 | 4,98 | 6,34 | 1,85 | 2,17 | 2,62 | -0,43 | -0,99 | -0,85 | 5,03 | 4,98 |
| 069 | Finance | 3,13 | 4,18 | 7,27 | 7,69 | 2,31 | 2,53 | 1,22 | -2,87 | 2,34 | 2,10 | 3,64 | 4,02 |
| 070 | Insurance | 2,48 | 3,19 | 5,43 | 7,42 | 2,03 | 2,58 | 6,31 | 3,54 | 0,63 | -1,26 | 1,76 | 1,82 |
| 071 | Real estate | 5,32 | 6,71 | 5,73 | 3,47 | 2,23 | 2,54 | 2,25 | -3,04 | -2,49 | -2,50 | 2,03 | 1,66 |
| 072 | Bailman | 4,98 | 0,45 | 6,70 | 4,08 | 2,11 | 2,20 | -0,22 | -17,30 | 0,58 | 1,38 | 8,05 | 9,04 |
| 073 | Railway Bood transportation | 5.30 | 6.22 | 3,73 | 1,44 | 1,75 | 1,74 | -7,04 | -13,13 | -1,21 | -1,07 | 0,09 | 2,80 |
| 074 | Water transportation | 5.94 | 7.08 | 3,04 | 1,29 | 2.84 | 2 57 | 2,33 | -0,62 | -0.02 | -0,05 | 2,91 | 2,80 |
| 076 | Air transportation | 5 42 | 6.30 | 3,00 | -0.04 | 12.14 | 13.89 | 3.49 | 3.95 | -0.13 | -1 99 | 0.26 | 0.27 |
| 077 | Other transportation | 7.61 | 9.98 | 6.48 | 5 54 | 1.88 | 2 30 | 4 52 | -1.12 | -2.15 | -2.54 | 0.47 | 0.44 |
| 078 | Telegraph and telephone | 5.83 | 8.04 | 8 25 | 8 63 | 2 10 | 2,38 | 2.87 | -1.18 | 4 39 | 5 77 | 1.22 | 1.50 |
| 079 | Mail | 4.44 | 5.67 | 6.23 | 3.96 | 5.06 | 5.82 | 4.81 | 2.64 | -1.05 | -1.30 | 0.34 | 0.33 |
| 080 | Education (private and non-p) | 6,32 | 7,84 | 4,71 | 3,82 | 2,44 | 2,72 | 3,29 | -0,05 | 0,80 | 1,41 | 1,02 | 1,05 |
| 081 | Research (private) | 3,73 | 4,52 | 3,40 | 3,33 | 1,56 | 1,77 | 2,64 | 0,20 | 2,64 | 2,10 | 0,13 | 0,15 |
| 082 | Medical (private) | 6,05 | 7,54 | 5,99 | 2,13 | 1,31 | 1,44 | 2,54 | 1,24 | 0,57 | 2,56 | 2,20 | 2,17 |
| 083 | Hygiene (private and non-p) | 6,37 | 7,93 | 5,47 | 4,51 | 1,84 | 2,22 | 3,00 | -1,69 | -2,67 | 5,09 | 0,10 | 0,07 |
| 084 | Other public services | 5,81 | 7,84 | 9,14 | 10,19 | 1,83 | 2,11 | 3,54 | -0,19 | -5,95 | -2,74 | 0,25 | 0,13 |
| 085 | Advertising | 2,37 | 3,09 | 3,51 | 1,53 | 1,07 | 1,30 | 4,43 | 2,53 | 0,33 | 2,31 | 0,50 | 0,53 |
| 086 | Rental of office equipment | 8,78 | 11,33 | 6,39 | 5,96 | 1,49 | 1,54 | -0,58 | -3,29 | 9,69 | 8,36 | 0,58 | 0,78 |
| 087 | Automobile maintenance | 3,88 | 4,61 | 2,75 | 5,35 | 1,54 | 1,61 | 1,30 | 0,60 | -0,65 | -0,36 | 1,17 | 1,11 |
| 088 | Other services for businesses | 6,19 | 8,34 | 6,87 | 5,45 | 2,34 | 2,76 | 4,20 | 0,50 | 1,59 | 2,32 | 3,10 | 3,48 |
| 089 | Entertainment | 5,86 | 7,29 | 5,14 | 5,56 | 2,31 | 2,64 | 1,66 | -0,48 | -0,26 | -2,20 | 1,77 | 1,68 |
| 090 | Broadcasting | 5,95 | 7,21 | 3,82 | 4,22 | 3,93 | 4,61 | 3,53 | 1,38 | -1,11 | 1,16 | 0,28 | 0,27 |
| 091 | Information and Internet ss. | 5,28 | 6,62 | 5,01 | 4,90 | 2,05 | 2,47 | 4,70 | 1,61 | 4,39 | 7,20 | 1,16 | 1,44 |
| 092 | Publishing Video and cound | 3,80 | 4,28 | 3,20 | 1,06 | 3,34 | 4,10 | 5,11 | 4,58 | -1,/8 | -1,59 | 0,47 | 0,42 |
| 093 | Fating and drinking places | 6.68 | 9,54 | 4,72 | 6.02 | 5,55 | 4,50 | 3.03 | 2,00 | 8,09 | -0,09 | 2.27 | 2.08 |
| 094 | Accommodation | 7 22 | 0.36 | 6.03 | 7.52 | 1,42 | 1,00 | 0.12 | -2,17 | -1,20 | 0,01 | 0.82 | 2,08 |
| 095 | I aundry beauty services | 6.77 | 8 23 | 4 4 5 | 4 59 | 1,43 | 1,49 | 2 42 | -4,02 | -0,17 | -0,33 | 0.82 | 0,82 |
| 097 | Other services for individuals | 7 49 | 9.40 | 5 25 | 5 77 | 2.15 | 2.16 | 0,60 | -0.99 | 1.94 | 0.84 | 0.83 | 0.92 |
| 098 | Education (public) | 6.10 | 7.42 | 4.22 | 3.39 | 3.21 | 3.54 | 4.24 | 4.35 | -1.21 | -1.66 | 3.18 | 2.93 |
| 099 | Research (public) | 5,13 | 6,35 | 4,41 | 3,79 | 2,35 | 2,77 | 4,97 | 4,16 | 2,54 | 4,76 | 0,17 | 0,20 |
| 100 | Medical (public) | 5,96 | 7,39 | 6,05 | 1,44 | 1,11 | 1,12 | 1,52 | 1,73 | 1,34 | 1,02 | 0,49 | 0,54 |
| 101 | Hygiene (public) | 6,16 | 7,57 | 4,48 | 4,32 | 1,44 | 1,53 | 0,93 | 0,24 | 1,81 | 2,62 | 0,10 | 0,11 |
| 102 | S. insur. & s. welfare (public) | 5,49 | 7,06 | 5,86 | 5,75 | 1,52 | 1,53 | 0,94 | 1,22 | 1,06 | 2,07 | 0,44 | 0,45 |
| 103 | Public administration | 7,29 | 8,79 | 4,13 | 2,78 | 2,12 | 2,48 | 4,16 | 0,30 | 0,89 | 2,36 | 4,93 | 5,23 |
| 104 | Medical (non-profit) | 5,98 | 7,40 | 5,88 | 1,32 | 1,14 | 1,16 | 1,59 | 0,28 | 2,07 | 1,91 | 0,74 | 0,78 |
| 105 | S. insur. & s. welfare (non-p) | 5,70 | 7,44 | 6,05 | 5,83 | 1,48 | 1,52 | 1,41 | -1,60 | 1,42 | 2,84 | 0,40 | 0,47 |
| 106 | Research (non-profit) | 2,97 | 3,46 | 2,24 | -0,04 | 2,52 | 2,99 | 3,71 | 1,13 | -22,03 | -33,04 | 0,98 | 0,24 |
| 107 | Other (non-profit) | 4,14 | 5,21 | 4,93 | 4,06 | 2,27 | 2,50 | 2,69 | -0,64 | 0,45 | 0,94 | 0,47 | 0,43 |
| 108 | Activities not classified | 6,22 | 7,52 | 5,38 | 1,80 | 1,20 | 1,27 | -9,18 | -21,75 | -0,85 | 2,00 | 0,29 | 0,32 |

Table 6: Industries' contributions to indices, 1980-2005

| | JIP | | OSM share (p.p.) | | JIP | | OSS share (p.p.) |
|------|------|---------------------------------|------------------|------|------|---------------------------------|------------------|
| Rnk. | code | Industry | 1980-2005 | Rnk. | code | Industry | 1980-2005 |
| | | | | | | ······· | |
| 1 | 67 | Wholesale | 0.8171 | 1 | 103 | Public administration | 0.1004 |
| 2 | 72 | Housing | 0.7685 | 2 | 88 | Other services for businesses | 0.0872 |
| 3 | 103 | Public administration | 0.4816 | 3 | 67 | Wholesale | 0.0761 |
| 4 | 60 | Construction | 0.4654 | 4 | 98 | Education (public) | 0.0638 |
| 5 | 88 | Other services for businesses | 0.4409 | 5 | 69 | Finance | 0.0599 |
| 6 | 69 | Finance | 0.3208 | 6 | 91 | Information and Internet ss. | 0.0506 |
| 7 | 86 | Rental of office equipment | 0.2798 | 7 | 70 | Insurance | 0.0431 |
| 8 | 78 | Telegraph and telephone | 0.2777 | 8 | 52 | Electronic parts | 0.0412 |
| 9 | 68 | Retail | 0.2577 | 9 | 78 | Telegraph and telephone | 0.0410 |
| 10 | 62 | Electricity | 0.2005 | 10 | 60 | Construction | 0.0369 |
| 11 | 94 | Eating and drinking places | 0.1971 | 11 | 68 | Retail | 0.0287 |
| 12 | 91 | Information and Internet ss. | 0.1865 | 12 | 76 | Air transportation | 0.0256 |
| 13 | 82 | Medical (private) | 0.1662 | 13 | 82 | Medical (private) | 0.0247 |
| 14 | 98 | Education (public) | 0.1383 | 14 | 29 | Pharmaceutical products | 0.0220 |
| 15 | 89 | Entertainment | 0.1317 | 15 | 80 | Education (private and non-p) | 0.0211 |
| 16 | 97 | Other services for individuals | 0.1240 | 16 | 55 | Motor vehicle parts | 0.0176 |
| 17 | 95 | Accommodation | 0.1159 | 17 | 79 | Mail | 0.0169 |
| 18 | 53 | Miscellaneous machinery | 0.1138 | 18 | 74 | Road transportation | 0.0151 |
| 19 | 74 | Road transportation | 0.1117 | 19 | 51 | Semiconductor and circuits | 0.0150 |
| 20 | 52 | Electronic parts | 0.1025 | 20 | 89 | Entertainment | 0.0139 |
| 21 | 61 | Civil engineering | 0.0942 | 21 | 94 | Eating and drinking places | 0.0127 |
| 22 | 80 | Education (private and non-p) | 0.0891 | 22 | 62 | Electricity | 0.0125 |
| 23 | 39 | Non-ferrous metal products | 0.0761 | 23 | 86 | Rental of office equipment | 0.0124 |
| 24 | 71 | Real estate | 0.0741 | 24 | 92 | Publishing | 0.0115 |
| 25 | 70 | Insurance | 0.0703 | 25 | 47 | Household electric appliances | 0.0110 |
| 26 | 38 | Smelting non-ferrous metals | 0.0608 | 26 | 97 | Other services for individuals | 0.0107 |
| 27 | 104 | Medical (non-profit) | 0.0576 | 27 | 20 | Printing, and plate making | 0.0096 |
| 28 | 47 | Household electric appliances | 0.0570 | 28 | 53 | Miscellaneous machinery | 0.0094 |
| 29 | 51 | Semiconductor and circuits | 0.0568 | 29 | 58 | Plastic products | 0.0088 |
| 30 | 105 | Ss. Ins. & ss. welfare (non-p) | 0.0564 | 30 | 99 | Research (public) | 0.0086 |
| 31 | 29 | Pharmaceutical products | 0.0562 | 31 | 43 | Special industry machinery | 0.0085 |
| 32 | 43 | Special industry machinery | 0.0558 | 32 | 93 | Video and sound | 0.0084 |
| 33 | 102 | Ss. ins. & ss. welfare (public) | 0.0532 | 33 | 54 | Motor vehicles | 0.0083 |
| 34 | 96 | Laundry, beauty services | 0.0523 | 34 | 49 | Communication equipment | 0.0081 |
| 35 | 55 | Motor vehicle parts | 0.0511 | 35 | 90 | Broadcasting | 0.0081 |
| 36 | 58 | Plastic products | 0.0382 | 36 | 64 | Waterworks | 0.0079 |
| 37 | 16 | Lumber and wood products | 0.0378 | 37 | 104 | Medical (non-profit) | 0.0076 |
| 38 | 100 | Medical (public) | 0.0360 | 38 | 107 | Other (non-profit) | 0.0075 |
| 39 | 57 | Precision machinery eqpmnt. | 0.0357 | 39 | 85 | Advertising | 0.0072 |
| 40 | 28 | Miscellaneous chemical pdts. | 0.0345 | 40 | 28 | Miscellaneous chemical pdts. | 0.0067 |
| 41 | 13 | Beverages | 0.0325 | 41 | 44 | Miscellaneous machinery | 0.0050 |
| 42 | 73 | Railway | 0.0322 | 42 | 105 | Ss. Ins. & ss. welfare (non-p) | 0.0050 |
| 43 | 11 | Miscellaneous foods | 0.0317 | 43 | 100 | Medical (public) | 0.0046 |
| 44 | 77 | Other transportation | 0.0302 | 44 | 102 | Ss. ins. & ss. welfare (public) | 0.0042 |
| 45 | 49 | Communication equipment | 0.0293 | 45 | 63 | Gas, heat supply | 0.0041 |
| 46 | 2 | Miscellaneous crop farming | 0.0290 | 46 | 50 | Measuring instruments | 0.0041 |
| 47 | 41 | Miscellaneous metal products | 0.0290 | 47 | 42 | General industry machinery | 0.0038 |
| 48 | 87 | Automobile maintenance | 0.0288 | 48 | 13 | Beverages | 0.0035 |
| 49 | 15 | Textile products | 0.0280 | 49 | 45 | Office and industry machines | 0.0035 |
| 50 | 75 | Water transportation | 0.0273 | 50 | 96 | Laundry, beauty services | 0.0034 |
| 51 | 59 | Miscellaneous industries | 0.0273 | 51 | 41 | Miscellaneous metal products | 0.0032 |
| 52 | 30 | Petroleum products | 0.0271 | 52 | 77 | Other transportation | 0.0032 |
| 53 | 18 | Pulp, paper, and other paper | 0.0269 | 53 | 30 | Petroleum products | 0.0031 |
| 54 | 107 | Other (non-profit) | 0.0260 | 54 | 87 | Automobile maintenance | 0.0030 |
| 55 | 20 | Printing, and plate making | 0.0235 | 55 | 11 | Miscellaneous foods | 0.0023 |
| 56 | 46 | Electrical and ind. apparatus | 0.0205 | 56 | 32 | Glass and its products | 0.0023 |
| 57 | 42 | General industry machinery | 0.0194 | 57 | 81 | Research (private) | 0.0020 |
| 58 | 93 | Video and sound | 0.0192 | 58 | 66 | Waste disposal | 0.0020 |
| 59 | 45 | Office and industry machines | 0.0191 | 59 | 25 | Basic organic chemicals | 0.0017 |
| 60 | 79 | Mail | 0.0189 | 60 | 19 | Paper products | 0.0015 |
| 61 | 99 | Research (public) | 0.0185 | 61 | 12 | Animal foods & fertilizers | 0.0014 |
| 62 | 32 | Glass and its products | 0.0183 | 62 | 48 | Electronics, computer eqpmnt. | 0.0013 |
| 63 | 66 | Waste disposal | 0.0171 | 63 | 101 | Hygiene (public) | 0.0012 |
| 64 | 50 | Measuring instruments | 0.0165 | 64 | 46 | Electrical and ind. apparatus | 0.0011 |
| 65 | 19 | Paper products | 0.0157 | 65 | 4 | Agricultural services | 0.0011 |

(continued)

| 66 | 108 | Activities not classified | 0.0156 | 66 | 9 | Seafood products | 0.0011 |
|-----|-----|-----------------------------------|---------|-----|-----|-----------------------------------|---------|
| 67 | 17 | Furniture and fixtures | 0.0155 | 67 | 39 | Non-ferrous metal products | 0.0008 |
| 68 | 64 | Waterworks | 0.0154 | 68 | 59 | Miscellaneous industries | 0.0006 |
| 69 | 35 | Miscellaneous ceramic | 0.0150 | 69 | 34 | Pottery | 0.0003 |
| 70 | 22 | Rubber products | 0.0148 | 70 | 83 | Hygiene (private and non-p) | 0.0001 |
| 71 | 1 | Rice, wheat production | 0.0147 | 71 | 26 | Organic chemicals | 0.0000 |
| 72 | 44 | Miscellaneous machinery | 0.0140 | 72 | 38 | Smelting non-ferrous metals | 0.0000 |
| 73 | 90 | Broadcasting | 0.0136 | 73 | 65 | Water supply for industrial use | 0.0000 |
| 74 | 14 | Tobacco | 0.0134 | 74 | 40 | Metal products | -0.0001 |
| 75 | 56 | Other transportation eqpmnt. | 0.0133 | 75 | 95 | Accommodation | -0.0001 |
| 76 | 37 | Miscellaneous iron and steel | 0.0120 | 76 | 18 | Pulp, paper, and other paper | -0.0004 |
| 77 | 101 | Hygiene (public) | 0.0118 | 77 | 27 | Chemical fibers | -0.0005 |
| 78 | 9 | Seafood products | 0.0105 | 78 | 57 | Precision machinery eqpmnt. | -0.0005 |
| 79 | 85 | Advertising | 0.0105 | 79 | 22 | Rubber products | -0.0006 |
| 80 | 40 | Metal products | 0.0101 | 80 | 8 | Livestock products | -0.0008 |
| 81 | 54 | Motor vehicles | 0.0097 | 81 | 23 | Chemical fertilizers | -0.0010 |
| 82 | 21 | Leather and leather products | 0.0093 | 82 | 10 | Flour and grain mill products | -0.0010 |
| 83 | 76 | Air transportation | 0.0088 | 83 | 21 | Leather and leather products | -0.0012 |
| 84 | 84 | Other public services | 0.0083 | 84 | 24 | Basic inorganic chemicals | -0.0013 |
| 85 | 34 | Pottery | 0.0082 | 85 | 35 | Miscellaneous ceramic | -0.0013 |
| 86 | 63 | Gas, heat supply | 0.0075 | 86 | 61 | Civil engineering | -0.0016 |
| 87 | 48 | Electronics, computer eqpmnt. | 0.0068 | 87 | 37 | Miscellaneous iron and steel | -0.0019 |
| 88 | 5 | Forestry | 0.0060 | 88 | 84 | Other public services | -0.0020 |
| 89 | 81 | Research (private) | 0.0059 | 89 | 71 | Real estate | -0.0022 |
| 90 | 8 | Livestock products | 0.0059 | 90 | 56 | Other transportation eqpmnt. | -0.0025 |
| 91 | 25 | Basic organic chemicals | 0.0057 | 91 | 31 | Coal products | -0.0026 |
| 92 | 36 | Pig iron and crude steel | 0.0051 | 92 | 33 | Cement and its products | -0.0027 |
| 93 | 12 | Animal foods & fertilizers | 0.0051 | 93 | 5 | Forestry | -0.0028 |
| 94 | 83 | Hygiene (private and non-p) | 0.0050 | 94 | 7 | Mining | -0.0035 |
| 95 | 10 | Flour and grain mill products | 0.0050 | 95 | 17 | Furniture and fixtures | -0.0037 |
| 96 | 92 | Publishing | 0.0047 | 96 | 108 | Activities not classified | -0.0038 |
| 97 | 3 | Livestock and sericulture farming | 0.0046 | 97 | 16 | Lumber and wood products | -0.0041 |
| 98 | 33 | Cement and its products | 0.0044 | 98 | 3 | Livestock and sericulture farming | -0.0041 |
| 99 | 4 | Agricultural services | 0.0040 | 99 | 75 | Water transportation | -0.0046 |
| 100 | 26 | Organic chemicals | 0.0039 | 100 | 1 | Rice, wheat production | -0.0057 |
| 101 | 31 | Coal products | 0.0013 | 101 | 36 | Pig iron and crude steel | -0.0062 |
| 102 | 65 | Water supply for industrial use | 0.0008 | 102 | 14 | Tobacco | -0.0073 |
| 103 | 27 | Chemical fibers | -0.0006 | 103 | 6 | Fisheries | -0.0085 |
| 104 | 24 | Basic inorganic chemicals | -0.0008 | 104 | 73 | Railway | -0.0105 |
| 105 | 23 | Chemical fertilizers | -0.0012 | 105 | 2 | Miscellaneous crop farming | -0.0114 |
| 106 | 7 | Mining | -0.0044 | 106 | 15 | Textile products | -0.0136 |
| 107 | 6 | Fisheries | -0.0060 | 107 | 106 | Research (non-profit) | -0.0255 |
| 108 | 106 | Research (non-profit) | -0.0385 | 108 | 72 | Housing | -0.1079 |
| | 100 | (h.o) | 010000 | 100 | | | |
| | | total growth in index (p.p.): | 7.7279 | | | | 0.8139 |

Note: codes by sector are 1 to 7 and 62 to 66 (other), 8 to 61 (manufacturing), and 67 to 108 (services).

For the OSS index I identify nineteen big offshorers, ten services, seven manufacturing, and two primary industries. Our second-stage filter for GDP growth delivers five industries: pharmaceutical products; electronic, computer machines, and accessories (as with OSM); electronic parts; video picture, sound information, character information production and distribution; and research (public). That is, respectively, three manufacturing and two services industries. Focusing now in offshoring and economic weight I can only make out one industry, education (public), with a share of 3.18% in the total economy. Again, it is not possible to distinguish any single industry that takes all three characteristics. Hence, services offshoring does not seem more predominant in the services than in the manufacturing sector. Further, with the exception of public education, it is to argue that the final effect (e.g. employment destruction) on the total economy should not be so different from that of materials offshoring.

Our simple exercise here might yet be hiding some information on the final contribution of each industry on the growth of both indices for 1980-2005. For this reason, table 6 ranks the contributions of each individual industry to the change in both indices from 1980 to 2005. To do that I simply multiply the industries' indices by their GDP weights. This is how I construct the aggregate versions of the indices in figure 1.¹²

The column labeled "OSM share" reflects the contributions to the growth in the OSM index during our period of analysis. The last row indicates that materials offshoring grew approximately 7.73 percentage points. Noticeably, much of this growth was due to activities undertaken within the services sector. With the exception of the construction industry, the rest of industries ranking at the top ten are from the services sector. At the other end of the ranking and with the sole exception of research (non-profit) services, we only find industries from the manufacturing and primary (plus energy) sectors.

On the other hand, under "OSS share" we find the contribution by industry to the OSS index, which grew only 0.81 percentage points. Again, most of the growth took place within the services sector. Construction and the electronic parts industries are the only two manufacturing industries to be found among the top ten. At the bottom we now find industries from all three sectors in a similar proportion. Remarkably, several industries appear at the top in both rankings, among which we can count: wholesale, public administration, construction, other services for business, finance, rental of office equipment, telegraph and telephone, retail, information and internet services, medical (private), education (public), and electronic parts. Aside from the construction and electronic parts industries, all other industries are from the services sector.

In conclusion for this section, there are several points worth stressing. First, only nearly a fifth of all industries can be justly categorized as big offshorers in both cases of materials and services inputs. On the materials side we have that only four industries are, at the same time, highly growing industries and yet none of them bears a great weight on the economy. On services we have only five highly growing big offshorers industries and one industry which corresponds to both the big offshorer and large industry classification. Second, no industry, out of the total of 108, enjoys all three features together as put forth at the beginning of this section: high offshoring intensity, high GDP growth, and a large share. Third, I find that with a few exceptions, services industries became the engine of offshoring (both of materials and services) throughout the period of 1980-2005.

 $^{^{12}}$ It should remain clear that our study is already carried out at the aggregate level of the industry.

4 The industry's demand for labor

Hamermesh (1993) goes about the various ways that could be employed to estimate the factor substitution elasticities in a labor demand setting. He discusses three methods: (a) direct estimation of a cost or production function; (b) labor-demand conditions; and (c) system estimation (which is an approximation to a generalized cost or production function). Following Amiti and Wei (2005, 2006) and Cadarso *et al.* (2008), I address the estimation of the offshoring elasticities through method (b). If all data on inputs prices were available, labor-demand conditions for every input should be derived. This is not the case though.

Supposing that all industries behave as single profit-maximizing firms, and further supposing Cobb-Douglas technologies,¹³ we have:

$$Y = A(OSM, OSS) F(K, L) = AK^{\alpha}L^{\beta}$$
⁽²⁾

where industries use capital K and labor L to produce output Y and α and β are the factor shares. Moreover, A is the Hicks-neutral technology parameter further dependent on the offshoring indices. From the information embedded in the production function in (2), we can specify a general cost function like (3):

$$C(w, r, Z) = \phi r^{\alpha} w^{\beta} Z \tag{3}$$

where ϕ is a constant and Z a vector of other exogenous variables. Cost minimization then entails the optimal demand for inputs. In this way, minimizing total costs in (3) subject to (2) and using Shephard's lemma (Shephard, 1953), yields the factor demand functions for K and L. Therefore, our labor demand functions can be simply stated as:

$$L = \Gamma(w, Z) \tag{4}$$

and are dependent on the real average wages w and a vector of variables Z, among which we can find other factor prices, the real stock of capital, or the productivity of labor.¹⁴ Among these prices we can identify the price of foreign labor services, which are a substitute for domestic labor. Equation (4) becomes:

$$L = \Gamma(w, p^*, Z') \tag{5}$$

and p^* is the prices on foreign labor services. Since data on p^* are difficult to get, Amiti and Wei suggest to use the offshoring intensity indices instead. Both OSM and OSS perform

¹³A Cobb-Douglas technology is implicitly assumed in both works mentioned in the previous paragraph.

¹⁴The great burden of work done so far also considers an output variable in the labor demand equation (either in its value or volume measure). Webster (2003) asserts that the interpretation of the coefficient on real wages remains ambiguous, since this is to be thought as a partial and not total elasticity. For an earlier reference see Nadiri (1968).

as inverse proxies of the prices on foreign labor services used in the production of materials and services respectively.

$$L = \Gamma(w, OSM, OSS, Z') \mid A(OSM, OSS)$$
(6)

Here Amiti and Wei (2005, 2006) identify three channels through which offshoring might affect the labor demand. First, a possible substitution effect between labor and prices of imported inputs (services or materials); a drop in the latter or, equivalently, an increase in the offshoring indices, would lead to a fall in the demand for labor. Second, a possible short run productivity effect of offshoring to impact negatively on employment. And third, the scale effect (or long run productivity effect) which might affect labor positively, provided firms are more efficient and competitive in the longer run due to previous productivity gains. The dynamic representation of the estimating equation can be expressed as:

$$\ln L = \beta_o + \beta_1 \ln L_{-1} + \beta_2 OSM + \beta_3 OSS + \beta_4 \ln w + \beta_5 \ln Z' \tag{7}$$

On the expected signs of the coefficients we have clearly that $\beta_4 < 0$, while β_2 and β_3 are inconclusive, since it is not clear whether the scale effects are large enough to outweigh the substitution and productivity effects. As stated before, the output may be increased in response to offshoring-related productivity gains. Proof of that for Japan can be found in the short report by Ando and Kimura (2007). Their study on Japanese data puts the stress on the complementarity between firm level trade and FDI, suggesting that firms establishing affiliates abroad do not necessarily shrink their domestic activities. Rather, it is quite the contrary, and domestic employment can be expanded since these operations are usually "complementary to the rest of the value added chain".

Underlying the estimation of an equation like (7) there is the potential endogeneity problem of the offshoring variables. Even though instrumental variable techniques should be recommended at this point, I refrain from doing so because of the quality of the available instruments.

5 Employment effects of offshoring, 1980-2005

To study the employment effects of offshoring I rely on the estimation of every industry's demand for labor in equation (7). I then calculate the long run elasticities¹⁵ of the offshoring coefficients so as to sort out the industries in the database, and see the potential effect (both positive and negative) in terms of employment. Next point is to check on several correlations and examine if some pattern does emerge. Particularly, I am interested in the correlations

¹⁵These are simply:
$$\varepsilon_{osm,oss}^{LR} = \frac{\stackrel{\wedge}{\beta_{2,3}}}{1 - \stackrel{\wedge}{\beta_1}}$$

between the estimated elasticities of OSM and OSS and other indicators (GDP growth, GDP weight, share of technical workers, etc.).

Before embarking in the estimation of a great number of regressions I should check the trustworthiness of the data. Considering the structure of our database, one reasonable way to go about it is by computing the labor share of industries and see if this furnishes a sensible result (e.g. the labor share is less than 1).¹⁶ Out of a total of 108 industries in the original database, I am finally left with 83 where the data behave correctly. Therefore, I estimate 83 dynamic labor demand functions separately, which entertain the offshoring indices as explanatory variables. The method used is ordinary least squares.¹⁷

Thus, for 14 industries in our final sample I find that the long run elasticity of OSMturns out positively signed, on 37 is zero, and on 32 is negative. On the other hand, for the coefficient on OSS I observe that long run elasticities are positive on 29 industries, zero on 41, and negative on 13. In sum, positive effects of both types of offshoring are found in 43 industries and negative effects in 51.¹⁸ Moreover, at first sight services offshoring appears as much friendlier than materials offshoring with regards to employment creation. However, we should come to terms with the previous statement looking at how employment changed during 1980-2005, and how much of this change could be attributed to offshoring. Now I turn to the study of these numbers more in detail. This is done in two parts, first considering the positive effects and then the negative effects on employment. Later, and using this information, I try to disentangle the correlation between those elasticities and other selected key variables. The idea is to find out, if possible, what features lead industries to have large effects (elasticities) on employment. Is it those which grew the most? Or perhaps those which bear a large weight of the economy. Are capital-intensive industries different in this regard? Here again I split the analysis into the positive and negative effects.

5.1 Long run elasticities

5.1.1 Positive effects

Out of those 14 industries where OSM turns out positive, I identify 10 services and 4 manufacturing industries. Among those which have grown the most we should note the

¹⁶See Appendix A for the calculation of the labor share and further comments on its evolution through time. Several up-to-date references on this particular subject can be found, for instance, in Wakita (2006), and the reports by Iiduka (2006) and Takeuchi (2005).

¹⁷I am well aware of the potential endogeneity problem entailed by offshoring variables entering a labor demand specification, as pointed earlier by Amiti and Wei (2005, 2006). However, due to the lack of adequate instruments I finally decided to carry out all estimations via OLS. Different control variables like the real capital stock or a measure of (labor) productivity were also tried with success in most of the industries. Additionally, all estimated equations display several lags of the dependent variable, as well as the expected negative sign associated to the real wages.

 $^{^{18}}$ I only pay attention to those equations which deliver a non-zero elasticity of either OSM or OSM. That is, in total, 43 industries for the positive effects, and 51 for the negative.

rental of office (9.69% CAGR, ranks 3rd) and information and internet services (4.39%; ranks 6th) industries. Among the most representative we notice the business services¹⁹ industry (3.10% share of the GDP, which makes it the 5th larger industry) followed by private medical services (2.20% share and 8th place). All four industries are from the services sector.

Among those 29 industries with a positive effect of OSS I distinguish 6 industries from the services sector, 3 from the primary sector plus energy, and 20 from the manufacturing sector. For those which have grown the most we have the following industries: semiconductor devices (11.94% CAGR; 1st), rental of office equipment (9.69%; 3rd), telegraph and telephone (4.39%; 5th), information and internet services (4.39%; 6th), and electrical machinery equipment (3.34%; 9th). This is two manufacturing and three services industries. As noted before, both the rental of office and internet services industries also display positive effects of OSM. For those industries which account for relatively large shares of the GDP we should highlight the retail and finance industries (5.03% and 3.64%, ranking them 2nd and 4th), both from the services sector.

Tables 7 and 8 rank all industries by their long run (positive) offshoring elasticities. Precisely, the first two columns display the short and long run elasticities of offshoring.²⁰ Other indicators of relevance are also shown in tables 7 to 10 (GDP CAGR, GDP weight, both averaged through 1980-2005).²¹ Now I concentrate on the estimated impact on employment, relying on the estimated coefficients of OSM (table 7) and OSS (table 8).

Combining the information on the long run elasticities with the change in the offshoring index (percentage points) and the change in the employment variable (workers) delivers the output in the last two columns. These represent an estimation of the offshoring-induced employment growth from 1980 to 2005. In other words, both columns show the growth in employment due to offshoring practices (e.g. intermediate trade), the first in absolute values and the second as a share of the change in employment. The last row in the last four columns exhibits the values for the whole period.

For those industries enjoying positive effects of materials offshoring (table 7) we see that the employment growth is rather substantial (more than 7 million). However, the creation of job as a direct result of offshoring is not very significant (23,997, only 0.32%). For industries sporting a positive effect of services offshoring (table 8) we see now that the growth in employment is not as large (nearly 1 million). The estimated amount of jobs that originate as a consequence of offshoring is significantly higher nonetheless, both in absolute and relative terms (34,637 workers, 3.66%). More in detail, the industries which have contributed more to the previous numbers are medical (private) and other services for

¹⁹This is actually labeled as "Other services for businesses", which includes all miscellaneous services industries not listed explicitly in the JIP database.

²⁰Remember that the estimated coefficients associated to our offshoring variables are actually semielasticities.

²¹These shall be used in determining the patterns in the next section.

businesses, for materials offshoring (both with approximately 6,000 workers), and the retail industry for services offshoring (21,365 workers in total).

5.1.2 Negative effects

In the 32 industries where negative effects of OSM are found, the distribution of industries shows a clear leaning towards the manufacturing sector. Industries are: 21 manufacturing, 7 services, and 4 primary plus energy. The industries which stand out at growing through 1980-2005 are telegraph and telephone (4.39%; 5th place) and miscellaneous machinery (3.36%; 9th place). Those industries that represent an important share of the economy are retail (5.03%; 2nd), finance (3.64%; 4th), and real estate (2.03%; 9th). Except for machinery, the rest belong to the services sector.

As for the 13 industries displaying negative effects of OSS I find 6 manufacturing and 7 services. The basic organic chemicals industries appears as the most rapidly growing (3.54%, 7th) whereas private medicine and real estate are among the most representative (2.20% and 2.03% shares; standing at the 8th and 9th places, respectively). The former industry belongs to the manufacturing sector and the other two to the services sector.

Following up with the information comprised in tables 7 and 8, tables 9 and 10 now sort out the (negative) long run elasticities obtained from the labor demand equations. As before, I want to estimate the employment effects of OSM (table 9) and OSS (table 10). Again, using the long run elasticities with the change in the offshoring index (percentage points) and the change in the employment variable (workers), I am able to compute the data in the last two columns.

The last row in the last four columns summarizes the results. For the large number of industries showing a negative effect of materials offshoring (table 9) I observe a relatively mild reduction in employment (almost 400,000 jobs), yet the contribution of offshoring to that amount turns out meaningful (19.20%). Contrarily, industries with a negative effect of services offshoring (table 10) experience an increase of the employment level (one and a half million), yet the effect of offshoring is fairly unimportant.²² Looking upon the industries which stand out, I can identify the one labeled as miscellaneous (around 20,500 workers) and the retail industry (almost 10,000) for materials offshoring, and the real estate and medical (private) industries for services offshoring (both with small numbers in comparison).

²²One caveat is in order here. I am trying to estimate the contribution of offshoring to the change in the employment variable. Since employment has grown, and we are now dealing with the negative effects of offshoring, this can be interpreted as the jobs that failed to open. In the same line, all negative percentages in the last column should be read that way. Notice that I am supposing a positive change of the offshoring index, and this, also, might not have been the case for a few of the industries.

| Rnk. | LD (wo | rkers) - OLS estimation (1980-2005) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------|-----------|---|--------------|--------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------------|--------------|------------|---------|------------|--------|
| OMS-LR | Sector | Industry | OSM-SR | OSM-LR | GDP CAGR | CAGR (Rnk) | GDP weight | weight (Rnk) | AOSM (p.p.) | Awrks. (R) | Δ% (R) | Awrks. (O) | Δ% (Ο) |
| 1 | S | Research (public) | 6.67 | 24.16 | 2.54 | 12 | 0.17 | 72 | 5.56 | 6,617 | 10.42 | 853 | 12.89 |
| 2 | S | Advertising | 9.51 | 19.40 | 0.33 | 35 | 0.50 | 34 | 1.74 | 28,616 | 18.48 | 523 | 1.83 |
| 3 | М | Petroleum products | 2.59 | 19.16 | 0.63 | 28 | 1.07 | 17 | 3.29 | -21,775 | -52.11 | 263 | -1.21 |
| 4 | S | S. insurance and s. welfare (non-profit) | 7.71 | 16.74 | 1.42 | 21 | 0.40 | 46 | 9.04 | 1,473,638 | 624.77 | 3,569 | 0.24 |
| 5 | М | Pig iron and crude steel | 4.71 | 15.44 | -3.51 | 75 | 0.30 | 57 | 4.34 | -111,749 | -68.38 | 1,095 | -0.98 |
| 6 | М | Motor vehicle parts and accessories | 7.51 | 14.02 | 1.88 | 17 | 1.34 | 13 | 2.54 | 258,855 | 52.82 | 1,745 | 0.67 |
| 7 | S | Medical (private) | 2.34 | 13.81 | 0.57 | 31 | 2.20 | 8 | 6.30 | 1,308,166 | 189.50 | 6,006 | 0.46 |
| 8 | S | Rental of office equipment and goods | 2.28 | 10.33 | 9.69 | 3 | 0.58 | 32 | 13.99 | 206,061 | 202.71 | 1,469 | 0.71 |
| 9 | S | Information ss. and internet-based ss. | 3.55 | 8.80 | 4.39 | 6 | 1.16 | 16 | 6.95 | 948,576 | 1045.84 | 555 | 0.06 |
| 10 | М | Paper products | 1.46 | 7.27 | 0.04 | 37 | 0.31 | 55 | 5.98 | -21,201 | -11.95 | 772 | -3.64 |
| 11 | S | Publishing | 1.72 | 5.41 | -1.78 | 65 | 0.47 | 37 | 2.37 | -8,326 | -4.09 | 261 | -3.14 |
| 12 | S | Other services for businesses | 1.80 | 3.70 | 1.59 | 19 | 3.10 | 5 | 10.42 | 3,108,796 | 203.63 | 5,886 | 0.19 |
| 13 | S | Other (non-profit) | 0.73 | 3.46 | 0.45 | 34 | 0.47 | 38 | 5.00 | 181,322 | 53.23 | 589 | 0.33 |
| 14 | S | S. insurance and s. welfare (public) | 1.06 | 2.02 | 1.06 | 25 | 0.44 | 40 | 8.52 | 82,900 | 34.69 | 411 | 0.50 |
| Note: M ma | nufacturi | ng and S services; Rnk is ranking; SR and | LR are short | and long run | elasticities; (R) | and (O) are real | and offshoring- | induced employ | ment growth. | 7,440,496 | 164.63 | 23,997 | 0.32 |

Table 7: Positive effects of materials offshoring

27

Table 8: Positive effects of services offshoring

| Rnk. | LD (wo | rkers) - OLS estimation (1980-2005) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|--------|--|--------|--------|----------|------------|------------|--------------|-------------|------------|----------|------------|--------|
| OSS-LR | Sector | Industry | OSS-SR | OSS-LR | GDP CAGR | CAGR (Rnk) | GDP weight | weight (Rnk) | AOSS (p.p.) | Awrks. (R) | Δ% (R) | Awrks. (O) | Δ% (Ο) |
| 1 | М | Semiconductors and circuits | 24.21 | 187.37 | 11.94 | 1 | 0.30 | 58 | 0.81 | 99,778 | 111.96 | 1,353 | 1.36 |
| 2 | 0 | Electricity | 22.88 | 170.52 | 1.24 | 22 | 1.57 | 12 | 0.50 | -27,064 | -15.04 | 1,534 | -5.67 |
| 3 | М | Miscellaneous industries | 13.28 | 150.86 | -1.10 | 54 | 0.38 | 48 | 0.66 | -122,453 | -32.48 | 3,754 | -3.07 |
| 4 | М | Pig iron and crude steel | 16.11 | 52.78 | -3.50 | 75 | 0.30 | 57 | -0.07 | -111,749 | -68.38 | -60 | 0.05 |
| 5 | S | Rental of office equipment | 11.03 | 49.94 | 9.69 | 3 | 0.58 | 32 | -0.14 | 206,061 | 202.71 | -71 | -0.03 |
| 6 | S | Information ss. and internet-based ss. | 19.48 | 48.21 | 4.39 | 6 | 1.16 | 16 | 1.84 | 948,576 | 1.045.84 | 805 | 0.08 |
| 7 | М | Flour and grain mill products | 21.88 | 40.91 | -1.60 | 62 | 0.37 | 49 | 0.34 | -405,353 | -73.25 | 770 | -0.19 |
| 8 | М | Smelting non-ferrous metals | 29.41 | 38.13 | 0.32 | 36 | 0.08 | 79 | -0.14 | -31,542 | -39.01 | -43 | 0.14 |
| 9 | 0 | Mining | 6.19 | 37.25 | -5.77 | 81 | 0.20 | 69 | 0.35 | -95,552 | -64.45 | 193 | -0.20 |
| 10 | S | Retail | 6.94 | 35.69 | -0.99 | 49 | 5.03 | 2 | 0.97 | 804,067 | 13.03 | 21,365 | 2.66 |
| 11 | М | Pottery | 11.62 | 27.79 | -1.15 | 57 | 0.09 | 78 | 0.81 | -54,591 | -49.28 | 249 | -0.46 |
| 12 | М | Miscellaneous electrical machinery | 7.21 | 22.54 | 3.34 | 9 | 0.40 | 45 | 0.79 | 23,730 | 11.21 | 377 | 1.59 |
| 13 | М | Electrical and industrial apparatus | 11.72 | 21.99 | -1.09 | 53 | 0.44 | 39 | 0.79 | 4,600 | 2.19 | 365 | 7.93 |
| 14 | М | Organic chemicals | 6.77 | 20.81 | -1.87 | 67 | 0.42 | 43 | 0.80 | -24,167 | -21.15 | 190 | -0.79 |

| (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|-----------|---|----------|--------|----------|------------|------------|--------------|------------------------------|------------|--------|------------|--------|
| Rnk. | Sector | Industry | OSS-SR | OSS-LR | GDP CAGR | CAGR (Rnk) | GDP weight | weight (Rnk) | Δ OSS (p.p.) | ∆wrks. (R) | Δ% (R) | Awrks. (O) | Δ% (0) |
| 15 | М | Livestock products | 11.65 | 18.78 | -1.09 | 52 | 0.23 | 66 | 0.13 | 6,310 | 3.96 | 39 | 0.62 |
| 16 | М | Motor vehicle parts | 8.96 | 16.73 | 1.88 | 17 | 1.34 | 13 | 0.51 | 258,855 | 52.82 | 418 | 0.16 |
| 17 | М | Chemical fibers | 9.09 | 15.90 | -4.03 | 77 | 0.04 | 82 | 0.67 | -31,609 | -72.24 | 47 | -0.15 |
| 18 | S | Finance | 10.95 | 15.77 | 2.34 | 13 | 3.64 | 4 | 0.55 | 21,879 | 2.07 | 918 | 4.20 |
| 19 | М | Coal products | 10.31 | 12.91 | -7.20 | 82 | 0.11 | 76 | 0.43 | -35,004 | -74.68 | 26 | -0.07 |
| 20 | 0 | Forestry | 12.88 | 12.88 | -2.83 | 71 | 0.17 | 74 | 0.02 | -246,983 | -80.70 | 8 | -0.00 |
| 21 | М | Rubber products | 12.16 | 12.16 | -1.47 | 61 | 0.27 | 62 | 0.32 | -44,811 | -20.94 | 83 | -0.19 |
| 22 | М | Seafood products | 4.33 | 11.28 | -0.84 | 45 | 0.28 | 60 | 0.82 | -36,230 | -14.07 | 238 | -0.66 |
| 23 | М | Plastic products | 1.22 | 9.85 | 0.51 | 32 | 0.73 | 28 | 1.11 | 121,831 | 27.60 | 483 | 0.40 |
| 24 | S | Telegraph and telephone | 6.30 | 9.76 | 4.39 | 5 | 1.21 | 14 | 1.26 | -134,900 | -41.95 | 395 | -0.29 |
| 25 | S | Other (non-profit) | 1.94 | 9.21 | 0.44 | 34 | 0.47 | 38 | 1.36 | 181,322 | 53.23 | 427 | 0.24 |
| 26 | М | Miscellaneous metal products | 6.54 | 8.58 | -1.19 | 59 | 0.80 | 24 | 0.98 | -127,751 | -18.14 | 592 | -0.46 |
| 27 | М | Paper products | 1.61 | 8.03 | 0.04 | 37 | 0.31 | 55 | 0.57 | -21,201 | -11.95 | 81 | -0.38 |
| 28 | М | Beverages | 6.91 | 6.91 | -1.06 | 51 | 0.98 | 20 | 0.92 | -13,991 | -9.47 | 94 | -0.67 |
| 29 | М | Cement and its products | 3.65 | 4.80 | -2.88 | 72 | 0.35 | 52 | 0.06 | -164,454 | -51.41 | 9 | -0.01 |
| Note: M ma | nufacturi | ng, S services, and O other (primary plus e | energy). | | | | | | | 947,604 | 6.95 | 34,637 | 3.66 |

cturing, S services, and O other (primary plus energy).

Table 9: Negative effects of materials offshoring

| Rnk. | LD (wo | rkers) - OLS estimation (1980-2005) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|--------|-------------------------------------|--------|--------|----------|------------|------------|--------------|-------------|------------|--------|------------|--------|
| OSM-LR | Sector | Industry | OSM-SR | OSM-LR | GDP CAGR | CAGR (Rnk) | GDP weight | weight (Rnk) | AOSM (p.p.) | Awrks. (R) | Δ% (R) | Awrks. (O) | Δ% (Ο) |
| 1 | М | Miscellaneous industries | -4.08 | -46.31 | -1.10 | 54 | 0.38 | 48 | 11.74 | -122,453 | -32.48 | -20,496 | 16.74 |
| 2 | М | Cement and its products | -17.82 | -23.41 | -2.89 | 72 | 0.35 | 52 | 3.53 | -164,454 | -51.41 | -2,643 | 1.61 |
| 3 | М | Chemical fibers | -9.37 | -16.38 | -4.03 | 77 | 0.05 | 82 | 7.75 | -31,609 | -72.24 | -555 | 1.76 |
| 4 | М | Flour and grain mill products | -8.38 | -15.67 | -1.60 | 62 | 0.37 | 49 | 2.49 | -405,353 | -73.25 | -2,159 | 0.53 |
| 5 | S | Telegraph and telephone | -8.81 | -13.67 | 4.39 | 5 | 1.22 | 14 | 12.60 | -134,900 | -41.95 | -5,537 | 4.10 |
| 6 | S | Air transportation | -1.50 | -12.94 | -0.13 | 40 | 0.26 | 63 | 3.87 | -1,191 | -3.22 | -185 | 15.54 |
| 7 | М | Motor vehicles | -8.31 | -12.85 | 0.63 | 29 | 0.68 | 29 | 1.14 | 12,206 | 5.65 | -316 | -2.59 |
| 8 | 0 | Mining | -1.99 | -11.98 | -5.78 | 81 | 0.21 | 69 | 7.39 | -95,552 | -64.45 | -1,313 | 1.37 |
| 9 | S | Real estate | -2.27 | -10.70 | -2.49 | 69 | 2.03 | 9 | 7.16 | 373,521 | 61.90 | -4,625 | -1.24 |
| 10 | М | Seafood products | -4.07 | -10.59 | -0.85 | 45 | 0.29 | 60 | 5.44 | -36,230 | -14.07 | -1,484 | 4.09 |
| 11 | 0 | Forestry | -10.58 | -10.58 | -2.83 | 71 | 0.17 | 74 | 9.38 | -246,983 | -80.70 | -3,038 | 1.23 |
| 12 | М | Organic chemicals | -3.35 | -10.30 | -1.87 | 67 | 0.42 | 43 | 5.09 | -24,167 | -21.15 | -599 | 2.48 |
| 13 | М | Tobacco | -10.07 | -10.07 | -3.13 | 74 | 0.62 | 30 | 6.46 | -16,804 | -72.85 | -150 | 0.89 |
| 14 | М | Miscellaneous metal products | -6.99 | -9.18 | -1.20 | 59 | 0.80 | 24 | 5.44 | -127,751 | -18.14 | -3,517 | 2.75 |

| (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------|-------------------------------------|--------|--------|----------|------------|------------|--------------|------------------------------|------------|---------|------------|--------|
| Rnk. | Sector | Industry | OSM-SR | OSM-LR | GDP CAGR | CAGR (Rnk) | GDP weight | weight (Rnk) | Δ OSM (p.p.) | Awrks. (R) | Δ% (R) | Awrks. (O) | Δ% (0) |
| 15 | М | Livestock products | -5.61 | -9.05 | -1.09 | 52 | 0.23 | 66 | 3.96 | 6,310 | 3.96 | -571 | -9.05 |
| 16 | М | Plastic products | -1.09 | -8.80 | 0.51 | 32 | 0.73 | 28 | 4.99 | 121,831 | 27.60 | -1,938 | -1.59 |
| 17 | М | Electrical and industrial apparatus | -4.37 | -8.21 | -1.10 | 53 | 0.45 | 39 | 7.33 | 4,600 | 2.19 | -1,264 | -27.48 |
| 18 | М | Beverages | -7.88 | -7.88 | -1.06 | 51 | 0.98 | 20 | 4.43 | -13,991 | -9.47 | -516 | 3.69 |
| 19 | М | Coal products | -5.85 | -7.33 | -7.20 | 82 | 0.11 | 76 | 15.78 | -165,602 | -93.31 | -2,053 | 1.24 |
| 20 | М | Electronic measuring instruments | -3.48 | -6.53 | 2.30 | 14 | 0.23 | 65 | 4.28 | -7,988 | -8.90 | -251 | 3.14 |
| 21 | М | Other transportation equipment | -6.31 | -6.31 | -1.77 | 64 | 0.35 | 53 | 6.42 | -142,704 | -42.16 | -1,371 | 0.96 |
| 22 | S | Finance | -3.91 | -5.63 | 2.34 | 13 | 3.64 | 4 | 6.04 | 21,879 | 2.07 | -3,598 | -16.44 |
| 23 | 0 | Water supply for industrial use | -5.61 | -5.61 | -2.01 | 68 | 0.02 | 83 | 6.60 | -778 | -30.44 | -9 | 1.22 |
| 24 | М | Rubber products | -3.65 | -3.65 | -1.47 | 61 | 0.27 | 62 | 8.84 | -44,811 | -20.94 | -691 | 1.54 |
| 25 | S | Broadcasting | -2.81 | -3.59 | -1.11 | 55 | 0.28 | 61 | 5.90 | 17,034 | 34.08 | -106 | -0.62 |
| 26 | S | Medical (non-profit) | -3.56 | -3.56 | 2.07 | 16 | 0.74 | 27 | 5.64 | 414,537 | 98.18 | -848 | -0.20 |
| 27 | М | Lumber and wood products | -1.02 | -3.48 | -3.56 | 76 | 0.30 | 56 | 30.73 | -312,655 | -63.14 | -5,294 | 1.69 |
| 28 | S | Retail | -0.45 | -2.31 | -0.99 | 49 | 5.03 | 2 | 6.84 | 804,067 | 13.03 | -9,764 | -1.21 |
| 29 | М | Pottery | -0.92 | -2.20 | -1.15 | 57 | 0.10 | 78 | 12.12 | -54,591 | -49.28 | -295 | 0.54 |
| 30 | М | Miscellaneous machinery | -0.36 | -1.14 | 3.34 | 9 | 0.41 | 45 | 16.45 | 23,730 | 11.21 | -396 | -1.67 |
| 31 | 0 | Waterworks | -1.06 | -1.06 | -0.72 | 44 | 0.40 | 47 | 4.58 | -13,061 | -16.42 | -39 | 0.30 |
| 32 | М | Smelting non-ferrous metals | -0.51 | -0.66 | 0.32 | 36 | 0.08 | 79 | 59.41 | -31,542 | -39.01 | -316 | 1.00 |
| Note: M manufacturing, S services, and O other (primary plus energy). | | | | | | | | | -395,456 | -2.73 | -75,935 | 19.20 | |

Table 10: Negative effects of services offshoring

| Rnk. | LD (wo | rkers) - OLS estimation (1980-2005) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------|-------------------------------------|--------|--------|----------|------------|------------|--------------|-------------|------------|--------|------------|--------|
| OSS-LR | Sector | Industry | OSS-SR | OSS-LR | GDP CAGR | CAGR (Rnk) | GDP weight | weight (Rnk) | ΔOSS (p.p.) | ∆wrks. (R) | Δ% (R) | Awrks. (O) | Δ% (Ο) |
| 1 | М | Petroleum products | -9.43 | -69.90 | 0.63 | 28 | 1.07 | 17 | 0.17 | -21,775 | -52.11 | -50 | 0.23 |
| 2 | S | Real estate | -12.45 | -58.82 | -2.49 | 69 | 2.03 | 9 | 0.83 | 373,521 | 61.90 | -2,946 | -0.79 |
| 3 | М | Basic organic chemicals | -43.13 | -55.82 | 3.54 | 7 | 0.07 | 80 | 0.51 | -10,181 | -61.88 | -47 | 0.46 |
| 4 | М | Lumber and wood products | -16.19 | -55.06 | -3.56 | 76 | 0.30 | 56 | 0.06 | -312,655 | -63.14 | -164 | 0.05 |
| 5 | S | Medical (private) | -6.80 | -40.14 | 0.57 | 31 | 2.20 | 8 | 0.84 | 1,308,166 | 189.50 | -2,328 | -0.18 |
| 6 | S | Advertising | -13.52 | -27.59 | 0.33 | 35 | 0.50 | 34 | 1.22 | 28,616 | 18.48 | -521 | -1.82 |
| 7 | S | Research (public) | -4.03 | -14.57 | 2.54 | 12 | 0.17 | 72 | 2.67 | 6,617 | 10.42 | -247 | -3.73 |
| 8 | М | Other transportation equipment | -14.12 | -14.12 | -1.77 | 64 | 0.35 | 53 | 0.08 | -142,704 | -42.16 | -38 | 0.03 |
| 9 | S | Publishing | -2.49 | -7.82 | -1.78 | 65 | 0.47 | 37 | 3.94 | -8,326 | -4.09 | -627 | 7.54 |
| 10 | М | Motor vehicles | -4.68 | -7.24 | 0.63 | 29 | 0.68 | 29 | 0.95 | 12,206 | 5.65 | -149 | -1.22 |
| 11 | S | Air transportation | -0.75 | -6.47 | -0.13 | 40 | 0.26 | 63 | 11.17 | -1,191 | -3.22 | -267 | 22.43 |
| 12 | S | Education (private and non-profit) | -5.61 | -5.61 | 0.80 | 27 | 1.02 | 19 | 1.63 | 314,976 | 64.42 | -447 | -0.14 |
| 13 | М | Tobacco | -4.99 | -4.99 | -3.13 | 74 | 0.62 | 30 | 1.04 | -16,804 | -72.85 | -12 | 0.07 |
| Note: M manufacturing and S services. | | | | | | | | | | 1,530,466 | 50.92 | -7,842 | -0.51 |

29

5.2 Correlation analysis

5.2.1 Positive effects

The first two charts in figure B1 in the appendix present the correlation between the long run elasticities of materials (ε_{osm}^{LR}) and services offshoring (ε_{oss}^{LR}) and GDP growth. As shown there, there is no apparent reason to believe that those industries faring better under this category ought to display larger effects of offshoring. In fact, data seem rather dispersed and the correlations are almost null. The same logic applies to the correlation between these elasticities and the industries' GDP shares.

The labor share measures the allocation of national income to workers, as opposed to the owners of capital. Lower labor share ratios imply that industries are more capital intensive. In the next two charts I wonder about this and the extent of the employment effects of offshoring. Both regression lines go in the same direction and even though the adjustments are slightly better, we are far from saying that capital intensive industries are prone to larger elasticities.

The last four charts are related. First I plot the correlation between the estimated elasticities and the most technical group of workers as defined by the JIP database.²³ Then I add up all those groups above the production workers category and label this new group as nonproduction workers. In both cases, yet much more significantly in the second, a positive relation is perceived between larger effects of services offshoring and a higher complexity of the tasks performed by workers. Arguably, productivity gains could be made when redundant services are taken out and make room for new workers on new and more dynamic activities. In other words, skill upgrading is expected in so far as the offshored services correspond to lower-end categories. On the other side, the strength of the effect for materials offshoring shows no seeming correlation with the skill of workers.

5.2.2 Negative effects

Now I repeat the analysis for the negative elasticities. The first two charts in figure B2 plot the correlation with the GDP growth. At least for materials offshoring, the evidence suggests that those industries which grew the most are less affected by the negative impact of offshoring. Further, industries with a high GDP weight are more influenced by the negative effects, but this time the significance is stronger for services offshoring.

As for the correlations with the labor share, the fit of both regression lines is still small but higher then before. This would point to the direction stated previously, that more capital intensive industries show larger elasticities, both of materials and services offshoring.

 $^{^{23}}$ The JIP database includes information on the shares for different categories of workers. There are six in total which, ordered by their skill level, can be roughly identified as: 1) professional and technical, 2) managers and officials, 3) clerical and related workers, 4) sales, 5) service, and 6) Production process workers and laborers.

For the rest of the charts we now have a clearer and more significant correlation when we consider the most highly skilled group alone. When introducing all the other categories as to form the nonproduction group, the relation is not that clear-cut. Larger effects of offshoring, both of materials and services, are more closely related to those industries operating with larger shares of production (low skilled) workers. These lower-end activities are generally among the first to be considered for offshoring.

6 Concluding remarks

Here I have committed myself to the study of the employment effects of materials and services offshoring for the Japanese industries during the period 1980-2005. I have relied on a revised version of the offshoring intensity index first developed by Feenstra and Hanson (1996), thus producing both our measure of materials and services offshoring. Both indices have shown to behave rather differently, especially after 1990. While the former has increased dramatically, the latter has remained almost unchanged for the whole period.

Later I have reviewed the evolution of the Japanese industries towards an economy more focused on services. I have argued that the evidence presented here points to a delayed process of deindustrialization, possibly as a result of a protracted period of exports-oriented growth. Several of the macroeconomic indicators sustain this hypothesis. At this point I have retaken the subject of offshoring to deliver an industry-by-industry account of the extent of the phenomenon. I have found that, in the aggregate, it is services industries which have contributed the most to the growth in both indices during our period of analysis.

Subsequently, I have carried out the empirical exercise about the employment effects of offshoring, which constitutes the main contribution of the paper. This is basically divided in two. First, the estimation of the long run elasticities and, through that, the estimation of the amount of jobs lost or created as a direct result of offshoring. And second, the correlation analysis which intends to complement the previous analysis by throwing light on some particular features of the industries. In this manner, I have come to some conclusions that deserve some additional discussion and more of our attention.

Exaggerated numbers on the costs attached to offshoring are easily produced in the current debate, both by consulting companies and news reports alike. This usually moves politicians and the public opinion (unions, most representatively) in the same direction. Offshoring is necessarily bad for domestic employment, since those jobs previously performed within the national borders are now taken to other horizons ("one job offshored is one job lost"). However, a short-sighted reading like that could prevent a real understanding of the subject. Entrepreneurs, in reducing their costs (or maximizing their profits for that matter), are just fulfilling a social function. It is then natural that they look into the world pool of employment seeking to exploit the geographic comparative advantages (e.g. cheaper labor)

whenever they deem it appropriate.

Economics is certainly not a zero-sum game. In effect, productivity gains of offshoring are a most probable result leading to price discounts and a boost in domestic demand, which might affect employment positively. In this paper I tried to prove that negative as well as positive effects of offshoring are natural and offsetting forces dwelling in the realm of international trade. Oppositely, and mainly motivated by political interests, hampering forces like trade unions and regulations would do nothing but distort the picture.

Productivity gains for Japanese firms due to offshoring activities have been documented in Hijzen *et al.* (2006). Although I have not dealt with the effects of offshoring on productivity, I have argued, following Amiti and Wei (2005, 2006), that positive employment effects are achievable when the scale effect (or long run productivity effect) overcomes both the substitution and short run productivity effects. This was the case in several industries of both the manufacturing and services sector, and as a consequence of both materials and services offshoring. In particular, I have estimated an increase of 23,997 and 34,637 jobs as a result of materials and services offshoring respectively, for the period 1980-2005. As for the negative effects the estimations were 75,935 and 7,842 jobs. Hence, the negative net result rises to nearly 25,000 jobs lost due to offshoring during those 25 years. Undoubtedly, a non-significant figure when compared to the 9.5 million jobs created in these industries during the same period.

On other accounts, the presence of skill upgrading in Japan was studied by Head and Ries (2002). There, changes in overseas employment shares can explain increases in the share of nonproduction (skilled) workers. We can reconcile this with our findings above. As noted before, major increases in employment due to both types of offshoring have taken place within the services sector, especially in retail, medical (private), and other miscellaneous services. Concurrently, major drops have been observed within the manufacturing sector (the industry labeled as miscellaneous manufacturing stands out). The services sector is often characterized by higher skilled workers, as compared to manufacturing. Furthermore, the evidence from the correlation analysis suggests that, for services offshoring only, the positive employment effect is larger and the negative effect smaller, the more the industry relies on high skilled workers. This gives the idea of an upgrading process going on for those industries, since high skilled workers are favored at the expense of lower skilled ones.

References

Amiti, M. and S-J. Wei, 2005, Fear of service outsourcing: Is it justified?, *Economic Policy* **20** (42), 308-347.

Amiti, M. and S-J. Wei, 2006, Service offshoring, productivity, and employment: Evidence from the United States, *CEPR Discussion Paper* 5475.

Ando, M. and F. Kimura, 2007, Can offshoring create domestic jobs? Evidence from Japanese data, *CEPR Policy Insight* 16.

Arndt, S., 1997, Globalization and the Open Economy, North American Journal of Economics and Finance 8 (1), 71-79.

Arndt, S., 1998, Super-specialization and the gains from trade, *Contemporary Economic Policy* **16** (4), 480-485.

Arndt, S., 1999, Globalization and economic development, *Journal of International Trade and Economic Development* 8 (3), 309-318.

Balassa, B., and M. Noland, 1988, Japan in the World Economy, Institute for International Economics: Washington.

Berman, E., Bound, J. and Z. Griliches, 1994, Changes in the Demand for Skilled Labor within U.S. Manufacturing: Evidence from the Annual Survey of Manufacturers, *The Quarterly Journal of Economics* **109** (2), 367-397.

Blinder, A., 2006, Offshoring: The next industrial revolution? *Foreign Affairs* **85 (2)**, 113-128. Blinder, A., 2007, How many US jobs might be offshorable? *CEPS Working Paper* 142.

Cadarso, M., Gómez, N., López, L., and M. Tobarra, 2008, The EU enlargement and the impact of outsourcing on industrial employment in Spain, 1993–2003, *Structural Change and Economic Dynamics*, **19** (1), 95-108.

Campa, J. and L. Goldberg, 1997, The evolving external orientation of manufacturing industries: Evidence from four countries, *Federal Reserve Bank of New York Economic Policy Review* 4, 79–99.

Canals, C., 2006, What explains the widening wage gap? Outsourcing vs. technology, La Caixa Working Paper Series 01/2006.

Cheng, M., 1995, Employment transitions in the Japanese male labor force: A log-multiplicative analysis of mobility structures, *Work and Occupations* **22**, 188-214.

Cheng, M., and A. Kalleberg, 1996, Labor market structures in Japan: an analysis of organizational and occupational mobility patterns, *Social Forces* **74**, 1235-1260.

Crinò, R., 2007, Service offshoring and white-collar employment, CESifo Working Paper 2040.

Egger, H. and P. Egger, 2003, Outsourcing and skill-specific employment in a small economy: Austria after the fall of the Iron Curtain, *Oxford Economic Papers* **55** (4), 625-643.

Egger, H. and P. Egger, 2005, Labor market effects of outsourcing under industrial interdependence, *International Review of Economics and Finance*, **14** (3), 349-363.

Ekholm, K. and K. Hakkala, 2006, The Effect of Offshoring on Labor Demand: Evidence from Sweden, The research institute of industrial economics, *The Research Institute of Industrial Economics Working Paper* 654.

Feenstra, R. and G. Hanson, 1996, Globalization, outsourcing, and wage inequality, *The American Economic Review* 86 (2), 240-245.

Feenstra, R. and G. Hanson, 1999, The impact of outsourcing and high-technology capital on wages: Estimates for the United States, 1979-1990, *The Quarterly Journal of Economics* **114** (3), 907-940.

Feenstra, R. and G. Hanson, 2001, Global production sharing and rising inequality: A survey

of trade and wages, *NBER Working Paper* 8372, forthcoming. In: K. Choi, & J. Harrigan, eds., *Handbook of International Trade*, Basil Blackwell: Malden.

Forrester Research, 2004, Near-term growth of offshoring accelerating, Cambridge, MA. Fuess, S., 2006, Working hours in Japan: Who is time-privileged, IZA Discussion Paper 2195. Geishecker, I. and H. Görg, 2005, Do unskilled workers always lose from fragmentation?, North American Journal of Economics and Finance 16 (1), 81-92.

Hamermesh, D., 1993, Labour Demand, Princeton University Press: Princeton, NJ. Head, K. and I. Bieg. 2002. Offshore production and skill ungrading by Japanese manufactu

Head, K. and J. Ries, 2002, Offshore production and skill upgrading by Japanese manufacturing firms, *Journal of International Economics* 58 (1), 81-105.

Hijzen, A., Görg, H., and R. Hine, 2005, International outsourcing and the skill structure of labour demand in the United Kingdom, *The Economic Journal*, **115**, 860-878.

Hijzen, A., Tomohiko, I. and Y. Todo, 2006, Does Offshoring Pay? Firm-Level Evidence from Japan, *RIETI Discussion Paper* 07-E-005.

Hummels, D., Ishii, J. and K-M. Yi, 2001, The Nature and Growth of Vertical Specialization in World Trade, *Journal of International Economics* **54** (1), 75-96.

Iiduka, N., 2006, Has Japan's labor share bottomed? JCER Staff Report 53.

Ito, B., Tomiura, E. and R. Wakasugi, 2007, Dissecting Offshore Outsourcing and R&D: A Survey of Japanese Manufacturing Firms, *RIETI Discussion Paper* 07-E-060.

JIP Database, 2006, 2008. RIETI, Hitotsubashi University, and ESRI, Japan.

Krugman, P., 2000, Technology, trade, and factor prices, *Journal of International Economics* **50** (1), 51-71.

Leamer, E., 1998, In Search of Stolper-Samuelson Linkages between International Trade and Lower Wages. In: Susan M. Collins (ed.), *Imports, Exports, and the American Worker*, Brookings Institution: Washington, DC, 141-203.

Mankiw, N. and P. Swagel, 2006, The politics and economics of offshore outsourcing, Journal of Monetary Economics 53 (5), 1027-1056.

McKinsey Global Institute, 2003, Who wins in offshoring, The McKinsey Quarterly 4.

Nadiri, M., 1968, The effect of relative prices and capacity on the demand for labor in the US manufacturing sector, *Review of Economic Studies* **35**, 273-288.

OECD Economic Outlook, 2009.

Shephard, R., 1953, Theory of Cost and Production Functions, Princeton University Press: Princeton, NJ.

Strauss-Kahn, V., 2004, The role of globalization in the within-industry shift away from unskilled workers in France, in: R. Baldwin and A. Winters, eds., *Challenges to Globalization*, University of Chicago Press: Chicago.

Takeuchi, F., 2005, Causes of decline in labor's share in Japan, JCER Researcher Report 53.

Tomiura, E., 2005, Foreign outsourcing and firm-level characteristics: Evidence from Japanese manufacturers, *Journal of the Japanese International Economics* **19** (2), 255-271.

Wakita, S., 2006, The Lost Decade in the Japanese Labor Market: Labor's share and Okun's Law, *Public Policy Review* **2** (1), 77-96.

Webster, E., 2003, The Effects of Wages on Aggregate Employment: A Brief Summary of Empirical Studies, *The Australian Economic Review* **36** (1), 134-142.

A Appendix: Japan's labor share

The labor share of industries can be usually expressed as the ratio of total compensation of employees to net and gross value added. In formulas, we have:

$$l_{it}^{s} = \left(\frac{w_{it}}{w_{it} + it_{it} + ops_{it}}\right) \tag{A1}$$

$$l_{it}^{s\prime} = \left(\frac{w_{it}}{w_{it} + ck_{it} + it_{it} + ops_{it}}\right) \tag{A2}$$

where w is compensation of employees, and the denominator in A1 is the industry's net value added, which is made up of those compensations plus indirect taxes and subsidies (it) and operating surplus (ops); the denominator in A2 is the industry's gross value added, which adds consumption of fixed capital (ck).

So I drop all industries in the sample which do not comply with $0 < l_{it}^{s'} < 1$, since this would not be realistic.²⁴ The following are the 25 industries not considered in the estimations due to the erratic behavior of their labor shares. We can see a clear majority of services industries.

| <u>Other</u> : | Manufacturing: | <u>Services:</u> |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Rice, wheat production | Animal foods & fertilizers | Housing |
| Miscellaneous crop farming | Textile products | Railway |
| Agricultural services | Leather and leather products | Water transportation |
| Waste disposal | Electronics, computer eqpmnt. | Other transportation and packing |
| | Construction | Mail |
| | Civil engineering | Research (private) |
| | | Hygiene (private and non-profit) |
| | | Other public services |
| | | Video and sound |
| | | Accommodation |
| | | Other services for individuals |
| | | Education (public) |
| | | Medical (public) |
| | | Research (non-profit) |
| | | Activities not elsewhere classified |

Furthermore, we should note, following Wakita (2006), that a constant labor share is implied in theory by the Cobb–Douglas production function. Thus, calculations on labor shares should be based on the production function, as the latter would include the depreciation of capital. On the other hand, relying on national income data would otherwise mean the risk of overstating the labor share due to increasing depreciation, a well-known fact in Japan throughout our whole period of analysis.

²⁴Below I explain why I decide to go for the gross output-based measure $(l_{it}^{s'})$ and not the net output-based measure (l_{it}^{s}) .

From the examination of figure A1 we notice that the labor share based on the production function approach (that is, accounting for depreciation) has remained rather stable in the last three decades (especially from 1980 to 2000). I here present both measures, with and without depreciation, yet for the filtering of our database it is the gross measure I use as a reference.²⁵ As shown by the linear trends drawn in the graph, the increasing consumption of fixed capital might lead to exaggerating the real extent of the share. The figure below confirms previous evidence on its relative stability when taking account of the depreciation of capital. Wakita (2006, p. 79) presents a similar figure using data from the System of National Accounts (93SNA).



Figure A1: Labor share, 1973-2005

Note: slash-dotted lines show linear trends; left axis is for labor shares, right axis for depreciation. Source: own calculations, JIP database (2008).

 25 As stated before, for the gross GDP measure I discard 25 industries. For the net GDP measure, in turn, the number of industries where the labor share does not behave properly is now 41. Accordingly, both measures in figure A1 are calculated having this peculiarity in mind.

B Appendix: Correlation analysis

Figure B1: Positive elasticities and selected key variables





Note: vertical axes are the estimated long run elasticities; outliers removed (2σ range).







Note: vertical axes are the estimated long run elasticities; outliers removed (2 σ range).