

Standard errors of multipliers and forecasts from structural coefficients with block-diagonal covariance matrix

Bianchi, Carlo and Calzolari, Giorgio and Corsi, Paolo

Centro Scientifico IBM, Pisa, Italy

1981

Online at https://mpra.ub.uni-muenchen.de/22678/MPRA Paper No. 22678, posted 28 May 2010 06:35 UTC

STANDARD ERRORS OF MULTIPLIERS AND FORECASTS FROM STRUCTURAL COEFFICIENTS WITH BLOCK-DIAGONAL COVARIANCE MATRIX

C. Bianchi, G. Calzolari and P. Corsi

Centro Scientifico IBM, via S. Maria 67, 56100 Pisa, Italy

Abstract. For some structural econometric models, the contribution of the off-diagonal blocks of the coefficients covariance matrix to the asymptotic standard errors of multipliers and forecasts is empirically evaluated. The reasons suggesting these experiments are briefly discussed. Although the results should not be generalized, it could be useful, in the model building process, to perform the above mentioned computations, even when only the diagonal blocks of the covariance matrix of the coefficients are available.

Keywords. Econometric models; impact multipliers; forecast errors; asymptotic standard errors; structural form; reduced form; coefficients covariance matrix.

INTRODUCTION

The reduced form of econometric models, derived from structural estimates, is of immediate concern to the policy-maker and to the forecaster; the impact multipliers (which are a subset of the reduced form coefficients) and the forecasts are, in fact, frequently computed both for model's validation and for economic policy experiments.

The analysis of the stochastic properties of the restricted reduced forms has been performed by Goldberger, Nagar and Odeh (1961); in particular, for a structural linear econometric model, they have proposed formulas to obtain the covariance matrices of the reduced-form coefficients and of the forecast errors (briefly forecasts). Using simulation techniques, a similar methodology can be applied to nonlinear models also (see, for example, Bianchi and Calzolari, 1980, for the estimation of the standard errors of forecasts).

In order to estimate the standard errors of multipliers, one must have available a consistent estimate of all the structural coefficients and of their asymptotic covariance matrix; to obtain the standard errors of forecasts, besides the two previous estimates, the covariance matrix of the structural disturbances

must be disposable.

The covariance matrix of the structural disturbances can be easily computed from estimated residuals, but the asymptotic covariance matrix of the structural coefficients is directly available only if full-information estimation method is used. Unfortunately, it is quite difficult to apply a full-information method, especially to medium or large scale models. If a consistent limited-information method is used, the covariance matrix of structural coefficients, usually supplied by any single equation method, is block-diagonal. Additional computations must be performed to obtain covariances among coefficients of different equations (off-diagonal blocks); for example, in case of 2SLS, these covariances can be computed using the formula proposed by Theil (1971, p. 500).

The difficulty in applying full-information methods and the burden involved in the above mentioned additional computations for limited information methods, are two of the reasons which have strongly reduced, in the model building process, the application of the formulas proposed by Goldberger, Nagar and Odeh (1961).

An evaluation of the contribution of the off-diagonal blocks (of the

structural coefficients covariance matrix) to the asymptotic standard errors of multipliers and forecasts seems therefore worth pursuing.

The purpose of this paper is essentially empirical, so that no general conclusions should be derived; nevertheless, the results obtained for the large set of experiments performed seem to encourage the computation of asymptotic standard errors of the multipliers and of the forecasts also when the covariances between coefficients of different equations are not available.

Experiments have been performed by the authors on three econometric models; the results referred to each of them are presented and discussed in the next three sections. In the last section some conclusions on the experiments performed will be drawn.

THE RESULTS FOR THE KLEIN-I MODEL

The Klein-I model is well known in the literature on quantitative economics and it has been very often used as a "testmodel" in many experiments of applied econometrics. The endogenous variables include consumption C, net investment I. private wage bill Wl, national income Y, profits P and end-of-year capital stock K. For a complete description of this model and for the numerical values of the coefficients estimated by different methods, the reader is referred to Theil (1971,pp.432,517) and Chernoff and Divinsky (1953,pp.250,284).

consists of The model three stochastic plus three definitional equations; 12 are the estimated coefficients, 4 for each equation. Therefore, the complete asymptotic covariance matrix of the structural estimated coefficients has dimensions 12×12 (for the numerical values of the elements of this matrix, refer again to Theil, 1971,pp.518-519 and Chernoff and Divinsky, 1953,p.288); when the covariances among coefficients of different equations are set to zero, the matrix consists of three diagonal blocks of dimensions 4×4.

Two different estimated versions of this model (2SLS, whose numerical results, originally published in Goldberger, Nagar and Odeh, 1961, have been recently revised in Bianchi, Calzolari and Corsi, 1979, and 3SLS) have been analyzed.

TABLE 1 Klein-I Model

2SLS

Variab. Forecast Standard

Name	at 1948	Error	
		Complete Matrix	Block-Diag. Matrix
С	78.2	2.52	2.64
I	9.30	1.69	1.67
Wl	59.9	2.09	2.15
Y	95.7	4.01	3.97
P	27.2	2.37	2.25
K	207.	1.69	1.67

3 S L S

Standard

Variab. Forecast

N	ame	aτ	1948	EI	ror
				Complete Matrix	Block-Diag. Matrix
	С	78	3.5	2.42	2.54
	I	9 .	.10	1.37	1.37
	Wl	6 (0.2	1.79	1.87
	Y	9 9	5.8	3.55	3.54
	P	28	5.9	2.25	2.14
	ĸ	20	07.	1.37	1.37

In table 1, for the year 1948, forecasts and related standard errors are displayed. The standard errors have been respectively computed including and not including the off-diagonal blocks of the covariance matrix of the structural coefficients.

In tables 2 and 3, the impact multipliers and related asymptotic standard errors for the excgenous variables G (Government nonwage expenditure) and T (Taxes) are respectively displayed again for the two estimation methods here considered.

Very minor differences can be found in the tables between correspondent values computed with the full covariance matrix of the structural coefficients and with the block-diagonal matrix. For both estimation methods, the magnitudes of the standard errors of the forecasts are quite similar in the two cases;

all the multipliers which are significantly different from zero in the first case are still significantly different from zero when the block-diagonal matrix is used (analogously for the multipliers which are not significantly different from zero).

TABLE 2 Klein-I Model; Impact
Multipliers of Government
Nonwage Expenditure (G)

2SLS

TABLE 3 Klein-I Model; Impact
Multipliers of Taxes (T)

Klein-I model is estimated by FIML. Therefore, no conclusions should be drawn in this case, because it is difficult to separate the effects of

the estimation method from those deriving from the exclusion of the

off-diagonal blocks.

\mathbf{a}	0	1 0
Z	2	$rac{1}{2}$

3SLS

Variab. Name	Multipl Value	_	ptotic . Err.	Variab. Name	Multipl Value	_	ptotic . Err.
		Complete Matrix	Block-Diag. Matrix			Complete Matrix	Block-Diag. Matrix
С	.664	.237	.237	С	128	.283	.264
I	.153	. 209	. 204	Ī	176	.239	.235
Wl	.797	.198 .	.194	Wl	134	.211	.196
Y	1.82	.421	.389	Y	-1.30	.483	. 444
P	1.02	.243	.216	P	-1.17	.273	.249
κ	.153	.209	.204	К	176	.239	.235

3 S L S

Variab. Name	Multipl Value	•	ptotic . Err.	Variab. Name	Multip Value		ptotic . Err.
		Complete Matrix	Block-Diag. Matrix			Complete Matrix	Block-Diag. Matrix
С	.635	.214	.201	С	196	.256	.226
I	013	.155	.155	I	.014	.177	.177
Wl	.649	.147	.142	AJ	073	.160	.141
Y	1.62	.348	.309	Ą	-1.18	.401	.349
P	.972	.216	.183	P	-1.11	.242	.209
ĸ	013	.155	.155	к	.014	.177	.177

The preceding conclusions, which are valid for both 2SLS and 3SLS, should be slightly modified for FIML estimates. Some computations performed by the authors, not displayed here, show that, in this case, some multipliers lose significance when passing from the full matrix to the block-diagonal one. These results, however, do not contradict the previous conclusions; in fact, as pointed out in Hendry (1971,p.263), some temporal "instability in the structural coefficients" can be found when the

THE RESULTS FOR THE KLEIN-GOLDBERGER MODEL

In this section, the results obtained for the nonlinear revised Klein-Goldberger model (in the version described in Klein, 1969) are presented and discussed.

The model consists of sixteen stochastic and four definitional equations and includes 54 estimated coefficients. The numerical values of the coefficients have been obtained

TABLE 4 Klein-Goldberger Model

	Forecast at 1965	t Standard Error		
	c	omplete Matrix	Block-Diag. Matrix	
Y	369.	6.61	6.49	
Cn	303.	3.89	3.82	
Im	30.4	1.31	1.29	
x	530.	9.22	9.01	
w	311.	5.17	5.11	
p	1.23	.042	.045	

TABLE 5 Klein-Goldberger Model; Impact Multipliers of G+E and T at 1965

G+E

Variab. Name	Multipl Value	. Asymptotic Std. Err.		
		Complete Matrix	Block-Diag. Matrix	
Y	.664	.237	.237	
Cn	.153	.209	.204	
Ιm	.175	.069	.070	
. X	1.82	.421	.389	
¥	1.02	. 243	.216	
P	.153	.209	.204	

Variab. Multipl. Asymptotic Name Value Std. Err.

	, 4140			
		Complete Matrix	Block-Diag. Matrix	
Y	.635	.214	.201	
Cn	013	.155	.155	
I m	075	.030	.032	
x	1.62	.348	.309	
W	.972	.216	.183	
p	013	.155	.155	

T

using 2SLS with 4 principal components (the standard errors displayed in Bianchi and Calzolari, 1980, have been derived without the

correction for degrees of freedom and therefore differ slightly from those originally published by Klein, 1969).

The complete asymptotic covariance matrix of the structural estimated coefficients has dimensions 54×54; when ignoring the covariances among coefficients of different equations, the matrix consists of 16 diagonal blocks (10 of dimensions 3×3 and the remaining 6 of dimensions 4×4).

In table 4, the results for the standard errors of the forecasts for 1965 (first year out of sample period) are displayed for the endogenous variables personal disposable income Y, consumption of nondurables and services Cn, imports Im, gross national product X, wages and salaries W and implicit deflator for gross national product p.

In table 5, the impact multipliers and the associated asymptotic standard errors of the exogenous variables G+E (government expenditures plus exports, as they appear in the model) and T (personal taxes) with respect to the same endogenous variables are reported, always for year 1965.

Again, very minor differences between the two cases are encountered.

THE RESULTS FOR THE ISPE MODEL

The nonlinear model analyzed in this section is an annual model of the Italian economy developed by a team led by ISPE (Istituto Studi Programmazione Economica) and originally described in Sartori (1978).

The model has been reestimated for the period 1955-1976 using 2SLS with principal components, according to method 4 by Kloek and Mennes (1960). It consists of 19 stochastic plus 15 definitional equations; 75 are the estimated coefficients. Compared with the 75×75 full asymptotic covariance matrix of the structural estimated coefficients, the block-diagonal matrix consists of 19 blocks, whose dimensions vary from 2×2 to, 6×6 (for a total number of 313 elements).

In table 6, the standard errors of forecasts for 1977 (first year out of sample period) are displayed for the endogenous variables private consumption net of indirect taxes CPNCF, price deflator for exports of

TABLE 6 ISPE Model

Variab. Forecast Standard
Name at 1977 Error

		Complete Matrix	Block-Diag Matrix
CPNCF	36769.	656.	685.
DXML	2.9047	.107	.119
IFIT	7134.8	381.	387.
LI	7706.8	159.	162.
MT	14299.	529.	556.
PCL	3.0332	.076	.083

TABLE 7 ISPE Model; Impact Multipliers of ATI and TRI at 1977

ATI

Variab. Multipl. Asymptotic Name Value Std. Err.

		Complete Matrix	Block-Diag. Matrix
CPNCF	-40139.	14394.	14403.
DXML	3.1861	1.127	1.223
IFIT	-3785.2	1474.	1476.
LI	-2270.4	1131.	1110.
MT	-20442.	7175.	7105.
PCL	5.7738	.9983	1.031

TRI

Variab. Multipl. Asymptotic Name Value Std. Err.

		Complete Matrix	Block-Diag. Matrix
CPNCF	.3599	.1302	.1304
DXML	00003	.00001	.00001
IFIT	.0339	.0133	.0133
LI	.0203	.0102	.0100
MT	.1833	.0650	.0643
PCL	00005	.00001	.00001

manufactured goods DXML, private nonresidential fixed investment in industrial and tertiary sectors IFIT,

employees in the industrial sector LI, imports of goods and services MT and price deflator for private consumption gross of indirect taxes PCL.

In table 7, the impact multipliers and the associated asymptotic standard errors of two exogenous variables (ATI, direct taxes rate and TRI, subsidies to production) with respect to the same endogenous variables are displayed, again for 1977.

The results still indicate that very minor differences exist between the two cases.

CONCLUSIONS

As pointed out in the introduction, operational reasons (lack of information) and empirical motivations (needs of the policy-maker) have suggested an experimental evaluation of the effects of the covariances among structural coefficients of different equations on the asymptotic standard errors of multipliers and forecasts.

In order to give the highest heuristic content to the results, the experiments have been performed on some existing models of national economies, rather than on prototypes or ad-hoc models. Even if the choice of the models has been strongly constrained by the availability of the information necessary for the experiments, it seems that the Klein-I, the Klein-Goldberger and the ISPE models cover a wide class of econometric models, both for their different degree of nonlinearity and for their different different dimensions.

For all the previous models, the experimental results lead always to the same conclusion, both including and excluding the off-diagonal blocks of the covariance matrix of the structural coefficients, so that, in the model building process, a computation of the standard errors of impact multipliers and of forecasts could be of some interest also when not all the information about the covariances of the structural coefficients are available.

REFERENCES

Bianchi, C., G. Calzolari and P. Corsi (1979). A Note on the Numerical Results by Goldberger, Nagar and Odeh. Econometrica, 47, 505-506.

- Bianchi, C. and G. Calzolari (1980, forthcoming). The One-Period Forecast Errors in Nonlinear Econometric Models.

 International Economic Review, 21.
- Chernoff, H. and N. Divinsky (1953).

 The Computation of

 Maximum-Likelihood Estimates of

 Linear Structural Equations. In

 W.C. Hood and T.C. Koopmans (Eds.),

 Studies in Econometric Method.

 John Wiley, New York, 236-302.
- Goldberger, A.S., A.L. Nagar and H.S. Odeh (1961). The Covariance Matrices of Reduced-Form Coefficients and of Forecasts for a Structural Econometric Model. Econometrica, 29, 556-573.
- Hendry, D.F. (1971). Maximum
 Likelihood Estimation of Systems
 of Simultaneous Regression
 Equations with Errors Generated

- by a Vector Autoregressive Process. <u>International Economic</u> Review, 12, 257-272.
- Klein, L.R. (1969). Estimation of Interdependent Systems in Macroeconometrics. Econometrica, 37, 171-192.
- Klock,T. and L.B.M.Mennes (1960).
 Simultaneous Equations Estimation
 Based on Principal Components of
 Predetermined Variables.
 Econometrica, 28, 45-61.
- Sartori,F. (1978). Caratteristiche e
 Struttura del Modello. in Un
 Modello Econometrico
 dell'Economia Italiana;
 Caratteristiche e Impiego,
 Ispequaderni, Roma, 1, 9-36, (in
 Italian).
- Theil, H. (1971). Principles of Econometrics. John Wiley, New York.