Long-Term Evolution of Russia's Market Integration

Gluschenko, Konstantin

Institute of Economics and Industrial Engineering, Siberian Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Novosibirsk State University

5 May 2020

Long-Term Evolution of Russia's Market Integration

K.P. Gluschenko[a,b]

[a] *Institute of Economics and Industrial Engineering, Siberian Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Novosibirsk, Russia*
[b] *Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk, Russia*
e-mail: glu@nsu.ru

Abstract—This article considers an aggregated market represented by a staples basket and analyzes changes in the degree of spatial integration of this market during 1992 to 2019. In an integrated market, interaction of demand and supply in the national market, and not in a regional market, determines the regional price of a good. Based on this, the strength of dependence of regional prices on regional quantities demanded serves as a measure of the degree of market integration.

Introduction. A market consisting of spatially dispersed segments – regional markets – is deemed integrated if only "natural" barriers restrict freedom of inter-regional trade. It is the spatial dispersion itself that creates such barriers, making necessary to incur costs of transportation goods between regions. In such a fully integrated market the price of a tradable good in two regions will differ by no more than shipping costs per unit of the good. A mechanism that maintains spatial equilibrium is the goods arbitrage, i.e., purchasing a good in regions where it is cheaper for selling where it is more expensive. Therefore, the regional price of a good should not depend on regional demand, since arbitrage eliminates changes in the price caused by increase or decrease in demand.

Obviously, the national market is fully integrated in no one country. Many "artificial" barriers restrict freedom of arbitrage (though, a part of them can be called as such somewhat conventionally). These are regional protectionism, regional price control, activity of organized crime, imperfect local markets for labor and real estate (which cause inter-regional differences in distribution costs), institutional factors (which narrow the choice of trade partners, e.g., because of long-term contracts, long-standing partnership, reputation of potential partners), etc. This poses a question of the degree of market integration: how close is a market to the ideal, full integration?

This question attracted great interest (mainly, among foreign researchers) with respect to Russia of the 1990s, when it transited from the centrally-planned economy to market economy. With the use of data on prices for different goods in various spatial samples and time spans, they inquired by means of diverse statistical methodologies whether integration of the Russian market improved in the course of the transition and whether it existed [1–6]. When the transition process has mostly completed and the Russian market became "ordinary," foreign researchers have lost interest to the issue of its integration; mainly Russian economists have come to deal with it. They consider integration of markets for both final [7–10] and intermediate goods [11, 12]. The author

has studied Russia's market integration in some time spans belonging to the transition and further times [13, 14].

More than a quarter of century has passed ever since the Russian economy turned to the market way of development. It makes it possible to look at integration of the Russian market "from a bird's-eye view" and see how it has been changing in the course of transition from planned to market economy and then, and what impacts of different macroeconomic shocks on it have been. That is what this article aims at.

Methodology of the analysis. As it follows from the aforesaid, a dependence of price for a good in some region on quantity demanded there evidences that the market deviates from full integration. The "strength" of such a dependence can measure the degree of market integration: the stronger the dependence, the weaker the integration. The analysis applies a model based on this idea that has been put forward in [13]. In general terms, the model is as follows.

Let P_r be the price of a good in region r, and M_r be income per capita in r; $D(P_r, M_r)$ is the demand function, and $S(P_r)$ is the supply function. From the equilibrium condition for the regional market, $D(P_r, M_r) = S(P_r)$, the price can be expressed in terms of income per capita as $P_r = a M_r^{\beta}$. So the dependence of price on per capita income replaces the dependence on quantity demanded (statistical data on which are lacking). Assuming the demand functions to be the same across all regions, we get for region s $P_s = a M_s^{\beta}$. Then

$$\ln(P_r/P_s) - \ln T_{rs} = \beta \ln(M_r/M_s), \tag{1}$$

where regions are arranged so that $P_r \geq P_s$; $T_{rs} = (1 + \tau_{rs})$; τ_{rs} is relative costs needed to carry unit of the good between r and s. Let us use a widely adopted assumption that shipping costs are determined by distance between regions, L_{rs}: $\ln T_{rs} = \alpha + \gamma \ln L_{rs}$, where α is a coefficient depending on unit of distance.

Inserting this relationship into Equation (1) and adding random shocks ε_{rs}, the following econometric model is arrived at:

$$\ln(P_r/P_s) = \alpha + \beta \ln(M_r/M_s) + \gamma \ln L_{rs} + \varepsilon_{rs}. \tag{2}$$

Here, β is the elasticity of price differential with respect to income differential (as it is proved in [13], β should be non-negative). It is its value (which essentially characterizes market segmentation) that measures the degree of integration: the lesser the β, the stronger the market integration. In a fully integrated market, $\beta = 0$. The observations are region pairs (r, s); their total number equals $N \cdot (N–1)/2$, where N stands for the number of regions in a sample. Sequentially estimating Regression (2) for every point in time, we get the dynamics of integration, β_t, during the time span under consideration $t = 1,\ldots, T$.

Data. The time span to be dealt with is 1992 to 2019. The econometric analysis is performed with the use of annual and monthly data.

Integration of markets for individual goods does not provide a general pattern, as it can significantly depend on particular features of one or another market. Therefore, it is desirable to consider an aggregated market represented by a goods basket. For the sake of comparability, the basket should be uniform across regions and time-invariant. There are a few indicators of the costs of different baskets in the Russian statistics. These are the goods price index (a subindex of consumer price index, CPI), cost-of-living index, the cost of the fixed basket of goods and services, and the cost of the minimum food basket (staples basket). However, the baskets used to compute CPI are not comparable across regions. While the goods coverage in regional baskets is the same, the weights of the goods are region-specific (and change every year). The next two indicators have started to be published only since the 2000s, so not covering the whole time span of interest (moreover, the relevant baskets include, in addition to tradable goods, services).

Therefore, there is nothing to do other than consider the aggregated market represented by the staples basket. This basket is uniform across regions; however, its composition has changed a few times. It contained 19 foods in 1992–1996, 25 foods in January 1997 to June 2000 [15, p. 428], and 33 foods since July 2000 to present [16, Appendix 3]. That is why the analysis is forced to deal with data on costs of unlike baskets and somewhat different region coverage for 1992–2000 and 2001–2019. The annual basket costs are computed as the averages of monthly costs (for 1992, over 11 months), based on the fact that the Russian statistical agency computes annual income per capita in the similar way.

The estimations for 1992–2000 (and the monthly estimations for February 1992 to June 2000) use the cost of the 25-food basket (hereafter, "basket-25"). The Russian statistical agency has provided these data on author's request (and it has specially computed the costs for February 1992 to December 1996).[1] The estimations for 2001–2019 (and the monthly estimations for July 2000 to December 2018) use the cost of the 33-food basket (hereafter, "basket-33") [17]. Therefore, the results obtained for these two time spans are not fully comparable. The differences are not only in the compositions of the baskets and quantities of goods in the baskets (see Table A1 in Appendix A). The cost of basket-25 relates to region's capital city alone, while the cost of basket-33 is the region average.

The monthly data on incomes per capita for 1992–2000 have been obtained directly from the Russian statistical agency; these for 2001–2018 have been drawn from monthly bulletins "Socio-Economic Situation of Russia." The annual data for 1992–2012 have been drawn from

---

[1] These data (as well as other data used that are absent in open sources) are available from the author on request.

the Rosstat's web-site;[2] the source of data for 2013–2019 is [18].

The federal subjects of the Russian Federation are meant by regions in this article. A federal subject that includes autonomous *okrug*(s) is treated as a single region. The sample used for the 2001–2019 estimations covers 79 regions (3081 region pairs). It does not include the Chechen Republic, Republic of Crimea, and the city of Sevastopol, as the data on them do not cover the whole period. The sample for 1992–2000 does not include, in addition, the Republic of Ingushetia, Jewish Autonomous Oblast, and Chukotka Autonomous Okrug because of incomplete data. Besides, the price data are the same for the city of Moscow and Moscow Oblast, as well as for the city of Saint-Petersburg and Leningrad Oblast, since the costs of the basket is that in the capital city of region in that time. Therefore, these *oblasts* do not enter to the sample as well. In total, this sample consists of 74 regions generating 2701 pairs.

In addition to the whole sample (Russia as a whole), the analysis deals with two subsamples (see Fig. B1 in Appendix B). The first is Russia excluding difficult-to-access regions. It differs from the whole sample in that it does not include remote regions, mostly, with poor transport accessibility. They are regions that inherently cannot participate in arbitrage, namely, the Murmansk, Sakhalin, and Magadan Oblasts, Kamchatka Krai, Republic of Sakha (Yakutia), and Chukotka Autonomous Okrug. This subsample consists of 69 regions (2346 pairs) in 1992–2000, and 73 regions (2628 pairs) in 2001–2019. The second subsample is European Russia. In includes all regions from the European part of the country except for northern ones, the Murmansk and Arkhangelsk Oblasts and Republic of Komi. It covers 51 regions (1275 pairs) in 1992–2000, and 54 regions (1431 pairs) in 2001–2019.

The distances between regions are the shortest rail distances between their capitals [19, 20]. In the cases when railway communication is lacking, road, river, or sea distance is added. The average distance from cities of the Moscow Oblast where the statistics observes prices according to [21] to Moscow plus the distances to Moscow serve as the distances to this *oblast*, similarly for the Leningrad Oblast.

Results. Table 1 reports means and standard deviations of the dependent variable – price differential $p_{rs} = \ln(P_r/P_s)$ – and the explanatory variable – income differential $m_{rs} = \ln(M_r/M_s)$ – over all region pairs in a relevant spatial sample. They are denoted respectively as $\overline{p}$, $\sigma(p)$, $\overline{m}$, and $\sigma(m)$.

---

[2] To date, the data have disappeared from the relevant web-page
http://www.gks.ru/dbscripts/cbsd/DBInet.cgi?pl=2340019.

Table 1. Descriptive statistics of variables

Year	Russia as a whole				Excluding difficult-to-access regions				European Russia			
	$\bar{p}$	$\sigma(p)$	$\bar{m}$	$\sigma(m)$	$\bar{p}$	$\sigma(p)$	$\bar{m}$	$\sigma(m)$	$\bar{p}$	$\sigma(p)$	$\bar{m}$	$\sigma(m)$
1992	0.207	0.163	0.227	0.392	0.179	0.141	0.150	0.336	0.170	0.136	0.068	0.268
1993	0.253	0.224	0.264	0.424	0.203	0.175	0.171	0.348	0.168	0.159	0.077	0.266
1994	0.274	0.259	0.326	0.450	0.200	0.159	0.233	0.382	0.147	0.117	0.172	0.331
1995	0.239	0.210	0.320	0.459	0.185	0.145	0.246	0.419	0.130	0.104	0.188	0.410
1996	0.225	0.221	0.277	0.498	0.162	0.132	0.201	0.464	0.104	0.089	0.152	0.456
1997	0.204	0.209	0.263	0.483	0.143	0.119	0.195	0.458	0.082	0.067	0.161	0.441
1998	0.191	0.193	0.281	0.491	0.132	0.106	0.214	0.468	0.102	0.086	0.186	0.458
1999	0.150	0.148	0.261	0.521	0.106	0.087	0.187	0.496	0.094	0.084	0.177	0.478
2000	0.157	0.159	0.265	0.517	0.110	0.092	0.200	0.499	0.088	0.078	0.203	0.477
2001	0.175	0.200	0.318	0.502	0.112	0.088	0.232	0.462	0.089	0.075	0.189	0.447
2002	0.164	0.197	0.314	0.489	0.103	0.083	0.224	0.442	0.083	0.075	0.177	0.435
2003	0.166	0.194	0.306	0.500	0.108	0.084	0.219	0.459	0.091	0.079	0.176	0.459
2004	0.189	0.214	0.310	0.479	0.125	0.097	0.234	0.446	0.095	0.075	0.196	0.448
2005	0.180	0.214	0.299	0.486	0.116	0.095	0.221	0.453	0.083	0.068	0.190	0.456
2006	0.192	0.223	0.289	0.458	0.125	0.098	0.216	0.427	0.093	0.073	0.191	0.428
2007	0.184	0.216	0.260	0.449	0.117	0.091	0.190	0.421	0.088	0.069	0.171	0.418
2008	0.173	0.188	0.236	0.388	0.115	0.086	0.171	0.357	0.091	0.067	0.156	0.351
2009	0.197	0.214	0.229	0.389	0.131	0.102	0.158	0.352	0.098	0.072	0.140	0.358
2010	0.183	0.199	0.199	0.380	0.122	0.097	0.127	0.341	0.091	0.068	0.114	0.348
2011	0.173	0.182	0.200	0.368	0.117	0.092	0.130	0.330	0.086	0.066	0.121	0.336
2012	0.204	0.203	0.182	0.370	0.145	0.112	0.113	0.331	0.105	0.079	0.107	0.334
2013	0.199	0.201	0.189	0.378	0.142	0.108	0.115	0.334	0.103	0.077	0.112	0.337
2014	0.192	0.193	0.180	0.361	0.135	0.104	0.106	0.313	0.100	0.076	0.113	0.309
2015	0.181	0.176	0.174	0.358	0.131	0.103	0.102	0.312	0.100	0.079	0.099	0.310
2016	0.196	0.194	0.185	0.368	0.140	0.107	0.109	0.320	0.111	0.087	0.109	0.317
2017	0.185	0.184	0.194	0.371	0.131	0.100	0.117	0.320	0.109	0.087	0.109	0.319
2018	0.187	0.188	0.206	0.383	0.132	0.100	0.124	0.326	0.110	0.087	0.111	0.329
2019	0.185	0.187	0.203	0.386	0.130	0.098	0.121	0.329	0.107	0.084	0.112	0.331

Since all p_{rs} are nonnegative by construction, their mean and standard deviation can be considered as aggregate indicators of price dispersion in the country or in one or another its part. For instance, $\bar{p}$ is the logarithm of the geometric average of price differences, P_r/P_s. As $\ln(1 + x) \approx x$, the figures in the table can be roughly interpreted as represented in unit fractions, and not in logarithms ($e^x - 1$ gives the exact values). Fig. C1 and C2 in appendix C show the evolution of $\bar{p}$ and $\sigma(p)$.

The price dispersion rose dramatically in the initial years of the market transformations. In Russia as a whole, the average price difference reached maximum in 1994, equaling circa 32% ($= e^{0,274} - 1$). After that, the price dispersion started nearly steadily decreasing and come to the minimum in 1999–2000. In Russia as a whole, the average price difference decreased up to 16% ($= e^{0,15} - 1$) in 1999. In 2001–2019, the price dispersion remained fairly stable, fluctuating within a not wide range. Recall that the staples baskets used are different for 1992–2000 and 2001–2019 (that is why a line divides these time spans in Tables 1 and 2). As a result, jumps in prices in the way from 2000 to 2001 reflect the change of basket (and, to some extent, region coverage), and

not a real phenomenon.

As for incomes per capita, their regional dispersion mainly increased up to 2004–2005. After that, convergence of regions in incomes per capita started. It apparently stopped since 2015.

Table 2 reports results of the regression analysis with the use of annual data. Fig. 1 shows the dynamics of Russia's market integration characterized by changes in the values of β, the degree of market segmentation.

Table 2. Estimates of Regression (2) on annual data

Year	Russia as a whole				Excluding difficult-to-access regions				European Russia				
	β		γ		β		γ		β		γ		p-value of γ
1992	0.142	(0.008)	0.031	(0.003)	0.078	(0.008)	0.012	(0.003)	0.034	(0.013)	0.015	(0.005)	0.008
1993	0.203	(0.010)	0.077	(0.004)	0.087	(0.009)	0.050	(0.004)	0.065	(0.015)	0.010	(0.007)	0.136
1994	0.265	(0.009)	0.123	(0.004)	0.124	(0.007)	0.077	(0.004)	0.130	(0.009)	0.024	(0.004)	0.000
1995	0.201	(0.007)	0.110	(0.003)	0.125	(0.006)	0.073	(0.003)	0.135	(0.007)	0.013	(0.004)	0.000
1996	0.166	(0.008)	0.131	(0.004)	0.075	(0.005)	0.077	(0.003)	0.096	(0.005)	0.008	(0.003)	0.019
1997	0.148	(0.007)	0.137	(0.003)	0.066	(0.005)	0.079	(0.003)	0.085	(0.004)	0.008	(0.002)	0.001
1998	0.152	(0.007)	0.106	(0.003)	0.076	(0.005)	0.046	(0.002)	0.108	(0.005)	0.007	(0.003)	0.017
1999	0.119	(0.005)	0.065	(0.003)	0.059	(0.004)	0.018	(0.002)	0.093	(0.005)	-0.006	(0.003)	0.059
2000	0.116	(0.005)	0.088	(0.003)	0.061	(0.004)	0.037	(0.002)	0.106	(0.004)	-0.001	(0.003)	0.815
2001	0.199	(0.007)	0.088	(0.003)	0.099	(0.004)	0.027	(0.002)	0.098	(0.005)	0.003	(0.003)	0.216
2002	0.209	(0.008)	0.075	(0.003)	0.099	(0.004)	0.017	(0.002)	0.095	(0.006)	0.001	(0.003)	0.651
2003	0.187	(0.008)	0.076	(0.003)	0.083	(0.005)	0.018	(0.002)	0.078	(0.007)	0.003	(0.003)	0.292
2004	0.200	(0.008)	0.103	(0.003)	0.098	(0.004)	0.041	(0.002)	0.093	(0.005)	0.010	(0.003)	0.000
2005	0.192	(0.008)	0.106	(0.003)	0.089	(0.004)	0.042	(0.002)	0.079	(0.005)	0.008	(0.002)	0.001
2006	0.212	(0.009)	0.112	(0.004)	0.096	(0.004)	0.045	(0.002)	0.086	(0.005)	0.010	(0.003)	0.000
2007	0.200	(0.008)	0.109	(0.004)	0.084	(0.004)	0.040	(0.002)	0.075	(0.005)	0.014	(0.002)	0.000
2008	0.208	(0.008)	0.092	(0.003)	0.086	(0.004)	0.034	(0.002)	0.075	(0.005)	0.012	(0.002)	0.000
2009	0.242	(0.009)	0.107	(0.003)	0.101	(0.005)	0.045	(0.002)	0.068	(0.005)	0.016	(0.003)	0.000
2010	0.232	(0.009)	0.100	(0.003)	0.094	(0.005)	0.044	(0.002)	0.072	(0.005)	0.014	(0.002)	0.000
2011	0.229	(0.008)	0.089	(0.003)	0.100	(0.005)	0.040	(0.002)	0.074	(0.005)	0.013	(0.002)	0.000
2012	0.230	(0.009)	0.107	(0.003)	0.095	(0.006)	0.055	(0.002)	0.077	(0.007)	0.014	(0.003)	0.000
2013	0.232	(0.009)	0.104	(0.003)	0.094	(0.006)	0.054	(0.002)	0.083	(0.006)	0.014	(0.003)	0.000
2014	0.248	(0.009)	0.097	(0.003)	0.104	(0.006)	0.049	(0.002)	0.085	(0.007)	0.012	(0.003)	0.000
2015	0.226	(0.008)	0.084	(0.002)	0.108	(0.006)	0.044	(0.002)	0.088	(0.007)	0.012	(0.003)	0.000
2016	0.244	(0.009)	0.092	(0.003)	0.108	(0.006)	0.043	(0.002)	0.101	(0.007)	0.018	(0.003)	0.000
2017	0.245	(0.008)	0.076	(0.003)	0.110	(0.005)	0.032	(0.002)	0.107	(0.007)	0.018	(0.003)	0.000
2018	0.253	(0.009)	0.076	(0.003)	0.116	(0.005)	0.032	(0.002)	0.109	(0.007)	0.019	(0.003)	0.000
2019	0.250	(0.008)	0.078	(0.003)	0.117	(0.005)	0.035	(0.002)	0.112	(0.006)	0.019	(0.003)	0.000

Notes: standard errors of estimates are in parentheses; *p*-values of all estimates, except for γ for European Russia, are less than 0.0005.

Fig. 1. Dynamics of Russia's market integration by year

In the initial stage, 1992–1994, market segmentation increased dramatically. It is a stretch, though, to speak about market of that time. (The estimates themselves for those years must therefore be taken with caution.) Retail trade remained mainly state-run,[3] although it had been eligible for pricing on its own. The "money overhang" created by goods shortage in the previous years made it possible a great increase of retail prices since January 1992. The "overhang" disappeared quickly; then the "inflation spiral" started to act: in response to the rise in prices, workers demanded wage raise, and increase in wages resulted in further rise in prices. These processes were closed within regions, so creating strong inter-dependence between prices and incomes in the region. Retail trade relied upon former sources of supply; inter-regional arbitrage was out of the question as there were no owners interested in this (besides, information on prices across regions was extremely scrappy).

Formation of genuine market – as a result of mass privatization and market self-organization – can be attributed to 1994–1995. Since that time, integration of the regional markets started improving. The 1998 crisis somewhat turned this process back (in a number of regions, the exportation of goods was even prohibited). However, the crisis eventually became a powerful force for further increasing integration. The collapse of the ruble exchange rate (from 5.96 RUR/$ as of January 1, 1998, to 20.65 RUR/$ as of December 31 [22]) forced the market to switch from imported to domestic goods. A consequence was a substantial increase in inter-regional trade and, accordingly, in integration of the Russian market.

---

[3] It is worth noting that the official statistics recorded prices solely in state-run shops in that time. It added markets (where private trade prevailed) as objects of the price observation only after some time.

A strange feature can be observed in Fig. 1: in 1994–2000, the degree of integration in European Russia is less than in Russia excluding difficult-to-access regions. Taking into account much more developed transport infrastructure and lesser distances between regions in the European part of the country than in the Asian part, one would expect the reverse pattern. The reason will be discussed further.

Jumps while moving from 2000 to 2001 (they are shown by dashed lines in Fig. 1) are caused by the change of staples basket used for the analysis. Available data on the costs of both basket-25 and basket-33 for several months of 2000 allow comparing estimates obtained with different baskets (and somewhat diverse region coverage) and understanding how great the differences are. Table 3 tabulates these estimates by month and for June–December 2000 (with data averaged over 7 months). Fig. D1 in Appendix B compares the estimates graphically.

Table 3. Estimates of β on monthly data for June to December 2000

Month	Russia as a whole		Excluding difficult-to-access regions		European Russia	
	Basket-25	Basket-33	Basket-25	Basket-33	Basket-25	Basket-33
VI	0.109	0.124	0.053	0.052	0.094	0.069
VII	0.116	0.126	0.064	0.064	0.108	0.092
VIII	0.113	0.137	0.061	0.087	0.106	0.092
IX	0.109	0.128	0.060	0.073	0.098	0.071
X	0.119	0.160	0.064	0.083	0.103	0.084
XI	0.110	0.150	0.063	0.081	0.099	0.084
XII	0.107	0.157	0.058	0.079	0.103	0.082
VI–XII	0.128	0.161	0.071	0.087	0.117	0.094

As Table 3 suggests, the discrepancies between respective estimates are fairly sizeable. They are particularly great for Russia as a whole. The estimate of the segmentation degree over 7 months with basket-33 exceeds the estimate with basket-25 by 26%. The discrepancies for Russia excluding difficult-to-access regions are somewhat smaller. In this case, the estimates with basket-33 also suggest a higher degree of market segmentation, by 23% over June-December. However, the degree of market segmentation of European Russia estimated with basket-33 is less than that estimated with basket-25 (by 20% for estimates over 7 months).

A more detailed analysis evidences that there were no dramatic changes in Russia's market integration in 2001 as compared to 2000. Therefore, it can be believed (somewhat conventionally) that the integration paths over 2001–2019 are continuations of paths over 1992–2000, assuming that the values of β (with basket -33) in 2000 and 2001 are close. In Fig. 1, this would correspond to shifts of the 1992–2000 paths by the magnitude of discrepancy between estimates with basket-25 and basket-33 (upward for Russia as a whole and Russia excluding difficult-to-access regions, and a bit downward for European Russia).

During 2001–2008, the degree of integration remained relatively stable, fluctuating around some constant values. Along with this, a trend toward higher integration emerged in European Russia since 2007.

The global crisis of 2008 came to Russia only in the end of the year. So its impact manifested itself in the next year. The crisis was accompanied by lowering of the ruble exchange rate (which began to show in August 2008). By the end of 2008, the ruble was devaluated by the factor of 1.2 as compared to the beginning of the year; this figure reached 1.5 in February-March of 2009. Farther, however, this process turned back. By October of 2009, the devalation equaled approximately 1.25 relative to the beginning of 2008. After that, the exchange rate stabilized (calculated from data drawn from [22]).

The devaluation of ruble caused some disorganization of the market. It resulted in a sizeable weakening of market integration in Russia as a whole. However, if difficult-to-access regions are excluded, the weakening appears fairly small (in European Russia, the trend to improvement in integration that had emerged formerly even continued). Thus, difficult-to-access regions that had been weakly integrated with other regions became even less integrated.

By 2010, segmentation of the Russian market decreased, but did nit reach the pre-crisis value, remaining about the same level up to 2013. Again, comparing with Russia excluding difficult-to-access regions, it can be concluded that only they have suffered (because of the absence of arbitrage). In the rest part of the country, segmentation increased not too much, within the range of fluctuations in the previous years of the 21st century. It remained nearly at this level up to 2013. Contrastingly, the pattern of the evolution of integration appeared different in European Russia. Starting in 2010, integration slowly but steadily deteriorated there. The considerable devaluation of ruble created favorable possibilities for strengthening price competition of Russian producers of consumer goods with foreign producers. It would have resulted in widening trade between regions and improvement in inter-regional integration. But the Russian producers lost their chance, preferring instead (along with retail and wholesale trade) to force up prices.

The next shock was caused by "countersanctions," i.e., embargo on importation of foods from EU, USA, and some other countries. The embargo was imposed in August 2014 (a new wave of devaluation of ruble that started at that time superimposed on it). This shock is clearly seen on the integration path of Russia as a whole. It gave rise to an explosive increase in market segmentation, by 0.018 (although it temporally decreased in 2015 even lower than the 2013 level). Excluding difficult-to-access regions, the jump in 2014 appears less dramatic (by 0.010). However, it called forth a trend to slow but almost permanent deterioration of integration. The impact of the shock in European Russia manifested itself in that the deterioration of integration (started as far back as in 2010) accelerated appreciably since 2016. While the increase in β was a bit more than

0.003 per annum in 2010–2015, the average over 2015–2019 equaled 0.006 per annum.

In 2019 as compared to 2013, β increased by 0.018 in Russia as a whole, by 0.023 in Russia excluding difficult-to-access regions, and by 0.029 in European Russia. Paradoxically, difficult-to-access regions proved to be in more advantageous position. Apparently, this is due to the closeness of most of them to markets of South-East Asia. A possible reason for deterioration of Russia's market integration in the first years of embargo is processes of adaptation of the market to new conditions, switching import to other countries among them. Results of a more detailed research, namely, analysis of the impact of embargo on integration of market for vegetables [8, 23], corroborate this guess.

However, one would expect improvement in market integration in the sequel. The food embargo, devaluation of ruble, and government support resulted in growth of output in agriculture and food industry. Along with this, reduction in imports facilitated access to market for Russian producers. But the analysis performed suggests the absence of positive changes in Russia's market integration up to 2019. Reasons for this are unclear and need a special investigation.

There is a strangeness in Table 2: high p-values of the coefficient on distance, γ, in 2000–2003 in European Russia. Moreover, γ is negative in 1999 and 2000, contradicting to the prerequisites of the model. This is exclusively due to the market of the city of Moscow. This market is very poorly integrated with markets of other regions [13, 24]. This "spoils" the whole pattern of integration of European Russia (and, to a lesser degree, that of Russia excluding difficult-to-access regions). Table 4 reports a portion of results of econometric analysis obtained with removing Moscow from European Russia. (Table E1 in Appendix E provides the full set of estimates; Fig. E1 compares the paths of β estimated with and without Moscow.)

Table 4. Estimates of Regression (2) on annual data, European Russia excluding Moscow

Year	β		p-value of β	γ		p-value of γ
2001	0.057	(0.006)	0.000	0.010	(0.003)	0.000
2002	0.046	(0.006)	0.000	0.008	(0.003)	0.002
2003	0.029	(0.007)	0.000	0.009	(0.003)	0.003

Note: standard errors of estimates are in parentheses.

As it is seen, everything falls into place with the exclusion of Moscow. Now, estimates of γ are comparable with estimates for other years, and their p-values are very small, so evidencing good consistency of the model with the data (as for γs for 1999 and 2000, they become positive).

The influence of the Moscow market explains one more strange feature noticed above. In contrast to the assumption based on economic-geographical considerations that the market of

European Russia has to be integrated much stronger than the market that includes also Siberia and the Russian Far East, the difference is small; moreover, the pattern is even reversed in 1994–2000. The exclusion of Moscow from the sample suggests that this assumption is true. This significantly diminishes – approximately to a half – the values of β, as comparisons of Tables 2 and 4 evidence. Then the estimates of β in Russia excluding difficult-to-access regions turn out to be 1.5–2 times less than in European Russia. (In 1994–2000, the "abnormal" relationship between values of β disappears in these subsamples.) This means the regions of European Russia to be in fact much stronger integrated with one another than it is suggested by the analysis on the sample than includes Moscow. Over time, the situation change though. Prices in Moscow are converging with prices in some other regions [24]. Consequently, the gap between the segmentation degree of market of European Russia with and without Moscow decreases, being equal to about 25% in 2016–2019.

Although analysis with annual data makes it possible to eliminate many random shocks, it can miss some details. Let us therefore briefly consider results of analysis with monthly data. Fig. 2 presents them (because of the lack of monthly data on income per capita during 2019, the plot ends in December 2018).

Fig. 2. Dynamics of Russia's market integration by month

The paths of β in Fig. 2 are smoothed to some extent with the use of moving average as $\beta'_t = 0{,}25\beta_{t-1} + 0{,}5\beta_t + 0{,}25\beta_{t+1}$. Nonetheless, they remain highly volatile. This is due to high volatility of regional incomes per capita which have sawtooth-like dynamics. In particular, incomes dramatically rise in December of every year, and fall dramatically in January. Surges

and slumps of incomes occur within year as well (for instance, in the holiday season). Here, a shortcoming of income per capita as a proxy of demand manifests itself. Consumer demand does not respond (or responds weakly) to transient fluctuations of income; retail prices are all the more persistent. Therefore, for example, the inter-regional income dispersion increases owing to the December surge of incomes, whereas the price dispersion either remains prior or changes weakly. Thus, a seeming abatement of the linkage between prices and incomes takes place, which reduces β (as if suggesting an improvement in integration).

As it follows from Fig. 2, the monthly evolution of integration corroborates in general principal trends found by the analysis with the annual data. It merely adds some details of intra-year evolution (however, the above reservations should be taken into account). Perhaps, the most interesting is the behavior of β in European Russia in 1992–1993. In some months, β is negative, that is, the price dispersion decreases with increasing income dispersion (or vice versa). This evidences inadequacy of the applied model for the first years of transition to market economy. This is the case, indeed. As noted above, estimates of the model for those years must be treated with caution, as only the seeds of market existed at that time. Inter-regional trade was chaotic then; transaction participants proceeded not from market logic (profit maximization), but from other considerations, e.g., eliminating shortage in one or other good. Pricing was fairly chaotic as well, as there was no experience of acting in the market environment. Therefore, no wonder pathological (from the viewpoint of the economic theory) relationship between demand and prices emerged from time to time. It is not inconceivable that in cases the estimates of the model for that time suggest a linkage between dispersions of incomes per capita and prices we have in fact a spurious regression. Namely, nonsynchronous across regions rise in both prices and incomes led to rise in their inter-regional dispersions, whereas no linkage between them existed. Apparently, since 1994–1995 such a linkage appeared and inter-regional goods arbitrage started developing.

Conclusion. Over less than decade, by the 2000s, the Russian consumer market that had emerged instead of the system of planned distribution of goods got rid of features peculiar to the transition, and became only slightly different from markets in long-established market economies, even those in the developed countries. Nor the Russian market stands out for its degree of spatial integration, if the group of difficult-to-access regions is excluded from consideration. A comparative analysis of Russia and the US with the use of data for 2000 shows the degree of Russia's market integration to be comparable with that in the US [25].

Nonetheless, it seems that the Russian market has not reached a maximum feasible degree of integration. It can be expected that the processes caused by the food embargo and devaluation

of ruble will lead (although with a delay, reasons for which are not clear as yet) eventually to strengthening of country's market integration. However, the events of 2020 have made any expectations and forecasts nonsensical. On the one hand, protectionism that expands all around the world can facilitate strengthening of domestic market integration. But, on the one hand, breaking the ties between regions within country can act in the opposite direction. As for what will really happen, we cannot help but wonder.

REFERENCES

1. B. Gardner and K.N. Brooks, "Food prices and market integration in Russia: 1992–1994," American Journal of Agricultural Economics **76** (3), 641–666 (1994).
2. P. De Masi and V. Koen, "Relative price convergence in Russia," Staff Papers – International Monetary Fund **43** (1), 97–122 (1996).
3. D. Berkowitz, D.N. DeJong, and S. Husted, "Quantifying price liberalization in Russia," Journal of Comparative Economics **26** (4), 735–760 (1998).
4. B.K. Goodwin, T.J. Grennes, and C. McCurdy, "Spatial price dynamics and integration in Russian food markets," Policy Reform **3** (2), 157–193 (1999).
5. D. Berkowitz and D.N. DeJong, "The evolution of market integration in Russia," Economics of Transition **9** (1), 87–104 (2001).
6. D. Berkowitz and D.N. DeJong, "Regional integration: an empirical assessment of Russia," Journal of Urban Economics **53** (3), 541–559 (2003).
7. C.K. Lau and A. Akhmedjonov, "Trade barriers and market integration in textile sector: evidence from post-reform Russia," Journal of the Textile Institute **103** (5), 532–540 (2012).
8. A.V. Stupnikova, "Impact of sanctions on the integration degree of the Russian vegetable market," Spatial Economics No. 3, 74–96 (2015) [in Russian].
9. Yu.N. Perevyshin, S.G. Sinelnikov-Murylyov, A.A. Skrobotov, and P.V. Trunin, *Analysis of the Regional Price Differentiation* (Publishing House "Delo" of RANEPA, Moscow, 2018) [in Russian].
10. E.P. Dobronravova, Yu.N. Perevyshin, A.A. Skrobotov, and K.A. Shemyakina, "Limits of differences in regional prices for foods and invisible hand of market," Applied Econometrics **53**, 30–54 (2019) [in Russian].
11. G.F. Yusupova, "Trends of price convergence in Russian markets," Modern Competition No. 6, 45–61 (2004) [in Russian].
12. D.V. Sirotin, "Integration of the markets for metal products," Steel in Translation **47** (1), 32–36 (2017).
13. K. Gluschenko, "Market integration in Russia during the transformation years," Economics of Transition 11 (3). 411–434 (2003).
14. K. Gluschenko, "Goods market integration in Russia during the economic upturn," Post-Communist Economies **21** (2), 125–142 (2009).
15. *Methodological Regulations on Statistics.* Issue 1 (Goskomstat of Russia, Moscow, 1996). [in Russian].
16. On approval of sets of consumer goods and services for monthly observation of prices (Rosstat's order of December 12, 2019, No. 764). https://www.gks.ru/storage/mediabank/pr764-121219.pdf [in Russian].
17. The cost of the simulated (minimal) food basket. https://www.fedstat.ru/indicator/31481.do [in Russian]
18. Monetary population's incomes per capita by subject of the Russian Federation/ https://www.gks.ru/storage/mediabank/urov_11subg-nm.xls [in Russian]
19. *Fare Manual No 4. Book 1: Fare Distances between Stations on Railway Sections* (Akademkniga, Moscow, 2002) [in Russian].
20. *Fare Manual No 4. Book 3: Fare Distances between Transit Stations* (Akademkniga, Moscow, 2002) [in Russian].
21. The list of cities where Rosstat observes consumer prices in 2019. https://www.gks.ru/storage/mediabank/perech-gorod.pdf [in Russian].
22. Bank of Russia. Dynamics of the official exchange rates. http://www.cbr.ru/currency_base/dynamics/
23. A.V. Stupnikova, "Spatial reactions of prices in vegetable markets to restrictions of foreign trade," Spatial Economics No. 1, 117–137 (2018) [in Russian].
24. K.P. Gluschenko, "The Moscow market in the country's economic space," Applied Econometrics No. 4, 5–21 (2017) [in Russian; for English version, see MPRA Paper No. 80901, https://mpra.ub.uni-muenchen.de/80901/1/MPRA_paper_80901.pdf].
25. K. Gluschenko and D. Karchevskaya, "Assessing a feasible degree of product market integration: a pilot analysis," Journal of Economic Studies **37** (4), 419-437 (2010).

APPENDICES

Appendix A. Goods baskets used for the analysis

Table A1. Compositions of basket-25 and basket-33

Good	Unit of measure	Quantity, basket-25	Quantity, basket-33
Bread, white and rye-wheat	kg	5.725	9.583
White bread	kg	5.242	6.250
Wheat flour	kg	1.625	1.667
Rice	kg	0.308	0.417
Millet	kg	0.817	0.500
Peas and beans	kg	—	0.608
Vermicelli	kg	0.433	0.500
Potatoes	kg	10.350	12.500
White cabbages	kg	2.342	2.917
Cucumbers	kg	—	0.150
Carrots	kg	3.125	2.917
Onions	kg	2.367	1.667
Apples	kg	1.617	1.550
Sugar	kg	1.725	1.667
Candies	kg	—	0.058
Cookies	kg	—	0.058
Beef	kg	0.700	1.250
Mutton	kg	—	0.150
Pork	kg	—	0.333
Chicken	kg	1.458	1.167
Boiled sausage	kg	0.038	—
Boiled-and-smoked sausage	kg	0.029	—
Frozen fish	kg	0.975	1.167
Salted herring and the like	kg	—	0.058
Milk	litre	10.258	9.167
Sour cream	kg	0.133	0.150
Butter	kg	0.208	0.150
Cottage cheese	kg	0.825	0.833
Cheese	kg	0.192	0.208
Eggs	piece	12.617	15
Margarine	kg	0.325	0.500
Sunflower oil	kg	0.533	0.583
Salt	kg	—	0.304
Black tea	kg	—	0.042
Black pepper	kg	—	0.061

Sources: [15, p. 428; 16, Appendix 3].

Appendix B. Map of Russian regions

Fig. B1. The sample of regions and its subsamples

Legend on map:
- Difficult-to-access regions
- The European Russia subsample
- Regions added to the 2001–2019 sample are marked with red font.

Region labels on map: Murmansk Obl., Leningrad Obl., Saint-Petersburg, Rep. of Karelia, Arkhangelsk Obl., Kaliningrad Obl., Pskov Obl., Vologda Obl., Rep. of Komi, Chukotka Autonomous Okrug, Magadan Oblast, Rep. of Sakha (Yakutia), Kamchatka Krai, Smolensk Obl., Tver Obl., Bryansk Obl., Kursk Obl., Belgorod Obl., Kirov Obl., Perm Krai, Sverdlovsk Obl., Kurgan Obl., Tymen Obl., Krasonoyarsk Krai, Sakhalin Oblast, Tomsk Obl., Omsk Obl., Novosibirsk Obl., Altai Krai, Irkutsk Obl., Rep. of Buryatia, Amur Obl., Khabarovsk Krai, Trans-Baikal Krai, Jewish Autonomous Obl., Primorsky Krai, Krasnodar Krai, Rostov Obl., Volgograd Obl., Saratov Obl., Orenburg Obl., Chelyabinsk Obl., Astrakhan Obl., Rep. of Kalmykia, Rep. of Northern Ossetia, Chechen Rep. (out of sample), Rep. of Dagestan, Rep. of Altai, Rep. of Tuva, Rep. of Khakasia.

1. Novgorod Obl.
2. Yaroslavl Obl.
3. Kostroma Obl.
4. Kaluga Obl.
5. Moscow
6. Moscow Obl.
7. Vladimir Obl.
8. Ivanovo Obl.
9. Oryol Obl.
10. Tula Obl.
11. Lipetsk Obl.
12. Ryazan Obl.
13. Rep. of Mordovia
14. Nizhni Novgorod Obl.
15. Chuvash Rep.
16. Rep. Of Mariy El
17. Udmurt Rep.
18. Voronezh Obl.
19. Tambov Obl.
20. Penza Obl.
21. Ulyanovsk Obl.
22. Rep. of Tatarstan
23. Samara Obl.
24. Rep. of Bashkortostan
25. Rep. of Adygeya
26. Karachaev-Chirkassian Rep.
27. Stavropol Krai
28. Kabardian-Balkar Rep.
29. Rep. of Ingushetia
30. Kemerovo Obl.
31. Rep. of Khakasia

15

Appendix C. Annual price and income dispersions

Fig. C1. Means of the price differential

Fig. C2. Standard deviations of the price differential

Fig. C3. Means of the income differential

Fig. C4. Standard deviations of the income differential

Appendix D. Comparison of estimates with basket-25 and basket-33

Fig. D1. Estimates of β on monthly data for June to December 2000

Table E1. Estimates of Regression (2) on annual data, Moscow excluded

Year	Russia as a whole				Excluding difficult-to-access regions				European Russia				
	β		γ		β		γ		β		γ		*p*-value of γ
2001	0.214	(0.008)	0.087	(0.003)	0.086	(0.004)	0.031	(0.002)	0.057	(0.006)	0.010	(0.003)	0.000
2002	0.220	(0.009)	0.074	(0.003)	0.079	(0.005)	0.021	(0.002)	0.046	(0.006)	0.008	(0.003)	0.002
2003	0.196	(0.009)	0.075	(0.003)	0.063	(0.005)	0.022	(0.002)	0.029	(0.007)	0.009	(0.003)	0.003
2004	0.217	(0.009)	0.100	(0.003)	0.089	(0.005)	0.044	(0.002)	0.060	(0.006)	0.014	(0.003)	0.000
2005	0.205	(0.009)	0.104	(0.003)	0.077	(0.004)	0.046	(0.002)	0.047	(0.004)	0.013	(0.002)	0.000
2006	0.229	(0.010)	0.110	(0.003)	0.089	(0.005)	0.049	(0.002)	0.058	(0.005)	0.015	(0.003)	0.000
2007	0.218	(0.009)	0.107	(0.003)	0.078	(0.004)	0.042	(0.002)	0.051	(0.005)	0.018	(0.002)	0.000
2008	0.228	(0.009)	0.089	(0.003)	0.087	(0.005)	0.036	(0.002)	0.066	(0.005)	0.014	(0.002)	0.000
2009	0.278	(0.010)	0.101	(0.003)	0.109	(0.006)	0.046	(0.002)	0.054	(0.006)	0.018	(0.003)	0.000
2010	0.261	(0.010)	0.095	(0.003)	0.093	(0.005)	0.046	(0.002)	0.050	(0.006)	0.017	(0.002)	0.000
2011	0.259	(0.009)	0.085	(0.003)	0.101	(0.005)	0.041	(0.002)	0.051	(0.006)	0.016	(0.002)	0.000
2012	0.248	(0.010)	0.106	(0.003)	0.089	(0.006)	0.059	(0.002)	0.046	(0.007)	0.019	(0.002)	0.000
2013	0.252	(0.010)	0.102	(0.003)	0.089	(0.006)	0.057	(0.002)	0.053	(0.006)	0.018	(0.002)	0.000
2014	0.267	(0.009)	0.095	(0.003)	0.100	(0.006)	0.052	(0.002)	0.055	(0.007)	0.016	(0.002)	0.000
2015	0.240	(0.008)	0.083	(0.002)	0.102	(0.006)	0.046	(0.002)	0.057	(0.007)	0.016	(0.002)	0.000
2016	0.261	(0.009)	0.091	(0.003)	0.103	(0.006)	0.046	(0.002)	0.076	(0.008)	0.022	(0.003)	0.000
2017	0.262	(0.009)	0.075	(0.002)	0.104	(0.006)	0.035	(0.002)	0.082	(0.008)	0.022	(0.003)	0.000
2018	0.270	(0.009)	0.074	(0.003)	0.111	(0.006)	0.035	(0.002)	0.084	(0.007)	0.023	(0.003)	0.000
2019	0.266	(0.009)	0.076	(0.002)	0.110	(0.006)	0.037	(0.002)	0.086	(0.007)	0.022	(0.003)	0.000

Notes: standard errors of estimates are in parentheses; *p*-values of all estimates, except for γ for European Russia, are less than 0.0005.

Fig. E1. Paths of β estimated with and without Moscow

**Appendix F.** Monthly price and income dispersions

Fig. F1. Means of the price differential


**Fig. F2.** Standard deviations of the price differential

Fig. F3. Means of the income differential


Fig. F4. Standard deviations of the income differential

21

Appendix G. Results of regression analysis with monthly data

Table G1. Estimates of Regression (2) on monthly data, June 2000 to December 2018[4]

Period	Russia as a whole						Excluding difficult-to-access regions						European Russia					
	β	(s.e.)	p-value	γ	(s.e.)	p-value	β	(s.e.)	p-value	γ	(s.e.)	p-value	β	(s.e.)	p-value	γ	(s.e.)	p-value
2000.VI	0.124	(0.006)	0.000	0.116	(0.005)	0.000	0.052	(0.004)	0.000	0.030	(0.002)	0.000	0.069	(0.005)	0.000	0.007	(0.003)	0.019
2000.VII	0.126	(0.006)	0.000	0.105	(0.004)	0.000	0.064	(0.004)	0.000	0.024	(0.002)	0.000	0.092	(0.005)	0.000	0.020	(0.003)	0.000
2000.VIII	0.137	(0.005)	0.000	0.091	(0.003)	0.000	0.087	(0.004)	0.000	0.023	(0.002)	0.000	0.092	(0.005)	0.000	0.007	(0.003)	0.027
2000.IX	0.128	(0.006)	0.000	0.109	(0.005)	0.000	0.073	(0.004)	0.000	0.024	(0.002)	0.000	0.071	(0.006)	0.000	0.004	(0.003)	0.190
2000.X	0.160	(0.006)	0.000	0.103	(0.004)	0.000	0.083	(0.004)	0.000	0.030	(0.002)	0.000	0.084	(0.005)	0.000	0.003	(0.003)	0.217
2000.XI	0.150	(0.006)	0.000	0.109	(0.004)	0.000	0.081	(0.004)	0.000	0.034	(0.002)	0.000	0.084	(0.005)	0.000	0.003	(0.003)	0.194
2000.XII	0.157	(0.006)	0.000	0.106	(0.004)	0.000	0.079	(0.004)	0.000	0.034	(0.002)	0.000	0.082	(0.005)	0.000	0.004	(0.003)	0.186
2001.I	0.109	(0.005)	0.000	0.115	(0.004)	0.000	0.066	(0.004)	0.000	0.042	(0.002)	0.000	0.073	(0.005)	0.000	0.006	(0.003)	0.041
2001.II	0.115	(0.005)	0.000	0.106	(0.004)	0.000	0.069	(0.004)	0.000	0.041	(0.002)	0.000	0.068	(0.005)	0.000	0.007	(0.003)	0.029
2001.III	0.158	(0.007)	0.000	0.109	(0.004)	0.000	0.080	(0.004)	0.000	0.038	(0.002)	0.000	0.084	(0.005)	0.000	0.006	(0.003)	0.044
2001.IV	0.153	(0.007)	0.000	0.103	(0.004)	0.000	0.075	(0.004)	0.000	0.032	(0.002)	0.000	0.078	(0.005)	0.000	0.004	(0.003)	0.172
2001.V	0.166	(0.007)	0.000	0.092	(0.004)	0.000	0.083	(0.004)	0.000	0.023	(0.002)	0.000	0.086	(0.005)	0.000	0.004	(0.003)	0.224
2001.VI	0.193	(0.007)	0.000	0.088	(0.004)	0.000	0.103	(0.003)	0.000	0.017	(0.002)	0.000	0.103	(0.004)	0.000	0.004	(0.003)	0.107
2001.VII	0.159	(0.005)	0.000	0.101	(0.004)	0.000	0.102	(0.003)	0.000	0.028	(0.002)	0.000	0.102	(0.004)	0.000	0.028	(0.003)	0.000
2001.VIII	0.173	(0.006)	0.000	0.103	(0.004)	0.000	0.107	(0.004)	0.000	0.028	(0.002)	0.000	0.103	(0.004)	0.000	0.014	(0.003)	0.000
2001.IX	0.171	(0.006)	0.000	0.102	(0.004)	0.000	0.108	(0.004)	0.000	0.026	(0.002)	0.000	0.103	(0.004)	0.000	0.005	(0.003)	0.055
2001.X	0.165	(0.005)	0.000	0.095	(0.003)	0.000	0.095	(0.004)	0.000	0.026	(0.002)	0.000	0.096	(0.005)	0.000	-0.001	(0.002)	0.775
2001.XI	0.156	(0.005)	0.000	0.095	(0.004)	0.000	0.095	(0.004)	0.000	0.027	(0.002)	0.000	0.100	(0.004)	0.000	0.000	(0.002)	0.945
2001.XII	0.157	(0.005)	0.000	0.087	(0.003)	0.000	0.086	(0.003)	0.000	0.024	(0.002)	0.000	0.089	(0.004)	0.000	-0.002	(0.002)	0.291
2002.I	0.121	(0.005)	0.000	0.091	(0.004)	0.000	0.080	(0.004)	0.000	0.021	(0.002)	0.000	0.084	(0.005)	0.000	-0.001	(0.003)	0.738
2002.II	0.157	(0.006)	0.000	0.088	(0.004)	0.000	0.085	(0.004)	0.000	0.021	(0.002)	0.000	0.086	(0.006)	0.000	0.000	(0.003)	0.935
2002.III	0.162	(0.006)	0.000	0.093	(0.004)	0.000	0.086	(0.004)	0.000	0.023	(0.002)	0.000	0.085	(0.006)	0.000	0.003	(0.003)	0.219
2002.IV	0.180	(0.007)	0.000	0.085	(0.004)	0.000	0.085	(0.004)	0.000	0.019	(0.002)	0.000	0.082	(0.006)	0.000	0.005	(0.003)	0.126
2002.V	0.169	(0.006)	0.000	0.081	(0.004)	0.000	0.088	(0.003)	0.000	0.014	(0.002)	0.000	0.092	(0.005)	0.000	0.005	(0.003)	0.090
2002.VI	0.186	(0.007)	0.000	0.084	(0.003)	0.000	0.100	(0.003)	0.000	0.016	(0.002)	0.000	0.098	(0.005)	0.000	0.010	(0.003)	0.000
2002.VII	0.195	(0.007)	0.000	0.082	(0.004)	0.000	0.103	(0.004)	0.000	0.016	(0.002)	0.000	0.100	(0.006)	0.000	0.026	(0.003)	0.000
2002.VIII	0.201	(0.008)	0.000	0.093	(0.004)	0.000	0.108	(0.005)	0.000	0.019	(0.002)	0.000	0.098	(0.007)	0.000	0.016	(0.003)	0.000
2002.IX	0.189	(0.008)	0.000	0.101	(0.004)	0.000	0.096	(0.005)	0.000	0.022	(0.002)	0.000	0.089	(0.007)	0.000	0.009	(0.003)	0.003
2002.X	0.199	(0.007)	0.000	0.083	(0.003)	0.000	0.100	(0.005)	0.000	0.023	(0.002)	0.000	0.097	(0.007)	0.000	0.005	(0.003)	0.079
2002.XI	0.197	(0.007)	0.000	0.079	(0.003)	0.000	0.096	(0.005)	0.000	0.020	(0.002)	0.000	0.097	(0.007)	0.000	0.001	(0.003)	0.694
2002.XII	0.187	(0.006)	0.000	0.071	(0.003)	0.000	0.092	(0.004)	0.000	0.018	(0.002)	0.000	0.093	(0.005)	0.000	-0.003	(0.002)	0.225
2003.I	0.132	(0.006)	0.000	0.076	(0.004)	0.000	0.063	(0.005)	0.000	0.012	(0.002)	0.000	0.063	(0.007)	0.000	-0.005	(0.003)	0.158
2003.II	0.142	(0.007)	0.000	0.079	(0.004)	0.000	0.071	(0.005)	0.000	0.014	(0.002)	0.000	0.066	(0.007)	0.000	0.000	(0.003)	0.970

---

[4] For estimates during February 1992 to December 2000 (with the use of basket-25), see the working paper version of [13]: Institute for Advanced Studies, Vienna, Economic Series No. 116 (2002), http://www.ihs.ac.at/publications/eco/es-116.pdf

Period	Russia as a whole						Excluding difficult-to-access regions						European Russia					
	β	(s.e.)	*p*-value	γ	(s.e.)	*p*-value	β	(s.e.)	*p*-value	γ	(s.e.)	*p*-value	β	(s.e.)	p-value	γ	(s.e.)	*p*-value
2003.III	0.145	(0.006)	0.000	0.081	(0.004)	0.000	0.073	(0.004)	0.000	0.017	(0.002)	0.000	0.071	(0.007)	0.000	0.000	(0.003)	0.896
2003.IV	0.167	(0.008)	0.000	0.079	(0.003)	0.000	0.078	(0.005)	0.000	0.017	(0.002)	0.000	0.075	(0.007)	0.000	0.002	(0.003)	0.449
2003.V	0.152	(0.007)	0.000	0.083	(0.004)	0.000	0.073	(0.004)	0.000	0.016	(0.002)	0.000	0.069	(0.007)	0.000	0.004	(0.003)	0.251
2003.VI	0.160	(0.007)	0.000	0.082	(0.004)	0.000	0.073	(0.004)	0.000	0.009	(0.002)	0.000	0.069	(0.006)	0.000	0.002	(0.003)	0.477
2003.VII	0.154	(0.006)	0.000	0.078	(0.004)	0.000	0.079	(0.004)	0.000	0.010	(0.002)	0.000	0.078	(0.006)	0.000	0.013	(0.003)	0.000
2003.VIII	0.155	(0.007)	0.000	0.092	(0.004)	0.000	0.083	(0.005)	0.000	0.024	(0.002)	0.000	0.074	(0.007)	0.000	0.015	(0.004)	0.000
2003.IX	0.159	(0.007)	0.000	0.093	(0.004)	0.000	0.086	(0.005)	0.000	0.024	(0.002)	0.000	0.079	(0.007)	0.000	0.009	(0.004)	0.010
2003.X	0.145	(0.006)	0.000	0.092	(0.004)	0.000	0.081	(0.005)	0.000	0.026	(0.002)	0.000	0.073	(0.007)	0.000	0.009	(0.004)	0.017
2003.XI	0.139	(0.007)	0.000	0.097	(0.004)	0.000	0.076	(0.005)	0.000	0.029	(0.002)	0.000	0.069	(0.007)	0.000	0.008	(0.004)	0.031
2003.XII	0.165	(0.007)	0.000	0.093	(0.004)	0.000	0.085	(0.004)	0.000	0.032	(0.002)	0.000	0.078	(0.006)	0.000	0.006	(0.003)	0.051
2004.I	0.128	(0.006)	0.000	0.105	(0.004)	0.000	0.068	(0.004)	0.000	0.039	(0.002)	0.000	0.062	(0.006)	0.000	0.007	(0.003)	0.045
2004.II	0.152	(0.007)	0.000	0.103	(0.004)	0.000	0.077	(0.004)	0.000	0.039	(0.002)	0.000	0.071	(0.006)	0.000	0.008	(0.003)	0.006
2004.III	0.168	(0.007)	0.000	0.105	(0.004)	0.000	0.087	(0.004)	0.000	0.041	(0.002)	0.000	0.085	(0.006)	0.000	0.010	(0.003)	0.001
2004.IV	0.203	(0.009)	0.000	0.101	(0.003)	0.000	0.098	(0.005)	0.000	0.042	(0.002)	0.000	0.091	(0.006)	0.000	0.011	(0.003)	0.000
2004.V	0.194	(0.009)	0.000	0.110	(0.004)	0.000	0.094	(0.004)	0.000	0.042	(0.002)	0.000	0.092	(0.005)	0.000	0.010	(0.003)	0.000
2004.VI	0.203	(0.009)	0.000	0.109	(0.004)	0.000	0.102	(0.004)	0.000	0.041	(0.002)	0.000	0.090	(0.006)	0.000	0.010	(0.003)	0.000
2004.VII	0.183	(0.007)	0.000	0.100	(0.003)	0.000	0.098	(0.004)	0.000	0.040	(0.002)	0.000	0.092	(0.005)	0.000	0.020	(0.003)	0.000
2004.VIII	0.185	(0.007)	0.000	0.110	(0.004)	0.000	0.111	(0.004)	0.000	0.043	(0.002)	0.000	0.093	(0.005)	0.000	0.017	(0.003)	0.000
2004.IX	0.166	(0.006)	0.000	0.110	(0.004)	0.000	0.099	(0.004)	0.000	0.037	(0.002)	0.000	0.083	(0.005)	0.000	0.010	(0.003)	0.000
2004.X	0.165	(0.006)	0.000	0.105	(0.004)	0.000	0.092	(0.004)	0.000	0.036	(0.002)	0.000	0.080	(0.005)	0.000	0.007	(0.003)	0.004
2004.XI	0.179	(0.007)	0.000	0.103	(0.004)	0.000	0.090	(0.004)	0.000	0.038	(0.002)	0.000	0.078	(0.005)	0.000	0.011	(0.003)	0.000
2004.XII	0.183	(0.007)	0.000	0.101	(0.003)	0.000	0.093	(0.004)	0.000	0.040	(0.002)	0.000	0.082	(0.004)	0.000	0.009	(0.002)	0.000
2005.I	0.113	(0.005)	0.000	0.116	(0.004)	0.000	0.064	(0.003)	0.000	0.044	(0.002)	0.000	0.060	(0.004)	0.000	0.004	(0.002)	0.147
2005.II	0.183	(0.007)	0.000	0.106	(0.004)	0.000	0.092	(0.004)	0.000	0.043	(0.002)	0.000	0.087	(0.004)	0.000	0.004	(0.002)	0.046
2005.III	0.152	(0.007)	0.000	0.106	(0.004)	0.000	0.078	(0.004)	0.000	0.038	(0.002)	0.000	0.068	(0.005)	0.000	0.003	(0.002)	0.206
2005.IV	0.156	(0.007)	0.000	0.103	(0.004)	0.000	0.080	(0.003)	0.000	0.034	(0.002)	0.000	0.072	(0.005)	0.000	0.002	(0.002)	0.328
2005.V	0.147	(0.007)	0.000	0.106	(0.004)	0.000	0.071	(0.004)	0.000	0.038	(0.002)	0.000	0.061	(0.005)	0.000	0.005	(0.003)	0.052
2005.VI	0.155	(0.007)	0.000	0.101	(0.004)	0.000	0.075	(0.004)	0.000	0.031	(0.002)	0.000	0.060	(0.005)	0.000	0.006	(0.002)	0.010
2005.VII	0.145	(0.006)	0.000	0.102	(0.004)	0.000	0.080	(0.004)	0.000	0.034	(0.002)	0.000	0.069	(0.005)	0.000	0.020	(0.003)	0.000
2005.VIII	0.137	(0.006)	0.000	0.118	(0.004)	0.000	0.080	(0.004)	0.000	0.044	(0.002)	0.000	0.066	(0.005)	0.000	0.015	(0.003)	0.000
2005.IX	0.143	(0.007)	0.000	0.128	(0.005)	0.000	0.082	(0.004)	0.000	0.050	(0.002)	0.000	0.066	(0.005)	0.000	0.013	(0.003)	0.000
2005.X	0.167	(0.007)	0.000	0.124	(0.004)	0.000	0.094	(0.004)	0.000	0.051	(0.002)	0.000	0.081	(0.004)	0.000	0.014	(0.003)	0.000
2005.XI	0.148	(0.007)	0.000	0.125	(0.004)	0.000	0.079	(0.004)	0.000	0.050	(0.002)	0.000	0.062	(0.006)	0.000	0.012	(0.003)	0.000
2005.XII	0.187	(0.008)	0.000	0.120	(0.004)	0.000	0.094	(0.004)	0.000	0.052	(0.002)	0.000	0.082	(0.004)	0.000	0.012	(0.002)	0.000
2006.I	0.109	(0.006)	0.000	0.125	(0.004)	0.000	0.056	(0.003)	0.000	0.048	(0.002)	0.000	0.048	(0.004)	0.000	0.006	(0.003)	0.030
2006.II	0.155	(0.007)	0.000	0.110	(0.004)	0.000	0.081	(0.004)	0.000	0.037	(0.002)	0.000	0.071	(0.005)	0.000	0.002	(0.003)	0.344
2006.III	0.167	(0.008)	0.000	0.112	(0.004)	0.000	0.088	(0.004)	0.000	0.041	(0.002)	0.000	0.079	(0.005)	0.000	0.006	(0.003)	0.017
2006.IV	0.161	(0.008)	0.000	0.118	(0.004)	0.000	0.082	(0.004)	0.000	0.046	(0.002)	0.000	0.075	(0.005)	0.000	0.010	(0.003)	0.000
2006.V	0.156	(0.008)	0.000	0.123	(0.005)	0.000	0.074	(0.004)	0.000	0.047	(0.002)	0.000	0.065	(0.005)	0.000	0.010	(0.003)	0.000
2006.VI	0.174	(0.008)	0.000	0.121	(0.005)	0.000	0.087	(0.005)	0.000	0.042	(0.002)	0.000	0.074	(0.006)	0.000	0.011	(0.003)	0.000

Period	Russia as a whole					Excluding difficult-to-access regions					European Russia				
	β	(s.e.)	p-value	γ	(s.e.)	p-value	β	(s.e.)	p-value	γ	(s.e.)	p-value	β	(s.e.)	p-value	γ	(s.e.)	p-value
2006.VII	0.148 (0.007)		0.000	0.115 (0.004)		0.000	0.075 (0.004)		0.000	0.044 (0.002)		0.000	0.075 (0.005)		0.000	0.026 (0.003)		0.000
2006.VIII	0.155 (0.007)		0.000	0.123 (0.004)		0.000	0.089 (0.005)		0.000	0.047 (0.002)		0.000	0.079 (0.005)		0.000	0.022 (0.003)		0.000
2006.IX	0.153 (0.007)		0.000	0.129 (0.005)		0.000	0.084 (0.005)		0.000	0.048 (0.002)		0.000	0.069 (0.005)		0.000	0.015 (0.003)		0.000
2006.X	0.148 (0.007)		0.000	0.131 (0.005)		0.000	0.079 (0.004)		0.000	0.049 (0.002)		0.000	0.064 (0.005)		0.000	0.014 (0.003)		0.000
2006.XI	0.151 (0.007)		0.000	0.131 (0.005)		0.000	0.078 (0.004)		0.000	0.048 (0.002)		0.000	0.064 (0.005)		0.000	0.013 (0.003)		0.000
2006.XII	0.189 (0.008)		0.000	0.124 (0.004)		0.000	0.094 (0.004)		0.000	0.049 (0.002)		0.000	0.087 (0.004)		0.000	0.013 (0.003)		0.000
2007.I	0.129 (0.007)		0.000	0.130 (0.005)		0.000	0.058 (0.004)		0.000	0.049 (0.002)		0.000	0.051 (0.005)		0.000	0.012 (0.003)		0.000
2007.II	0.153 (0.007)		0.000	0.126 (0.004)		0.000	0.070 (0.004)		0.000	0.047 (0.002)		0.000	0.065 (0.005)		0.000	0.013 (0.003)		0.000
2007.III	0.188 (0.009)		0.000	0.126 (0.004)		0.000	0.089 (0.004)		0.000	0.047 (0.002)		0.000	0.083 (0.005)		0.000	0.013 (0.003)		0.000
2007.IV	0.173 (0.008)		0.000	0.128 (0.004)		0.000	0.079 (0.004)		0.000	0.047 (0.002)		0.000	0.071 (0.005)		0.000	0.012 (0.003)		0.000
2007.V	0.166 (0.008)		0.000	0.122 (0.004)		0.000	0.078 (0.004)		0.000	0.042 (0.002)		0.000	0.069 (0.005)		0.000	0.013 (0.003)		0.000
2007.VI	0.159 (0.007)		0.000	0.100 (0.004)		0.000	0.077 (0.004)		0.000	0.025 (0.002)		0.000	0.067 (0.005)		0.000	0.017 (0.003)		0.000
2007.VII	0.134 (0.006)		0.000	0.094 (0.004)		0.000	0.070 (0.004)		0.000	0.022 (0.002)		0.000	0.068 (0.005)		0.000	0.021 (0.003)		0.000
2007.VIII	0.134 (0.007)		0.000	0.121 (0.005)		0.000	0.083 (0.004)		0.000	0.041 (0.002)		0.000	0.072 (0.004)		0.000	0.016 (0.003)		0.000
2007.IX	0.127 (0.006)		0.000	0.124 (0.005)		0.000	0.078 (0.004)		0.000	0.044 (0.002)		0.000	0.058 (0.004)		0.000	0.015 (0.003)		0.000
2007.X	0.174 (0.008)		0.000	0.112 (0.004)		0.000	0.067 (0.004)		0.000	0.041 (0.002)		0.000	0.054 (0.004)		0.000	0.013 (0.002)		0.000
2007.XI	0.146 (0.008)		0.000	0.108 (0.004)		0.000	0.050 (0.004)		0.000	0.037 (0.002)		0.000	0.040 (0.004)		0.000	0.011 (0.002)		0.000
2007.XII	0.194 (0.008)		0.000	0.096 (0.003)		0.000	0.070 (0.003)		0.000	0.035 (0.002)		0.000	0.060 (0.004)		0.000	0.009 (0.002)		0.000
2008.I	0.126 (0.006)		0.000	0.104 (0.004)		0.000	0.063 (0.003)		0.000	0.032 (0.002)		0.000	0.060 (0.004)		0.000	0.004 (0.002)		0.058
2008.II	0.154 (0.007)		0.000	0.097 (0.004)		0.000	0.069 (0.004)		0.000	0.031 (0.002)		0.000	0.063 (0.004)		0.000	0.003 (0.002)		0.200
2008.III	0.140 (0.007)		0.000	0.097 (0.004)		0.000	0.062 (0.004)		0.000	0.030 (0.002)		0.000	0.060 (0.004)		0.000	0.005 (0.002)		0.018
2008.IV	0.160 (0.007)		0.000	0.091 (0.003)		0.000	0.066 (0.004)		0.000	0.033 (0.002)		0.000	0.065 (0.004)		0.000	0.008 (0.002)		0.000
2008.V	0.155 (0.007)		0.000	0.084 (0.003)		0.000	0.062 (0.004)		0.000	0.025 (0.002)		0.000	0.059 (0.004)		0.000	0.007 (0.002)		0.002
2008.VI	0.203 (0.007)		0.000	0.072 (0.002)		0.000	0.072 (0.004)		0.000	0.028 (0.002)		0.000	0.066 (0.005)		0.000	0.013 (0.003)		0.000
2008.VII	0.176 (0.008)		0.000	0.096 (0.003)		0.000	0.078 (0.005)		0.000	0.040 (0.002)		0.000	0.074 (0.005)		0.000	0.029 (0.003)		0.000
2008.VIII	0.207 (0.009)		0.000	0.106 (0.003)		0.000	0.096 (0.005)		0.000	0.043 (0.002)		0.000	0.083 (0.005)		0.000	0.020 (0.003)		0.000
2008.IX	0.212 (0.008)		0.000	0.101 (0.003)		0.000	0.098 (0.005)		0.000	0.038 (0.002)		0.000	0.074 (0.005)		0.000	0.017 (0.003)		0.000
2008.X	0.216 (0.009)		0.000	0.096 (0.003)		0.000	0.087 (0.004)		0.000	0.035 (0.002)		0.000	0.067 (0.005)		0.000	0.016 (0.003)		0.000
2008.XI	0.217 (0.009)		0.000	0.094 (0.003)		0.000	0.090 (0.005)		0.000	0.036 (0.002)		0.000	0.064 (0.005)		0.000	0.015 (0.003)		0.000
2008.XII	0.222 (0.008)		0.000	0.093 (0.003)		0.000	0.093 (0.005)		0.000	0.037 (0.002)		0.000	0.064 (0.005)		0.000	0.015 (0.002)		0.000
2009.I	0.156 (0.007)		0.000	0.103 (0.004)		0.000	0.065 (0.004)		0.000	0.038 (0.002)		0.000	0.049 (0.004)		0.000	0.013 (0.003)		0.000
2009.II	0.184 (0.007)		0.000	0.108 (0.004)		0.000	0.091 (0.005)		0.000	0.043 (0.002)		0.000	0.069 (0.005)		0.000	0.015 (0.003)		0.000
2009.III	0.199 (0.008)		0.000	0.110 (0.003)		0.000	0.095 (0.005)		0.000	0.047 (0.002)		0.000	0.074 (0.005)		0.000	0.017 (0.003)		0.000
2009.IV	0.222 (0.009)		0.000	0.109 (0.003)		0.000	0.094 (0.005)		0.000	0.049 (0.002)		0.000	0.070 (0.005)		0.000	0.016 (0.003)		0.000
2009.V	0.214 (0.008)		0.000	0.106 (0.003)		0.000	0.096 (0.005)		0.000	0.044 (0.002)		0.000	0.072 (0.005)		0.000	0.014 (0.002)		0.000
2009.VI	0.202 (0.008)		0.000	0.105 (0.003)		0.000	0.087 (0.005)		0.000	0.041 (0.002)		0.000	0.057 (0.005)		0.000	0.016 (0.003)		0.000
2009.VII	0.260 (0.010)		0.000	0.097 (0.003)		0.000	0.098 (0.005)		0.000	0.040 (0.002)		0.000	0.079 (0.005)		0.000	0.021 (0.003)		0.000
2009.VIII	0.285 (0.011)		0.000	0.101 (0.003)		0.000	0.106 (0.005)		0.000	0.044 (0.002)		0.000	0.076 (0.005)		0.000	0.015 (0.003)		0.000
2009.IX	0.286 (0.011)		0.000	0.104 (0.003)		0.000	0.102 (0.006)		0.000	0.048 (0.002)		0.000	0.060 (0.006)		0.000	0.017 (0.003)		0.000
2009.X	0.220 (0.009)		0.000	0.116 (0.004)		0.000	0.090 (0.006)		0.000	0.048 (0.002)		0.000	0.050 (0.006)		0.000	0.018 (0.003)		0.000

Period	Russia as a whole					Excluding difficult-to-access regions					European Russia				
	β (s.e.)	*p*-value	γ (s.e.)	*p*-value		β (s.e.)	*p*-value	γ (s.e.)	*p*-value		β (s.e.)	p-value	γ (s.e.)	*p*-value	
2009.XI	0.212 (0.008)	0.000	0.121 (0.004)	0.000		0.100 (0.006)	0.000	0.050 (0.002)	0.000		0.057 (0.006)	0.000	0.016 (0.003)	0.000	
2009.XII	0.243 (0.009)	0.000	0.118 (0.004)	0.000		0.118 (0.006)	0.000	0.052 (0.002)	0.000		0.073 (0.005)	0.000	0.016 (0.003)	0.000	
2010.I	0.193 (0.008)	0.000	0.116 (0.003)	0.000		0.078 (0.005)	0.000	0.055 (0.003)	0.000		0.072 (0.005)	0.000	0.017 (0.003)	0.000	
2010.II	0.224 (0.009)	0.000	0.111 (0.003)	0.000		0.088 (0.005)	0.000	0.054 (0.002)	0.000		0.071 (0.005)	0.000	0.017 (0.003)	0.000	
2010.III	0.233 (0.010)	0.000	0.110 (0.003)	0.000		0.091 (0.005)	0.000	0.053 (0.002)	0.000		0.078 (0.006)	0.000	0.016 (0.003)	0.000	
2010.IV	0.222 (0.009)	0.000	0.114 (0.003)	0.000		0.091 (0.005)	0.000	0.053 (0.002)	0.000		0.076 (0.005)	0.000	0.016 (0.003)	0.000	
2010.V	0.219 (0.009)	0.000	0.107 (0.003)	0.000		0.091 (0.005)	0.000	0.049 (0.002)	0.000		0.076 (0.005)	0.000	0.014 (0.003)	0.000	
2010.VI	0.218 (0.009)	0.000	0.106 (0.003)	0.000		0.094 (0.005)	0.000	0.048 (0.002)	0.000		0.072 (0.006)	0.000	0.019 (0.003)	0.000	
2010.VII	0.206 (0.009)	0.000	0.114 (0.003)	0.000		0.084 (0.005)	0.000	0.055 (0.002)	0.000		0.071 (0.005)	0.000	0.017 (0.003)	0.000	
2010.VIII	0.237 (0.009)	0.000	0.110 (0.003)	0.000		0.094 (0.005)	0.000	0.054 (0.002)	0.000		0.071 (0.005)	0.000	0.015 (0.002)	0.000	
2010.IX	0.227 (0.009)	0.000	0.089 (0.003)	0.000		0.078 (0.004)	0.000	0.032 (0.002)	0.000		0.052 (0.005)	0.000	0.010 (0.002)	0.000	
2010.X	0.220 (0.009)	0.000	0.082 (0.003)	0.000		0.076 (0.004)	0.000	0.030 (0.002)	0.000		0.049 (0.005)	0.000	0.010 (0.002)	0.000	
2010.XI	0.215 (0.008)	0.000	0.082 (0.003)	0.000		0.076 (0.004)	0.000	0.031 (0.002)	0.000		0.051 (0.005)	0.000	0.010 (0.002)	0.000	
2010.XII	0.196 (0.008)	0.000	0.078 (0.003)	0.000		0.082 (0.004)	0.000	0.027 (0.002)	0.000		0.054 (0.005)	0.000	0.011 (0.002)	0.000	
2011.I	0.176 (0.007)	0.000	0.074 (0.003)	0.000		0.077 (0.004)	0.000	0.023 (0.002)	0.000		0.061 (0.004)	0.000	0.006 (0.002)	0.004	
2011.II	0.208 (0.008)	0.000	0.071 (0.002)	0.000		0.090 (0.004)	0.000	0.026 (0.002)	0.000		0.071 (0.005)	0.000	0.007 (0.002)	0.001	
2011.III	0.201 (0.008)	0.000	0.072 (0.002)	0.000		0.084 (0.004)	0.000	0.026 (0.002)	0.000		0.063 (0.004)	0.000	0.008 (0.002)	0.000	
2011.IV	0.206 (0.008)	0.000	0.077 (0.002)	0.000		0.084 (0.004)	0.000	0.029 (0.002)	0.000		0.069 (0.004)	0.000	0.010 (0.002)	0.000	
2011.V	0.225 (0.008)	0.000	0.074 (0.002)	0.000		0.098 (0.004)	0.000	0.030 (0.002)	0.000		0.072 (0.005)	0.000	0.011 (0.002)	0.000	
2011.VI	0.216 (0.008)	0.000	0.082 (0.003)	0.000		0.095 (0.004)	0.000	0.032 (0.002)	0.000		0.070 (0.005)	0.000	0.016 (0.002)	0.000	
2011.VII	0.210 (0.008)	0.000	0.095 (0.003)	0.000		0.090 (0.005)	0.000	0.043 (0.002)	0.000		0.078 (0.005)	0.000	0.021 (0.002)	0.000	
2011.VIII	0.203 (0.008)	0.000	0.111 (0.003)	0.000		0.093 (0.005)	0.000	0.051 (0.002)	0.000		0.063 (0.006)	0.000	0.016 (0.002)	0.000	
2011.IX	0.236 (0.008)	0.000	0.111 (0.003)	0.000		0.103 (0.006)	0.000	0.054 (0.002)	0.000		0.066 (0.006)	0.000	0.016 (0.003)	0.000	
2011.X	0.233 (0.008)	0.000	0.108 (0.003)	0.000		0.101 (0.006)	0.000	0.056 (0.002)	0.000		0.068 (0.006)	0.000	0.016 (0.003)	0.000	
2011.XI	0.233 (0.009)	0.000	0.110 (0.003)	0.000		0.100 (0.006)	0.000	0.057 (0.002)	0.000		0.070 (0.006)	0.000	0.015 (0.003)	0.000	
2011.XII	0.235 (0.009)	0.000	0.109 (0.003)	0.000		0.106 (0.006)	0.000	0.059 (0.002)	0.000		0.077 (0.006)	0.000	0.017 (0.002)	0.000	
2012.I	0.203 (0.008)	0.000	0.115 (0.003)	0.000		0.091 (0.005)	0.000	0.059 (0.002)	0.000		0.069 (0.006)	0.000	0.017 (0.003)	0.000	
2012.II	0.223 (0.009)	0.000	0.113 (0.003)	0.000		0.095 (0.006)	0.000	0.059 (0.002)	0.000		0.078 (0.006)	0.000	0.017 (0.003)	0.000	
2012.III	0.234 (0.009)	0.000	0.111 (0.003)	0.000		0.091 (0.006)	0.000	0.058 (0.002)	0.000		0.079 (0.007)	0.000	0.016 (0.003)	0.000	
2012.IV	0.221 (0.008)	0.000	0.112 (0.003)	0.000		0.091 (0.006)	0.000	0.061 (0.003)	0.000		0.083 (0.006)	0.000	0.015 (0.003)	0.000	
2012.V	0.232 (0.009)	0.000	0.109 (0.003)	0.000		0.095 (0.006)	0.000	0.057 (0.003)	0.000		0.079 (0.007)	0.000	0.013 (0.003)	0.000	
2012.VI	0.218 (0.009)	0.000	0.106 (0.003)	0.000		0.089 (0.006)	0.000	0.050 (0.002)	0.000		0.077 (0.007)	0.000	0.012 (0.003)	0.000	
2012.VII	0.198 (0.009)	0.000	0.101 (0.003)	0.000		0.066 (0.005)	0.000	0.049 (0.002)	0.000		0.068 (0.006)	0.000	0.014 (0.003)	0.000	
2012.VIII	0.219 (0.009)	0.000	0.108 (0.003)	0.000		0.089 (0.006)	0.000	0.053 (0.002)	0.000		0.066 (0.007)	0.000	0.016 (0.003)	0.000	
2012.IX	0.203 (0.009)	0.000	0.109 (0.003)	0.000		0.076 (0.006)	0.000	0.054 (0.002)	0.000		0.064 (0.007)	0.000	0.016 (0.003)	0.000	
2012.X	0.183 (0.008)	0.000	0.112 (0.003)	0.000		0.074 (0.006)	0.000	0.054 (0.002)	0.000		0.062 (0.007)	0.000	0.014 (0.003)	0.000	
2012.XI	0.204 (0.009)	0.000	0.110 (0.003)	0.000		0.082 (0.006)	0.000	0.055 (0.002)	0.000		0.063 (0.006)	0.000	0.014 (0.003)	0.000	
2012.XII	0.216 (0.009)	0.000	0.105 (0.003)	0.000		0.096 (0.006)	0.000	0.055 (0.002)	0.000		0.076 (0.006)	0.000	0.015 (0.003)	0.000	
2013.I	0.196 (0.008)	0.000	0.115 (0.003)	0.000		0.075 (0.005)	0.000	0.058 (0.002)	0.000		0.071 (0.006)	0.000	0.014 (0.003)	0.000	
2013.II	0.211 (0.009)	0.000	0.112 (0.003)	0.000		0.088 (0.006)	0.000	0.058 (0.002)	0.000		0.079 (0.006)	0.000	0.013 (0.003)	0.000	

Period	Russia as a whole					Excluding difficult-to-access regions					European Russia				
	β (s.e.)	p-value	γ (s.e.)	p-value		β (s.e.)	p-value	γ (s.e.)	p-value		β (s.e.)	p-value	γ (s.e.)	p-value
2013.III	0.235 (0.010)	0.000	0.109 (0.003)	0.000		0.089 (0.006)	0.000	0.059 (0.002)	0.000		0.083 (0.006)	0.000	0.013 (0.003)	0.000
2013.IV	0.220 (0.009)	0.000	0.102 (0.003)	0.000		0.083 (0.006)	0.000	0.052 (0.002)	0.000		0.071 (0.006)	0.000	0.013 (0.003)	0.000
2013.V	0.224 (0.009)	0.000	0.093 (0.003)	0.000		0.094 (0.006)	0.000	0.044 (0.002)	0.000		0.088 (0.006)	0.000	0.011 (0.003)	0.000
2013.VI	0.189 (0.008)	0.000	0.088 (0.003)	0.000		0.084 (0.005)	0.000	0.034 (0.002)	0.000		0.078 (0.006)	0.000	0.012 (0.003)	0.000
2013.VII	0.205 (0.009)	0.000	0.096 (0.003)	0.000		0.080 (0.006)	0.000	0.045 (0.002)	0.000		0.086 (0.006)	0.000	0.021 (0.003)	0.000
2013.VIII	0.193 (0.008)	0.000	0.115 (0.003)	0.000		0.087 (0.006)	0.000	0.059 (0.002)	0.000		0.077 (0.006)	0.000	0.016 (0.003)	0.000
2013.IX	0.199 (0.008)	0.000	0.124 (0.004)	0.000		0.092 (0.006)	0.000	0.063 (0.003)	0.000		0.074 (0.007)	0.000	0.013 (0.003)	0.000
2013.X	0.216 (0.009)	0.000	0.113 (0.003)	0.000		0.087 (0.006)	0.000	0.058 (0.002)	0.000		0.065 (0.007)	0.000	0.013 (0.003)	0.000
2013.XI	0.213 (0.009)	0.000	0.109 (0.003)	0.000		0.087 (0.006)	0.000	0.056 (0.002)	0.000		0.067 (0.006)	0.000	0.012 (0.003)	0.000
2013.XII	0.254 (0.009)	0.000	0.100 (0.003)	0.000		0.119 (0.006)	0.000	0.056 (0.002)	0.000		0.084 (0.006)	0.000	0.012 (0.002)	0.000
2014.I	0.241 (0.009)	0.000	0.108 (0.003)	0.000		0.097 (0.006)	0.000	0.058 (0.003)	0.000		0.078 (0.006)	0.000	0.012 (0.002)	0.000
2014.II	0.205 (0.008)	0.000	0.106 (0.003)	0.000		0.094 (0.006)	0.000	0.054 (0.002)	0.000		0.082 (0.006)	0.000	0.012 (0.003)	0.000
2014.III	0.241 (0.009)	0.000	0.095 (0.003)	0.000		0.103 (0.006)	0.000	0.052 (0.002)	0.000		0.094 (0.006)	0.000	0.011 (0.003)	0.000
2014.IV	0.247 (0.009)	0.000	0.096 (0.003)	0.000		0.109 (0.006)	0.000	0.049 (0.002)	0.000		0.080 (0.006)	0.000	0.012 (0.003)	0.000
2014.V	0.231 (0.008)	0.000	0.091 (0.003)	0.000		0.100 (0.005)	0.000	0.042 (0.002)	0.000		0.084 (0.006)	0.000	0.010 (0.003)	0.000
2014.VI	0.231 (0.008)	0.000	0.089 (0.003)	0.000		0.104 (0.005)	0.000	0.038 (0.002)	0.000		0.080 (0.007)	0.000	0.017 (0.003)	0.000
2014.VII	0.227 (0.009)	0.000	0.095 (0.003)	0.000		0.086 (0.006)	0.000	0.046 (0.002)	0.000		0.080 (0.007)	0.000	0.018 (0.003)	0.000
2014.VIII	0.181 (0.008)	0.000	0.114 (0.004)	0.000		0.076 (0.006)	0.000	0.053 (0.002)	0.000		0.060 (0.007)	0.000	0.013 (0.003)	0.000
2014.IX	0.180 (0.007)	0.000	0.114 (0.004)	0.000		0.077 (0.006)	0.000	0.050 (0.002)	0.000		0.059 (0.007)	0.000	0.011 (0.003)	0.000
2014.X	0.199 (0.008)	0.000	0.102 (0.003)	0.000		0.075 (0.006)	0.000	0.046 (0.002)	0.000		0.051 (0.006)	0.000	0.011 (0.003)	0.000
2014.XI	0.203 (0.008)	0.000	0.098 (0.003)	0.000		0.078 (0.005)	0.000	0.044 (0.002)	0.000		0.062 (0.006)	0.000	0.010 (0.003)	0.000
2014.XII	0.207 (0.008)	0.000	0.092 (0.003)	0.000		0.090 (0.005)	0.000	0.048 (0.002)	0.000		0.078 (0.006)	0.000	0.011 (0.003)	0.000
2015.I	0.164 (0.007)	0.000	0.085 (0.003)	0.000		0.073 (0.005)	0.000	0.038 (0.002)	0.000		0.060 (0.006)	0.000	0.012 (0.003)	0.000
2015.II	0.181 (0.006)	0.000	0.079 (0.003)	0.000		0.095 (0.005)	0.000	0.037 (0.002)	0.000		0.076 (0.006)	0.000	0.008 (0.002)	0.001
2015.III	0.211 (0.007)	0.000	0.078 (0.002)	0.000		0.108 (0.005)	0.000	0.040 (0.002)	0.000		0.094 (0.006)	0.000	0.009 (0.002)	0.000
2015.IV	0.215 (0.007)	0.000	0.077 (0.002)	0.000		0.113 (0.005)	0.000	0.038 (0.002)	0.000		0.090 (0.007)	0.000	0.010 (0.003)	0.000
2015.V	0.199 (0.007)	0.000	0.073 (0.002)	0.000		0.100 (0.005)	0.000	0.032 (0.002)	0.000		0.084 (0.006)	0.000	0.010 (0.003)	0.000
2015.VI	0.205 (0.007)	0.000	0.076 (0.002)	0.000		0.108 (0.005)	0.000	0.036 (0.002)	0.000		0.080 (0.006)	0.000	0.013 (0.003)	0.000
2015.VII	0.198 (0.008)	0.000	0.083 (0.003)	0.000		0.096 (0.006)	0.000	0.042 (0.002)	0.000		0.082 (0.007)	0.000	0.016 (0.003)	0.000
2015.VIII	0.192 (0.007)	0.000	0.097 (0.003)	0.000		0.093 (0.006)	0.000	0.050 (0.002)	0.000		0.072 (0.007)	0.000	0.015 (0.003)	0.000
2015.IX	0.200 (0.007)	0.000	0.102 (0.003)	0.000		0.103 (0.006)	0.000	0.052 (0.002)	0.000		0.073 (0.007)	0.000	0.013 (0.003)	0.000
2015.X	0.211 (0.008)	0.000	0.099 (0.003)	0.000		0.096 (0.006)	0.000	0.050 (0.003)	0.000		0.070 (0.007)	0.000	0.014 (0.003)	0.000
2015.XI	0.222 (0.008)	0.000	0.093 (0.003)	0.000		0.100 (0.006)	0.000	0.049 (0.002)	0.000		0.067 (0.007)	0.000	0.014 (0.003)	0.000
2015.XII	0.219 (0.007)	0.000	0.092 (0.003)	0.000		0.104 (0.006)	0.000	0.049 (0.002)	0.000		0.073 (0.006)	0.000	0.014 (0.003)	0.000
2016.I	0.166 (0.008)	0.000	0.104 (0.003)	0.000		0.073 (0.005)	0.000	0.046 (0.002)	0.000		0.077 (0.006)	0.000	0.015 (0.003)	0.000
2016.II	0.213 (0.008)	0.000	0.095 (0.003)	0.000		0.100 (0.005)	0.000	0.046 (0.002)	0.000		0.083 (0.006)	0.000	0.018 (0.003)	0.000
2016.III	0.235 (0.009)	0.000	0.096 (0.003)	0.000		0.107 (0.006)	0.000	0.046 (0.002)	0.000		0.095 (0.007)	0.000	0.018 (0.003)	0.000
2016.IV	0.223 (0.008)	0.000	0.096 (0.003)	0.000		0.104 (0.006)	0.000	0.046 (0.002)	0.000		0.090 (0.007)	0.000	0.018 (0.003)	0.000
2016.V	0.232 (0.008)	0.000	0.095 (0.003)	0.000		0.107 (0.006)	0.000	0.044 (0.002)	0.000		0.099 (0.007)	0.000	0.018 (0.003)	0.000
2016.VI	0.236 (0.008)	0.000	0.085 (0.003)	0.000		0.103 (0.006)	0.000	0.038 (0.002)	0.000		0.094 (0.007)	0.000	0.018 (0.003)	0.000

Period	Russia as a whole						Excluding difficult-to-access regions						European Russia					
	β	(s.e.)	*p*-value	γ	(s.e.)	*p*-value	β	(s.e.)	*p*-value	γ	(s.e.)	*p*-value	β	(s.e.)	p-value	γ	(s.e.)	*p*-value
2016.VII	0.210	(0.009)	0.000	0.092	(0.003)	0.000	0.084	(0.006)	0.000	0.039	(0.002)	0.000	0.090	(0.007)	0.000	0.020	(0.003)	0.000
2016.VIII	0.179	(0.007)	0.000	0.104	(0.004)	0.000	0.101	(0.006)	0.000	0.042	(0.002)	0.000	0.092	(0.007)	0.000	0.020	(0.003)	0.000
2016.IX	0.182	(0.007)	0.000	0.104	(0.004)	0.000	0.099	(0.006)	0.000	0.042	(0.002)	0.000	0.089	(0.007)	0.000	0.017	(0.003)	0.000
2016.X	0.206	(0.008)	0.000	0.097	(0.003)	0.000	0.091	(0.006)	0.000	0.041	(0.002)	0.000	0.079	(0.007)	0.000	0.016	(0.003)	0.000
2016.XI	0.224	(0.008)	0.000	0.088	(0.003)	0.000	0.088	(0.006)	0.000	0.040	(0.002)	0.000	0.069	(0.007)	0.000	0.015	(0.003)	0.000
2016.XII	0.227	(0.008)	0.000	0.083	(0.003)	0.000	0.101	(0.005)	0.000	0.039	(0.002)	0.000	0.079	(0.006)	0.000	0.015	(0.003)	0.000
2017.I	0.177	(0.008)	0.000	0.100	(0.003)	0.000	0.084	(0.005)	0.000	0.039	(0.002)	0.000	0.083	(0.006)	0.000	0.016	(0.003)	0.000
2017.II	0.229	(0.008)	0.000	0.087	(0.003)	0.000	0.105	(0.005)	0.000	0.037	(0.002)	0.000	0.089	(0.007)	0.000	0.016	(0.003)	0.000
2017.III	0.244	(0.009)	0.000	0.087	(0.003)	0.000	0.109	(0.006)	0.000	0.037	(0.002)	0.000	0.102	(0.007)	0.000	0.017	(0.003)	0.000
2017.IV	0.234	(0.009)	0.000	0.081	(0.003)	0.000	0.103	(0.005)	0.000	0.031	(0.002)	0.000	0.095	(0.007)	0.000	0.018	(0.003)	0.000
2017.V	0.250	(0.009)	0.000	0.064	(0.002)	0.000	0.108	(0.005)	0.000	0.021	(0.002)	0.000	0.096	(0.007)	0.000	0.015	(0.003)	0.000
2017.VI	0.225	(0.008)	0.000	0.055	(0.003)	0.000	0.105	(0.005)	0.000	0.013	(0.002)	0.000	0.098	(0.007)	0.000	0.016	(0.003)	0.000
2017.VII	0.201	(0.008)	0.000	0.072	(0.003)	0.000	0.091	(0.005)	0.000	0.027	(0.002)	0.000	0.104	(0.006)	0.000	0.022	(0.003)	0.000
2017.VIII	0.202	(0.008)	0.000	0.087	(0.003)	0.000	0.089	(0.006)	0.000	0.038	(0.002)	0.000	0.094	(0.007)	0.000	0.022	(0.003)	0.000
2017.IX	0.204	(0.007)	0.000	0.087	(0.003)	0.000	0.092	(0.005)	0.000	0.036	(0.002)	0.000	0.082	(0.006)	0.000	0.022	(0.003)	0.000
2017.X	0.221	(0.008)	0.000	0.082	(0.003)	0.000	0.098	(0.006)	0.000	0.035	(0.002)	0.000	0.090	(0.007)	0.000	0.021	(0.003)	0.000
2017.XI	0.212	(0.008)	0.000	0.082	(0.003)	0.000	0.096	(0.006)	0.000	0.034	(0.002)	0.000	0.091	(0.007)	0.000	0.019	(0.003)	0.000
2017.XII	0.229	(0.007)	0.000	0.075	(0.002)	0.000	0.103	(0.005)	0.000	0.034	(0.002)	0.000	0.089	(0.006)	0.000	0.019	(0.003)	0.000
2018.I	0.176	(0.009)	0.000	0.093	(0.003)	0.000	0.070	(0.005)	0.000	0.032	(0.002)	0.000	0.063	(0.006)	0.000	0.019	(0.003)	0.00
2018.II	0.229	(0.009)	0.000	0.080	(0.003)	0.000	0.107	(0.005)	0.000	0.031	(0.002)	0.000	0.090	(0.007)	0.000	0.019	(0.003)	0.000
2018.III	0.227	(0.009)	0.000	0.082	(0.003)	0.000	0.106	(0.005)	0.000	0.032	(0.002)	0.000	0.103	(0.006)	0.000	0.019	(0.003)	0.000
2018.IV	0.230	(0.009)	0.000	0.077	(0.003)	0.000	0.111	(0.005)	0.000	0.029	(0.002)	0.000	0.098	(0.007)	0.000	0.018	(0.003)	0.000
2018.V	0.248	(0.009)	0.000	0.073	(0.003)	0.000	0.113	(0.006)	0.000	0.029	(0.002)	0.000	0.102	(0.007)	0.000	0.019	(0.003)	0.000
2018.VI	0.242	(0.009)	0.000	0.070	(0.003)	0.000	0.117	(0.005)	0.000	0.028	(0.002)	0.000	0.108	(0.007)	0.000	0.018	(0.003)	0.000
2018.VII	0.220	(0.009)	0.000	0.079	(0.003)	0.000	0.101	(0.005)	0.000	0.031	(0.002)	0.000	0.105	(0.007)	0.000	0.019	(0.003)	0.000
2018.VIII	0.237	(0.009)	0.000	0.081	(0.003)	0.000	0.096	(0.006)	0.000	0.032	(0.002)	0.000	0.090	(0.007)	0.000	0.020	(0.003)	0.000
2018.IX	0.223	(0.008)	0.000	0.089	(0.003)	0.000	0.087	(0.005)	0.000	0.037	(0.002)	0.000	0.078	(0.007)	0.000	0.021	(0.003)	0.000
2018.X	0.241	(0.009)	0.000	0.085	(0.003)	0.000	0.099	(0.006)	0.000	0.036	(0.002)	0.000	0.088	(0.007)	0.000	0.021	(0.003)	0.000
2018.XI	0.236	(0.009)	0.000	0.084	(0.003)	0.000	0.094	(0.006)	0.000	0.035	(0.002)	0.000	0.085	(0.007)	0.000	0.020	(0.003)	0.000
2018.XII	0.245	(0.009)	0.000	0.076	(0.003)	0.000	0.108	(0.005)	0.000	0.032	(0.002)	0.000	0.096	(0.006)	0.000	0.021	(0.003)	0.000