

Environmental Kuznets curve estimation for NO2 emission: A case of Indian cities

Sinha, Avik and Bhattacharya, Joysankar

Indian Institute of Management Indore

2016

Online at https://mpra.ub.uni-muenchen.de/100179/ MPRA Paper No. 100179, posted 07 May 2020 07:04 UTC

1. Introduction

As economy moves along the growth trajectory, environment deteriorates at an increasing rate. With higher level of income, when economy starts to develop, the pace of deterioration slows down, and at a particular level of income, environmental degradation starts to come down. In the literature of environmental economics, this phenomenon is referred to as Environmental Kuznets Curve (EKC) hypothesis. This phenomenon is termed after Simon Kuznets (1955), who described the inverted U-curve association between income inequality and economic development. While establishing a relationship between pollution and economic development, Grossman and Krueger (1991) found its resemblance with Kuznets' inverted U-curve relationship, and named it after Simon Kuznets.

By far, the existing studies on EKC hypothesis have focused on either cross-country analysis or intra-provincial analysis of a particular country. In this study, we have analyzed the NO₂ emission data for 139 Indian cities during 2001-2013. The analysis is done by segregating the entire dataset into industrial and residential category, and then segregating each of the two segments in terms of income level, i.e. low, medium, and high income. This bifurcation will allow us to visualize the income-pollution association at various levels of income, and therefore, analyzing policy implications can be more effective. The literature of EKC hypothesis has majorly looked into the income-pollution association without considering different income levels for any particular context. This is one area, which is largely unaddressed in the literature, and that is the focus of this study.

In EKC hypothesis, economic growth has been taken as the explanatory variable for environmental degradation, and economic growth has been parameterized in several ways in the literature. It has been primarily indicated as growth in per capita income, and in some of the studies as trade, financial development, and technological advancement, as according to Hill and Magnani (2002), per capita income may not be the only indicator for economic growth. Apart from income, this study has taken electricity consumption and petroleum consumption as two other explanatory variables. These two variables are the proxy measures for energy consumption.

In methodological terms, this study employs fixed effect panel regression on parameters validated by auxiliary regressions on orthogonally transformed dataset. Due to usage of power terms, EKC models suffer from multicolinearity. In most of the existing studies, this issue has been ignored, and this has been pointed out by several researchers. This study has used orthogonal transformation of parameters, followed by auxiliary regression on transformed parameters for removing multicolinearity from the data. Contribution of this study is not only in terms of finding EKC for Indian cities, but also in terms of employing a more refined set of parameters, which have hardly been considered in the literature.

2. Review of literature

The literature on EKC hypothesis is extensive in the field of ecological economics. For NO₂ emission, studies have been carried out on cross-sectional data (Panayotou, 1993; Hill and Magnani, 2002) and panel data (Grossman and Krueger, 1995; Egli, 2001; Archibald et al., 2004; Welsch, 2004; Fonkych and Lempert, 2005; Song et al., 2013) and all of these studies are based on a group of countries. Apart from the works of Carson et al. (1997), Roumasset et al. (2006), Park and Lee (2011) hardly any study has attempted to analyze the EKC of a particular country. Moreover, for a country with high population density, it is not always feasible to end up with a single EKC only. Though the aforementioned studies have considered provincial differences in emission, no study has considered different income levels of a country and the differential impact of income levels on emission. In this study, we have segregated Indian cities in terms of

three income levels, namely low, medium, and high income and observed the income-emission association under EKC framework.

We will now look into other pollutants, like carbon dioxide (CO₂) and sulphur dioxide (SO₂). For both of the cases, studies have been carried out cross-sectional data and panel data. For CO₂, studies are carried out by Holtz-Eakin and Selden (1995), Lindmark (2002), Friedl and Getzner (2003), Aldy (2005), Dijkgraaf and Vollebergh (2005), Auffhammer and Carson (2008), Aslanidis and Iranzo (2009), Musolesi et al. (2010) and others, and all of these studies are based either on cross-country of cross-province panel data. For SO₂, studies on cross-sectional data are carried out by Panayotou (1993), Taskin and Zaim (2000), Bimonte (2002), Khanna and Plassmann (2004) and others, and studies on panel data are carried out by Selden and Song (1994), Shafik (1994), Grossman and Krueger (1995), Kaufmann et al. (1998), List and Gallet (1999), Harbaugh et al. (2002), Millimet et al. (2003), Galeotti et al. (2006), Soytas et al. (2007), Apergis and Payne (2010), Al Sayed and Sek (2013) and many others. In this case also, studies looked into either cross-country of cross-province panel data.

Apart from that, while estimating EKC for any context, researchers have majorly taken indicators of economic growth, like trade (Suri and Chapman, 1998), financial development (Tamazian et al., 2009), and technological progress (Bhattarai and Hammig, 2001) as explanatory variables in EKC framework. These variables are different indicators of economic growth. For ambient air pollution, more specific explanatory variables are required, and a recent work of Onafowora and Owoye (2014) has considered this aspect. They have taken energy consumption as an explanatory variable, which is relevant for our study as well. As India is net oil importing nation, and Indian industries and households depend on commercial and combustible electricity consumption for their subsistence (Sinha and Mehta, 2014), we have

taken energy consumption in the form of electricity consumption and petroleum consumption as explanatory variables in our study.

3. NO₂ emission in India

Due to rapid growth in industrialization, India has experienced a significant growth in the fossil fuel consumption. Adverse effects of this growth have been seen in the growth of ambient air pollution. During the last decade, CO₂ emission has gone up by 72%, SO₂ emission has gone up by 54%, and NO₂ emission has gone up by 42% (Lu et al., 2011; Haq et al., 2015). If we look at the emission affecting tropospheric region, then the NO₂ should be considered as the primary pollutant in this case, as 79% of the tropospheric atmosphere consists of nitrogen (N₂). It is majorly responsible for creation of ground-level ozone, a primary component of smog. It is also responsible for creation of various nitrate compounds, which add to the level of respiratory particulate matters in the lower atmosphere. Owing to these reasons, rise in the level of NO₂ emission can cause serious damage to ambient atmosphere.

In India, reasons behind rise in the level of NO₂ emission are different for industrial and residential areas. In industrial areas, rise in the NO₂ emission can be attributed to rise in the level of direct fossil fuel consumption, in the form of coal and oil. Consumption of coal majorly takes place at the thermal power plants, and due to the high temperature (more than 1700°C) in the furnaces, molecular N₂ and oxygen (O₂) react with each other and form NO₂ (Zeldovich, 1946). This is the primary source of NO₂ emission in industrial areas. Apart from this, contribution of oil combustion stands second in the rise in NO₂ emission. This direct consumption of petroleum fuel is majorly visible in vehicular transportation, which is evident in the industrial cities in India. The first incident is referred to as the *Thermal NO*₂ and the second one is referred to as the *Fuel NO*₂.

Coming to residential areas, rise in the NO_2 emission can be attributed to rise in the vehicular congestion, level of humidity, heights of buildings, and improper usage of burners. Continuous rise in population in the residential areas has been creating pressure on the existing road transport infrastructure, and this can be experienced in the vehicular congestions in almost every city in India. Traffic fumes generated out of these congestions is the primary cause of NO_2 emission in residential areas in India (Bhaduri, 2013). Apart from that, emergence of high flame cooking can also be attributed to one of the reasons behind rise in NO_2 emission in the residential areas (Relwani et al., 1986). In this case, the fuel input rate and the color of flame have found to have significant impact on NO₂ emission. Yellow-tipping flames generate more amount of NO₂ in the indoor atmosphere compared to blue-tipping flames (Himmel and DeWerth, 1974). Heights of building and level of humidity do not directly add to the level of NO₂ emission, but they catalyze the growth and spreading. This scenario has already been experienced in other Asian cities, like Hong Kong (Lau, 2011). Heights of the building resist sunlight and ventilation in the neighborhood, and therefore, usage of air-conditioning systems rise in the neighborhood areas. Moreover, rooftop solar panels cannot be installed in the neighborhood areas due to lack of sunlight. These in one hand reduce energy efficiency by elevating the level of fossil fuel based energy consumption, and on the other hand, increase the level of humidity and outdoor temperature. This catalyzes the formation of smog in residential areas.

Central Pollution Control Board of India has already set a number of emission standards, according to which level of NO₂ emission should not be more than $40\mu g/m^3$ in any industrial or residential cities of India. Bharat Stage emission standards are also in place for controlling the vehicular emissions. Presently, Bharat Stage IV has been implemented only across 14 cities¹ in

¹ National Capital Region, Mumbai, Kolkata, Chennai, Bengaluru, Hyderabad, Ahmedabad, Pune, Surat, Kanpur, Lucknow, Sholapur, Jamshedpur and Agra

2010, and Bharat Stage V is yet to be implemented in 2017. Based on the reports of Central Pollution Control Board, Supreme Court of India has passed a directive in 2001 for controlling ambient air pollution in 16 cities across India. However, in spite of these policies in place, NO_2 emission across several Indian cities is rising.

4. Econometric methodology and data

For the analysis, the entire dataset of 139 cities for 2001-2013 has been segregated into industrial and residential areas², as the emission pattern in these two areas differ significantly, and therefore, they should be analyzed in isolation. For analyzing the impact of pollution on level of income, dataset for each of the two areas has been segregated into three parts, namely low, medium, and high income. This will allow us to observe the income-emission association in a comparative manner.

For achieving the research objective, we have formulated the following regression model:

$$E_{ijk} = a_0 + a_1 C_{jkl} + a_2 POP_{jk} + a_3 Y_{jk} POP_{jk} + a_4 Y_{jk} + a_5 Y_{jk}^2 + a_6 Y_{jk}^3 + \varepsilon_t$$
(1)

Where, *E* is the emission, *C* is the city specific effect, *POP* is the population, *Y* is the city level income, *i* is the pollutant (NO₂ in this case), *j* is the income level, *k* is the area classification, *l* is city specific effects, and ε is error term.

For Indian cities, most of the human made ambient air pollution can be attributed to fossil fuel consumption, and it can be further subdivided into commercial electricity consumption and combustion of petroleum products. Majority of the commercial electricity utilized in India is generated out of the thermal power plants, which run on coal and crude oil. On the other hand, direct utilization of petroleum products can be seen in transportation sector and in households. Over the years, researchers have identified commercial electricity consumption and combustion

² Central Pollution Control Board collects and publishes separate data for industrial and residential areas.

of petroleum products as primary sources of ambient air pollution in Indian cities (for a detailed review, see Mallik and Lal, 2014). Therefore, in our study, we have identified these two factors as city specific effects in our model.

Based on Eq. 1, we have formed two models, and those are as per the following:

$$E_{ijk} = a_0 + a_1 E C_{jk} + a_2 P O P_{jk} + a_3 Y_{jk} \cdot P O P_{jk} + a_4 Y_{jk} + a_5 Y_{jk}^2 + a_6 Y_{jk}^3 + \varepsilon_t$$
(2)

$$E_{ijk} = a_0 + a_1 P C_{jk} + a_2 P O P_{jk} + a_3 Y_{jk} P O P_{jk} + a_4 Y_{jk} + a_5 Y_{jk}^2 + a_6 Y_{jk}^3 + \varepsilon_t$$
(3)

Where, EC is electricity consumption, and PC is petroleum consumption. In future, we shall refer Eq. 2 as "Electricity consumption model" and Eq. 3 as "Petroleum consumption model".

The emission data for NO₂ (in μ g/m³) have been collected from the Central Pollution Control Board, India, electricity consumption data (in GWH) have been collected from the Ministry of Power, Govt. of India, petroleum consumption data (in Kg) have been collected from the Ministry of Petroleum & Natural Gas, Govt. of India, population data has been collected from the Census, Ministry of Home Affairs, Govt. of India, city level income data (in Rs. Lacs) have been collected from Directorate of Economic and Statistics of individual states. The unit of observation is city-wide, i.e. the income data collected for cities is the total annual income of the cities, and the NO₂ emission data is the city-wide annual average NO₂ emission. Both of the data are collected separately for residential and industrial areas.

Multicolinearity is a problem with the model (see Appendix 1D and 1E), in which the powered terms of the independent variables are used, and as a result, interactions among those independent variables increase the level of standard errors for their estimated coefficients. In order to handle this issue, the models have been specified by removing orthogonally transformed independent variables correlating with lower order terms through auxiliary regressions. Once a

specification is chosen, the within model has been tested with the original data. Once the models are estimated, we have checked the robustness of the models (see Appendix 1F and 1G).

5. Data analysis for industrial area

For analyzing the EKC(s) for industrial area, electricity consumption model and petroleum consumption model have been tested and regression results have been recorded in Appendix 1A and Appendix 1B. Analyzing first order conditions (FOC) and second order conditions (SOC) recorded in Table 1, shape of EKCs can be estimated. If we look at the nature of elasticity of the EKC for all income levels in industrial area using electricity consumption model, then we can see that the EKC is inverted U-shaped (Figure 1).

 $\frac{d \ln NO_2}{d \ln Y} \begin{cases}> 0, \quad 0 < Y < 853.99\\ < 0, \quad Y > 853.99\\ \text{Similarly, if we look at the nature of elasticity of the EKC for all income levels in industrial area using petroleum consumption model, then we can see that the EKC is inverted U-shaped (Figure 1).$

$$\frac{d \ln NO_2}{d \ln Y} \begin{cases} > 0, & 0 < Y < 3902.43 \\ < 0, & Y > 3902.43 \end{cases}$$

Once we have estimated EKCs for all income levels in the industrial area, now we can proceed with EKC estimation for three income levels, i.e. low, medium and high income areas.

5.1. Analysis for low income industrial area

FOCs of both the models have been estimated and recorded in Table 4. For both the models, income-emission associations are parallel to horizontal axis with zero slopes (Figure 1).

5.2. Analysis for medium income industrial area

FOCs of both the models have been estimated and recorded in Table 4. Income-emission associations are linearly increasing with slope for electricity consumption model is 4.45, and for petroleum consumption model is 4.48 (Figure 1).

5.3. Analysis for high income industrial area

Equating the FOC to zero for the electricity consumption model, the turnaround point of the EKCs has been estimated and recorded in Table 4. Now we will estimate the shape of the EKC. If we look at the nature of elasticity of the EKC in this case, then we can see that the EKC is inverted U-shaped (Figure 1).

 $\frac{d \ln NO_2}{d \ln Y} \begin{cases} > 0, & 0 < Y < 2916.75 \\ < 0, & Y > 2916.75 \end{cases}$

For petroleum consumption model, the SOC is zero, and therefore, the income-emission association is linearly increasing with slope of 6.89 (Figure 1).

Income level	Electricity consumption model	$FOC\left[\frac{d\ln NO_2}{d\ln Y}\right]$	$SOC\left[\frac{d^2\ln NO_2}{d(\ln Y)^2}\right]$	Turnaround point (Rs. Lacs)	Shape of EKC
Low	$\ln(NO_2) = 4.426 + 0.136 \ln(EC)$	0	-	-	Linear (Panel A)
Medium	$ln(NO_2) = 25.853 - 2.402 ln(POP) + 0.330 ln(Y). ln(POP)$	0.330 ln(POP)	0	-	Linear (Panel C)
High	$ln(NO_2) = 26.851 - 3.818 ln(POP) + 0.574 ln(Y). ln(POP) - 0.656 (ln Y)^2 + 0.013 (ln Y)^3$	0.574 ln(POP) – 1.312 (ln Y) + 0.039 (ln Y) ²	$-1.312 + 0.078 \ln(Y)$	2916.75	Inverted U-shaped (Panel E)
All	$ln(NO_2) = 15.188 - 1.581 ln(POP) + 0.237$ $ln(Y). ln(POP) - 0.765 ln(Y) - 0.177 (ln Y)^2$	- 0.765 + 0.237 ln(POP) - 0.354 (ln Y)	- 0.354	853.99	Inverted U-shaped (Panel G)
Income level	Petroleum consumption model	$FOC\left[\frac{d\ln NO_2}{d\ln Y}\right]$	$SOC\left[\frac{d^2\ln NO_2}{d(\ln Y)^2}\right]$	Turnaround point (Rs. Lacs)	
Low	$\ln(NO_2) = 4.555$	0	-	-	Linear (Panel B)
Medium	$ln(NO_2) = 25.094 - 2.437 ln(POP) + 0.332 ln(Y). ln(POP)$	0.332 ln(POP)	0	-	Linear (Panel D)
High	$ln(NO_2) = 24.463 + 0.199 ln(PC) - 2.941 ln(POP) + 0.461 ln(Y). ln(POP)$	0.461 ln(POP)	0	-	Linear (Panel E)
All	$ln(NO_2) = 12.242 - 1.223 ln(POP) + 0.164 ln(Y). ln(POP) - 0.157 (ln Y)2 + 0.002 (ln Y)3$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.164 \ln(\text{POP}) - \\ 0.314 (\ln \text{ Y}) + \\ 0.006 (\ln \text{ Y})^2 \end{array}$	$-0.314 + 0.012 \ln(Y)$	3902.43	Inverted U-shaped (Panel H)

Table 1: EKC Estimation for industrial area cities



Figure 1: Estimated EKCs for industrial area

6. Data analysis for residential area

For analyzing the EKC(s) for residential area, electricity consumption model and petroleum consumption model have been tested and regression results have been recorded in Appendix 1A and Appendix 1C. Analyzing first order conditions (FOC) and second order conditions (SOC) recorded in Table 5, shape of EKCs can be estimated. If we look at the nature of elasticity of the EKC for all income levels in industrial area using electricity consumption model, then we can see that the EKC is inverted U-shaped (Figure 2).

$$\frac{d \ln NO_2}{d \ln Y} \begin{cases} > 0, & 0 < Y < 1683.31 \\ < 0, & Y > 1683.31 \end{cases}$$

Similarly, nature of elasticity using petroleum consumption model reveals that the EKC is inverted U-shaped (Figure 1).

$$\frac{d \ln NO_2}{d \ln Y} \begin{cases} > 0, & 0 < Y < 975.51 \\ < 0, & Y > 975.51 \end{cases}$$

Once we have estimated EKCs for all income levels in the industrial area, now we can proceed with EKC estimation for three levels of income, i.e. low, medium and high income areas.

6.1. Analysis for low income residential area

FOCs of both the models have been estimated and recorded in Table 5. For electricity consumption model, income-emission association is parallel to the horizontal axis (Figure 2). For petroleum consumption model, income-emission associations is inverted N-shaped (Figure 2) and it is revealed by the nature of elasticity for this model.

$$\frac{d \ln NO_2}{d \ln Y} \begin{cases} < 0, & 0 < Y < 5.44 \\ > 0, & 5.44 < Y < 866.46 \\ < 0, & Y > 866.46 \end{cases}$$

6.2. Analysis for medium income residential area

Like the previous case, FOCs of both the models have been estimated and recorded in Table 5. For electricity consumption model, income-emission associations is inverted N-shaped (Figure 2) and it is revealed by the nature of elasticity for this model.

$$\frac{d \ln NO_2}{d \ln Y} \begin{cases} < 0, & 0 < Y < 752.80 \\ > 0, & 752.80 < Y < 4874.84 \\ < 0, & Y > 4874.84 \end{cases}$$

Similarly, for petroleum consumption model, income-emission associations is inverted N-shaped (Figure 2) and it is revealed by the nature of elasticity for this model.

$$\frac{d \ln NO_2}{d \ln Y} \begin{cases} < 0, & 0 < Y < 911.85 \\ > 0, & 911.85 < Y < 4015.02 \\ < 0, & Y > 4015.02 \end{cases}$$

6.3. Analysis for high income residential area

Like the previous two cases case, FOCs of both the models have been estimated and recorded in Table 5. For electricity consumption model, nature of elasticity of the model reveals that estimated EKC in this case is inverted U-shaped (Figure 2).

$$\frac{d \ln NO_2}{d \ln Y} \begin{cases} > 0, & 0 < Y < 109.50 \\ < 0, & Y > 109.50 \end{cases}$$

Similarly, for petroleum consumption model, nature of elasticity of the EKC reveals that estimated EKC in this case is inverted U-shaped (Figure 2).

 $\frac{d \ln NO_2}{d \ln Y} \begin{cases} > 0, & 0 < Y < 141.91 \\ < 0, & Y > 141.91 \end{cases}$

Income level	Electricity consumption model	$FOC\left[\frac{d\ln NO_2}{d\ln Y}\right]$	$SOC\left[\frac{d^2\ln NO_2}{d(\ln Y)^2}\right]$	Turnaround point (Rs. Lacs)	Shape of EKC
Low	$ln(NO_2) = 9.013 + 0.293 ln(EC) - 0.484 ln(POP)$	0	-	-	Linear (Panel A)
Medium	$ln(NO_2) = 67.610 - 1.761 ln(POP) + 0.219 ln(Y). ln(POP) - 19.535 ln(Y) + 2.222 (ln Y)2 - 0.098 (ln Y)3$	$\begin{array}{l} 0.219 \ln(\text{POP}) - \\ 19.535 + 4.444 \left(\ln \\ \text{Y} \right) - 0.294 \left(\ln \\ \text{Y} \right)^2 \end{array}$	4.444 – 0.588 (ln Y)	a. 752.80 b. 4874.84	Inverted N-shaped (Panel C)
High	$ln(NO_2) = 12.147 - 1.006 ln(POP) + 0.248 ln(Y). ln(POP) - 0.085 (ln Y)2 + 0.013 (ln Y)3$	$0.248 \ln(POP) - 0.970 (\ln Y) + 0.039 (\ln Y)^{2}$	– 0.970 + 0.078 (ln Y)	109.50	Inverted U-shaped (Panel E)
All	$ln(NO_2) = 13.380 - 1.339 ln(POP) + 0.192$ ln(Y). ln(POP) - 0.623 ln(Y) - 0.132 (ln Y) ²	0.192 ln(POP) – 0.623 – 0.264 (ln Y)	- 0.264	1683.31	Inverted U-shaped (Panel G)
Income level	Petroleum consumption model	$FOC\left[\frac{d\ln NO_2}{d\ln Y}\right]$	$SOC\left[\frac{d^2\ln NO_2}{d(\ln Y)^2}\right]$	Turnaround point (Rs. Lacs)	
Low	$ln(NO_2) = 18.238 + 0.196 ln(PC) - 1.313$ ln(POP) + 0.105 ln(Y). ln(POP) - 1.797 ln(Y) +0.203 ln(Y) ² - 0.016 (ln Y) ³	$\begin{array}{l} 0.105 \ln(\text{POP}) - \\ 1.797 + 0.406 \ln \\ (\text{Y}) - 0.048 \left(\ln \text{Y}\right)^2 \end{array}$	0.406 – 0.096 ln (Y)	a. 5.44 b. 866.46	Inverted N-shaped (Panel B)
Medium	$ln(NO_2) = 67.358 - 1.555 ln(POP) + 0.205 ln(Y). ln(POP) - 20.281 ln(Y) + 2.335 (ln Y)2 - 0.103 (ln Y)3$	$\begin{array}{l} 0.205 \ln(\text{POP}) - \\ 20.281 + 4.670 \left(\ln \\ \text{Y} \right) - 0.309 \left(\ln \\ \text{Y} \right)^2 \end{array}$	4.670 – 0.618 ln (Y)	a. 911.85 b. 4015.02	Inverted N-shaped (Panel D)
High	$ln(NO_2) = 11.527 - 1.031 ln(POP) + 0.233 ln(Y). ln(POP) - 0.032 (ln Y)2 + 0.011 (ln Y)3$	0.233 ln(POP) – 0.864 (ln Y) + 0.033 (ln Y) ²	- 0.864 + 0.066 ln (Y)	141.91	Inverted U-shaped (Panel E)
All	$ln(NO_2) = 18.076 - 1.766 ln(POP) + 0.216$ ln(Y). ln(POP) - 0.622 ln(Y) - 0.166 (ln Y) ²	- 0.622 + 0.216 ln(POP) - 0.332 (ln Y)	- 0.332	975.51	Inverted U-shaped (Panel H)

Table 2: EKC Estimation for residential area cities



Figure 2: Estimated EKCs for industrial area

7. Policy implications

By far, we have analyzed the EKC hypothesis for 139 Indian cities for the period 2001-2013, and apart from inverted U-shaped EKC, we have also found the income-emission associations to be inverted N-shaped and linear (see Table 3 and 4). Now, we will analyze the scenarios for industrial and residential areas respectively.

Table 3: Summary of the results for full dataset (shape of EKC and corresponding
turnaround points)

Category	Electricity co	nsumption model	Petroleum consumption model		
	Shape of EKC	Turnaround point (Rs. Lacs)	Shape of EKC	Turnaround point (Rs. Lacs)	
Industrial	Inverted U-shaped	853.99	Inverted U-shaped	3902.43	
Residential	Inverted U-shaped	1683.31	Inverted U-shaped	975.51	

Table 4: Summary of the results for segregated dataset (shape of EKC and corresponding turnaround points)

Income Level	Electricity c	onsumption model	Petroleum consumption model						
	Shape of EKC	Turnaround point (Rs. Lacs)	Shape of EKC	Tur point (2	rnaround Rs. Lacs)				
Category: Industrial									
Low	Linear	-	Linear	-					
Medium	Linear	-	Linear	-					
High	Inverted U-Shaped	2916.75	Linear		-				
Category: Residential									
Low	Linear	-	Inverted N-Shaped	5.44	866.46				
Medium	Inverted N-Shaped	752.80 4874.84	Inverted N-Shaped	911.85	4015.02				
High	Inverted U-Shaped	109.50	Inverted U-Shaped	141.91					

7.1. Policy implications for industrial areas

For industrial areas, we have found the evidence of inverted U-shaped EKCs for the aggregate dataset. In case of segregated dataset, evidence of inverted U-shaped EKC can be found only for the high income areas using the electricity consumption model. In rest of the

cases, the income-emission associations have been found to be linear. We will now discuss all of these cases one by one.

For low income cities, income-emission associations have been found to be linear and horizontal for both the models. Commercial electricity consumption has been found to have direct impact on level of NO₂ emission, whereas petroleum consumption has been found to have no impact on level of NO₂ emission. In both the cases, emission levels have found to be constant. The level of emission for electricity consumption model is $133.18 \mu g/m^3$, and for petroleum consumption model is $95.11 \mu g/m^3$. It can be seen that the level of emissions found in both of the cases are above the emission standards set by Central Pollution Control Board of India, i.e. 40µg/m³. Hence, it is clearly visible that these areas need serious attention regarding emission control. These cities are majorly dominated by chemical plants, coal mines, thermal power plants, and automobile manufacturing plants. NO_2 emissions found in these cities are majorly generated out of these plants and mines, and contribution of vehicular emission is comparatively lower. Therefore, the income-emission pattern for petroleum consumption model is independent of the petroleum consumption, and for electricity consumption model, it is dependent on the commercial electricity consumption. In order to reduce emissions from these areas, plants in these areas should introduce selective catalytic reduction (SCR) technology, selective noncatalytic reduction (SNCR) technology, and low NO_x burners. Apart from that, switching from coal to natural gas for electricity generation can also reduce the level of NO₂ in these regions. Coming to vehicular emission, Bharat Stage IV has not yet been implemented in any of these cities. Therefore, presently there are no strict regulations in these cities for controlling vehicular emissions. As it is expected that the nationwide implementation of Bharat Stage IV will be

completed by 2017, it can be assumed that the level of NO₂ generated vehicular emission can be controlled after that only.

For medium income cities, income-emission associations have been found to be linearly increasing for both the models. Slope of the diagram for electricity consumption model is 4.45, and for petroleum consumption model is 4.48. Both of the models show that the level of emission is rising with population and income, and the trend of growth is nearly similar for both of the cases. Most of these cities are in a socio-economic transformation phase, and it is majorly driven by the industrial growth being achieved in these cities. Due to rapid job creation in the industrial sector, these cities are experiencing migration of labors from low income areas. As the existing urban infrastructure has not yet been capable of accommodating this rise in population, therefore, a number of slum areas have developed around these cities. These are called the shadow cities (Neuwirth, 2005). Along with the industries, NO_2 emission is also caused by use of firewood and charcoal burning from the slums formed in the industrial belt. Apart from that, in order to accommodate industrial and population growth, these cities have been experiencing rapid deforestation programs, which is another reason for rise in NO₂ emission in these cities. Talking about vehicular emission, five cities under this category are already under Bharat Stage IV, whereas the others are yet to come under this scheme. Therefore, it can be understood that the income-emission association should be linearly increasing for these cities, irrespective of the energy consumption pattern. In order to control the emission in these cities, the municipal body should implement proper rehabilitation facilities for the slum dwellers, making them aware about the negative consequences of firewood and charcoal cooking, providing them with solar panels for green electrification purpose, and providing them with proper educational and healthcare facilities. The organizations, for which they are working, should also come forward in order to

make this initiative a fruitful one. By virtue of public-private partnership, livelihood of those people can be uplifted, and in that way, level of human made NO_2 emission can be reduced.

For high income cities, income-emission associations have been found to be following the generally accepted inverted U-shaped form of EKC for electricity consumption model, and linearly increasing with a slope of 6.89 for petroleum consumption model. In most of these cities, Bharat Stage IV has already been implemented. However, due to inadequate road infrastructure, vehicular congestion is a very common phenomenon in these cities. During congestion period, NO₂ is generated out of traffic fumes. Apart from that, a huge number of small food chains around the industrial areas can be seen in these cities. These are the places, where majorly high flame cooking is followed. This is another way, through which NO₂ generation in these areas is augmented by extensive usage of yellow-tipping flames. Therefore, the rise in NO₂ in these cities is majorly due to Fuel NO_2 . On the other hand, there are two reasons behind the fall in NO_2 emission from Thermal NO₂. First, cities with higher level of income in this particular stratum fall under the directive for emission passed by Supreme Court of India in 2001, and according to that directive, the active manufacturing and power plants need to use clean fuels, pollution control devices, clean technologies, and development of green belts. Second, these cities have experienced the rise of service industry over the years, and this industry contributes less amount of NO₂ compared to the secondary sector. Moreover, the commercial electricity consumed by this sector is also comparatively very less than the secondary sector. The percentage of service industry rises with the rise in income along this stratum. Therefore, inverted U-shaped EKC is found for this particular stratum. At the turnaround point of this EKC, emission level is found to be 30.81µg/m³, which is within the range specified by Central Pollution Control Board. Therefore, it can be stated that the existing pollution abatement policies are sufficient to control

the ambient air pollution level in these cities. However, keeping in mind the linearly increasing income-emission association for petroleum consumption model, it can be said that the municipal bodies in these cities should consider improving the existing road infrastructure to minimize the level of vehicular congestion. On the other hand, the small food chains should also be brought under the pollution control directives, so that they can be aware of the negative consequences of high flame cooking. The latter cannot be achieved only by mere government intervention, and therefore, the local NGOs should come forward to collaborate with government for making the directives effective.

7.2. Policy implications for residential areas

For residential areas, we have found the evidence of inverted U-shaped EKCs for the aggregate dataset. In case of segregated dataset, evidence of inverted U-shaped EKC can be found only for the high income areas. In rest of the cases, the income-emission associations have been found to be linear and inverted N-shaped. We will now discuss all of these cases one by one.

For low income cities, income-emission associations have been found to be linear for electricity consumption model and inverted N-shaped for petroleum consumption model. Commercial electricity consumption has been found to have direct impact on level of NO_2 emission. The level of emission for electricity consumption model is $78.88\mu g/m^3$. It can be seen that the level of emissions found in this case is above the emission standards set by Central Pollution Control Board of India, i.e. $40\mu g/m^3$. Most of these cities under this stratum are low in population and income, and the housing infrastructure in these cities is comparatively lower compared to other cities in the remaining two strata. Therefore, the level of energy efficiency is comparatively lower, and it results in higher consumption of electricity in these buildings. This

phenomenon is observable across this stratum, irrespective of the income level. The low energy efficiency of these buildings causes space heating, by means of heat radiation through walls. This is one of the major reasons behind rise in NO₂ emission is these cities, and this explains the horizontal income-emission association for electricity consumption model in these cities. On the other hand, consumption of petroleum based fuel in these cities rise with the rise in income. The first turnaround point on the inverted N-shaped income-emission association is achieved at Rs. 5.44 Lacs, which is lower than the minimum income level (= Rs. 24.01 Lacs) of this stratum. Therefore, this turnaround was achieved at the very earliest stage of the industrialization, and with rise in income and population, the NO₂ emission level started to rise. However, at the second turnaround point at Rs. 866.46 Lacs, the level of NO₂ emission is $22.74 \mu g/m^3$, and this emission level is below the emission standards set by Central Pollution Control Board of India, i.e. $40\mu g/m^3$. In view of this evidence, it may be said that, when the energy efficiency is measured in terms of commercial electricity consumption, low income residential cities fail to meet the emission standards, whereas the same is met for petroleum consumption. One of the major reasons behind this can be the purchasing power, which enables them to afford the less costly electricity, compared to petroleum products. Hence, it is the energy consumption pattern, which derives the emission level in these cities. In order to mitigate this issue, government should come forward with energy-efficient landscaping initiatives to preserve energy by minimizing heat loss, and to implement low-energy housing strategy in order to lessen electricity consumption. The infrastructural development authority should also check the life-cycle of the old buildings in these cities, and plan the strategic implementation accordingly. They also need to make sure not to use high environmentally adverse products while demolishing or recycling the building, as it can cause more damage to environment.

For medium income cities, income-emission associations have been found to be inverted N-shaped for both the models. For electricity consumption model, at the first turnaround point, the level of NO₂ emission is 19.58 μ g/m³, and at the second turnaround point, the level of NO₂ emission is 26.93µg/m³. For petroleum consumption model, at the first turnaround point, the level of NO₂ emission is 16.90 μ g/m³, and at the second turnaround point, the level of NO₂ emission is 19.99µg/m³. In both of the cases, all of the four emission levels are below the emission standards set by Central Pollution Control Board of India, i.e. 40µg/m³. In view of this evidence, it can be said that emission levels in the residential areas of cities under this stratum are within controllable limits. In both of the cases, the first turnaround points arise because of only one city, Thoothukudi. Though the income level of this city is the lowest in this stratum, it has a very high human development index (= 0.791). Because of the strict environmental policies followed by the municipal government in this city, it has been able to reduce the level of emission and achieve energy efficiency, and resulted in the first turnaround points. However, as most of these residential areas are formed around the industrial belts, rise in NO_2 emission in the residential areas can be seen in terms of smog formation. It rises with the rise in income, and vehicular congestion. As migration is increasing the population in these cities, therefore the incidences of vehicular congestion is a very common phenomenon in these cities. However, most of these cities have gradually started consumption of compressed natural gas (CNG) for the public transport, and this phenomenon is visible is the cities, which are on the higher side in income. Moreover, under this stratum, most of the cities with higher income level have started to use solar powered traffic light and street light mechanisms for reducing emission levels. This environmental awareness has made the income-emission association in these cities to reach the second turnaround point, and thereby, making the curve inverted N-shaped.

For high income cities, income-emission associations have been found to be following the generally accepted inverted U-shaped form of EKC for both the models. For electricity consumption model, the turnaround point arrives at the emission level of $22.08 \mu g/m^3$, and for petroleum consumption model, the turnaround point arrives at the emission level of $21.47 \mu g/m^3$. In both of the cases, emission levels are below the emission standards set by Central Pollution Control Board of India, i.e. $40\mu g/m^3$. In view of this evidence, it can be said that emission levels in the residential areas of cities under this stratum are within controllable limits. Most of these cities follow the vehicular emission standards set by Bharat Stage IV, and therefore, the levels of vehicular emission in these cities are less. Nevertheless, vehicular congestion is a major problem in these cities, and it is majorly caused by rise in population. Apart from that, heights of the buildings can cause ventilation and blockage of sunlight in the neighboring areas. It catalyzes the use of air conditioning in the neighboring areas and it also resists them from using solar panels at the rooftops. This causes severe humidity and rise in outdoor temperature, thereby, reducing the energy efficiency and causing smog formations in these areas. In order to control this problem, the municipal authorities should try to implement energy-plus buildings in the high-rises, so that the neighboring areas can get surplus passive solar energy. It can not only enhance the energy efficiency in these areas, but also can reduce the chances of smog formation. Moreover, the municipal authority should focus more on improving the public transport infrastructure, so that people can avail public transport more than private vehicles. This step can reduce the vehicular congestions in these areas.

8. Conclusion

By far, we have estimated the EKCs for 139 Indian cities, by considering all them in single stratum, and then by segregating them into three levels of income. After analyzing both

the industrial and residential areas, it has been found that the levels of emission are different for each of the stratum, and therefore, suggested policy decisions are also modified to address that particular stratum. However, if a suggested policy in a lower stratum is implemented in a higher stratum along with the policies suggested for that particular stratum, it can provide a multiplier effect in bringing down the emission level.

As a whole, keeping all the suggested policies in mind, it can be stated that bringing down the level of NO_2 emission requires improvement of existing road transport facilities, introduction of energy-plus buildings, reducing high flame cooking in restaurants and small food chains, and most importantly, engaging people and private organizations in increasing the level of environmental awareness among citizens. This public-people-private-partnership mechanism can make the emission reduction efforts much effective at the ground level.

We can conclude that based on our results and looking at the present developments in alternate energy discovery process in India, the turnaround points, which have not been achieved within the study period of 2001-2013, may possibly be achieved in the later stages of 2014. However, in our study, we did not consider the social variables, as our intention was to investigate whether any turnaround point exists for India, or not. Further study on this aspect can be taken up considering those variables, and the economy-wide policy development time frames, as well. These can bring forth significant insights about the nature of EKCs in Indian cities.

References

- Al Sayed, A.R., Sek, S.K., 2013. Environmental Kuznets Curve: Evidences from Developed and Developing Economies. Applied Mathematical Sciences. 7(22), 1081-1092.
- Aldy, J.E., 2005. An environmental Kuznets curve analysis of US state-level carbon dioxide emissions. The Journal of Environment & Development. 14(1), 48-72.
- Apergis, N., Payne, J.E., 2009. Energy consumption and economic growth in Central America: evidence from a panel cointegration and error correction model. Energy Economics. 31(2), 211-216.
- Archibald, S.O., Banu, L.E., Bochniarz, Z., 2004. Market liberalisation and sustainability in transition: Turning points and trends in central and Eastern Europe. Environmental Politics. 13(1), 266-289.
- Aslanidis, N., Iranzo, S., 2009. Environment and development: is there a Kuznets curve for CO₂ emissions? Applied Economics. 41(6), 803-810.
- Auffhammer, M., Carson, R.T., 2008. Forecasting the path of China's CO₂ Emissions using Province-level Information. Journal of Environmental Economics and Management. 55(3), 229-247.
- Bhaduri, S., 2013. Vehicular Growth and Air Quality at Major Traffic Intersection Points in Kolkata City: An Efficient Intervention Strategies. The SIJ Transactions on Advances in Space Research & Earth Exploration (ASREE). 1(1), 19-25.
- Bhattarai, M., Hammig, M., 2001. Institutions and the environmental Kuznets curve for deforestation: a cross country analysis for Latin America, Africa and Asia. World Development. 29(6), 995-1010.

- Bimonte, S., 2002. Information access, income distribution, and the Environmental Kuznets Curve. Ecological Economics. 41(1), 145-156.
- Carson, R.T., Jeon, Y., McCubbin, D.R., 1997. The relationship between air pollution emissions and income: US data. Environment and Development Economics. 2(4), 433-450.
- Dijkgraaf, E., Vollebergh, H.R., 2005. A Test for Parameter Homogeneity in CO₂ Panel EKC Estimations. Environmental and Resource Economics. 32(2), 229-239.
- Egli, H., 2001. Are cross-country studies of the Environmental Kuznets Curve misleading? New evidence from time series data for Germany. Ernst Moritz Arndt University of Greifswald, Faculty of Law and Economics. Working paper no. 10/2001.
- Fonkych, K., Lempert, R., 2005. Assessment of environmental Kuznets curves and socioeconomic drivers in IPCC's SRES scenarios. The Journal of Environment & Development. 14(1), 27-47.
- Friedl, B., Getzner, M., 2003. Determinants of CO₂ Emissions in a Small Open Economy. Ecological Economics. 45(1), 133-148.
- Galeotti, M., Lanza, A., Pauli, F., 2006. Reassessing the environmental Kuznets curve for CO₂ emissions: A robustness exercise. Ecological Economics. 57(1), 152-163.
- Grossman, G.M., Krueger, A.B., 1991. Environmental Impacts of a North American Free Trade Agreement. National Bureau of Economic Research. Working paper no. w3914.
- Grossman, G.M., Krueger, A.B., 1995. Economic Growth and the Environment. The Quarterly Journal of Economics. 110(2), 353-377.
- Haq, Z.U., Tariq, S., Ali, M., 2015. Tropospheric NO₂ Trends over South Asia during the Last Decade (2004–2014) Using OMI Data. Advances in Meteorology. 2015, 1-18.

- Harbaugh, W.T., Levinson, A., Wilson, D.M., 2002. Reexamining the empirical evidence for an environmental Kuznets curve. Review of Economics and Statistics. 84(3), 541-551.
- Himmel, R.L., DeWerth, D.W., 1974. Evaluation of the pollutant emissions from gas-fired ranges. American Gas Association Laboratories, Research Report No. 1492.
- Hill, R.J., Magnani, E., 2002. An exploration of the conceptual and empirical basis of the environmental Kuznets curve. Australian Economic Papers. 41(2), 239-254.
- Holtz-Eakin, D., Selden, T.M., 1995. Stoking the Fires? CO₂ Emissions and Economic Growth. Journal of Public Economics. 57(1), 85-101.
- Kaufmann, R.K., Davidsdottir, B., Garnham, S., Pauly, P., 1998. The determinants of atmospheric SO₂ concentrations: reconsidering the environmental Kuznets curve. Ecological Economics. 25(2), 209-220.
- Khanna, N., Plassmann, F., 2004. The demand for environmental quality and the environmental Kuznets Curve hypothesis. Ecological Economics. 51(3), 225-236.
- Kuznets, S., 1955. Economic Growth and Income Inequality. The American Economic Review. 45(1), 1-28.
- Lau, S.S., 2011. Physical environment of tall residential buildings: the case of Hong Kong, in: Yuen, B., Yeh, A.G.O. (Eds.) High-rise living in Asian cities. Springer, Netherlands, pp. 25-47.
- Lindmark, M., 2002. An EKC-Pattern in Historical Perspective: Carbon Dioxide Emissions, Technology, Fuel Prices and Growth in Sweden 1870-1997. Ecological Economics. 42(1), 333-347.
- List, J.A., Gallet, C.A., 1999. The environmental Kuznets curve: does one size fit all? Ecological Economics. 31(3), 409-423.

- Lu, Z., Zhang, Q., Streets, D.G., 2011. Sulfur dioxide and primary carbonaceous aerosol emissions in China and India, 1996–2010. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics. 11(18), 9839-9864.
- Mallik, C., Lal, S., 2014. Seasonal characteristics of SO₂, NO₂, and CO emissions in and around the Indo-Gangetic Plain. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment. 186(2), 1295-1310.
- Millimet, D.L., List, J.A., Stengos, T., 2003. The environmental Kuznets curve: Real progress or misspecified models?. Review of Economics and Statistics. 85(4), 1038-1047.
- Musolesi, A., Mazzanti, M., Zoboli, R., 2010. A panel data heterogeneous Bayesian estimation of environmental Kuznets curves for CO₂ emissions. Applied Economics. 42(18), 2275-2287.
- Neuwirth, R., 2005. Shadow cities: a billion squatters, a new urban world. New York: Routledge.
- Panayotou, T., 1993. Empirical Tests and Policy Analysis of Environmental Degradation at Different Stages of Economic Development. International Labour Organization. Working paper no. 292778.
- Park, S., Lee, Y., 2011. Regional model of EKC for air pollution: Evidence from the Republic of Korea. Energy Policy. 39(10), 5840-5849.
- Relwani, S.M., Moschandreas, D.J., Billick, I.H., 1986. Effects of operational factors on pollutant emission rates from residential gas appliances. Journal of the Air Pollution Control Association. 36(11), 1233-1237.
- Roumasset, J., Burnett, K., Wang, H., 2006. Environmental resources and economic growth. World Bank, Washington, DC.

- Selden, T.M., Song, D., 1994. Environmental Quality and Development: Is there a Kuznets Curve for Air Pollution Emissions?. Journal of Environmental Economics and Management. 27(2), 147-162.
- Shafik, N., 1994. Economic development and environmental quality: an econometric analysis. Oxford Economic Papers. 46(4), 757-773.
- Song, M.L., Zhang, W., Wang, S.H., 2013. Inflection point of environmental Kuznets curve in Mainland China. Energy Policy. 57, 14-20.
- Soytas, U., Sari, R., Ewing, B.T., 2007. Energy consumption, income, and carbon emissions in the United States. Ecological Economics. 62(3), 482-489.
- Suri, V., Chapman, D., 1998. Economic growth, trade and energy: implications for the environmental Kuznets curve. Ecological Economics. 25(2), 195-208.
- Tamazian, A., Chousa, J.P., Vadlamannati, K.C., 2009. Does higher economic and financial development lead to environmental degradation: evidence from BRIC countries. Energy Policy. 37(1), 246-253.
- Taskin, F., Zaim, O., 2000. Searching for a Kuznets curve in environmental efficiency using kernel estimation. Economics Letters. 68(2), 217-223.
- Welsch, H., 2004. Corruption, growth, and the environment: a cross-country analysis. Environment and Development Economics. 9(5), 663-693.
- Zeldovich, Y.B., 1946. The oxidation of nitrogen in combustion and explosions. Acta Physicochim, URSS. 21(4), 577-628.

Area	Model	Effect	a_0	<i>a</i> 1	a_2	a_3	a_4	a_5	a_6	Wald Chi ²	Prob. > Chi ²
	y on	Fired	15.188 ^a	-	-1.581 ^a	0.237 ^a	-0.765 ^a	-0.177 ^a	-	17 72	0,0000
	icit _. npti	<i>г іхе</i> а	(6.90)	-	(-6.72)	(7.81)	(-3.19)	(-5.04)	-	17.75	0.0000
Î	lecti nsur	nsu	14.076 ^a	-	-	-	-0.642^{a}	-0.130^{a}	0.001	00.02	0.0000
stria	E_0	Random	(8.36)	-	-	-	(-2.93)	(-4.06)	(0.68)	98.03	0.0000
mpu	n on	Fired	17.544 ^a	0.016	-	-	-0.611 ^a	-0.164 ^a	-	15.26	0.0000
Π	leur npti	Fixed	(9.58)	(0.58)	-	-	(-2.79)	(-5.15)	-	15.50	0.0000
	etro 1sur	D 1	12.242 ^a	-	-1.223 ^a	0.164 ^a	-	-0.157 ^a	0.002^{b}	92.50	0 0000
	C_{O}	Kanaom	(9.19)	-	(-8.35)	(8.39)	-	(-5.28)	(2.22)	83.30	0.0000
	y on	Fired	14.708 ^a	-0.047	-1.555 ^a	0.239 ^a	-0.754 ^a	-0.178 ^a	0.002	19.06	0.0000
	ricit npti	<i>г іхе</i> а	(6.25)	(-0.99)	(-6.33)	(7.78)	(-3.14)	(-5.03)	(1.40)	18.00	0.0000
η	lecti nsun	D	13.380 ^a	-	-1.339 ^a	0.192 ^a	-0.623 ^a	-0.132^{a}	-	101 55	0.0000
entic	C_{O}	Kanaom	(7.39)	-	(-6.87)	(7.65)	(-2.85)	(-4.07)	-	101.55	0.0000
esid	n on	Eined	18.076 ^a	-	-1.766 ^a	0.216 ^a	-0.622 ^a	-0.166 ^a	-	15 42	0,0000
Re leun ıptid	Fixed	(9.25)	-	(-8.87)	(8.74)	(-2.83)	(-5.20)	-	15.42	0.0000	
	etro nsui	Dandor	11.992 ^a	-0.022	-	0.165 ^a	-	-0.156^{a}	0.002	07 10	0.0000
	Pe	Random	(8.51)	(-0.72)	-	(8.38)	-	(-5.26)	(2.19)	8∠.48	0.0000

Appendix 1A: Model estimation results for NO₂ using full dataset

 $\begin{array}{lll} Energy \ Consumption \ model: & E_{ijk} = a_0 + a_1 E C_{jk} + a_2 P O P_{jk} + a_3 Y_{jk}. \ P O P_{jk} + a_4 Y_{jk} + a_5 Y_{jk}^2 + a_6 Y_{jk}^3 + \varepsilon_t \\ Petroleum \ Consumption \ model: & E_{ijk} = a_0 + a_1 P C_{jk} + a_2 P O P_{jk} + a_3 Y_{jk}. \ P O P_{jk} + a_4 Y_{jk} + a_5 Y_{jk}^2 + a_6 Y_{jk}^3 + \varepsilon_t \end{array}$

a value at 1% significance level, b value at 5% significance level, c value at 10% significance level; t-statistics are within parentheses

Note: models for respective stratum have been selected based on Hausman specification test

Model	Effect	a_0	<i>a</i> ₁	<i>a</i> ₂	<i>a</i> ₃	a_4	a_5	<i>a</i> ₆	Wald Chi ²	Prob. > Chi ²
					Low Incon	ne				
	Fired	7.549 ^b	0.150 ^b	-	-0.051	-0.652	-	-	1.65	0.000
Electricity	гіхеа	(2.19)	(2.40)	-	(-0.98)	(-0.68)	-	-	4.05	0.000
Consumption	Random	4.426 ^b	0.136 ^b	-	-	-0.772	-	-	24 57	0.000
	Kanaom	(1.85)	(2.23)	-	-	(-0.83)	-	-	24.37	0.000
	Fired	9.393 ^b	-	-0.416	-	-0.761	-	-0.012	4.03	0.001
Petroleum	Гілей	(2.56)	-	(-1.23)	-	(-0.79)	-	(-1.21)	4.03	0.001
Consumption	Random	4.555 ^b	0.066	-	-	-	0.218	-0.014	20.40	0.002
	Kunuom	(2.10)	(1.03)	-	-	-	(1.34)	(-1.37)	20.40	0.002
				M	edium Inc	ome				
	Fixed	26.520 ^b	-0.025	-	-	-2.316	-0.107	-	16.65	0.000
Electricity Consumption		(2.24)	(-0.55)	-	-	(-0.49)	(-0.17)	-	10.05	0.000
	Pandom	25.853 ^b	-	-2.402 ^a	0.330 ^a	-	-	-0.002	100.18	0.000
	Kanaom	(2.19)	-	(-5.81)	(6.50)	-	-	(-0.08)	100.18	0.000
	Fired	25.533 ^b	0.015	-	-	-1.781	-	-	16 59	0.000
Petroleum	Гілей	(2.18)	(0.17)	-	-	(-0.38)	-	-	10.39	0.000
Consumption	Random	25.094 ^b	-	-2.437 ^a	0.332^{a}	-1.762	-	0.001	100.14	0.000
	Kunuom	(2.15)	-	(-5.57)	(6.36)	(-0.38)	-	(0.02)	100.14	0.000
					High Inco	me				
	Fired	26.851 ^a	-	-3.818 ^a	0.574^{a}	-	-0.656 ^b	0.013 ^c	8.04	0.000
Electricity	Гілей	(4.32)	-	(-3.95)	(4.95)	-	(-2.40)	(1.71)	0.94	0.000
Consumption	Random	12.781 ^b	-0.005	-	-	2.296	-	0.014 ^c	41.68	0.000
	Kanaom	(2.30)	(-0.08)	-	-	(1.16)	-	(1.76)	41.00	0.000
	Fired	24.463 ^a	0.199 ^b	-2.941 ^a	0.461 ^a	-	-	0.006	0.80	0.000
Petroleum	Гілей	(3.90)	(-2.16)	(-2.81)	(4.09)	-	-	(0.64)	9.00	0.000
Consumption	Random	11.063 ^c	-0.141 ^c	-	0.273 ^a	-	-0.419	-	15 10	0.000
-	Kandom	(1.95)	(-1.81)	-	(2.69)	-	(-1.46)	-	+3.49	0.000

Appendix 1B: Industrial area model estimation results for NO₂ using segregated dataset

 $\begin{array}{ll} \textit{Energy Consumption model:} & E_{ijk} = a_0 + a_1 E C_{jk} + a_2 P O P_{jk} + a_3 Y_{jk}. P O P_{jk} + a_4 Y_{jk} + a_5 Y_{jk}^2 + a_6 Y_{jk}^3 + \varepsilon_t \\ \textit{Petroleum Consumption model:} & E_{ijk} = a_0 + a_1 P C_{jk} + a_2 P O P_{jk} + a_3 Y_{jk}. P O P_{jk} + a_4 Y_{jk} + a_5 Y_{jk}^2 + a_6 Y_{jk}^3 + \varepsilon_t \\ \end{array}$

a value at 1% significance level, b value at 5% significance level, c value at 10% significance level; t-statistics are within parentheses

Note: models for respective stratum have been selected based on Hausman specification test

Model	Effect	a_{θ}	<i>a</i> ₁	a_2	<i>a</i> ₃	a_4	a_5	a_6	Wald Chi ²	Prob. > Chi^2
					Low Inco	me				
	E' 1	14.055 ^a	-	-0.884 ^a	0.039	-	0.258 ^c	-	9.69	0.000
Electricity	Fixea	(4.42)	-	(-3.03)	(0.84)	-	(1.80)	-	8.68	0.000
Consumption	Dandom	9.013 ^a	0.293 ^a	-0.484 ^c	-	-1.138	-	-0.013	47.21	0.000
	Kanaom	(3.29)	(4.23)	(-1.89)	-	(-1.34)	-	(-1.63)	47.21	0.000
	Fired	18.238 ^a	0.196 ^a	-1.313 ^a	0.105^{b}	-1.797 ^b	-	-0.016 ^c	7 08	0.000
Petroleum	Гілей	(5.29)	(3.21)	(-4.30)	(2.23)	(-2.01)	-	(-1.90)	7.90	0.000
Consumption	Random	10.392 ^a	0.087	-0.696 ^a	-	-	0.115	-	31.10	0.000
	Кипиот	(3.54)	(1.62)	(-2.60)	-	-	(0.80)	-	51.10	0.000
				N	Iedium In	come				
	Fixed	67.610 ^a	-	-1.761 ^a	0.219 ^a	-19.535 ^a	2.222 ^a	-0.098 ^a	11.14	0.000
Electricity		(4.49)	-	(-4.81)	(5.20)	(-3.22)	(2.80)	(-2.91)	11.14	0.000
Consumption	Pandom	67.440 ^a	0.017	-	0.211 ^a	-	2.300 ^a	-0.102^{a}	65 22	0.000
	Kanaom	(4.46)	(0.43)	-	(5.01)	-	(2.92)	(-3.03)	05.22	0.000
	Fired	67.795 ^a	-	-1.777 ^a	-	-19.534 ^a	-	-0.098^{a}	11 15	0.000
Petroleum	Гілей	(4.52)	-	(-4.57)	-	(-3.25)	-	(-2.93)	11.15	0.000
Consumption	Random	67.358 ^a	-	-1.555 ^a	0.205 ^a	-20.281 ^a	2.335 ^a	-0.103 ^a	66 71	0.000
	Кипиот	(4.50)	-	(-4.38)	(4.85)	(-3.41)	(3.00)	(-3.10)	00.71	0.000
					High Inco	ome				
	Fired	16.181 ^a	-	-2.008 ^a	0.268^{a}	-	-0.361 ^c	-	5 86	0.000
Electricity	Гілей	(2.62)	-	(-3.17)	(3.91)	-	(-1.79)	-	5.80	0.000
Consumption	Random	12.147 ^b	-	-1.006 ^a	0.248^{a}	-	-0.085 ^b	0.013 ^b	38.66	0.000
	Капаот	(2.10)	-	(-3.28)	(3.89)	-	(-2.46)	(2.04)	58.00	0.000
	Fired	15.529 ^b	-0.106	-1.635 ^b	0.239 ^a	-0.475	-	-	6 10	0.000
Petroleum	Гілей	(2.51)	(-1.51)	(-2.33)	(3.29)	(-0.25)	-	-	0.10	0.000
Consumption	Random	11.527 ^b	-	-1.031 ^a	0.233 ^a	-	-0.032 ^b	0.011 ^c	39.10	0.000
	Kandom	(1.98)	-	(-2.82)	(3.51)	-	(-2.08)	(1.71)	57.10	0.000

Appendix 1C: Residential area model estimation results for NO₂ using segregated dataset

a value at 1% significance level, b value at 5% significance level, c value at 10% significance level; t-statistics are within parentheses

Note: models for respective stratum have been selected based on Hausman specification test

	EC	PC	POP	POP.Y	Y	Y^2	Y^3
EC	1.0000						
PC	0.9408	1.0000					
POP	0.9484	0.8811	1.0000				
POP.Y	0.9728	0.9074	0.9754	1.0000			
Y	0.9814	0.9248	0.9641	0.9919	1.0000		
Y^2	0.9670	0.9051	0.9456	0.9937	0.9876	1.0000	
Y^3	0.9342	0.8698	0.9092	0.9744	0.9561	0.9900	1.0000

Appendix 1D: Correlations among independent variables (for industrial area)

Appendix 1E: Correlations among independent variables (for residential area)

	EC	РС	POP	POP.Y	Y	Y^2	Y^3
EC	1.0000						
PC	0.8882	1.0000					
POP	0.8878	0.8614	1.0000				
POP.Y	0.9477	0.9040	0.9648	1.0000			
Y	0.9601	0.9139	0.9481	0.9923	1.0000		
Y^2	0.9528	0.9016	0.9232	0.9914	0.9888	1.0000	
Y^3	0.9282	0.8742	0.8851	0.9723	0.9607	0.9911	1.0000

Appendix 1F: Robustness check for Industrial area models (full dataset)

Models		Core variables		Testing	Variables
labo		ln(Y)	$(lnY)^2$	ln(POP)	ln(Y).ln(POP)
ty Mc	Estimated model	- 0.765	- 0.177	-1.581	0.237
icit on	Partial regressions				
2 ctr upti	Regression 1	-0.091	-0.001	-	-
Ele	Regression 2	-0.083	-0.001	-1.019	-
on	Regression 3	-0.017	-0.008	-	0.014
C	Regression 4	-0.076	-0.112	-1.379	0.190
		$(lnY)^2$	$(lnY)^3$	ln(POP)	ln(Y).ln(POP)
n ion	Estimated model	-0.157	0.002	-1.223	0.164
leun 1pt del	Partial regressions				
tro. Aod	Regression 1	-0.011	0.001	-	-
Pei Con	Regression 2	-0.010	0.001	-1.106	-
	Regression 3	-0.012	0.001	-	0.014
	Regression 4	-0.181	0.004	-1.155	0.162

Note: Robustness of the models for full dataset is ensured, as the coefficient signs of core variables remain unchanged.

Models		Core variables		Testing	Variables			
ləb		ln(Y)	$(lnY)^2$	ln(POP)	ln(Y).ln(POP)			
Mc Mc	Estimated model	-0.623	-0.132	-1.339	0.192			
<i>trici</i> <i>tion</i>	Partial regressions							
ectn upti	Regression 1	-0.139	-0.008	-	-			
Ele	Regression 2	-0.151	-0.008	-0.025	-			
suo	Regression 3	-0.085	-0.011	-	0.007			
C	Regression 4	-0.617	-0.098	-1.190	0.159			
		ln(Y)	$(lnY)^2$	ln(POP)	ln(Y).ln(POP)			
n ion	Estimated model	-0.622	-0.166	-1.766	0.216			
leun 1pt lel	Partial regressions							
tro. Sun	Regression 1	-0.139	-0.008	-	-			
Pet Cons M	Regression 2	-0.151	-0.008	-0.025	-			
	Regression 3	-0.085	-0.011	-	0.007			
	Regression 4	-0.617	-0.098	-1.190	0.159			

Appendix 1G: Robustness check for Residential area models (full dataset)

Note: Robustness of the models for full dataset is ensured, as the coefficient signs of core variables remain unchanged.