



Munich Personal RePEc Archive

# **Trade Openness and Employment, Implications on Urbanisation in Sub-Saharan Africa**

Adou, Niango Sika Antoine Brice

University Felix Houphouet Boigny

23 November 2020

Online at <https://mpra.ub.uni-muenchen.de/104317/>  
MPRA Paper No. 104317, posted 03 Dec 2020 13:54 UTC

**TRADE OPENNESS AND EMPLOYMENT: IMPLICATIONS ON URBANISATION  
IN SUB-SAHARAN AFRICA**

Adou Niango Sika Antoine Brice  
Faculty of Economics and Management,  
University of Felix Houphouet Boigny, Cote d'Ivoire  
[brice.ansab@gmail.com](mailto:brice.ansab@gmail.com)

**November 2020**

## **Abstract**

Why are African countries urbanizing so fast? How do cities promote growth and why is it important to solve urban issues in Africa? In the context of higher push for trade liberalisation multilaterally, it is not trivial to ask these set of question. In this study the main objective is to check the influence of trade openness of African economies on their urbanisation rate. We also assess, how evolution of non-agricultural employment has also impacted this rate. We used panel data specifications, both in static and dynamic design. The data used are collected between 1990 and 2019 on 38 African countries. Although generally urbanisation has increased during recent years in Africa, regions have experienced different pathways in the process. The results show that both trade openness and non-agricultural employment have been motivation for people to urbanise over years, in Africa. When we consider countries with high amount of people living in slums, they are more driven by employment purpose. Other variables such as per capita GDP and the fertility rate have positive and significant influence, while FDI and national investment have mixed impact. It is important to collaborate in a continental level to take advantage of the rapid urbanisation.

**Keywords:** Urbanisation, Trade Openness, Employment, Panel Data

**JEL Code :** R11, O18, F14

## 1. Introduction

The United Nations (UN, 2017) forecasts that 68 % of the world population will live in the urban areas by 2050. This is informed by rapid urbanization rates in major countries around the world. The most urbanised regions today include the Northern and South America, Asia and Europe. Africa still has a lot of its population living in the rural area (43%, according to the UN (2017) but with the fastest rate of urbanization. Urbanisation is crucial for attaining the 2030 Agenda of Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). Goal 11 of the SDGs focuses on inclusive, safe, resilient and sustainable cities. Over years, urbanization has had different effects in developed and less developing countries. One sector where urbanization has magnified clearly is on the international markets. The continuous vague of trade liberalisation policies has helped some countries to reduce drawbacks provoked by urbanisation.

The link between urbanisation and trade openness have been assessed in the literature. Early researches project a U-shaped relationship between those two variables (Wheaton and Shishido, 1981; Williamson, 1965). The general conception points out that urban population boom leads to increased imports as the industrial sector is poorly developed. Another strand of research postulates that urbanisation can increase exports as populated cities fuel manufacturing activities. As expressed in the New Economy Geographic theory, trade costs reduce as economic activities are located close to workers and therefore enhance their productivity (Krugman, 1991). Populated urban areas generate scale economies and positive externalities for firms around. Therefore, firms closer to urban areas are likely to embrace the international market and become more efficient.

An example is the pathway followed by countries like China. Each province specializes in a particular activity to first produce for the Chinese market and then export to the rest of the world. That further increases their trade balance (Zhang & Wan, 2017). It is important to be cautious while bringing the Chinese case in the analysis but the fact is, exports growth and GDP per capita were highly correlated with urbanization growth; from 19.3% in 1980 to 58% in 2017 (World Bank, 2018). That pattern is also identical in the East Asia region in countries like the Philippines and Korea.

Africa is a typical case with a growing middle class, which drives the domestic demand for a consistent supply of high-quality goods. The poor industrial force let countries rely heavily on imports, from within and outside the region. It is worth nothing that urbanization also causes many problems such as: housing issues, pollutions, increasing price index, crime (as many African countries urbanization generates many slums), tax collection, infrastructure needs,

food security, land tenure, and so on. African cities are crowded with more than 40% of its population on average, living in urban area. Elsewhere in the world urbanization is associated with economic growth but in Africa Urbanization fails to consistently eradicate poverty as the continent has the highest rate of slum in the world (Lall, Henderson, & Venables, 2017).

This study assesses the link between urbanization and openness in Africa during the last decades. The main objective is to analyse African integration effects on urbanization and how non-agricultural employment has evolved concomitantly. It is important to study the link when international organisations and government efficiently designing policies to take advantage of the demographic dividend in Africa as well as how that population, with many youths, could serve as a pillar for its development.

The paper is organised as follows. Section 2 presents a related literature while section 3 presents the methodology used. Section 4 shows the findings and section 5 conclude.

## **2. Literature review**

This study inserts itself into a unique work in the new economic geography and urban economics. The existing empirical literature on urbanization and cities typically finds that there is a mixed relationship between urbanization and its determinants (Holmes, Lee, & Glaeser, 2010; Michaels, Rauch, & Redding, 2012). However, recent research on the relationship between urbanization and trade openness includes variables such as carbon dioxide emissions and energy consumption (Borck & Pflüger, 2019; Fallis et al., 2019; Lu, Wang, & Zhu, 2019). The theoretical backgrounds identifying urbanization process concludes in one hand a process uncorrelated to initial population growth and in another hand a process correlated either to past population growth or to natural advantages of a city.

Development economics states that urbanization is highly correlated with economies' structural change, even though empirical works do not find a conclusive statement on the question. Also, theories of new economic geography provide an explanation of the reallocation of economic activities from agriculture to non-agriculture (Fujita, Krugman, & Venables, 1999; Krugman, 1991). The Importance of transport costs and market access has direct impacts on urbanization rate. For example, in Davis & Vernon Henderson (2003), government policies such as price controls and industrial protection affects urbanization through the industrial structures' protection.

Though an empirical literature has examined several determinants of rapid urbanization across the world. These works include Linsky (1965), Berry (1961), Glaeser & Kahn (2008), and so

on. Closest in spirit to our work is (Michaels et al., 2012), whose examine the evolution of urbanization rate in the United States using subcounty data to track the evolution of urban and rural population. They based the analysis on the Gibrat's Law and find that in intermediate densities, current population growth is correlated to past population growth. Also related is Engin Duran & Pelin Özkan (2015), which analyse trade openness and city interaction based on the new economic geography conclusions and find that trade liberalization tends to reduce the size of the primate city and help increase the agglomeration economies in non-primate cities. Neither paper examines the importance of trade facilitation and infrastructures on urbanization – an analysis for which data on trade facilities are well suited.

Our research is also related to the importance of trade infrastructures such as ports and quality of exit points of a country. Following the literature, one channel through which trade openness affects the structural change is through trade facilitation. Improving logistic and infrastructure are empirically demonstrated to reduce trade costs and thereby increase country openness, which in turn enhance urbanization rate. This work focuses also on Sub Saharan Africa. Data availability pushes numerous works on the region and tools can easily be gathered to analyse urbanization rate on the continent. Although authors assert that urbanization rate in Africa is a sustainable way to link agricultural to industrial activities, many experts highlights the damageable impact of slums in the process (E. Glaeser & Kahn, 2008).

### **3. METHODOLOGY**

#### **Data and variables**

The data used in this study are annual and cover up the period from 1990 to 2019 of countries within Sub-Saharan Africa. The majority of variables come from the World Development Indicator (WDI, 2019). Countries selected are different in many ways therefore conducted a global analysis may hide specific characteristics. Dummy variables are added to control for specific unobservable factors that could impact our results. For clarification, a comprehensive list of variables is provided in Appendix.

Since the end of the 1990s and the beginning of the 2000s, many small cities in developing country have proved their capacity to grow significantly and handle the consequences they can bring. With that growth many experienced some improvements in term of infrastructures and basic services, which tends to attract more people main cities. As noted by Michaels et al. (2012), when a country grows it experiences relocation of labour from rural agricultural to

urban manufacturing and services. However, the objective of this paper is to assess trends on urbanization and how trade openness has evolved in African context. We retain countries that have experienced sustainable economic growth over the studied years. We make a distinction between those that have high rate of urban population living in slums from countries that seem to have attained structural change. A list of these countries is reported in the appendix.

**Descriptive statistics**

African economies account for the most opened countries in the world, in terms of trade openness. One reason is the huge vague of trade liberalisation. Generally, each region has experienced a constant rate of openness related to the GDP but there exist some differences within the continent.



**Figure 1:** Evolution of trade openness by country

Trade openness has remained quite flat with lower average over the considered time. Natural mineral exporters have a relative low rate on average and we observe that in Nigeria, Guinea, Democratic Republic of Congo, Niger and Angola. Lesotho, Eswatini and Djibouti have

higher values over time. For example, Lesotho trade openness is 34 percent higher than their GDP in 2018. It is important to also note that those latter countries are small by their size and population.

Overwise, GDP per capita are also dispersed over countries and time. Sub-Saharan countries experienced rapid growth. Figure 2 presents urbanization ratio in sub-regions over the studied period and economic growth rate by subregions. According to the UN, the amount of population living in urban area increases consistently each year and projected to be more than half of the world population by 2050. However, that growth is not equal across regions. As pointed by Glaeser (2004) coastal cities attract more people for diverse reason. In sub-Saharan countries those in the central part experience rapid urbanisation and many of those countries in our sample are coastal.

**Figure 2: Average GDP growth and urban population rate by regions**

Figure 2a reports average growth rate in Sub-Saharan Africa and Central region has the lowest growth rate over the studied period even though that region is well endowed in natural resources. As far as EAC and ECOWAS concern, it is noted higher growth rates and their average rate is above 4.5 percent. Many countries in those regions have been ranked among the ten most performing countries in the world in terms of growth rate in during the last decade. Other countries dominated the group of regions. The few numbers of countries inside that group can explain that. Average growth can hide stylized facts within each country but it is a good indicator for overviewing trends.

Economic growth is created by many factors both real and nominal. Human capital is a key factor in the development process of a country and trends show that populated and quality



people are boosting factors as well. In figure 2b, we present average urbanization growth rate in Sub-Saharan Africa. The central African countries has high rate of people living in urban area depending on the first big city or second or third town most populated. Another key fact pops up from results show that eastern countries, which perform quite well in term of growth rate, still have a lowest rate of population living in urban area on average. Over the period more that 15 percent of people in the South was living in urban area. That is due to the development stage of those countries. These countries are characterised by the high number of inhabitants living in rural areas for agricultural purpose.

### **Econometrics specification**

The importance of urbanization is perceptible in the development process. However, urbanisation is capture by three main variables, namely the proportion of people living in urban area, the population living in the largest city and the population concentration (Zhang & Wan, 2017). For the study's purpose we follow a modified version of Catin et al. (2008):

$$Urb_{it} = \alpha_0 + \alpha_{1it}Open_{it} + \alpha_{2it}Nagri_{it} + \gamma X_{it} + u_{it} \quad (1)$$

Where Urb captures the Urbanization variable, Open measures Openness degree, Nagri is the non-agricultural employment.

Next, X is a set of control variables used in the analysis, u is the error term while *i* indicates the country and *t* the time. At this stage we classify countries depending on the average rate of people living in slums. That repartition follows a threshold coming from the literature as many African countries have experienced urbanization but also poverty (Collier & Venables, 2016).

To attain the objective in this study we regress (1) using a panel data method. There is a high probability of endogeneity problems because there are others determinant of urbanization not necessarily explicit in this specification.

Therefore, we add to the analysis a dynamic panel estimation in the robustness check. The proportion of population living in largest city is used as an indicator of urbanisation as suggested in the literature (Henderson, 2003). Throughout the analysis openness and GDP per capita are assumed to be endogenous, meaning that they are explained by others variables. For dealing with this bias we used their lagged values as instruments.

## 4. Results

In a first step we regress conventional panel data model, namely fixed effect and random effect regression. Tables in this section present results from different specification. In column 1 the OLS method is applied while in column 2 to 4 panel fixed effect results are presented by using the two different measures of urbanisation retained in this study. That method is preferred to others because conclusions from the Hausman test provided in the appendix advocates so.

Although in table 2, almost all variables have significant effects on dependent variable, their amplitude varies considering the specification. In all cases trade openness have positive values, despite the last estimate is not significant. As far as the primacy (the population in the largest city) or the rate of urban population concern, the Krugman conclusion is respected for SSA countries. That is, as long as a country continues to open, urban areas become attractive because, despite the cost of living, they served as one mean of finding better jobs and eradicating poverty.

Furthermore, cities are still attracting workers and firms on average. There are positive and significant effects of non-agricultural employment on urbanization. That is, all else constant increasing the non-agricultural jobs improve the urbanization rate by 10% on average. When the country group with higher rate of people living in slums (column 4) is considered, the employment drives more people in cities. It means that rural people do not anticipate the hardship of settle in the urban space properly before leaving their area. Policymakers should design better employment policies for cities' productivity is captured by their capacity to provide jobs and increase wage of its habitants (Glaeser & Gottlieb, 2008). Cities remain the epicentres of job providing both in terms of sharing a large pool of worker as well as in term of good matching between employers and workers.

Table 1: Estimation results with urbanization rate

VAR	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
<b>TRADE</b>	0.146*** (0.00830)	0.0166*** (0.00513)	0.0182*** (0.00489)	0.0570*** (0.00878)
<b>GDP</b>	13.55*** (0.641)	5.138*** (0.366)	6.655*** (0.358)	-6.365*** (0.581)
<b>EMP</b>	-0.216***	0.102***	0.0855***	0.483***

	(0.0313)	(0.0180)	(0.0171)	(0.0413)
<b>FDI</b>	0.0573	-0.0193*	-0.00770	0.00497
	(0.0403)	(0.0102)	(0.00958)	(0.0190)
<b>POP</b>	6.80e-08***	1.43e-07***	1.47e-07***	1.27e-07***
	(9.33e-09)	(1.34e-08)	(1.41e-08)	(1.76e-08)
<b>FIN_DEV</b>	0.0332*	0.00366	0.0511***	0.00568
	(0.0184)	(0.0119)	(0.0132)	(0.0264)
<b>INV</b>	-0.102**	-0.0298*	-0.0171	-0.0653***
	(0.0415)	(0.0153)	(0.0194)	(0.0144)
<b>FER</b>	0.585	-2.979***	-2.829***	-1.813***
	(0.430)	(0.204)	(0.204)	(0.260)
<b>Constant</b>	-61.57***	8.669***	-3.002	61.74***
	(5.159)	(2.985)	(2.978)	(4.082)
<b>Obs</b>	991	991	691	240
<b>R-squared</b>	0.592	0.664	0.773	0.819

Robust standard errors in parentheses

\*\*\* p<0.01, \*\* p<0.05, \* p<0.1

In sub-Saharan Africa investments do not serve as a good reason to migrate to cities. Foreign direct investment is negative when it has significant estimates. The reason could be that external investments are oriented on natural resources. National wide the investment level discourages people to live in urban area on average. In this paper we also check how the fertility rate improve the urbanisation rate in Africa. On average when population increased, the demographic boom is well felt in rural than urban areas. Considerable amount of birth is realized in rural areas or migrants in African countries preferred to stay in remote area.

The results presented above show that many things have to be done to allow African countries to benefit from the massive population exodus into their urban areas. A clear recommendation coming from this analysis is to foster trade liberalisation that would in turn improve trade openness with more focus on how youth and women could gain benefits. To push the analysis a bit further we present in the robustness check a variant of regressions.

### Robustness check

In this analysis we consider as dependent variable the percentage of people living in the largest city (primacy). As the previous section, table 2 shows results when dynamic panel data is applied (column 5) and OLS specification is removed.

Table 2: Estimation results with largest agglomeration

VAR	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
<b>TRADE</b>	0.0217*** (0.00306)	0.0263*** (0.00317)	0.0189*** (0.00633)	-0.00109*** (0.000252)
<b>L.TRADE</b>	-	-	-	0.00120*** (0.000240)
<b>GDP</b>	2.652*** (0.214)	3.850*** (0.223)	-4.764*** (0.419)	-0.414*** (0.0547)
<b>L.GDP</b>	-	-	-	0.187*** (0.0530)
<b>EMP</b>	0.0498*** (0.0105)	0.0311*** (0.0106)	0.209*** (0.0298)	0.00173*** (0.000395)
<b>FDI</b>	-0.0171*** (0.00581)	-0.00699 (0.00592)	-0.0296** (0.0137)	0.00415*** (0.000448)
<b>POP</b>	-9.13e-09 (7.77e-09)	-1.46e-08* (8.84e-09)	-1.51e-09 (1.27e-08)	1.14e-09*** (2.18e-10)
<b>FIN-DEV</b>	-0.0151* (0.00821)	-0.00207 (0.00827)	-0.0101 (0.0191)	0.00382*** (0.000287)
<b>INV</b>	-0.0515*** (0.00886)	-0.0359*** (0.0121)	-0.0255** (0.0104)	-0.000369 (0.000404)
<b>FER</b>	-0.735*** (0.121)	-0.483*** (0.132)	-1.676*** (0.188)	0.0471*** (0.00574)
<b>CORRUP</b>	-	-	-	-0.00299 (0.00350)
<b>DEMOC</b>	-	-	-	0.0153*** (0.00251)
<b>Constant</b>	-1.104 (1.707)	-10.73*** (1.858)	45.58*** (2.944)	1.083*** (0.0850)
<b>Regional FE</b>	NO	NO	NO	YES
<b>Obs</b>	871	631	240	594
<b>R-squared</b>	0.432	0.549	0.624	-

Robust standard errors in parentheses

\*\*\* p&lt;0.01, \*\* p&lt;0.05, \* p&lt;0.1

Population in the largest agglomeration is used in the literature as a proxy of urbanization too. In the dynamic specification financial development has a positive influence on primacy, even though its magnitude is low. Democracy in Africa contributes to improve the amount of people in the main agglomeration. Reducing by 1% the score of democracy's level in a country has a negative impact on the willingness of people to move to the largest city. In term of corruption, the coefficient is negative but it is non-significant. Institution matters in the development of cities and how they can be productive, hence attractive, in Africa. Cities are development hubs and countries focus on designing policies around them. Therefore it is common to witness developing countries investing in urban areas and foster exports (Duranton, 2015). On average, when trade openness increases, the largest city does not immediately get crowded with people but becomes so in the next period. That pattern is

also noticed with the gross domestic product per capita. Wealth improvement for a country is a signal for rural population to relocate in the largest agglomeration. Fertility rate is positively associated with the probability to move in the main urban area, and independent from the regions. Several studies find that the link between openness and primacy tends to consider variables such as energy consumption and carbon emissions. Here results show that omitting them does not significantly affect different estimates at play. Besides, policymakers could pay keen attention to demographic growth because it comes with more benefits and advantages than needs.

## **5. Conclusion**

Urbanization is one of the most important transformations that African countries will undergo these decades. This study sought to evaluate the influence of trade openness and non-agricultural employment on urbanisation rate in sub-Saharan Africa. To this end, the study explored different panel data specification using data from selected sus-Saharan countries between 1990 and 2019.

Africa urbanisation has been constant over time and in most countries the same pattern is observed. In a regional point of view on average the urban population in CEMAC zone has increased considerably up to 30% while the Eastern Africa has the lowest rate (10%). Furthermore, countries have been divided in two different groups according to a threshold of the amount of people living in urban areas but into slums. Results are not different in terms of sign and significance but differ a lot in terms of magnitude. Non-agricultural employment and trade openness influence positively urbanisation rate in both groups of countries.

However, in countries with many people in slums, trade openness has greater impact. That is, individuals are willing to move to urban area in some extend due to the opportunity caused by the international market. Then they fail most of the time to accommodate to new systems and culture far from their reality, in a time where jobs are really correlated with the social capital. Therefore, the urban rate is likely to increase in all countries because policies are toward improving urban areas living conditions. Notwithstanding, cooperation in the continental level could help improving job creation and take advantage of urbanization. One limitation of our study is to consider only one way of influence. Other research outcomes could focus on the bidirectional link and improve with more physical infrastructure data to check different channel through which these variables are interlinked.

## References

- Berry, B. 1961. City Size Distributions and Economic Development. *Economic Development and Cultural Change* 9: 573–587
- Borck, R., & Pflüger, M. (2019). Green cities? Urbanization, trade, and the environment. *Journal of Regional Science*, 49(0), 0–3. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jors.12423>
- Catin, M., Hanchane, S., & Kamal, A. (2008). Urbanisation, primatie et étapes de développement : existe-t-il une courbe en cloche ? *Region et Developpement*, 27, 83–108.
- Collier, P., & Venables, A. J. (2016). Urban infrastructure for development. *Oxford Review of Economic Policy*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxrep/grw016>
- Davis, J. C., & Vernon Henderson, J. (2003). Evidence on the political economy of the urbanization process. *Journal of Urban Economics*. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0094-1190\(02\)00504-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0094-1190(02)00504-1)
- Duranton, G. (2015). Growing through cities in developing countries. *World Bank Research Observer*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/wbro/lku006>
- Engin Duran, H., & Pelin Özkan, S. (2015). Trade openness, Urban concentration and city-size growth in Turkey. *Regional Science Inquiry*.
- Fallis, A., Edward L. Glaeser, Joshua D. Gottlieb, Bosquet, C., Combes, P. P., Alonso-Villar, O., ... Weinstein, D. E. (2019). Transportation Costs and the Spatial Organization of Economic Activity. *Journal of Urban Economics*, 5(1), 707–731. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9701.00160>
- Fujita, M., Krugman, P., & Venables, A. J. (1999). The spatial economy: Cities, regions, and international trade. In *Southern Economic Journal* (Vol. 67). <https://doi.org/10.2307/1061487>
- Glaeser, E., & Kahn, M. (2008). The greenness of cities. *Cambridge, MA*.
- Glaeser, E. L., & Gottlieb, J. D. (2008). The economics of place-making policies. *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*.
- Henderson, V. (2003). The urbanization process and economic growth: The so-what question. *Journal of Economic Growth*. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1022860800744>
- Holmes, T. J., Lee, S., & Glaeser, E. L. (2010). Cities as Six- by- Six- Mile Squares Zipf' s Law ? In *Agglomeration Economics*.
- Krugman, P. (1991). Increasing Returns and Economic Geography. *Journal of Political Economy*, 99(3), 483–499. <https://doi.org/10.1086/261763>
- Lall, S. V., Henderson, J. V., & Venables, A. J. (2017). Africa's Cities: Opening Doors to the World. In *Africa's Cities: Opening Doors to the World*. <https://doi.org/10.1596/978-1-4648-1044-2>
- Linsky, A. S. (1965). Some generalizations concerning primate cities. *Annals of the Association of American Geographers*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8306.1965.tb00531.x>
- Lu, Y., Wang, J., & Zhu, L. (2019). Place-Based Policies, Creation, and Agglomeration Economies: Evidence from China's Economic Zone Program. *American Economic Journal: Economic Policy*. <https://doi.org/10.1257/pol.20160272>
- Michaels, G., Rauch, F., & Redding, S. J. (2012). Urbanization and structural transformation. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 127(2), 535–586. <https://doi.org/10.1093/qje/qjs003>

Zhang, Y., & Wan, G. (2017). Exploring the Trade–Urbanization Nexus in Developing Economies: Evidence and Implications. *Ssrn*, (636).  
<https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2928701>

## Appendices

### Appendix A: Table of country list

Angola	Liberia
Benin	Madagascar
Botswana	Malawi
Burkina Faso	Mali
Cameroon	Mauritania
Chad	Mauritius
Congo, Dem. Rep.	Mozambique
Congo, Rep.	Namibia
Cote d'Ivoire	Niger
Djibouti	Nigeria
Equatorial Guinea	Rwanda
Eswatini	Senegal
Ethiopia	South Africa
Gabon	Sudan
Ghana	Tanzania
Guinea	Togo
Guinea-Bissau	Uganda
Kenya	Zambia
Lesotho	Zimbabwe

### Appendix B: Description of variables

<b><i>Variables</i></b>	<b><i>Description</i></b>
<b><i>URB</i></b>	Proportion of population living in urban area at time t
<b><i>LARG</i></b>	Proportion of people living in the largest city defining as the primacy
<b><i>GDP</i></b>	Gross Domestic Product per capita
<b><i>OPEN</i></b>	Openness measures by the amount of trade reported to the GDP
<b><i>EMP</i></b>	Non-agricultural employment in percentage of total labor force
<b><i>FDI</i></b>	Foreign Direct Investment inflow at time t
<b><i>DEV_FIN</i></b>	Domestic credit to private sector as a percentage of the GDP
<b><i>CORRU</i></b>	Corruption index from the <i>International Country Risk Guide (ICRG)</i>
<b><i>DEMOC</i></b>	Democracy score from <i>International Country Risk Guide (ICRG)</i>