

# The Interdependence between Rainfall and Temperature: Copula Analyses

Cong, Rong-Gang and Brady, Mark

2012

Online at https://mpra.ub.uni-muenchen.de/112149/ MPRA Paper No. 112149, posted 07 Mar 2022 14:27 UTC

## The interdependence between rainfall and

## temperature – Copula analyses

Rong-Gang Cong <sup>1\*</sup>, Mark Brady <sup>1,2</sup>

1. Centre for Environmental and Climate Research (CEC), Lund University, Lund, Sweden.

2. AgriFood Economics Centre, Department of Economics, Swedish University of

Agricultural Sciences, Lund, Sweden.

Correspondence should be addressed to Rong-Gang Cong, <u>cascong@126.com</u>, <u>Ronggang.Cong@cec.lu.se</u>.

#### Abstract

Rainfall and temperature are important climatic inputs for agricultural production, especially in the context of climate change. However, accurate analysis and simulation of the joint distribution of rainfall and temperature are difficult due to possible interdependence between them. As one possible approach to this problem, five families of copula models are employed to model the interdependence between rainfall and temperature. Scania is a leading agricultural province in Sweden and affected by a maritime climate. Historical climatic data for Scania is used to demonstrate the modeling process. Heteroscedasticity and autocorrelation of sample data are also considered to eliminate the possibility of observation error. The results indicate that for Scania there are negative correlations between rainfall and temperature for the months from April to July and September. The student copula is found to be most suitable to model the bivariate distribution of rainfall and temperature based on the Akaike information criterion (AIC) and Bayesian information criterion (BIC). Using the student copula, we simulate temperature and rainfall simultaneously. The resulting models can be integrated with research on agricultural production and planning to study the effects of changing climate on crop yields.

## **Keywords**

Meteorology; Agricultural economics; Weather simulation; Multivariate analysis; Copula model; Rainfall; Temperature

### **1. Introduction**

Weather is the key source of uncertainty affecting crop yield especially in the context of climate change [1-3]. For example, Vergara et al. studied the potential impact of catastrophic weather on the crop insurance industry and found that 93% of crop loss was directly related to unfavorable weather [4]. Accurate modeling of multivariate weather distributions would allow farmers to make better decisions for reducing their exposure to weather risk or take advantage of favorable climatic relationships [5]. Among variables relevant to weather, rainfall and temperature are two important factors which have a large effect on crop yield [6-9]. Typically, temperature affects the length of the growing season and rainfall affects plant production (leaf area and the photosynthetic efficiency) [10, 11].

There is a lot of literature studying the effects of temperature and rainfall on crop yield. Erskine and Elashkar quantified the effect of rainfall on lentil seed yield and found that rainfall accounted for 79.8% of the variance of seed yield [12]. Lobell et al. studied 12 major Californian crops and found rainfall was able to explain more than 60% of the observed variability in yields for most crops [13]. Cooper et al. found that not only the seasonal rainfall totals and their season-to-season variability were important, but also the 'within season' variability had a major effect on crop productivity [14], which implies monthly data is needed in crop production analysis.

Muchow et al. found that lower temperature increased the length of time that the maize could intercept radiation and hence grow [15]. Lobell and Asner found a roughly 17% relative decrease in both corn and soybean yield in the USA for each degree of increase in growing season temperature [16]. In summary, it is well established that

rainfall and temperature are two important climatic factors affecting agricultural production [17-19].

Since temperature and rainfall are critical determinants of crop yield, accurate simulation of temperature and rainfall is important not only for meteorology but also for agricultural economics. However, in reality it is difficult to simulate rainfall and temperature simultaneously due to the interdependence (correlation) between them [20-22]. Spatially, it is generally believed that there exists significant correlation between rainfall and temperature over tropical oceans and land [23]. For example, Aldrian and Susanto examined the relationship between rainfall and sea surface temperature, and found that Indonesian rainfall variability revealed some sensitivity to sea-surface temperature variability in adjacent parts of the Indian and Pacific Oceans [24]. Black also studied the relationship between Indian Ocean sea surface temperature and East Africa rainfall, and concluded that strong East African rainfall was associated with warming in the Pacific and Western Indian Oceans and cooling in the Eastern Indian Ocean [25].

Temporally, it is generally believed that the correlation between rainfall and temperature changes between months. For example, Rajeevan et al. examined the temporal relationship between land surface temperature and rainfall [26]. They found that temperature and rainfall were positively correlated during January and May but negatively correlated during July. Using annual data Huang et al. also found a negative correlation between rainfall and temperature in Yellow River basin of China [27].

To take the interdependence between rainfall and temperature into account, multivariate probability simulation is needed. Traditionally multivariate probability

density functions, however, are generally limited to the multivariate normal distribution or mixtures of it [28]. A possible method that provides an alternative is the Copula method. Copulas are advantageous because they can model joint distributions of random variables with greater flexibility both in terms of marginal distributions and the dependence structure [29]. Copulas have been used in financial economics for quite some time [30-32]. However, there are relatively few applications to agricultural weather simulation.

In respect to temperature and rainfall, AghaKouchak et al. applied two different elliptical copula families, namely, Gaussian and t-copula, to simulate the spatial dependence of rainfall and found that using the t-copula might have significant advantages over the well-known Gaussian copula particularly with respect to extremes [33]. Serinaldi also studied the spatial dependence of rainfall and confirmed that only positive contemporaneous pairs of rainfall observations correctly described the inter-site dependence [34]. Laux et al. highlighted the importance of pretreatment of meteorological data in the Copula modeling process [35]. Laux et al. used the Clayton copula to construct the bivariate distribution of drought duration and intensity [36]. Similar applications of the Clayton copula can also be found in the studies of Favre et al. and Shiau et al. [37, 38]. Furthermore, they raised the question as to which copula model best fitted the empirical data. The only literature concerning the application of Copula simulation to model the interdependence between temperature and rainfall up to now is Scholzel et al. [39]. They used a simple statistical model based on the copula approach to describe the phenomenon that cold periods were accompanied by small precipitation amounts.

Inspired by Dupuis's study on hydrological random variables [40], the purpose of this paper is to illustrate the pretreatment process of meteorological data, demonstrate the application of different copulas to modeling of joint distributions of rainfall and temperature, select the most suitable copula function according to information criterions, and finally simulate rainfall and temperature simultaneously.

### 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1 Study area

Scania is Sweden's southernmost province and one of Northern Europe's most fertile farming districts with the main crops being winter wheat, rapeseed, sugar beets and barley. As Scania is surrounded by water on three sides (the Baltic Sea, the Kattegat Sea and the Öresund Sound), it has a maritime climate, especially along the south and east coasts. The winters are mild (few days of snow), but the summers are similar to those in the rest of southern Sweden.

#### 2.2 Data collection and preliminary analysis

Monthly temperature and rainfall data for Scania from 1961 to 2010 was obtained from the Swedish Meteorological and Hydrological Institute.

(1) Temperature



FIGURE 1: Monthly average temperature in Scania, Sweden from 1961 to 2010.

Note: The boundary of the box closest to zero indicates the 25th percentile, a line within the box marks the median, and the boundary of the box farthest from zero indicates the 75th percentile. Whiskers (error bars) above and below the box indicate the 90th and 10th percentiles.

Monthly average temperature in Scania shows a clear seasonal cycle from 1961 to 2010, Figure 1. The average temperature usually reaches its peak in July and its bottom in February. From April to November, the average temperature is always above 0°C. The variability of average temperature in January and February is though relatively large. Some descriptive temperature statistics are listed in Table 1.

	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June
Maximum	5.10	5.20	5.80	8.30	13.10	18.00
Minimum	-5.20	-5.20	-2.30	1.90	8.40	12.00
Mean	0.83	0.54	2.21	5.68	10.58	14.78
Standard	2.48	2.39	1.85	1.36	1.20	1.07
deviation						
Variation	2.99	4.44	0.84	0.24	0.11	0.07
coefficient						
	July	Aug.	Sep.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.
Maximum	21.00	21.50	16.90	13.20	8.30	7.10
Minimum	13.90	14.60	11.60	7.60	2.70	-2.80
Mean	16.99	17.04	13.98	10.03	5.80	2.49
Standard	1.59	1.45	1.20	1.33	1.27	1.88
deviation						
Variation	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.13	0.22	0.76
coefficient						

TABLE 1: Descriptive statistics for monthly average temperature from 1961 to 2010

## (unit: ℃).

#### (2) Rainfall

Compared with temperature, monthly total rainfall in Scania does not show a clear seasonal cycle from 1961 to 2010. From June to November, the average monthly total rainfall is relatively high, Figure 2. Some descriptive rainfall statistics are listed in Table 2.



FIGURE 2: Monthly total rainfall in Scania, Sweden from 1961 to 2010.

Note: The boundary of the box closest to zero indicates the 25th percentile, a line within the box marks the median, and the boundary of the box farthest from zero indicates the 75th percentile. Whiskers (error bars) above and below the box indicate the 90th and 10th

percentiles.

TABLE 2: Descriptive statistics for monthly total rainfall from 1961 to 2010 (unit:

```
mm).
```

	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June
Maximum	70.00	50.00	73.50	87.90	90.60	123.3
Minimum	1.00	5.00	3.30	3.80	6.30	0.1
Mean	35.19	25.14	30.07	32.20	39.74	46.28
Standard	17.07	11.38	16.25	18.86	20.46	26.82

deviation Variation coefficient	0.49	0.45	0.54	0.59	0.51	0.58
	July	Aug.	Sep.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.
Maximum	147.60	189.90	161.90	106.30	95.00	106.00
Minimum	7.40	5.70	7.30	4.50	17.00	4.80
Mean	51.15	58.33	49.20	45.90	45.73	40.80
Standard	30.76	39.97	31.48	24.26	19.65	19.03
deviation						
Variation	0.60	0.69	0.64	0.53	0.43	0.47
coefficient						

(3) The relationship between rainfall and temperature

The physical rationale behind the relationship between rainfall and temperature is that rainfall may affect soil moisture which may in turn affect surface temperature by controlling the partitioning between the sensible and latent heat fluxes [41]. Because the sample data is non-Gaussian distributed and skewed, the Kendall correlation coefficient is employed to calculate the correlation between monthly rainfall and temperature. It is found that there are negative correlations between rainfall and temperature from April to July and in September (at the 10% confidence level) (Table 3).

	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June
Kendall	0.12	0.13	0.07	-0.27	-0.3	-0.17
correlation						
coefficients						
P value	0.22	0.19	0.49	0.007	0.002	0.08
	July	Aug.	Sep.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.
Kendall	-0.3	-0.02	-0.19	-0.13	-0.02	0.09
correlation						
coefficients						
P value	0.002	0.84	0.06	0.19	0.85	0.37

Table 3 Correlation analysis for monthly temperature and rainfall from 1961 to 2010

#### **2.3 Methods**

Here we use the Copula functions to model the interdependence between the probability distributions of a certain month's temperature and rainfall. Let X and Y be continuous random variables representing temperature and rainfall, with cumulative distribution functions  $F_X(x) = \Pr(X \le x)$  and  $G_Y(y) = \Pr(Y \le y)$ , respectively. Following Sklar [42], there is a unique function C such that:

$$\Pr(X \le x, Y \le y) = C(F(x), G(y)) \tag{1}$$

where  $C(u,v) = \Pr(U \le u, V \le v)$  is the distribution of the pair (U,V) = (F(X), G(Y))whose margins are uniform on [0, 1]. The function *C* is called a copula. As argued by Joe [43] or Nelsen [44] among others, *C* characterizes the dependence in the pair (X, Y). There are many parametric copula families available, which usually have parameters that control the strength of dependence. Among these, five families of commonly used copulas are considered. They are listed in table 4, along with their parameter range. The first three are Archimedean [43] and the last two are meta-elliptical [45].

Family	C(u,v)	Range of $\theta$
Normal	$N_{\theta}(\Phi^{-1}(u),\Phi^{-1}(v))$	[-1,1]
Student	$T_{\theta,\gamma}(T_{\gamma}^{-1}(u),T_{\gamma}^{-1}(v))$	[-1,1]
Clayton	$(u^{- heta}+v^{- heta}-1)^{-1/ heta}$	$(0,\infty)$
Frank	$-\theta^{-1}\ln\{1+(e^{-\theta u}-1)(e^{-\theta v}-1)/(e^{-\theta}-1)\}$	$(-\infty,\infty)$
Gumbel	$\exp\left\{-\left[\left(-\ln u\right)^{\theta}+\left(-\ln v\right)^{\theta}\right]^{1/\theta}\right\}$	$[1,\infty)$

Table 4: Five families of copulas

 $\Phi$ : Cumulative distribution function (cdf) of a N(0,1)

 $N_{\theta}$ : Cdf of a standard bivariate Normal distribution with Pearson correlation  $\theta$ 

 $T_{\gamma}$ : Cdf of a Student distribution with  $\gamma$  degrees of freedom

 $T_{\theta_{\gamma}}$ : Cdf of a bivariate Student distribution with  $\gamma$  degrees of freedom

#### Source: [46]

After calculating the parameters of each copula, it is necessary to decide which family is the best representation of the dependence structure between the variables of interest. There are a few techniques to select the best copula. One of them is based on distance measures pertaining to the distributions of the candidate models (copulas) and the empirical distribution of the data [46, 47]. Alternative methods include Likelihood Ratio tests and approaches related to information criteria [31], such as Akaike [48] and Schwarz's Bayesian [49] Information Criteria. Information criteria are adopted here because they can describe the tradeoff between bias (or accuracy) and variance (complexity) in model construction. The Akaike information criterion (*AIC*) is a measure of the relative goodness of fit of a statistical model. Its definition is:

$$AIC = 2k - 2\ln(L) \tag{2}$$

where k is the number of parameters in the copula, and L is the maximized value of the likelihood function for the copula. The Bayesian information criterion (*BIC*) was developed by Schwarz using Bayesian formalism. Its definition is:

$$BIC = -2\ln(L) + k\ln(N) \tag{3}$$

where *N* is the sample size.

#### 3. Results and Discussion

Temperature and rainfall data in April from 1961 to 2010 is employed as an example to demonstrate the modeling process (Figure 3). There is a significant negative relationship

(Kendall correlation coefficient is -0.27, P-value=0.007) between temperature and rainfall in April. Temperature has negative skewness (-0.35) and rainfall has positive skewness (1.07), which may cause a heteroscedasticity problem when fitting the model [50]. Following Kim and Ahn [51], the temperature and rainfall data are log-transformed to remove this effect. The logarithmic transformation for the data is invertible, which will not affect the fitting results.



FIGURE 3: Temperature and rainfall in April from 1961 to 2010

Following Benth and Saltyte-Benth's instructions [52], the time series of temperature and rainfall are tested for autocorrelation using the Q-statistics (Figure 4). Autocorrelation describes the correlation between values of temperature (or rainfall) at different points in time, as a function of the time difference. The presence of autocorrelation increases the variances of residuals and estimated coefficients, which reduces the model's efficiency. The Ljung–Box Q test is a type of statistical test of whether autocorrelations of a time series are different from zero [53]. The Q-statistics is defined as follows:

$$Q = N(N+2) \sum_{a=1}^{h} \frac{\hat{p}_{a}^{2}}{N-a}$$
(4)

where  $\hat{p}_a^2$  is the sample autocorrelation at lag *a*, and *h* is the number of lags being tested. The first order autocorrelations are found to be strong both for temperature (Q-stat=6.32, P-value=0.01) and rainfall (Q-stat=4.52, P-value=0.03), as shown in Figure 4.



FIGURE 4: Sample autocorrelation function (ACF) of temperature and rainfall in April from 1961 to 2010

Therefore, an AR(1) model is used to eliminate the autocorrelation in the series as follows:

$$tempe_{t} = 0.48 + 0.35 \times tempe_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{t}$$

$$(4.7^{**}) (2.56^{**})$$

$$rain_{t} = 1.85 - 0.29 \times rain_{t-1} + \mu_{t}$$

$$(9.06^{**}) (-2.1^{**})$$
(5)

Note: The numbers in the bracket are t-values. \*\* stands for the statistical significance at the 95% confidence level.

Residuals  $\varepsilon_t$  and  $\mu_t$  are tested where only weak autocorrelations are found (Figure 5).



FIGURE 5: Sample autocorrelation function (ACF) of AR adjusted temperature and rainfall in April

In addition to autocorrelation, time trends are also found in the series of  $\varepsilon_t$  and  $\mu_t$ .

Based on Manton et al.'s research [54], the time trends should be removed from the series to obtain a stationary process. The functions used to detrend the time series are:

$$\varepsilon_{t} = -0.08 + 0.0032 \times t + \varphi_{t}$$

$$(-2.65^{**}) (3.04^{**})$$

$$\mu_{t} = 0.17 - 0.007 \times t + \gamma_{t}$$

$$(2.3^{**}) (-2.65^{**})$$
(6)

We find that temperature has an increasing trend and rainfall a decreasing trend in April from 1961 to 2010 (Figure 6). The annual rate of increase in temperature in April is  $0.0032^{\circ}$ C and decrease in rainfall is 0.007 mm per year. The trend adjusted data are shown in Figure 7 where *rtempe*, and *rrain*, are used to represent the corrected values of  $\varphi_t$  and  $\gamma_t$  respectively.



FIGURE 6: Residuals for AR adjusted temperature and rainfall in April



FIGURE 7: Scatters of residuals for trend adjusted temperature and rainfall in April

The residuals for the trend adjusted variables have negative skewness: temperature (-1) and rainfall (-0.7). Based on the inference for the margins (IFM) [55], the parameter estimates and model evaluation indices for each Copula for *rtempe*<sub>t</sub> and *rrain*<sub>t</sub> are presented in Table 5.

		Normal	Student	Clayton	Frank	Gumbel
	heta	-0.34	-0.31	0.001	0.001	1.1
	log-likelihood	3.05	4.11	-0.0007	-0.0002	-1.86
	AIC	-6.06	-8.15	0.042	0.041	3.75
	BIC	-6.02	-8.07	0.081	0.08	3.79

Table 5 Results of different copula models for temperature and rainfall in April

The log-likelihood ratio is largest and the AIC and BIC are smallest for the Student Copula, which means that the Student Copula is the most suitable model. A comparison of the real and simulated residuals of temperature and rainfall is shown in Figure 8.



FIGURE 8: Scatter plots of real residuals (above) and Student-based Copula simulated residuals (below)

Since the purpose of this paper is to develop a copula model of the bivariate distribution of rainfall and temperature that can be used in simulation studies, the accuracy of the resulting model is of utmost importance. Although Table 5 has provided some statistical support for the model and Figure 8 has given some visual evidence, the contours of the cumulative distribution functions can best show the difference between the real and simulated data. In Figures 9-13 the contours of the cumulative distribution functions (CDF) for the real and simulated data from the five copula models are plotted to visualize the difference or similarity in the distributions as the case may be. It is found that the Student copula model fits the real data best according to the similarity of the contour lines. Consequently the Student copula is the best choice of model according to all our criteria.



FIGURE 9: Real vs Gauss Fitted CDF



FIGURE 10: Real vs Student Fitted CDF



FIGURE 11: Real vs Clayton Fitted CDF



FIGURE 12: Real vs Frank Fitted CDF



FIGURE 13: Real vs Gumbel Fitted CDF

Note: The dashed lines are the real CDFs while the solid lines are the simulated CDFs

Based on the estimated parameters, 1,000 draws are made from the Student copula model. The simulated data is then transformed to the original scale and compared with the real data in Figure 14.



FIGURE 14: Real (left) and Student-based Copula simulated (right) temperature and rainfall data for Scania in April

## **4.** Conclusions

This paper presents a copula-based methodology for modeling the joint distribution of temperature and rainfall, which are of utmost importance for agricultural production especially in the context of climate change. Copulas have been used extensively in the financial literature, but have not been widely used in weather simulation. The copula approach provides a powerful and flexible method to model multivariate distributions and thus goes beyond joint normality, regressibility, and mean-variance criterion. Accurate simulation of weather events may help to improve risk management in agricultural planning.

A shortcoming of the copula method is the arbitrariness of the selection of a particular copula. The main purpose of this paper is to present a complete copula modeling framework to model the interdependence of rainfall and temperature. In contrast to Schoelzel et al. [39], we compare different copulas and show how to select the optimal copula based on information criterion (AIC and BIC). The advantage of this approach is that it does not require any assumptions and is primarily data driven thus minimizing the subjectivity introduced by the researcher. The model selection criteria indicate that the Student copula produces the best model to simulate the dependence structure between rainfall and temperature in Scania, Sweden.

Although the month of April was chosen as our working example, we have also tested the data for other months with similar results. The study is only based on meteorological data for a single region. The most suitable copula family for rainfall and temperature might change from one region to another due to differences in geographical and geophysical conditions. Our approach however can be applied in studies of other parts of the world to select the most appropriate copula model. A potentially valuable extension of this research is to connect the analysis with crop production planning and agricultural economics. If the relationship among temperature, rainfall and crop yield can be determined then it could be used in developing risk reducing strategies for farmers, something which will become increasingly important in the face of climate change. This is the focus of our ongoing research.

## Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge the good research environments provided by the Centre for Environmental and Climate Research (CEC), Lund University and AgriFood Economics Centre. We also thank the editors and anonymous referees for their helpful suggestions on the earlier draft of our paper according to which we improved the content.

This research is funded by "Biodiversity and Ecosystem services in a Changing Climate – BECC" and SAPES. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. The contents are the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Centre for Environmental and Climate Research (CEC), Lund University or AgriFood Economics Centre.

#### **Reference:**

[1] J. R. Black and S. R. Thompson, "Some Evidence on Weather-Crop-Yield Interaction," *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, vol. 60, no. 3, pp. 540-543, 1978.

[2] V. A. Alexandrov and G. Hoogenboom, "The impact of climate variability and change on crop yield in Bulgaria," *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, vol. 104, no. 4, pp. 315-327, 2000.

[3] O. Chloupek, P. Hrstkova and P. Schweigert, "Yield and its stability, crop diversity, adaptability and response to climate change, weather and fertilisation over 75 years in the Czech Republic in comparison to some European countries," *Field Crops Research*, vol. 85, no. 2-3, pp. 167-190, 2004.

[4] O. Vergara, G. Zuba, T. Doggett and J. Seaquist, "Modeling the Potential Impact of Catastrophic Weather on Crop Insurance Industry Portfolio Losses," *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, vol. 90, no. 5, pp. 1256-1262, 2008.

[5] J. W. Jones, J. W. Hansen, F. S. Royce and C. D. Messina, "Potential benefits of climate forecasting to agriculture," *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, vol. 82, no. 1-3, pp. 169-184, 2000.

[6] E. C. A. Runge, "Effects of Rainfall and Temperature Interactions During the Growing Season on Corn Yield," *Agronomy Journal*, vol. 60, no. 5, pp. 503-507, 1968.

[7] P. E. Abbate, J. L. Dardanelli, M. G. Cantarero, M. Maturano, R. J. M. Melchiori and E. E. Suero, "Climatic and water availability effects on water-use efficiency in wheat," *Crop Science*, vol. 44, no. 2, pp. 474-483, 2004.

[8] D. F. Calderini, L. G. Abeledo, R. Savin and G. A. Slafer, "Effect of temperature and carpel size during pre-anthesis on potential grain weight in wheat," *Journal of Agricultural Science*, vol. 132, no. 4, pp. 453-459, 1999.

[9] M. Medori, L. Michelini, I. Nogues, F. Loreto and C. Calfapietra, "The impact of root temperature on photosynthesis and isoprene emission in three different plant species," *The Scientific World Journal*, vol. 2012, Article ID 525827, 10 pages, 2012.

[10] P. Cantelaube and J. M. Terres, "Seasonal weather forecasts for crop yield modelling in Europe," *Tellus A*, vol. 57, no. 3, pp. 476-487, 2005.

[11] J. E. Olesen and M. Bindi, "Consequences of climate change for European agricultural productivity, land use and policy," *European Journal of Agronomy*, vol. 16, no. 4, pp. 239-262, 2002.

[12] W. Erskine and F. Elashkar, "Rainfall and temperature effects on lentil (Lens-Culinaris) seed yield in Mediterranean environments," *Journal of Agricultural Science*, vol. 121, pp. 347-354, 1993.

[13] D. B. Lobell, K. N. Cahill and C. B. Field, "Historical effects of temperature and precipitation on California crop yields," *Climatic Change*, vol. 81, no. 2, pp. 187-203, 2007.

[14] P. J. M. Cooper, J. Dimes, K. P. C. Rao, B. Shapiro, B. Shiferaw and S. Twomlow, "Coping better with current climatic variability in the rain-fed farming systems of sub-Saharan Africa: An essential first step in adapting to future climate change ?" *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, vol. 126, no. 1-2, pp. 24–35, 2008.

[15] R. C. Muchow, T. R. Sinclair and J. M. Bennett, "Temperature and Solar-Radiation Effects on Potential Maize Yield across Locations," *Agronomy Journal*, vol. 82, no. 2, pp. 338-343, 1990.

[16] D. B. Lobell and G. P. Asner, "Climate and Management Contributions to Recent Trends in US Agricultural Yields," *Science*, vol. 299, no. 5609, pp. 1032, 2003.

[17] D. B. Lobell and C. B. Field, "Global scale climate–crop yield relationships and the impacts of recent warming," *Environmental Research Letters*, vol. 2, no. 1, Article number 014002, 2007.

[18] R. K. Kaufmann and S. E. Snell, "A Biophysical Model of Corn Yield: Integrating Climatic and Social Determinants," *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, vol. 79, no. 1, pp. 178-190, 1997.

[19] S. J. Riha, D. S. Wilks and P. Simoens, "Impact of temperature and precipitation variability on crop model predictions," *Climatic change*, vol. 32, no. 3, pp, 293-311, 1996.

[20] J. Shukla and B. M. Misra, "Relationships between sea surface temperature and wind speed over the central Arabian Sea, and monsoon rainfall over India," *Monthly weather review*, vol. 105, pp. 998-1002, 1977.

[21] A. F. Moise, R. A. Colman and J. R. Brown, "Behind uncertainties in projections of Australian tropical climate: Analysis of 19 CMIP3 models," *Journal of geophysical research*, vol. 117, D10103, 2012.

[22] M. Tanarhte, P. Hadjinicolaou and J. Lelieveld, "Intercomparison of temperature and precipitation data sets based on observations in the Mediterranean and the Middle East," *Journal of Geophysical Research D: Atmospheres*, vol. 117, no. 12, D12102, 2012.

[23] R. F. Adler, G. Gu, J. J. Wang, G. J. Huffman, S. Curtis and D. Bolvin, "Relationships between global precipitation and surface temperature on interannual and longer timescales (1979–2006)," *Journal of geophysical research*, vol. 113, D22104, 2008.

[24] E. Aldrian and R. D. Susanto, "Identification of three dominant rainfall regions within Indonesia and their relationship to sea surface temperature," *International Journal of Climatology*, vol. 23, no. 12, pp. 1435-1452, 2003.

[25] E. Black, "The relationship between Indian Ocean sea–surface temperature and East African rainfall," *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A*, vol. 363, no. 1826, pp. 43-47, 2005.

[26] M. Rajeevan, D. S. Pai and V. Thapliyal, "Spatial and Temporal Relationships Between Global Land Surface Air Temperature Anomalies and Indian Summer Monsoon Rainfall," *Meteorology and Atmospheric Physics*, vol. 66, no. 3-4, pp. 157-171, 1998.

[27] Y. Huang, J. Cai, H. Yin and M. Cai, "Correlation of precipitation to temperature variation in the Huanghe River (Yellow River) basin during 1957–2006," *Journal of Hydrology*, vol. 372, no. 1-4, pp. 1-8, 2009.

[28] D. S. Wilks, "Statistical Methods in the Atmospheric Sciences," Academic Press, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition, 2005.

[29] A. AghaKouchak, A. Bardossy and E. Habib, "Conditional simulation of remotely sensed rainfall data using a non-Gaussian v-transformed copula," *Advances in Water Resources*, vol. 33, no.6, pp. 624-634, 2010.

[30] Y. Malevergne and D. Sornette, "Testing the Gaussian copula hypothesis for financial assets dependences," *Quantitative Finance*, vol. 3, no.4, pp. 231-250, 2003.

[31] A. J. Patton, "Copula–Based Models for Financial Time Series," *Handbook of Financial Time Series*, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, pp. 767-785, 2009.

[32] C. Genest, M. Gendron and M. Bourdeau-Brien, "The Advent of Copulas in Finance," *European Journal of Finance*, vol. 15, no. 7-8, pp. 609-618, 2009.

[33] A. AghaKouchak, A. Bardossy and E. Habib, "Copula-based uncertainty modelling: application to multisensor precipitation estimates," *Hydrological Processes*, vol. 24, no. 15, pp. 2111-2124, 2010.

[34] F. Serinaldi, "Analysis of inter-gauge dependence by Kendall's  $\tau$ K, upper tail dependence coefficient, and 2-copulas with application to rainfall fields," *Stochastic Environmental Research and Risk Assessment*, vol. 22, no. 6, pp. 671-688, 2008.

[35] P. Laux, S. Vogl, W. Qiu, H. R. Knoche, H. Kunstmann, "Copula-based statistical refinement of precipitation in RCM simulations over complex terrain," *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, vol. 15, pp. 2401–2419, 2011.

[36] P. Laux, S. Wagner, A. Wagner, J. Jocobeit, A. Bardossy and H. Kunstmann, "Modelling daily precipitation features in the Volta Basin of West Africa," *International Journal of Climatology*, vol. 29, no. 7, pp. 937-954, 2009.

[37] A. C. Favre, S. E. Adlouni, L. Perreault, N. Thiemonge and B. Bobee, "Multivariate hydrological frequency analysis using copulas," *Water Resources Research*, vol. 40, pp. 1-12, 2004.

[38] J. T. Shiau, S. Feng, S. Nadarajah, "Assessment of hydrological droughts for the Yellow River, China, using copulas," *Hydrological Processes*, vol. 21, no. 16, pp. 2157–2163, 2007.

[39] C. Schoelzel and P. Friederichs "Multivariate non-normally distributed random variables in climate research – introduction to the copula approach," *Nonlinear Processes in Geophysics*, vol. 15, pp. 761-772, 2008.

[40] D. J. Dupuis, "Using Copulas in Hydrology: Benefits, Cautions, and Issues," *Journal of Hydrologic Engineering*, vol. 12, pp. 381-393, 2007.

[41] J. Huang and H. M. Van Den Dool, "Monthly precipitation-temperature relations and temperature prediction over the United States," *Journal of Climate*, vol. 6, no. 6, pp. 1111-1132, 1993.

[42] A. Sklar, "Random variables, joint distribution functions, and copulas," *Kybernetika*, vol. 9, no. 6, pp. 449-460, 1973.

[43] H. Joe, "Multivariate Models and Dependence Concepts," London: Chapman and Hall, 1997.

[44] R. Nelsen, "An Introduction to Copulas," New York: Springer, 1999.

[45] H. B. Fang, K. T. Fang and S. Kotz, "The Meta-elliptical Distributions with Given Marginals," *Journal of Multivariate Analysis*, vol. 82, no. 1, pp. 1-16, 2002.

[46] V. Gregoire, C. Genest and M. Gendron, "Using copulas to model price dependence in energy markets," *Energy Risk*, vol. 5, pp. 58-64, 2008.

[47] E. Kole, K. Koedijk and M. Verbeek, "Selecting copulas for risk management," *Journal of Banking & Finance*, vol. 31, no. 8, pp. 2405-2423, 2007.

[48] H. Akaike, "A new look at the statistical model identification," IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control, vol. 19, no. 6, pp. 716–723, 1974.

[49] G. E. Schwarz, "Estimating the dimension of a model," *Annals of Statistics*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 461-464.

[50] S. T. Katircioglu, RESEARCH METHODS IN BANKING AND FINANCE. http://www.emu.edu.tr/salihk/courses/bnfn504/chp-11.pdf

[51] T. W. Kim, H. Ahn, "Spatial rainfall model using a pattern classifier for estimating missing daily rainfall data," *Stochastic Environmental Research and Risk Assessment*, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 367-376, 2009.

[52] F. E. Benth and J. Šaltytė-Benth, "Stochastic Modelling of Temperature Variations with a View Towards Weather Derivatives," *Applied Mathematical Finance*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 53-85, 2005.

[53] G. M. Ljung and G. E. P. Box, "On a Measure of Lack of Fit in Time Series Models," *Biometrika*, vol. 65, no. 2, pp. 297-303, 1978.

[54] M. J. Manton, P. M. Della-Marta, M. R. Haylock, K. J. Hennessy, N. Nicholls, et al.,
"Trends in extreme daily rainfall and temperature in Southeast Asia and the South Pacific: 1961–1998," *International Journal of Climatology*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 269-284, 2001. [55] H. Joe and J. J. Xu, "The Estimation Method of Inference Function for Margins for Multivariate Models," Department of Statistics, University of British Columbia, 1996.