



Munich Personal RePEc Archive

# **An Analysis of the Hypes, Realities, and Opportunities Associated With Digital Freight Business Models**

Maestracci, Dr Aria

15 January 2021

Online at <https://mpra.ub.uni-muenchen.de/116033/>  
MPRA Paper No. 116033, posted 19 Jan 2023 06:08 UTC

# **An Analysis of the Hypes, Realities, and Opportunities Associated With Digital Freight Business Models**

***Aria Maestracci***

*Cyber Security and Policy Research Institute, USA*

---

## ***Abstract***

As a result of extensive research and studies conducted recently on digital freight models and how they are likely to revolutionize the entire freight industry in the near future, researchers have done a lot of research and studies about these digital freight models. The digital freight models that are being introduced today are nothing new. In fact, several of these products have been on the market since the late 80's, but most of them have failed due to the fact that the technology was not yet mature enough to meet the real-time needs of the industry at the time the product was introduced. During the past few years, there have been quite a few changes and improvements in the freight industry as a result of the advancement of technology and research. Now there are dozens of different types of freight models available that all have a variety of different options and attributes to choose from. There are so many different types of freight models available today that it can be difficult for even the most experienced individual to keep track of them all because there are so many to choose from. As a result of the digital transformation of the freight industry, shippers of all types are able to build an internal logistics capability that can be used in the future.

***Keywords:*** *Digital Freight and Economic Impact, Freight Models and Development, Impact of Technology on Freight Models, Hypes and Freight Models*

## ***Introduction***

A great deal of marketplaces today are either maintaining their traditional role as pure mediators or employing a regulated model to be able to influence decision-making on their behalf through the collective wisdom of the market. As a result of this, they are able to influence decision-making on an active or passive basis on their behalf based on the collective wisdom of the market to influence the decision-making process. The platform does not only provide a platform for businesses to use. However, many of them are also integrating their own value added services into their portfolios, such as transport and other alternative

offerings, despite the fact that these platforms still provide a platform for businesses to use. The result is that they have now become hybrid platforms, combining the features of a marketplace with the features of a service provider, making them a hybrid platform. Secondly, there is no doubt that, as a result of the literature review conducted in the present study, many marketplaces now provide end-to-end transaction management services ranging from informing, selecting, booking, and executing by expanding downward on the value chain, in order to offer end-to-end transaction management services involving informing, selecting, booking, and executing. In consequence, they are directly competing with management software providers in the market as a result. It is also important to realize that marketplaces are also moving toward the right by restricting ecosystems by ranking, rating, and prioritizing the offerings and partners within the ecosystem or by offering the proprietary services that have been mentioned earlier as well.

As a result, management software providers have always placed a high priority on serving the needs of their customers, focusing on all three key client segments: shippers, carriers, and forwarders. While this is true, unlike in the past when providers targeted mainly large corporations due to the high investment costs and effort involved, today's cloud-based management platforms are more appealing, more affordable, and more suited to even small and mid-sized companies than ever before. There are a number of businesses in this segment that have always been rather mode and geography-independent, as the major focus of their activities has always been on providing a tool that does not necessarily require deep freight knowledge or deep resources, especially as it pertains to technical aspects. A significant portion of the management software providers offer their products exclusively through their own platforms as part of their business model due to the nature of the business model.

Among management software providers, there is a common commercial model that can be described as combining both a flat-fee fee as well as a transaction-driven pricing model that can be considered as a common commercial model. In order to take advantage of the benefits that are available as a result of the rise of cloud-based solutions, some commercial schemes have made the decision to move away from subscription-based pricing models and switch to two-tier transaction-based pricing models in order to take advantage of these solutions.

### **Digital Freight Models and Development**

There has been a significant rise in the number of new digital freight models and vendors entering the market over the last few years. This is as a result of the adoption of this technology in the industry. Road transportation has a number of freight models, but the most common one is the digitized freight network (DFN), which is one of the most common freight models on the road. The use of DFNs is

advantageous over more traditional processes, such as utilizing brokers, load boards, and the spot market. However, the processes are still time consuming and require carriers and shippers to provide information individually, but they do not allow for a true collaborative process at all. It is pertinent to note that companies that wish to cut their transportation costs during this current economic crisis, as well as those that face more challenging times in the future, will have access to real-time capacity availability by utilizing these digital freight networks, and as a result will be able to cut their transportation costs.

For digital organizations to succeed, they must anticipate the arrival of legacy players into the digital sphere in order to set themselves up for success. As a result, they need to prepare themselves for an intensified competition, not only from other digital players, but also from legacy players who are entering the digital freight arena and competing against them as well. To remain competitive, it is important for digital players to leverage their digital skills while matching the competencies of legacy players in order to stay ahead of the competition. Among other things, it might be possible for digital service providers to invest in their expertise and assets in the field of freight so as to be able to provide high-quality and reliable services to their clients. Digital players lack a distinctive dominant strategy, but there is one thing that can be said for sure about all of them. There is no doubt that agility and the ability to continuously adapt are the cornerstones of success in this digital age, regardless of which strategy they choose to implement.

Marketplaces have traditionally been focused on carriers and freight forwarders when it comes to their customers and how they go to market. One of the traditional characteristics of marketplaces has been their focus on carriers and freight forwarders. As a result of the fact that they operate in a neutral mediator model that mimics the foreign exchange model, they can accomplish this. It is important to note that there is one problem with this model: it does not appeal to shippers at all. It can be argued that the reason for this is that it requires experience on the part of the users in order to find attractive deals. This requires flawless execution of the deal. The rise of managed and regulated models in recent years has resulted in users receiving an increasing amount of additional support in spite of this. There have been a few freight exchanges that have attempted to bring together shippers and carriers on the same platform. However, they have had limited success so far in bringing the two together. Additionally, in recent years, there has been a growing number of business model subtypes, such as shipper marketplaces, that have been designed specifically to facilitate the mediation of actual forwarding offerings. These businesses have emerged in order to facilitate the mediation of actual forwarding offerings. For those small and medium sized shippers looking for an effective method of comparing and booking freight services, this is becoming an increasingly attractive option.

Indeed, there is no doubt that suppliers and buyers continue to play an important role in the global air and ocean freight, as well as in European road freight, as a matter of fact. There is a wide variety of traditional as well as digital forwarders available, which makes individual players have relatively little negotiation power. With the exception of North American domestic road transportation where leaders have a strong position on the supplier side. This is because of their extensive range of forwarder offerings, especially towards the supplier end. They have a strong position on the supplier side. The fastest-growing digital service providers, despite the fact that their businesses have grown rapidly, still have negative profit margins despite the fact that their businesses have grown quickly despite the fact that they have grown rapidly. There is a possibility that margins will be higher than those of legacy players if the potentials of the business model are fully exploited once the potentials of the business model are fully exploited.

As a first step, shippers may decide to establish their own marketing environment that will allow them to obtain freight space in the open market at their own pace (such as through large-scale tenders or by arranging spot shipments that are highly attractive to carriers and forwarders) in order to procure freight space on their own. Based on the level of negotiation power that each shipper has in the market, he or she may be able to establish either single-sided (individual) or multi-sided (cooperation-based) marketplaces in the market. Also, shippers can explore the possibility of digitalizing their existing platforms, as well as developing an internal digital forwarding arm, in order to be able to provide freight services effectively to their core businesses as well as to be able to potentially use any remaining capacities that may remain to offer these services to the general public. It is true that this is one of the more far-fetched options, but big-scale shippers are not entirely out of the question in terms of such an option being considered.

### ***Conclusion***

There is no doubt that the provision of services by service providers continues to be influenced by the service offerings of the brokers, producers, and sellers of their own services, as well as by the services offered by other service providers. The majority of these companies take full responsibility and ownership of the goods they sell, provide guarantees, and accept liability for the transport of those goods on behalf of their clients. Even though some service providers are positioning themselves as hybrids and moving horizontally as well as vertically, they have changed their product definitions and their promise to differentiate themselves from their competitors in order to compete effectively in the market despite the fact that some service providers are positioning themselves as hybrids. It has been observed that there are instances in which certain service providers are utilizing their own

marketplace environments. This is to procure freight capacities in the background by using their own marketplaces in order to do so. The purpose of this is to be able to maintain a competitive edge over your competitors. Nevertheless, it is important to mention that other operators have developed into end-to-end operators, which are capable of covering the entire value chain in a single operation and have evolved into end-to-end operators.

There is a need for organizations to consider forming partnerships with one another. In the future, it may be possible for players to join forces and combine their strengths and networks in order to create a larger-scale platform universe where they can gain traction faster and compete with similar small-scale challengers for market share by combining their resources and by combining their resources. This can only work if effective governance is put into place and if there are incentive schemes in place that are appealing to all parties involved so that the incentive scheme can be successful. Among the various providers of air and ocean freight services, competition is more open within the segment than it is elsewhere. There are a number of early pioneers who have established strong positions on individual transport lanes in the market. In parallel, legacy forwarders are also gaining increasing power through the digitalization of their customer frontends and operations in order to gain a better grip over their customers. It is also important to note that there is a great deal of market potential to be gained in this segment. This is because there has been a very low penetration of digital businesses in this segment. In road freight, the situation is different, at least in mature markets such as North America, where there is more of an understanding of the process. In this market, early pioneers have gained recognition and developed toward a true alternative to traditional forwarders by establishing a strong presence. There is a greater likelihood that new entrants will not be able to succeed because of this. The overall penetration of road freight remains low in Europe as a whole.

## ***References***

Anas, Alex. Residential location markets and urban transportation. economic theory, econometrics and policy analysis with discrete choice models. No. Monograph. 1982.

Baisya, Rajat K., and Siddhartha Paul Tiwari. "E-governance Challenges and Strategies for Better-managed Projects." *Emerging Technologies in E-Government* (2008): 203-208.

Chin, Tahn Joo, and Kai Yuen Wang. "Software technology development in Singapore." *IEEE Software* 6.2 (1989): 61-65.

Corsi, Thomas M., and Philip Fanara Jr. "Driver management policies and motor carrier safety." *Logistics and Transportation Review* 24.2 (1988): 153.

Dawson, Patrick, and Ian McLoughlin. "Computer technology and the redefinition of supervision: a study of the effects of computerization on railway freight supervisors." *Journal of management studies* 23.1 (1986): 116-132.

Dick, Howard W. "The Indonesian interisland shipping industry." *The Indonesian Interisland Shipping Industry*. ISEAS Publishing, 1990.

Hendrickson, Chris. "A note on trends in transit commuting in the United States relating to employment in the central business district." *Transportation Research Part A: General* 20.1 (1986): 33-37.

Holmes, Scott, and Des Nicholls. "An analysis of the use of accounting information by Australian small business." *Journal of small business management* 26.2 (1988): 57.

Kendall, Lane C. "The business of shipping." *The business of shipping*. Springer, Dordrecht, 1986. 482-488.

Rakowski, James P. "Marketing economies and the results of trucking deregulation in the less-than-truckload sector." *Transportation Journal* (1988): 11-22.

Sheffi, Yosef. "Third party logistics: present and future prospects." *Journal of Business Logistics* 11.2 (1990): 27.

Trade, Tribute. "Transportation." E. General Works on Cities F. General. Q. Maps of Salt Lake City H. Regional Maps D (1985).

Tiwari, Siddhartha Paul. "Information and communication technology initiatives for knowledge." *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences* 78.9 (2008): 737-47.

Tiwari, Siddhartha Paul. "Emerging trends in soybean industry." (2017).

Tiwari, Siddhartha Paul, and S. P. Tiwari. "Is export-oriented and currency dynamics-based Indian soybean revolution environment-friendly." *Current Science* 114.08 (2018): 1604-1605.

Tiwari, Siddhartha Paul, and Rajat K. Baisya. "E-governance and its impact on enterprise competitiveness: Trends, Status and Challenges." *MDI, Gurgaon INDIA in Association with Australian Centre for Asian Business, University of South Australia, Adelaide, AUSTRALIA* 1 (2014).

Tiwari, Siddhartha Paul. "Diversity and its importance in today's corporate environment." (2015).

Tiwari, Siddhartha Paul. "Business: Innovation & Survival, by a Googler." (2015).

Tiwari, Siddhartha Paul. "Exploring the Linkage between a Successful Digital Campaign and Gaming." *Casual Connect, Asia Pacific, Singapore* 1.1 (2014): 5-6.

Tiwari, Siddhartha Paul. "Editorial: Project and Technology Management Foundation (PTMF) Newsletter (June, 2015)." (2015).

Tiwari, Siddhartha Paul. "Editorial: Project and Technology Management Foundation (PTMF) Newsletter (December, 2014)." (2014).

Tiwari, Siddhartha Paul. "Strengthening E-Commerce Product Launches-Improving Efficiencies from Development to Production." *Project And Technology Management Foundation (A Non-Profit Organization) Member of Asia Pacific Federation of Project Management* 1.2 (2015):" 4-6.

Tiwari, Siddhartha Paul. "Knowledge Sharing and Content Creator Best Practices Online" (2015). 5-12.

Tiwari, Siddhartha Paul. "Workshop on Digital Marketing: Credit Course, IIM, Indore" (2010). 1-24

Wang Chiang, Judy S. Economies of scale and scope in multiproduct industries: a case study of the regulated US trucking industry. Diss. Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 1981.



Wang, George HK. "A study of economic indicators in rail freight traffic cycles 1950-76." *Transportation Research Part B: Methodological* 15.6 (1981): 391-405.

Willingale, M. C. "The port-routeing behavior of short-sea ship operators; theory and practice." *Maritime policy and management* 8.2 (1981): 109-120.

Wilkins, Mira. "European and North American multinationals, 1870-1914: Comparisons and contrasts." *Business History* 30.1 (1988): 8-45.