An Examination of the Economic and Social Impacts of Corporate Innovation and Interventions

Maestracci, Aria

4 January 2023

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL ON ECONOMICS, FINANCE AND SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT
E-ISSN: 2620-6269

IJEFSD

Available online at www.researchparks.org

RESEARCH PARK

https://journals.researchparks.org/index.php/IJEFSD

Vol. 5 No. 3 | March 2023

An Examination of the Economic and Social Impacts of Corporate Innovation and Interventions

Aria Maestracci
Policy Analyst, Cyber Security and Policy Research Institute, 2121 Ist NW, Washington, DC 20052, USA

ABSTRACT

There has been a major shift in the concept of innovation as one of the key factors of production, as a factor that drives and sustains a company's productivity and competitiveness, of innovation as a key factor of production. Despite the fact that the importance of innovation continues to grow, it is observed that existing studies have produced a variety of results regarding the factors that drive firm-level innovations, despite the increasing importance placed on innovation. Throughout the world, there are a number of factors that drive innovation in a company, whether it is in the service sector or in the manufacturing sector, and this study examines some of these factors. A number of research results suggest that certain aspects of the business environment, such as policy instability, legal institutions, corruption, and informal competition, have a negative impact on the introduction of non-technological innovations, as indicated in the research findings. Additionally, the results indicate that both technological and non-technological innovations are positively impacted by formal training, multinational technology companies, and research and development. These effects have both marginal and additional effects. The paper aims at providing practical implications for firm managers and policymakers around the world concerning how the business environment can be improved in order to make it more conducive to innovative activity at the firm level, thus making it a more conducive business environment for generating innovations at the firm level.

ARTICLEINFO

Article history:
Received 04 Jan 2023
Received in revised form
03 Feb 2023
Accepted 31 Mar 2023

Keywords: Innovative technologies; technological interventions and innovations; the business environment; society and technology; policy institutions; multinational corporations and innovation.

E-mail address: info@researchparks.org
Peer review under responsibility of Emil Kaburuan.
. Hosting by Research Parks All rights reserved.

Introduction

A number of studies have demonstrated that innovation plays a critical role at the economic and societal level, as it plays a key role in promoting the development of a nation's economy and ensuring its sustainability over the long term. There is no question that adoption of innovations impacts the productivity, profitability, and competitiveness of firms at the level of the economy and society as a whole. Innovation provides businesses with a competitive advantage over their competitors on the market as a result of their acceptance of innovation. The factors that drive and sustain innovation are both technical and non-technical. These factors can be categorized according to the influence they have on innovation, both at the technical and non-technical levels. Despite the fact that businesses play a very important role in innovation, a vibrant business sector is one of the most important sources and conduits for technological and non-technological innovation, and firms can contribute to innovation in many ways. There is no doubt that innovation is recognized as a significant strategic factor in the economy and in society which determines the productivity and competitiveness of firms, both at the economic and at the social levels. While this is true, innovations are carried out in many different ways at different organizations and sectors, and they vary depending on the characteristics of the firm, its level of technological knowledge production, its behavior, and its strategic objectives. In the corporate world, a variety of constraints lead to significant innovation gaps, which are largely caused by a lack of resources. The search for new innovations by corporations is influenced and constrained by several factors. Among these factors, there are some that are internal to the company and completely under its control. A number of internal factors can limit the ability of corporations to invest in research and development, including a lack of capital to invest in R&D, an insufficient number of skilled personnel, and an unhealthy level of competition from informal sector firms. As a result, some threats to the corporate innovation process are also external to the company. The corporate environment in which the corporation operates, in which it operates, is embedded with external factors. As a result of the literature review that has been conducted for some existing studies on innovation around the world, there are some limitations that prevent us from being able to gain an accurate picture of the innovation landscape as a whole. Firstly, there is a problem with these studies because they have been overly focused on the technology sector, thereby neglecting other sectors, such as the service sector and others, which have been neglected in these studies. A number of other researchers have also examined the issue of innovation from a purely technological perspective as well as from a venture capitalist perspective. We believe that the narrow measure of the innovation process as well as the neglect of other sectors prevents an in-depth analysis of the innovation process at the firm level because of the narrow measure of innovation. To extend the existing understanding of innovation to a more global level, it is therefore imperative to conduct research worldwide in order to build on the previously identified caveats and extend the existing understanding of innovation to a global level. To fill these gaps, this study focuses on firms from all sectors, regions, and sizes in order to provide a detailed analysis of the innovations that these businesses have made over the years. There are many different factors that may be responsible for driving and sustaining innovation within a business in the future, and this paper aims to examine their role as a major objective. In order to determine how the future of innovation will be affected by determinants such as government policy, economic and legal institutions, as well as fierce competition, we examine determinants spanning across the business environment. Additionally, we analyze how firm characteristics, innovation activities, and multinationals have an impact on the development of technologies and non-technological innovations.

E-mail address: info@researchparks.org
Peer review under responsibility of Emil Kaburuan.

Methodology

It was our objective to use quantitative and qualitative research methods and data to investigate multinational innovative corporations from both the technology sector and the service sector that span all of the world's continents and regions throughout all of the world. As a part of our study, we examined these multinational companies to understand whether the policy framework, legal institutions, competitive pressure from the informal sector, and other internal factors are driving innovation within these companies. In terms of applied research and development, there are five focus areas that will be driven and coordinated within global R&D centers, namely: automotive parts and accessory systems, information and communications technology, logistics and supply chain management enabling technologies, nanotechnology and advanced materials, textiles and clothing. As a result, this research differs from previous research globally, which hasn't been focusing on analyzing the impacts of the business environment on multinational companies' innovations as it does in this study. Historically, the technological intensity of firms in an industrial sector has been used as a proxy for their ability to innovate over time. There is no doubt that certain aspects of the business environment or economic conditions, such as policy framework, legal institutions, and informal sector competition, have a negative impact on technological and non-technological innovation as a whole. There are however, a number of firms that are not exclusively located in a stratum of high technological intensity that are capable of innovating. Institutional theory is consistent with the fact that these institutional conditions can negatively influence corporate level innovations in a negative way. As a result of these findings, this research, from a perspective of the emerging economies, contributes to and extends the existing research. The paper also makes a significant contribution to the literature by emphasizing the importance of measuring innovations from the perspective of international technological linkages. There is no doubt that our results demonstrate that international technological linkages can contribute to positive externalities on innovations around the world, and our findings are in line with those of other studies. Accordingly, this study aims to investigate whether there might be a link between technological intensity and innovation capability in firms from different industrial sectors in order to discover whether such a link exists. Although there have been many researches conducted on innovations around the world, none of these studies have specifically explored the nexus between international technological linkages and innovations, despite the fact that there are numerous research on innovations worldwide. In the same way that our research contributes to the development of a better understanding of innovation from this perspective, it also provides a better understanding of the factors that drive and sustain innovation at the corporate level from this perspective, which is in synch with federal policies. The outcomes of our research indicate that multinational technologies have marginal and additional effects on the development of technologies as well as non-technological developments as a result of our research. There has been evidence from a number of studies that multinational technology can significantly increase the level of innovation at the corporate level due to its influence on technology.

Results and Discussions

This analysis is able to provide significant contributions to both practice and theory as a result of the research results that it produces. The results of our study indicate that policy instability and legal institutions exert negative impacts on non-technological innovations as a result of non-technological instability and legal institutions. The fact that innovation is responding strongly to government initiatives in all parts of the world is interesting to note. The rise of corporate incubators or accelerator programs has also played a significant role in the development of innovation in recent years. They can either be designed to drive the development of ideas that originate from within an organization or they

E-mail address: info@researchparks.org
Peer review under responsibility of Emil Kaburuan.

can become collaborative workspaces where the resources and knowledge of an organization can be leveraged by external startups that wish to leverage those resources and expertise. During the development period, patenting activities are more coordinated and concentrated in the strategic areas, in contrast to the less noticeable patenting activities in developing countries during these periods. There is no doubt that the political environment and legal institutions pose substantial obstacles to firms' innovation search and performance because they are perceived as substantial obstacles by these firms. As such, it is imperative to improve the global political environment by improving the political framework, developing institutions, as well as improving the legal environment to make it conducive to the functioning of firms.

As a result, our results contribute to the study of institutional theory, which asserts that corporations make vigorous responses to both formal and informal institutional conditions, and these structures are critical in influencing their access to essential resources that they need for survival. As a result of the research, it has also been shown that multinational technologies matter for both technological and non-technological innovations in both the short- and long-term. In the case of companies that have obtained technology licenses from abroad, they may be able to marginally improve their innovations. Globally, there is a high concentration of innovation output in a few selected fields. ICT and electronics are two fields that are closely related. The research finding of this study contributes to the burgeoning literature on firm-level innovations from the perspective of technology acquisition, a point in which Google and Facebook are also on the same page as there are few studies exploring this relationship on a global scale.

In the context of this study, the main limitations relate to the use of data and the specific focus that was placed on a global scale. A company's innovation program consists of the strategic and thoughtful advancements that make it a formidable long-term competitor in its market over the long term. Traditionally, corporate innovation has been driven by the research and development departments, which are made up of engineering and scientific teams. It is their responsibility to find solutions to emerging challenges, and to design ways by which these solutions can be put into practice. During the last few years, the R&D field has grown significantly and now receives a large amount of input from marketing departments, creating a better understanding of customer demands and how to best communicate these changes to the customers. Based on the data from 2020 and the United Nations and OECD publications that are currently available, the empirical estimation was based on the data from 2020. In the light of our results, we are able to draw conclusions about what is taking place at the global level of corporate innovation based on what we have observed. In addition, the measures of innovation that have been taken in this paper have all been derived from non-technological perspectives as well as from technical perspectives.

Conclusion

Having the right mix of policies for innovation depends on a number of factors and there is no one size fits all approach. In terms of innovation performance and characteristics, firms differ both from country to country and from industry to industry. It is also imperative to take into account the particular strengths and weaknesses of a country, as well as the opportunities and threats it faces, as they also play a major role. A number of countries update their policy mix at varying speeds, so even if the objective is the same, differences can be observed in the pace at which they do so. There is also a role to be played by differences in political orientations and objectives, as well as in policy processes and institutional capacities. In most developing countries, the innovation system is characterized by a mix of policies that influence the behavior of firms, and firms adopt a range of innovation strategies. There

E-mail address: info@researchparks.org
Peer review under responsibility of Emil Kaburuan.

may also be a large influence on the policy approaches taken by a country based on its economic and industrial history. As a result of exploring these results and differences, the evolution over time of both the performance of global multinationals and some of the other salient characteristics of global multinationals will be discussed in greater depth. In the current decade, productivity growth at global multinationals has remained relatively robust, despite the slowdown in average productivity growth, despite the slowdown in average productivity growth. However, over time there has been an increasing gap between firms that are growing in productivity and those that are not, and this gap has been growing for years.

The evidence suggests that firms at a global level have been getting older, which may indicate that radical innovations are less likely to come to the market, which could lead to a slowdown in productivity growth as a result. It is not surprising that governments must take measures to promote innovation in a number of fields as well as to create and apply knowledge in a number of them. For firms and countries to survive in a world economy that has become increasingly competitive over the years, it is imperative that these policies are developed, and it is here that advanced countries are able to provide their greatest comparative advantage in today's global economy. In order to create high-wage jobs and to enhance the productivity of the economy, it is absolutely essential to invest in knowledge creation and enable it to be disseminated in order to generate high-wage jobs. It is also important to keep in mind that less developed economies are also looking to innovate in order to boost their competitiveness and shift their focus to activities with higher added value as a means of enhancing their competitiveness.

References

1. Brown, Warren B., and Necmi Karagozoglu. "A systems model of technological innovation." IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management 36, no. 1 (1989): 11-16.
2. Burgelman, Robert A. "Designs for corporate entrepreneurship in established firms." *California management review* 26.3 (1984): 154-166.
3. Baisya, Rajat K., and Siddhartha Paul Tiwari. "E-governance Challenges and Strategies for Better-managed Projects." *Emerging Technologies in E-Government* (2008): 203-208.
4. Baysinger, Barry, and Robert E. Hoskisson. "The composition of boards of directors and strategic control: Effects on corporate strategy." Academy of Management review 15, no. 1 (1990): 72-87.
5. Burchinal, Lee G. "The communications revolution: America's third century challenge." *European Conference on Information Literacy (ECIL)*. 1976.
6. Connor, Denis J. "Network Distribution of Digital Television Signals." *Digital Video Volume 3: 14th SMPTE Television Conference*. SMPTE, 1980.
7. Douglas, David H. "Experiments to locate ridges and channels to create a new type of digital elevation model." *Cartographica: The International Journal for Geographic Information and Geovisualization* 23.4 (1986): 29-61.
8. Guth, William D., and Ari Ginsberg. "Guest editors' introduction: Corporate entrepreneurship." *Strategic management journal* (1990): 5-15.
9. Hepworth, Mark E. "Geography of the information economy." *NETCOM: Réseaux, communication et territoires/Networks and communication studies* 4.1 (1990): 266-267.

10. Jennings, Daniel F., and Dean M. Young. "An empirical comparison between objective and subjective measures of the product innovation domain of corporate entrepreneurship." Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice 15.1 (1990): 53-66..
11. Jennings, Daniel F., and James R. Lumpkin. "Functioning modeling corporate entrepreneurship: An empirical integrative analysis." *Journal of management* 15.3 (1989): 485-502.
12. Knight, Russell M. "Corporate innovation and entrepreneurship: A Canadian study." Journal of Product Innovation Management: An International Publication of the Product Development & Management Association 4.4 (1987): 284-297.
13. Kuratko, Donald F., Ray V. Montagno, and Jeffrey S. Hornsby. "Developing an intrapreneurial assessment instrument for an effective corporate entrepreneurial environment." *Strategic management journal* (1990): 49- 58.
14. MEHMOOD, FAHAD. "Business Models and Strategies of M-Commerce: A Review." The Journal of Internet Banking and Commerce 20, no. 1 (1970): 1-17.
15. Mansfield, Edwin. "Patents and innovation: an empirical study." Management science 32, no. 2 (1986): 173-181.
16. McElderry, Andrea. "Confucian capitalism? Corporate values in Republican banking." Modern China 12, no. 3 (1986): 401-416.
17. Quinn, James Brian. "Innovation and corporate strategy: Managed chaos." Technology in society 7.2-3 (1985): 263-279.
18. Schendel, Dan. "Introduction to the special issue on corporate entrepreneurship." *Strategic Management Journal* (1990): 1-3.
19. Sexton, Donald L., and Raymond W. Smilor. "The art and science of entrepreneurship." *University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign's Academy for Entrepreneurial Leadership Historical Research Reference in Entrepreneurship* (1986).
20. Tiwari, Siddhartha Paul. "Information and communication technology initiatives for knowledge sharing in agriculture." *arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.08649* (2022).
21. Tiwari, Siddhartha Paul. "Organizational Competitiveness and Digital Governance Challenges." *Archives of Business Research* 10.3 (2022).
22. Tiwari, Siddhartha Paul. "Strengthening E-Commerce Product Launches-Improving Efficiencies from Development to Production." *Project And Technology Management Foundation (A Non-Profit Organization) Member of Asia Pacific Federation of Project Management* 1.2 (2015): 4-6.
23. Tiwari, Siddhartha Paul. "Emerging Technologies: Factors Influencing Knowledge Sharing." *World Journal of Educational Research* (2022).
24. Tiwari, Siddhartha Paul. "Re-emergence of Asia in the New Industrial Era." *Technium Soc. Sci. J.* 29 (2022): 471.
25. Tiwari, Siddhartha Paul. "Covid-19: Knowledge Development, Exchange, and Emerging Technologies." *International Journal of Social Science Research and Review* 5.5 (2022): 310-314.
26. Tiwari, Siddhartha Paul. "Knowledge Enhancement and Mobile Technology: Improving Effectiveness and Efficiency." *arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.04706* (2022).

27. Tiwari, Siddhartha Paul. "Knowledge Management Strategies and Emerging Technologies--An Overview Of the Underpinning Concepts." arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.01100 (2022).

28. Tiwari, Siddhartha Paul. "Emerging trends in soybean industry." (2017).

29. Tiwari, Siddhartha Paul, and S. P. Tiwari. "Is export-oriented and currency dynamics-based Indian soybean revolution environment-friendly." *Current Science* 114.08 (2018): 1604-1605.

30. Tiwari, Siddhartha Paul, and Rajat K. Baisya. "E–governance and its impact on enterprise competitiveness: Trends, Status and Challenges." *MDI, Gurgaon INDIA in Association with Australian Centre for Asian Business, University of South Australia, Adelaide, AUSTRALIA* 1 (2014).

31. Tiwari, Siddhartha Paul. "The Potential Impact of COVID-19 on the Asian Rural Economy: A Study Based on Asian Countries." *Journal of Education, Management and Development Studies* 2.3 (2022): 1-7.

32. Tiwari, Siddhartha Paul. "Business: Innovation & Survival, by a Googler." (2015).

33. Tiwari, Siddhartha Paul. "Diversity and its importance in today's corporate environment." (2015).

34. Tiwari, Siddhartha Paul. "Exploring the Linkage between a Successful Digital Campaign and Gaming." *Casual Connect, Asia Pacific, Singapore* 1.1 (2014): 5-6.

35. Tiwarim, Siddartha Paul. "Knowledge Enhancement and Understanding of Diversity." *Technium Soc. Sci. J.* 30 (2022): 159.

36. Tiwari, Siddhartha Paul. "Editorial: Project and Technology Management Foundation (PTMF) Newsletter (June, 2015)." (2015).

37. Tiwari, Siddhartha Paul. "Editorial: Project and Technology Management Foundation (PTMF) Newsletter (December, 2014)." (2014).

38. Tiwari, Siddhartha Paul. *The Impact of New Technologies on Society: A Blueprint for the Future*. Scholarly Publisher RS Global Sp. z OO, 2022.

39. Tiwari, Siddhartha Paul. "Knowledge Sharing and Content Creator Best Practices Online." (2015): 5-12. 40. Tiwari, Siddhartha Paul. "Workshop on Digital Marketing: Credit Course, IIM, Indore." (2010): 1-24.

40. Tiwari, Siddhartha Paul. "External factors which shape and influence an organisation's operating environment." *Syngenta Workshop on Social, economic, political, technological & environmental trends, Singapore* 1.1 (2016): 1-5.

41. Tushman, Michael, and David Nadler. "Organizing for innovation." California management review 28.3 (1986): 74-92.

42. Wortman Jr, Max S. "Rural entrepreneurship research: An integration into the entrepreneurship field." *Agribusiness* 6.4 (1990): 329-344.

43. Yang, Meongcho. "Cartel Regulations in Korea-Comparative and International Aspects." *Korean J. Comp. L.* 13 (1985): 123.

44. Yoffie, David B., and Sigrid Bergenstein. "Creating political advantage: The rise of the corporate political entrepreneur." *California management review* 28.1 (1985): 124-139.

45. Yoffie, David B., and Helen V. Milner. "An alternative to free trade or protectionism: Why corporations seek strategic trade policy." *California Management Review* 31.4 (1989): 111-131.

46. Yoffie, David B. "The newly industrializing countries and the political economy of protectionism." *International Studies Quarterly* 25.4 (1981): 569-599.

47. Zhang, Jian, Xin Xuan, and Zheng Xu. "NRDC continues to thrive." (1971).