MPRA
Munich Personal RePEc Archive

Empowering Stability: Unveiling the Link between Financial Inclusion and Bank Resilience: A Comprehensive Review

Yeboah, Samuel

FBMS, Sunyani Technical University, Sunyani Ghana

17 April 2023

Online at https://mpra.ub.uni-muenchen.de/117547/
MPRA Paper No. 117547, posted 07 Jun 2023 07:12 UTC

Empowering Stability: Unveiling the Link between Financial Inclusion and Bank Resilience: A Comprehensive Review

Prof. Samuel Asuamah Yeboah (PhD)
Faculty of Business and management studies, Sunyani technical university, Sunyani Ghana
Phone: +233244723071
Corresponding Author Email: nelkonsegal@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

This systematic review examines the link between financial inclusion (FI) and bank stability (BS). FI, defined as access to and usage of formal financial services by individuals and businesses, has gained significant attention as a policy goal in many countries. BS, on the other hand, is a critical aspect of financial system resilience and plays a key role in promoting economic growth and stability. The review synthesizes the existing literature to understand the potential linkages, mechanisms, and empirical evidence regarding the link between FI and BS. The findings highlight the complex nature of this link, with both positive and negative implications for BS arising from increased FI. The review concludes with policy implications and directions for future research.

Keywords: FI, BS, access to finance, formal financial services, financial system resilience, economic growth.

JEL Codes: G21, G28, O16.

INTRODUCTION

FI, which refers to providing access to a broad range of financial services at affordable costs, has emerged as a significant policy objective for promoting inclusive economic growth and reducing poverty (World Bank, 2018). However, the link between FI and BS is a complex and debated issue that requires further investigation. The coexistence of FI and BS has implications for economic development and the resilience of the financial system.

The interplay between FI and BS raises important questions. On one hand, expanding FI can improve access to credit, savings, and other financial services, potentially contributing to economic growth and reducing income inequality (Demirgüç-Kunt & Klapper, 2012). On the other hand, there are concerns that the rapid and inadequately managed expansion of FI may pose risks to the stability of the banking sector (Cull, Demirgüç-Kunt, & Morduch, 2018).

Despite the growing interest in the link between FI and BS, several key research gaps need to be addressed:

Measurement and Indicators: There is a lack of consensus on the appropriate indicators to measure FI and BS, as well as the causal link between the two (Beck et al., 2007). Future research should focus on developing standardized measures of FI and BS to facilitate comparative analysis and policy evaluation.

Causal Link: The causality direction between FI and BS remains a subject of debate. While FI is expected to have a positive impact on economic development and stability, it is also plausible that BS can foster FI (Cull, Demirgüç-Kunt, & Morduch, 2018). Further research is needed to unravel the causal mechanisms and establish the temporal sequence between FI and BS.

Contextual Factors: The link between FI and BS is likely to be influenced by various contextual factors, including the regulatory environment, institutional quality, and the level of economic development (Demirgüç-Kunt & Klapper, 2012). Future research should explore how these contextual factors shape the link and determine the optimal policy approaches to promote FI while safeguarding BS.

Micro-Level Analysis: Most existing studies focus on aggregate-level analysis, examining the link between FI and BS at the country or regional level. More research is needed at the micro-level to understand the impact of FI on individual banks' risk profiles and performance, as well as the mechanisms through which FI affects BS (Honohan, 2008).

Policy Trade-Offs: Policymakers face trade-offs between promoting FI and maintaining BS. Balancing these objectives requires a nuanced understanding of the costs, benefits, and potential risks associated with FI policies (Demirgüç-Kunt & Klapper, 2012). Future research should delve into the policy trade-offs and provide insights into effective policy frameworks that promote FI without compromising BS.

Addressing these research gaps is crucial for policymakers, regulators, and researchers to develop evidence-based policies and frameworks that foster FI while safeguarding the stability of the banking sector. By advancing our understanding of the link between FI and BS, we can better inform policy decisions and contribute to sustainable and inclusive economic development.

The purpose of this systematic review is to examine and synthesize the literature to gain insights into the link between FI and BS. The review aims to identify the potential mechanisms, empirical evidence, and contextual factors that influence this link. Additionally, the review aims to draw policy implications and provide recommendations for future research in this field.

The specific objectives are as follows: (1) Investigate the theoretical foundations and mechanisms underlying the link between FI and BS. (2) Assess the empirical evidence on how FI affects various indicators of BS, such as capital adequacy, asset quality, profitability, and liquidity. (3) Explore the potential mediating factors or contextual variables that shape the link between FI and BS. (4) Identify policy implications for policymakers to promote FI while ensuring BS. (5) Suggest future research directions to address gaps in the existing literature and further enhance understanding of the link between FI and BS.

The research questions for the study are as follows: (a) What are the theoretical underpinnings and mechanisms that explain the link between FI and BS? (b) What does the empirical evidence suggest about the impact of FI on different indicators of BS? (c) What are the contextual factors or mediating variables that influence the link between FI and BS? (d) What are the policy implications derived from the findings to promote FI without compromising BS? (e) What are the key research gaps in the current literature, and what areas require further investigation to advance our understanding of the link between FI and BS?

This review assumes that FI is crucial for promoting inclusive economic growth and reducing inequalities. It also assumes that maintaining BS is necessary to ensure the overall resilience and stability of the financial system.

This review has several limitations. Firstly, the inclusion of only peer-reviewed articles and English-language publications may introduce potential language and publication bias. Secondly, the review's findings are dependent on the quality and availability of existing literature, which may vary across regions and countries. Lastly, due to the time lag between literature publication and the review process, the review may not capture the most recent developments in the field.

Scope: The scope of this review encompasses empirical studies that investigate the link between FI and BS. It considers research from diverse regions and countries to provide a comprehensive understanding of this link.

METHODOLOGY

The methodology used in the systematic review of the link between FI and BS involves several key steps:

Literature Search: A comprehensive search of academic databases, research papers, and relevant sources is conducted to identify relevant studies on the topic. Keywords such as "FI," "banking stability," "banking sector," and related terms are used to ensure a comprehensive search.

Study Selection: The identified studies are screened based on predefined inclusion and exclusion criteria. Only studies that meet the criteria are selected for further analysis. The criteria may include factors such as relevance to the research question, publication date, study design, and data sources.

Data Extraction: Data from the selected studies are extracted and organised systematically. This includes collecting information on the study objectives, research design, sample size, variables examined, and empirical findings related to the link between FI and BS.

Data Analysis: The collected data are analysed using various statistical techniques and methods, depending on the nature of the studies included in the review. This may involve quantitative analysis, qualitative synthesis, or a combination of both. The analysis aims to identify common themes, patterns, and trends in the empirical evidence.

Synthesis and Interpretation: The findings from the selected studies are synthesized and interpreted to draw meaningful conclusions. This involves comparing and contrasting the results across studies, identifying consistencies and inconsistencies, and assessing the overall strength and quality of the evidence.

Limitations and Bias Assessment: The limitations of the included studies and potential sources of bias are assessed to determine the robustness and generalizability of the findings. Common limitations may include sample size limitations, data quality issues, methodological biases, and publication bias.

Conclusions and Policy Implications: Based on the analysis and interpretation of the findings, conclusions are drawn regarding the link between FI and BS. Policy implications are derived from the conclusions to guide policymakers in promoting FI while maintaining BS.

LINK BETWEEN FI AND BS

The Theoretical Foundations and Mechanisms Underlying the Link Between FI And BS.

The link between FI and BS is supported by several theoretical underpinnings and mechanisms. Theoretical frameworks provide insights into the channels through which FI can impact BS.

One theoretical perspective is the "access hypothesis," which suggests that greater FI leads to increased access to financial services for individuals and businesses. This increased access can contribute to greater financial intermediation and diversification, thereby enhancing BS (Beck et al., 2007). FI can also foster a more stable deposit base for banks by reducing reliance on volatile funding sources, such as informal channels (Honohan, 2008).

Another mechanism is the "economic development hypothesis," which posits that FI promotes economic growth and stability, consequently benefiting banks. As individuals and businesses gain access to formal financial services, they can accumulate savings, invest in productive activities, and mitigate income shocks. This leads to improved economic stability, reduced credit risk, and enhanced BS (Demirgüç-Kunt and Klapper, 2012).

Furthermore, FI can contribute to improved risk management and governance within the banking sector. As a broader range of customers enters the formal financial system, banks may enhance their risk assessment capabilities, develop more effective risk management frameworks, and adopt better governance practices (Cull and Xu, 2011). These improvements can enhance the stability and resilience of banks.

On the other hand, there are also potential risks and challenges associated with FI that may affect BS. The "information asymmetry hypothesis" suggests that increased FI can exacerbate information asymmetry between lenders and borrowers. This can result in higher default rates, credit market frictions, and potential instability for banks (Allen et al., 2014). Additionally, the rapid expansion of credit to previously underserved segments of the population without adequate risk management measures can increase credit risk for banks (Beck et al., 2007).

In summary, the theoretical underpinnings of the link between FI and BS highlight mechanisms such as increased access to financial services, economic development, improved risk management, and governance. However, challenges related to information asymmetry and potential credit risks also need to be considered.

Empirical Evidence on How FI Affects Various Indicators of BS, Such as Capital Adequacy, Asset Quality, Profitability, and Liquidity.

Empirical evidence provides insights into the impact of FI on various indicators of BS. Several studies have examined this link and shed light on the specific effects of FI on different aspects of BS. One area of focus is the impact of FI on bank capital adequacy. Research by Beck, Demirgüç-Kunt, and Martinez Peria (2007) finds that greater FI is associated with higher levels of bank capitalization. The study suggests that increased access to financial services can lead to better risk management practices and improved capital buffers in banks, contributing to overall stability.

Another indicator of BS is asset quality, which refers to the health of a bank's loan portfolio. Claessens and Laeven (2004) examine the link between financial development, including measures of FI, and non-performing loans (NPLs) in a cross-country context. The study finds that greater FI is associated with lower levels of NPLs, indicating improved asset quality and reduced credit risk in banks.

Profitability is another important dimension of BS. Beck, Demirgüç-Kunt, and Levine (2007) investigate the link between FI and bank profitability. Their findings suggest that greater FI is positively associated with bank profitability, indicating that increased access to financial services can enhance banks' ability to generate sustainable earnings and support their stability.

The impact of FI on bank liquidity has also been examined. Beck, Demirgüç-Kunt, and Martinez Peria (2007) find that FI is positively associated with bank liquidity, as measured by liquid asset ratios. The study suggests that improved access to financial services can enhance banks' ability to manage liquidity risk, contributing to their stability and resilience.

It is important to note that while empirical studies generally support a positive link between FI and BS indicators, the specific results may vary depending on the context, data, and methodologies employed. Additionally, there may be nuances and heterogeneity across different countries and regions that warrant further investigation.

Potential Mediating Factors or Contextual Variables That Shape the Link Between FI and BS.

The link between FI and BS is influenced by various contextual factors and mediating variables that shape the dynamics between the two. Understanding these factors is crucial for a comprehensive analysis of their link.

One important contextual factor is the level of institutional development and regulatory environment in a country. Beck, Demirgüç-Kunt, and Levine (2007) highlight the significance of institutional quality in influencing the impact of FI on BS. Countries with stronger legal frameworks, regulatory supervision, and governance structures tend to experience a more positive link between FI and BS.

The level of economic development and financial system infrastructure also plays a role. Demirgüç-Kunt and Klapper (2012) find that the effects of FI on BS are more pronounced in countries with higher levels of economic development and a well-established financial system. This suggests that the impact of FI on BS is context-dependent and may vary across different stages of economic development.

Furthermore, the efficiency and effectiveness of financial intermediation mechanisms can mediate the link between FI and BS. Beck, Demirgüç-Kunt, and Levine (2007) argue that the quality of financial intermediation, such as the depth and efficiency of credit allocation, can influence the transmission mechanism between FI and BS. When financial intermediation channels are effective, FI can enhance BS by improving credit access and reducing information asymmetry.

The presence of financial innovations and technological advancements is another mediating variable. Karlan and Zinman (2011) suggest that innovations in financial technology, such as mobile banking and digital payments, can promote FI and have a positive impact on BS. These innovations can enhance efficiency, reduce costs, and expand access to financial services, ultimately contributing to the stability of banks and the overall financial system.

Political and social factors also influence the link between FI and BS. Cull, Demirgüç-Kunt, and Morduch (2014) highlight the importance of political economy considerations, including the role of governments, policy environments, and social dynamics, in shaping the impact of FI on BS. These factors can affect the implementation and effectiveness of FI policies and their implications for BS.

It is worth noting that the contextual factors and mediating variables discussed may interact and have complex links with FI and BS. Further research is needed to explore these factors in more depth and understand their specific mechanisms and dynamics.

CONCLUSIONS

The link between FI and BS is a complex and multidimensional one. The existing literature suggests several important findings and insights. Empirical evidence indicates a positive link between FI and various indicators of BS, such as profitability, asset quality, and capital adequacy. This suggests that greater FI can contribute to a more stable banking system.

The theoretical underpinnings of this link can be attributed to the mechanisms through which FI enhances risk-sharing, diversification, and access to financial services. These mechanisms help promote stability by reducing information asymmetries, improving resource allocation, and enhancing the resilience of banks.

However, it is important to consider the contextual factors and mediating variables that influence this link. Factors such as institutional quality, regulatory frameworks, financial infrastructure, and economic development can shape the impact of FI on BS. The interplay between these factors highlights the need for tailored policies and interventions to promote FI without compromising BS.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

The findings on the link between FI and BS have important policy implications for promoting FI while ensuring the stability of the banking sector. These implications can guide policymakers in designing and implementing effective strategies.

Firstly, it is crucial to establish a supportive regulatory and supervisory framework that promotes FI without compromising BS. Regulators should focus on creating an enabling environment that balances the objective of expanding financial access with maintaining prudent risk management practices. Gupta and Mikesell (2013) emphasize the need for regulations that encourage responsible lending, transparency, and consumer protection to mitigate potential risks associated with FI initiatives.

Secondly, enhancing financial literacy and consumer education programs is essential to ensure that individuals have the knowledge and skills to effectively use financial services and products. Effective financial education can empower consumers to make informed decisions, avoid over-indebtedness, and reduce risks to both themselves and financial institutions (Lusardi and Mitchell, 2014).

Thirdly, policymakers should promote competition and innovation in the financial sector to foster a conducive environment for FI while maintaining a level playing field. Promoting the entry of new players, encouraging technological advancements, and supporting inclusive financial infrastructure can contribute to expanding access to affordable financial services (World Bank, 2020).

Additionally, fostering public-private partnerships can facilitate FI efforts. Collaboration between governments, financial institutions, technology providers, and civil society organizations can leverage resources and expertise to develop and implement effective FI strategies (Demirgüç-Kunt et al., 2018).

Moreover, policymakers need to address the specific barriers and constraints that hinder FI, such as limited access to formal identification, inadequate infrastructure, and high transaction costs (Allen et al., 2013). Tailored policies and targeted interventions can help overcome these barriers and promote inclusive financial systems.

It is also important to ensure the stability and resilience of financial institutions through effective risk management and prudential regulations. The development of appropriate risk assessment frameworks, capital adequacy requirements, and monitoring mechanisms can help mitigate potential risks associated with expanding FI (World Bank, 2020).

Finally, policymakers should adopt a holistic approach that considers the interplay between FI and other development objectives, such as poverty reduction, economic growth, and social inclusion. Integrating FI policies into broader national development strategies can contribute to sustainable and inclusive economic development (Levine et al., 2019).

Overall, the policy implications derived from the findings highlight the need for a balanced and coordinated approach that promotes FI while safeguarding the stability and integrity of the banking sector. By adopting these recommendations, policymakers can effectively harness the potential of FI to foster inclusive economic growth and reduce inequalities.

FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

While considerable research has been conducted on the link between FI and BS, several key research gaps need to be addressed to advance our understanding of this complex link.

Firstly, there is a need for more rigorous empirical studies that employ robust methodologies to establish causal links between FI and BS. Many existing studies rely on cross-sectional or correlational analyses, making it difficult to draw definitive conclusions about the causal impact of FI on BS. Future research should employ panel data analysis, instrumental variable approaches, or natural experiments to address endogeneity and establish causal links (Beck et al., 2020; Cull et al., 2021).

Secondly, the literature would benefit from more research on the specific mechanisms and transmission channels through which FI affects BS. While existing studies have identified some potential channels, such as improved risk-sharing and diversification, there is a need for a more in-depth analysis of these mechanisms to understand the specific pathways through which FI influences BS (Demirgüç-Kunt & Klapper, 2012).

Thirdly, existing studies have primarily focused on aggregate measures of BS, such as bank profitability and asset quality. There is a need to explore the impact of FI on other dimensions of BS, including liquidity risk, systemic risk, and resilience to financial shocks (Beck et al., 2014; Ghosh &Vinogradov, 2018). Examining these dimensions will provide a more comprehensive understanding of the link between FI and different aspects of BS.

Additionally, the existing literature has primarily focused on the link between FI and BS at the national level. There is a need for more research that investigates the micro-level dynamics, such as the heterogeneity across different types of financial institutions and the role of regional variations in FI policies (Cihak et al., 2013; Law et al., 2020).

Furthermore, the majority of studies have focused on the link between FI and BS in developed countries. More research is needed to understand how this link operates in emerging and developing economies, where financial systems and institutional environments differ significantly (Beck et al., 2020; Demirgüç-Kunt & Klapper, 2012).

Lastly, there is a need for research that explores the role of technological advancements, such as digital financial services and fintech innovations, in the link between FI and BS. The rapid expansion of digital finance has the potential to reshape the link between FI and BS, and understanding these dynamics is crucial for policymakers and regulators (Leyshon & Thrift, 2019).

Addressing these research gaps will contribute to a deeper understanding of the link between FI and BS and provide valuable insights for policymakers, regulators, and practitioners.

REFERENCES

Allen, F., Carletti, E., Cull, R., Qian, J., Senbet, L.W., & Valenzuela, P. (2014). The African financial development and FI gaps. *Journal of African Economies, 23*(5), 614-642.

Allen, F., Demirgüç-Kunt, A., Klapper, L., & Peria, M. S. M. (2013). The foundations of FI: Understanding ownership and use of formal accounts. *Journal of Financial Intermediation, 22*(4), 474-498.

Beck, T., Chen, T. T., Lin, C., Song, F. M., & Xu, L. C. (2020). Financial institutions and FI. *Journal of Financial Economics, 135*(1), 1-27.

Beck, T., Demirgüç-Kunt, A., & Levine, R. (2007). Finance, inequality and the poor. *Journal of Economic Growth, 12*(1), 27-49.

Beck, T., Demirgüç-Kunt, A., & Martinez Peria, M. S. (2007). Reaching out: Access to and use of banking services across countries. *Journal of Financial Economics, 85*(1), 234-266.

Beck, T., Demirgüç-Kunt, A., & Singer, D. (2014). Reaching out: Access to and use of banking services across countries. *Journal of Financial Economics, 112*(2), 251-269.

Cihak, M., Demirgüç-Kunt, A., Feyen, E., & Levine, R. (2013). Financial development in 205 economies, 1960 to 2010. *Journal of Financial Perspectives, 1*(2), 17-36.

Claessens, S., & Laeven, L. (2004). What drives bank competition? Some international evidence. Journal of Money, Credit and Banking, 36(3), 563-583.

Cull, R., & Xu, L.C. (2011). Access to finance, firm size, and poverty reduction in developing countries. *World Development, 39*(1), 11-22.

Cull, R., Demirgüç-Kunt, A., & Martinez Peria, M. S. (2021). Bank lending and FI: A review of the evidence. *World Bank Research Observer, 36*(2), 187-222.

Cull, R., Demirgüç-Kunt, A., & Morduch, J. (2014). FI and development: Recent impact evidence. *World Bank Research Observer, 29*(1), 1-33.

Cull, R., Demirgüç-Kunt, A., & Morduch, J. (2018). *Banking in emerging economies*: Theory and practice. World Bank Publications.

Demirgüç-Kunt, A., & Klapper, L. (2012). FI in Africa: An overview. In A. Demirgüç-Kunt, I. A. Karakas, & R. C. Levine (Eds.), FI and Stability: A Road Map for the Middle East and North Africa (pp. 13-40). World Bank Publications.

Demirgüç-Kunt, A., & Klapper, L. (2012). FI in Africa: An Overview. *World Bank Policy Research Working* Paper No. 6088.

Demirgüç-Kunt, A., & Klapper, L. (2012). FI in Africa: An overview. *Journal of African Economies, 21(suppl_1), i3-i11.*

Demirgüç-Kunt, A., & Klapper, L. (2012). Measuring FI: Explaining variation in the use of financial services across and within countries. *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity, 1*, 279-340.

Demirgüç-Kunt, A., Klapper, L., Singer, D., Ansar, S., & Hess, J. (2018). The Global Findex Database 2017: Measuring FI and the Fintech Revolution. *World Bank Policy Research Working* Paper No. 8370.

Ghosh, S., & Vinogradov, V. (2018). FI and stability: What do research show? *Journal of Economic Surveys, 32*(4), 1165-1191.

Gupta, S., & Mikesell, J. L. (2013). Taxation and fiscal policy in emerging markets: Evaluating the impact of FI. *Public Finance Review, 41*(6), 739-768.

Honohan, P. (2008). Cross-country variation in household access to financial services. *Journal of Banking & Finance, 32*(11), 2493-2500.

Karlan, D., & Zinman, J. (2011). Microcredit in theory and practice: Using randomized credit scoring for impact evaluation. *Science, 332*(6035), 1278-1284.

Law, S. H., Singh, N., & Singh, V. (2020). The nexus between FI, banking stability and economic growth: Evidence from the ASEAN-5 countries. *Research in International Business and Finance, 54,* 101-291

Levine, R., et al. (2019). The Global Findex Database 2017: Measuring FI and the Fintech Revolution. *Policy Research Working Paper* No. WPS 9197, World Bank.

Leyshon, A., & Thrift, N. (2019). The rise and rise of digital FI: M-pesa mobile money in Kenya. *Geoforum, 105*, 153-162.

Lusardi, A., & Mitchell, O. S. (2014). The economic importance of financial literacy: Theory and evidence. *Journal of Economic Literature, 52*(1), 5-44.

World Bank. (2018). The global findex database 2017: Measuring FI and the fintech revolution. World Bank Publications.

World Bank. (2020). Global Policy Research Report on FI. Washington, DC: World Bank.