The linkage of economic growth, income inequality, and military expenditure

Brooks, Weston

University of Freedom for the People

26 February 2025

The linkage of economic growth, income inequality, and military expenditure.

By

Weston Brooks[1]

Abstract:

This paper explores the macroeconomic linkages between economic growth, income inequality, and military expenditure, assessing their collective impact on economic development. Drawing from a broad array of theoretical and empirical studies, the analysis synthesizes perspectives from classical economic growth models, institutional economics, and modern empirical research. The findings suggest that while economic growth has historically been associated with reductions in poverty, persistent inequalities and disproportionate military spending can hinder long-term development. The paper also highlights the role of political stability, investment, and human capital accumulation in shaping economic outcomes.

Keywords: Economic Growth, Income Inequality, Military Expenditure, Economic Development, Macroeconomics

JEL Classification: O40, D31, H56, P16

[1] Private Researcher and thinker. Follower of Newest research for and in America. Date 26.02.2025

Introduction

Economic development is a multidimensional process influenced by various macroeconomic factors, including income distribution, investment in public goods, and government expenditure. Among these factors, economic growth, inequality, and military expenditure play crucial roles in shaping a country's long-term trajectory. While classical economic models emphasize the importance of capital accumulation and technological progress (Solow, 1956; Romer, 1986), recent literature has examined how distributional factors and military spending interact with economic performance (Alesina & Perotti, 1996; Riveros-Gavilanes, 2020).

This paper aims to analyze the interplay between economic growth, inequality, and military expenditure, integrating insights from theoretical and empirical research. By reviewing key contributions from the literature and examining macroeconomic linkages, this study provides a comprehensive understanding of how these three factors influence long-term economic development.

Economic development is a multidimensional process that extends beyond mere increases in a country's Gross Domestic Product (GDP). While economic growth is often regarded as a fundamental driver of development, it does not automatically translate into improved living standards for all members of society. The distribution of wealth, investment in public goods, and government spending priorities play crucial roles in shaping long-term development outcomes. Among these factors, inequality and military expenditure stand out as critical macroeconomic links that influence how economic growth translates into broader social and economic progress.

Inequality, both in terms of income and wealth distribution, has long been a subject of debate in economic development literature. While some level of inequality can incentivize innovation and investment, extreme disparities can hinder economic progress by limiting access to education, healthcare, and economic opportunities for disadvantaged populations. High levels of inequality often lead to social unrest, reduced social mobility, and weakened domestic demand, all of which can undermine long-term economic stability. As such, understanding the relationship between growth and inequality is essential for policymakers seeking to design strategies that foster sustainable and inclusive development.

At the same time, military expenditure represents a significant macroeconomic variable that can impact development outcomes in both positive and negative ways. While defense spending is necessary for national security, excessive military budgets can divert resources away from essential public investments such as infrastructure, education, and healthcare. In many developing countries, high military spending comes at the cost of human capital development, potentially constraining long-term economic growth. However, proponents of military expenditure argue that it can contribute to economic development by creating jobs, fostering technological advancements, and ensuring stability, which is essential for investment and economic activity. The challenge, therefore, lies in striking a balance between national security needs and the imperative to allocate resources efficiently for development.

This paper explores the interconnected roles of economic growth, inequality, and military expenditure in shaping economic development. It examines how these factors interact and influence long-term prosperity, drawing on theoretical perspectives and empirical evidence. The analysis highlights the need for policies that not only promote economic growth but also address inequality and ensure optimal allocation of government spending. Understanding these relationships is particularly relevant for developing economies, where decisions regarding wealth distribution and public expenditure can have profound implications for future development trajectories.

The structure of this paper is as follows: Section 2 provides a literature review that explores existing research on economic growth, inequality, and military expenditure, highlighting key theoretical and empirical findings. Section 3 presents the main insights from the analysis, discussing how these macroeconomic factors interact and their implications for development. Finally, Section 4 outlines conclusions and policy recommendations, offering strategies for fostering inclusive growth while maintaining fiscal sustainability and national security. By examining these critical economic links, this paper aims to contribute to the ongoing discourse on sustainable development and inform policy debates on how to achieve equitable and long-lasting

2. Literature Review

2.1 Economic Growth and Development

Theories of economic growth have evolved from classical models of capital accumulation (Solow, 1956; Lucas, 1988) to endogenous growth theories emphasizing human capital and innovation (Romer, 1986; Aghion & Howitt, 1992). Empirical studies have explored the role of institutions (Acemoglu, Johnson, & Robinson, 2001), education (Krueger & Lindahl, 2001), and globalization (Rodrik, 1999) in shaping economic outcomes.

2.2 Income Inequality and Growth

Kuznets (1955) famously hypothesized an inverted U-shaped relationship between inequality and growth. Subsequent research has explored how income distribution affects investment, consumption, and social stability (Perotti, 1996; Barro, 2000; Piketty & Saez, 2014). While some studies suggest that inequality fosters incentives for investment (Barro, 1991), others highlight its negative effects on human capital formation and political stability (Galor & Moav, 2004; Easterly, 2007)

2.3 Military Expenditure and Economic Growth

The impact of military spending on economic growth remains debated. Some argue that defense expenditures stimulate technological spillovers and industrial growth (Collier & Hoeffler, 2004), while others suggest they crowd out productive investment and social spending (Riveros-Gavilanes, 2020; Milanovic, 2016). Comparative studies on South America (Riveros Gavilanes, 2020) and Latin America (Riveros-Gavilanes et al., 2022) suggest that excessive military expenditure may exacerbate inequality and slow development.

3. Key Insights from the Analysis

Economic growth is widely regarded as a fundamental driver of economic development. Historically, countries that have experienced sustained growth have also achieved significant reductions in poverty and improvements in living standards. Classic economic theories emphasize the role of capital accumulation, technological progress, and productivity in driving long-term economic expansion. However, growth alone does not guarantee widespread prosperity. When economic gains are concentrated among a small segment of the population, the broader benefits of growth may be limited. This has led to increasing attention on the distributional effects of economic expansion and the conditions under which growth translates into meaningful development outcomes.

Income inequality remains a significant barrier to economic progress, with far-reaching consequences for investment, social stability, and long-term growth. High levels of inequality can undermine human capital accumulation, as lower-income individuals may lack access to quality education, healthcare, and financial resources necessary for upward mobility. Research has shown that in societies where economic disparities are stark, the potential for social unrest and political instability increases, deterring investment and slowing economic progress. Furthermore, inequality affects aggregate demand, as lower-income households typically have higher marginal propensities to consume. When wealth is concentrated among a small elite, overall consumption may stagnate, weakening the demand for goods and services that drive production and employment. Therefore, while economic growth is necessary for development, addressing inequality is crucial to ensuring that growth is sustainable and inclusive.

Military expenditure represents another critical macroeconomic factor influencing economic development. While some argue that defense spending can stimulate technological innovation, create jobs, and enhance national security, excessive military budgets often come at the expense of productive investments. When governments allocate a disproportionate share of their resources to defense, they may divert funds away from essential sectors such as education, healthcare, and infrastructure—areas that are crucial for long-term economic stability. In many developing countries, high military spending has been linked to slower economic growth and persistent inequality, as it reduces the fiscal space available for social programs that promote human capital development. Moreover, militarization can contribute to political instability, particularly in regions where military institutions exert significant influence over governance and economic policy. Case studies in Latin America, for instance, suggest that high military expenditures have often been associated with weaker economic performance and higher levels of inequality.

The interplay between economic growth, inequality, and military spending highlights the need for a balanced policy approach. While economic expansion is essential for improving living standards, its benefits must be equitably distributed to ensure sustained development. Governments must carefully assess their budgetary priorities, ensuring that military expenditure does not crowd out investments in productive sectors. Policymakers should focus on fostering inclusive economic policies, strengthening institutions, and promoting social investments that enhance long-term growth potential. Addressing inequality and redirecting public spending toward education, healthcare, and infrastructure will create a more resilient economic foundation, fostering development that benefits a broader segment of society.

5. Conclusions and Policy Recommendations

The relationship between economic growth, inequality, and military expenditure presents a complex dynamic that significantly impacts economic development. While economic growth is often viewed as the primary pathway to improved living standards and national prosperity, its effects are not automatically equitable or sustainable. Persistent inequality can undermine the benefits of growth, limiting access to education, healthcare, and economic opportunities for large segments of the population. At the same time, military spending, if excessive, can divert resources away from critical public investments that drive long-term economic progress. Understanding these interconnected macroeconomic factors is essential for crafting policies that foster sustainable and inclusive development.

A key conclusion from this analysis is that economic growth alone is insufficient to achieve broad-based development. Growth must be accompanied by policies that ensure equitable wealth distribution and access to social services. When inequality remains high, the benefits of economic expansion are concentrated among a small elite, limiting overall progress and exacerbating social tensions. Countries that have successfully transitioned to higher levels of development have often done so by implementing policies that promote both economic efficiency and social equity. For instance, nations that invest in quality education and healthcare create a more skilled workforce, leading to increased productivity and innovation. Similarly, policies that support small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) and ensure fair labor market conditions contribute to a more balanced distribution of economic gains.

Military expenditure represents another crucial aspect of macroeconomic policy that requires careful consideration. While defense spending is necessary for national security, excessive military budgets can hinder economic development by reducing fiscal space for productive investments. Many developing countries face the challenge of balancing security needs with the imperative to invest in human capital and infrastructure. Evidence suggests that countries that prioritize social spending over excessive defense expenditures tend to experience more stable and sustained economic growth. Governments should, therefore, strive to maintain a well-calibrated defense budget that ensures national security without compromising essential development priorities.

To promote sustainable economic development, policymakers should adopt a multi-pronged approach that addresses growth, inequality, and public spending priorities. First, governments should focus on fostering inclusive economic growth by implementing progressive taxation policies, increasing investments in education and healthcare, and strengthening social safety nets. By ensuring that economic gains are more evenly distributed, countries can reduce poverty levels and enhance long-term economic stability. Additionally, investing in technological innovation and infrastructure can create new economic opportunities, reducing dependency on low-wage labor and increasing overall productivity.

Second, targeted policies to reduce income inequality should be a central component of national economic strategies. This includes raising minimum wages, enforcing labor protections, and expanding access to financial services for underprivileged populations. Governments should also prioritize gender equality in the workforce, ensuring that women and marginalized groups have the same economic opportunities as their male counterparts. Evidence from successful economies indicates that reducing inequality not only enhances social cohesion but also strengthens domestic demand and economic resilience.

Third, military expenditures should be evaluated in the broader context of national development objectives. While some level of defense spending is essential, governments should avoid excessive allocations that come at the expense of crucial social and economic investments. Transparent budgeting processes and independent oversight mechanisms can help ensure that military spending remains efficient and aligned with national priorities. Furthermore, diplomatic efforts and regional cooperation can contribute to security without necessitating excessive defense budgets, freeing up resources for more productive investments.

In conclusion, achieving sustainable economic development requires a careful balance between economic growth, inequality reduction, and responsible fiscal management. Policymakers must recognize that growth without equity can lead to instability, while excessive military spending can hinder long-term prosperity. By adopting policies that promote inclusive economic expansion, equitable wealth distribution, and strategic public spending, governments can create an economic environment that fosters stability, innovation, and long-term development. The ultimate goal should be to build resilient economies that not only grow but also provide opportunities and improved living standards for all citizens.

References

Acemoglu, D., Johnson, S., & Robinson, J. A. (2001). The colonial origins of comparative development: An empirical investigation. *American Economic Review, 91*(5), 1369-1401.

Acemoglu, D., Johnson, S., & Robinson, J. A. (2001). The colonial origins of comparative development: An empirical investigation. *American Economic Review, 91*(5), 1369-1401.

Aghion, P., & Howitt, P. (1992). A model of growth through creative destruction. *Econometrica, 60*(2), 323-351.

Alesina, A., & Perotti, R. (1996). Income distribution, political instability, and investment. *European Economic Review, 40*(6), 1203-1228.

Alesina, A., & Rodrik, D. (1994). Distributive politics and economic growth. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics, 109*(2), 465-490.

Barro, R. J. (1991). Economic growth in a cross-section of countries. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics, 106*(2), 407-443.

Barro, R. J. (2000). Inequality and growth in a panel of countries. *Journal of Economic Growth, 5*(1), 5-32.

Bourguignon, F., & Morrison, C. (2002). Inequality among world citizens: 1820-1992. *American Economic Review, 92*(4), 727-744.

Collier, P., & Hoeffler, A. (2004). Greed and grievance in civil war. *Oxford Economic Papers, 56*(4), 563-595.

Crafts, N. F. R. (1997). The human development index and changes in standards of living: Some historical comparisons. *European Review of Economic History, 1*(3), 299-322.

Deininger, K., & Squire, L. (1996). A new data set measuring income inequality. *The World Bank Economic Review, 10*(3), 565-591.

Easterly, W. (2007). Inequality does cause underdevelopment: Insights from a new instrument. *Journal of Development Economics, 84*(2), 755-776.

Easterly, W., & Levine, R. (2001). It's not factor accumulation: Stylized facts and growth models. *The World Bank Economic Review, 15*(2), 177-219.

Freeman, C., & Soete, L. (1997). *The economics of industrial innovation.* MIT Press.

Galor, O., & Moav, O. (2004). From physical to human capital accumulation: Inequality and the process of development. *The Review of Economic Studies, 71*(4), 1001-1026.

Gavilanes, J. M. R. (2023). On the empirics of violence, inequality, and income. *Journal of Economics and Management, 45*(1), 102-136.

Glaeser, E. L., La Porta, R., Lopez-de-Silanes, F., & Shleifer, A. (2004). Do institutions cause growth? *Journal of Economic Growth, 9*(3), 271-303.

Helpman, E. (2004). *The mystery of economic growth.* Harvard University Press.

John Michael, R. G. (2025). Municipality synthetic Gini index for Colombia: A machine learning approach. University Library of Munich, Germany.

Jones, C. I. (1995). R&D-based models of economic growth. *Journal of Political Economy, 103*(4), 759-784.

Kremer, M. (1993). Population growth and technological change: One million B.C. to 1990. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics, 108*(3), 681-716.

Krueger, A. B., & Lindahl, M. (2001). Education for growth: Why and for whom? *Journal of Economic Literature, 39*(4), 1101-1136.

Kuznets, S. (1955). Economic growth and income inequality. *The American Economic Review, 45*(1), 1-28.

Kuznets, S. (1955). Economic growth and income inequality. *The American Economic Review, 45*(1), 1-28.

Kuznets, S. (1973). Modern economic growth: Findings and reflections. *American Economic Review, 63*(3), 247-258.

Levine, R., & Renelt, D. (1992). A sensitivity analysis of cross-country growth regressions. *American Economic Review, 82*(4), 942-963.

Lucas, R. E. (1988). On the mechanics of economic development. *Journal of Monetary Economics, 22*(1), 3-42.

Maddison, A. (2001). *The world economy: A millennial perspective.* OECD Publishing.

Mankiw, N. G., Romer, D., & Weil, D. N. (1992). A contribution to the empirics of economic growth. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics, 107*(2), 407-437.

Mankiw, N. G., Romer, D., & Weil, D. N. (1992). A contribution to the empirics of economic growth. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics, 107*(2), 407-437.

Milanovic, B. (2016). Global inequality: A new approach for the age of globalization. Harvard University Press.

Perotti, R. (1996). Growth, income distribution, and democracy: What the data say. *Journal of Economic Growth, 1*(2), 149-187.

Piketty, T. (2014). *Capital in the twenty-first century*. Harvard University Press.

Piketty, T., & Saez, E. (2014). Inequality in the long run. *Science, 344*(6186), 838-843.

Riveros Gavilanes, J. M. (2020). *Military Expenditure and Economic Growth: The South American Case.*

Riveros, J., Jacildo, R., Kiberu, B., Nouira, I., & Hassan, S. M. (2022). Economic Development and Income Inequality: Findings from Regional Panel Data Analyses.

Riveros-Gavilanes, J. M. (2021). Estimación de la función de bienestar social de Amartya Sen para América Latina. *Ensayos de Economía, 31*(59), 13-40.

Riveros-Gavilanes, J. M., Al Akayleh, F., Oduniyi, O., Samuel, A. H., & Hassan, S. M. (2022). On the Welfare Trends: A view from the Sen's Social Welfare Function (No. 3-2022). M&S Research Hub Institute.

Rodrik, D. (1999). *The New Global Economy and Developing Countries: Making Openness Work.* Overseas Development Council.

Rodrik, D., Subramanian, A., & Trebbi, F. (2004). Institutions rule: The primacy of institutions over geography and integration in economic development. *Journal of Economic Growth, 9*(2), 131-165.

Romer, P. M. (1986). Increasing returns and long-run growth. *Journal of Political Economy, 94*(5), 1002-1037.

Sachs, J. D., & Warner, A. M. (1995). Economic reform and the process of global integration. *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity, 1995*(1), 1-118.

Sachs, J. D., & Warner, A. M. (1995). Economic reform and the process of global integration. *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity, 1995*(1), 1-118.

Sala-i-Martin, X. (1997). I just ran two million regressions. *American Economic Review, 87*(2), 178-183.

Sala-i-Martin, X. (2006). The world distribution of income: Falling poverty and… convergence, period. *Quarterly Journal of Economics, 121*(2), 351-397.

Sen, A. (1976). Poverty: An ordinal approach to measurement. *Econometrica: Journal of the Econometric Society,* 219-231.

Solow, R. M. (1956). A contribution to the theory of economic growth. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics, 70*(1), 65-94.

Solow, R. M. (1988). Growth theory and after. *American Economic Review, 78*(3), 307-317.

Stiglitz, J. E. (2012). The price of inequality: How today's divided society endangers our future. W.W. Norton & Company.

Temple, J. (1999). The new growth evidence. *Journal of Economic Literature, 37*(1), 112-156.