The Role Of Sociology In Navigating AI And Economic Equity

Challoumis, Constantinos

National and Kapodistrian University of Athens

16 January 2025

The Role Of Sociology In Navigating AI And Economic Equity

Abstract: As artificial intelligence (AI) and automation rapidly become integral to the future of work and the global economy, the critical discipline of sociology has a vital role to play. As workers face potential job displacement by AI – and economists disagree on its macroeconomic effects – social science must direct its analytic and normative tools to shape the impacts of new technologies on economic equity. Because the consequences of AI, machine learning, and automation are complex, contingent, and unfolding, scholars and policymakers must develop nimble, adaptable understandings of the ways in which these technologies will shape social dynamics. With tools designed for just this purpose, sociologists are well placed to offer comprehension of, and strategies to engage with, the shifting world that AI will create. By directly intervening in these social dynamics, sociology can play a key part in navigating the economic transition to an AI-powered economy.

Keywords: sociology, AI, economic equity

1. Introduction

A sociological perspective is uniquely suited to ask and answer a series of complex interrelated questions about how AI and automation will intersect with, and transform, contemporary society (Zajko, 2020). As AI becomes a ubiquitous technological solution, how does its application reshape both the organization and the participation of work? As the economic logic of the firm and markets combines with the calculative machinery of AI and machine learning, how does this reshape the lodestar of a good job? At the societal-level of analysis, how, where, and with what effects does automation sculpt patterns of workforce initiation and destruction? Is it possible to uncover the ways that machine learning amplifies rather than redresses systemic economic inequities? Finally, facing the present and looming tide of AI's application over all areas of the economy – already encompassing filters for hiring, loans, and the criminal justice system – where is the normative and critical role for sociology in bending the pathway of AI-driven extraction, exploitation, and precarity toward the possibilities of a more equitable social order?

2. Foundations of Sociology and Its Relevance in Contemporary Issues

2. Sociology: Foundations of a Unique Discipline in Addressing Modern Issues postulates that sociology can provide critical frameworks and perspectives that can be widely applied to emerging contemporary issues. The range of sociological theories and modes of analysis can offer specialized tools to deal with complex questions about current social issues, including many having to do with economic equity. A sociological

perspective linked to the discipline's bedrock theorization of social stratification and social inequality has broad theoretical and methodological implications, especially as traditional forms of these effects are being reshaped. By emphasizing the need for realizing economically equitable social relationships at the same time as AI advances ongoing societal changes, public policy and corporate decisions can be made to ensure that intervention helps achieve this goal. These changes deal with economic equity as well as closely associated forms of sociological intervention, such as work and labour transformation. There is an uncritical acceptance of the material advantages brought about by AI and its accompanying digital technologies, advantages that might be arbitrarily distributed according to technology race, social class and connection, which will exacerbate their sociological inequalities. Based on the interrelation of sociological frameworks and AI technological change; as such velocity change occurs in a differing way social organizations are unequally impacted, especially across social relationships of class, gender, race, etc., it requires a sociological perspective on the reconfiguration of the AI labor economy that can help decision-makers in both the public and private sectors to design and implement effective labour policies and practices (Zajko, 2020). One way of informing public policy with many diverse spatial and demographic implications is to involve a sociological understanding of the transformative effects on cities and rural areas of the ongoing rapid expansion of AI technology development. This example of contemporary geographical inquiry and intervention of sociology on advances in AI is provided by delineating the ways in which spatial assurances of the current international political economy are particularly tied to economics and technology and considering how recent advances in digital automation, including at AI capital, are remaking the spatial organization of the world on national, supra-national (regional) and local scales. The hub-and-spoke model of the production of global cities is invoked, as is how rapidly economic restructuring affects rural areas of the developed pivot region in global agroproduction. The scholarly approach is based on a synthesis of well-established geographical literature and data in the further integration of the expertise of sociologists has been considered useful, particularly in relation to interpretive and comparative analysis of socio-spatial scaling effects (Giulianotti & Thiel, 2023). The population-level perspective “considers the uses, values, and beliefs of AI on the basis of individuals’ perceptions, cognitions, and behaviors; and the effects of technological advancement on population-level attitudes and behaviors.” This approach is operationalized as an integrative understanding of “Conservative AI,” and formalized as a theoretical framework involving multiple dimensions such as anti-tech and valence frames, technological threat perception, liberalism and conservatism, cognitive authority, and policy impacts. Specific permutations of Conservative AI are developed from the argument that technological change is inherently and persistently at odds with interpretations of the physical, moral, and gendered order of socially constructed hierarchy. via theory implementation of social theory, informed signal detection

experiments, bowtie methodology, and Muller investigations, knowledge distinguishes from the concerns of current work on algorithmic bias.

3. Understanding Artificial Intelligence: Concepts and Impact

A clarifying overview is necessary to navigate the rapidly growing research concerned with AI's complex societal impacts. In doing so, it is also possible to sketch the broad strokes of a sociological context on how these impacts relate to questions of economic equity. Artificial intelligence (AI) is an example of emerging technology that has garnered substantial interest, both in anticipation of its disruptive potential and in view of the impacts that its deployment is already having across a range of social institutions (Zajko, 2020). There is accompanying interest in AI both in terms of the technologies that it essentializes, and the sectors and theaters in which it is deployed. AI interventions include the development of algorithms to predict credit worthiness or recidivism, to develop facial recognition databases, and to modulate social media feeds. It also systemic automation, as in the rise of supply chain robots or the rapid replacement of "essential workers" with jobless kiosks. It includes the "work on rather than the work with" in sociotechnical systems, in this way limiting the subjects ability to understand and challenge an evolved workflow. The set of concerns produced by AI's emergence is thus multifaceted; it is at once a technology with profoundly textured impacts that is in turn variously distributed and mediated. Even as lack of AI literacy stymies informed dialogue on these impacts, there are co-parameters at play that fundamentally articulate social disparity. Familiar inequalities in access to health care, schooling, income, or internet usage work not in isolation, but as interlocking forces in a set of "compounded inequities". AI is interactive within these compounding forces, as its high design can amplify or diminish access to sectors and services – making its deployment a question of unique concern within the existing ecology of economic disparity.

There is a complex epistemology at stake in understanding AI, both in terms of the technology's relation to broader sociotechnical systems, and in terms of how AI's impacts are contained by sensory experience. This duality accordingly subtends the substance of the article at hand, which entails a non-exhaustive sketch of a literature that variously addresses AI as either textured social system or as disconnected technological buzzword. Proceeding from a historical context, this paper provides an overview of a growing scholarship on AI, appendantly tracing the contours of an emergent interest in AI's relationship to sociological questions of economic disparity. Entity structures Machine Learning_wfBML as the use of materials which are purposely infected by powerful emergences of a speculative-inferential nature.

4. Economic Equity: Definitions, Challenges, and Importance

Economic equity is a complex term, given its varying interpretations today; thus, it is paramount to understand what is meant by "equity" and to approach the term with a combination of sociological analysis, as well as an analysis of political economic

ideologies and outcomes. In politics and in markets, the term equity is equated with fairness, but rooted in differing political ideologies there are differing interpretations of fairness. To liberals, fairness is equated with individualism and equality of opportunity, and one's economic fate is one's own responsibility (Zajko, 2020). To those on the left, there is a belief in social equity and a vestigial support of welfare policies, based on the understanding of structural forces controlling the economy and society. Income distribution is the outcome of policy and politics, not an invisible set of hands guiding the market, and in contemporary terms, equity is synonymous with social justice, hence the talk of health and income disparities in discussions of advanced AI. As such, it is important to treat AI policy seriously, since organizational and macroeconomic policies are the bread and butter of fairness and social outcomes. This is the underlying concern of much of this discussion pertaining to economic equity, as economic disparities are rooted primarily in structural issues, and ensuring equitable economic outcomes will likely necessitate structural reforms.

5. Intersection of AI and Economic Equity: Opportunities and Risks

Artificial intelligence (AI) technology is increasingly integrated into economies around the world, producing a complex relationship with economic equity. The potential for AI to enhance economic opportunities is described, such as through innovation and increased labor productivity or automation. However, concerns about how AI will displace jobs and potentially increase inequality upon its adoption are also possible (Fisher et al., 2023). AI can be understood as a dual phenomenon where it is both a tool for advancement and can exacerbate existing disparities. For example, some might benefit from the influx of AI advancement, while others might be left behind. Responsive and proactive strategies to mitigate risks while leveraging opportunities are identified. To illustrate these opportunities and risks, examples of AI adoption in the economy are provided, covering both positive and negative outcomes of AI technology. The following suggests that sociology is well-positioned to study AI and economic equity within a larger societal context and make policy recommendations concerning inclusive practices, the future of work, and wealth redistribution.

6. Sociological Perspectives on Technological Advancements

In this age of technological innovations, more than ever, sociologists are well-placed to think critically and reflexively about the societal implications of the ongoing exponential speed of technological advancements, particularly in the field of automated machine-learning systems (AMLS). These developments challenge the structural environment of capitalism as well as the personal lives of individuals (Zukin & Torpey, 2020). Society history is marked by significant technological advances: water mills significantly changed agriculture in the medieval period, steam engines initiated the first industrial revolution, and the mass production of cars in the early 20th fantasy. Each of these innovations has led to equally impactful new social relationships, social structures, and

the creation/arising of new (social) institutions (Royer, 2020). Given this evidence, one might conclude that capitalism's hope in technology enabling it to overcome crises is well-founded. And yet such a conclusion underestimates the social side of society: water mills allowed for greater crop production and firstly created a new economic division of labor between mill-owning land proprietors and landless laborers gazestoka struggled to stymie the water machinery. Similarly, AMLS is already transforming society, giving rise to new (social) relationships, structures and institutions. Much sociological takes on technology assume either a functionalist line of argument, meaning technology is understood as promoting (and/or adapting to) social needs, which sees education and training systems as causing the necessary skills and knowledge of new technologies. The realization inscribed in these takes is of the immanent societal order of capitalism given technological transfer quiresf recurring benefits, e.g. reducing company profits/saving workforce time/facilitating customization/promoting research and development future profits/ etc. In addition, there are critical counterarguments that question such an order derived assumption: considering technology's commercial development and by research centers and their infrastructure is poised to amplify technovogue/state/sector alliances: a tech hub or cluster foments a broad range of societal innovation fields that would strengthen existing sectors and further refine requisite non-technological conditionsions, resulting in the technology industry with an uneven edge vis-à-vis newcomers or distant rewriters trying to catch up (also because of less developed sector or further hindrances technology not developing in each place or the benefits of newly arising technology turned out not matching societal or conformable needs). Eastern Europe? Yet, given the polar socio-spatial relations of an increasingly globalized era, this may further augment disparity inventions the West and the Rest: the predominant part of technological inventions, located in AMLS technology are being churned out future income areas and socially forfeited generations and devalues the socio-economic status of the already disadvantaged islands — thereby scathings a ripple of other repercussions that supports to be less consolatory and more conflictual.

7. Ethical Considerations in AI Development and Implementation

How is AI fair? Ethical AI is not unjust (inherently or accidentally) (Zajko, 2020). As algorithms and machine learning models become ever more embedded into processes that impact all aspects of society, AI tools are increasingly used as a basis for dispensing resources, opportunities, or avoiding harm. Automated decisions of this sort create and reflect a series of complex and long-ranging effects on economic, social, psychological, personal, and cultural experiences. As more industries utilize AI and predictive technologies to optimize internal processes or acquire exclusives on the value chain, the implications are likened to a slow-moving tsunami, albeit uncertainty about the exact knock-on effects (Giralt Hernández, 2024). These issues are evidenced clearly in debates surrounding AI's role in enforcement and compliance. In situations where equal justice and social order are served by technologies and practices that cultivate more accurate and

unbiased tools for monitoring, assessment, and intervention, contradictions arise when it is precisely the agents and methods used by the state to create order that are interpreted as generating and sustaining new forms of insecurity. At the most general level, among AI developers and the critiques of said developers, the potential negative ramifications for the displacement of hierarchical oppression and biasing iniquity of growing and often secret databases led by Google, Amazon, and Microsoft are sites of widespread conceptual ambiguity, increasingly vocal criticism, and initiatives of legal, organizational, and mobilizational resistance. If, as a number of authors claim and demonstrate, moral engagements with AI assemblages and datasets are progressively becoming part of everyday processes throughout government, business, and public service units – e.g. through audits and specialist consultants into firms or salient protests in the workplace – there opens up a field of action where terms and IMS engineers and managers might approach AI futures in ways that deviate (even if not wholly standard) from typical pertainances to care and fairness or the common discourse of ethics in AI.

8. Policy Implications for Ensuring Economic Equity in the Age of AI

The rise of artificial intelligence (AI) technologies has brought the role of social equity to the forefront of political and public debate. Because AI is being integrated into various industries and workplaces, it will play a role in deepening or accelerating new challenges related to economic disparity. In fact, there is a large body of sociological literature on social inequality and stratification that provides unique insights and perspectives on how AI and the resulting technological changes affect social equity (Zajko, 2020). Viewing AI-induced economic inequality through the lenses of sociological theories can broaden understanding of potential consequences and reveal potential solutions. Sociology as a discipline is also uniquely positioned to inform and advise various stakeholders by generating evidence-based knowledge and policy frameworks. All of this raises the key question: how can sociological knowledge contribute to the development of policies aimed at easing potential disruptions in economic equity resulting from the integration of AI? At this juncture, transitioning from possible turn of events to proactive policy-making may seem glaringly necessary for economic equity. Accordingly, several policy implications are drawn from sociological perspectives, each tackling the above issues. The rapid integration of AI into various industries poses challenges to existing policies. Given that the landscape of labor markets will continue to transform at an unprecedented pace, policy-makers, industry, academia, and other actors need to do the same in order to form effective solutions towards disrupted social equity. Collaboration between government, industry, academia, and other stakeholders is highlighted as a way to sidestep potential disruptions in social equity and ensure the benefits facilitated by AI and the ongoing technological changes are widespread. On job creation and workforce development, policies proposing to foster workforce resilience to rapid advancements in technology, including AI, are explored. Initiated reskilling programs are also covered in this context. On technological design and implementation, policies suggesting to ensure

widespread access to AI will be explored. Potential ways to address catastrophic algorithmic bias are also discussed. Finally, a series of success stories of national and local policy programs or industry-led initiatives with the view to broadening social equity will be presented.

9. Sociological Research Methods in Studying AI and Economic Equity

A labour market centered study turns to job boards where many ads with a technology component (‘Big Tech’) or software powered by a machine learning algorithm (‘AI hiring’) are observed. A political economy and critical discourse analysis of this topic is pursued by contrasting discourses about AI and economic justice within start-ups. A nearly complete sample of the proceeds of venture capital is followed, data shows that less than 1% of distributed VC goes to start-ups founded by black people in the USA, a disparity that takes on heightened significance as the first wave of visionary capital in AI continues through 2020.

Sociology is well-suited to tackling the complexity of social phenomena altering through and with AI and, therefore, has a key role to play in the wider field of AI and society. While science and technology scholars are engaged in debates about artificial intelligence (AI) around ethics, the justice system, and social inequality, it is argued here that sociology is well-equipped to deepen such inquiries. The extension of automation technology, ‘‘robotics’’ and AI, to ‘‘intelligent robots’’ begins in the 21st century’s second decade. An upsurge of research has since arisen around the societal effects of AI technologies, addressing a wide range of fields, from criminology and the criminal justice system to general conceptions of risk and social injustice. Societal objections, comments, and recommendations regarding AI applications for the safety of individuals — the health care system — are addressed by a nascent body of criminological literature on AI and the criminal justice (Zajko, 2020).

10. Case Studies and Best Practices

■ Coding with Purpose: Learning AI in Rural California

As artificial intelligence (AI) increasingly mediates everyday life, understanding who has access to AI, their goals and values and how their applications of AI could change society is necessary. This paper is concerned with not only how they might use this knowledge to enrich computer science (CS) and AI education, but more broadly, how such understanding might guide efforts towards educational equity. One approach toward that end is to pay more attention to the ways that young people use AI to engage with and transform the social and material world (Tena-Meza et al., 2021). Young people have wealths of knowledge about their communities that could both inform socially just applications of AI, and open up questions on how to design equitable AI curricula and programs. Additionally, it will be important to acknowledge that socially just applications of AI are not uniform: the most pressing problems in rural communities are not the same

in urban communities. It is likely that young people are learning different kinds of AI depending upon the social context. Given disparate circumstances and backgrounds, determining what socially just applications of AI could and should look like might depend on who is asked to design and apply it. Finally, it is maintained that asking students from marginalized communities what they envision and how the dying fields are learning CS and AI might be crucial to shaping socially just applications of AI. In the end, a framework is given on what the future of AI education might look like, and how it should consider how students like the one in the case study would address social injustice with computation.

■ Conservative AI and social inequality: Conceptualizing alternatives to bias through social theory

If the potential for algorithms and data sets developed at Google, Amazon and Microsoft to be used for oppression and injustice have led to critiques and resistance from employees. The potential for algorithms and data sets developed by local police departments or the Department of Homeland Security to be used for oppression and injustice does not seem to have produced such critique (Zajko, 2020). Rather, in the contexts of Algorithms and Social Media conferences Google speakers have discussed collaborations on campus with a munificent generosity. One possible explanation for this impasse is a narrow conception of what violence and injustice looks like, leading to the conclusion that the primary problem with the application of facial recognition by the Lansing police department is that it is inaccurate, or to grumble that data is incomplete or badly coded. On the other hand, several colleagues distinguish between direct and indirect harms. Useful tools and a beneficial purpose that are neutral in a vacuum, but have troubling downstream applications that the creators do not anticipate. This project embraces a longer-term vision that aims to re-imagine how policing is accomplished in the United States and considers an even broader approach to fundamental public security. What is proposed is not only incredibly ambitious, but requires formulating a radically different conception of the role of the state that is so unprecedented that there does not exist a term in common parlance for it.

11. Future Trends and Directions in Sociology, AI, and Economic Equity

Globalization, technological innovation, and demographic change are all reshaping societal structures and expectations (Zajko, 2020). Globalization means national economies are becoming more interconnected, and as a result investments, production and people have an ever-increasing ability to move across national frontiers. Nations, depending on their economic fortunes and importance, may struggle to adapt to these changes. At the same time, ubiquitous digital technology is redefining how commerce is done, making new types of engineering and programming jobs, and has given rise to social media industries that are integral to the creation of social and cultural environments. In near-future societies that contain advanced automation, what is the

relevance of traditional blue-collar occupations, particularly in the manufacturing of mass goods, or sectors that could easily be outsourced? Examples abound of developing countries or areas of developed countries that have seen their existing advantage in sectors such as manufacturing eroded, disappearing, or failing to be enacted at all. Effectively, there is a divergence of outcomes, with a few cities and states in the U.S. and similar 'global cities in the global South' economically booming with real-wage inequalities increasing compared to less fortunate areas that have experienced deindustrialization, resulting in stagnation or economic decline. The demographic shift of aging populations and lower fertility rates threatens to upend current economic models, with the potential that current pension and welfare plans might not cope with the elevated dependency ratio. There is the potential for conflict between old and young, with each generation harbouring differing grievances and needs, further exacerbated by competition for resources. Taking the U.S. context as an example, under egalitarian economic and political changes in the post-World War two period, constituting a sort of social democratic capitalism, the productivity and real-wage gains for the majority of the population matched each other. However, after stagnation in the 1970s, this harmony collapsed, with productivity continuing to increase, but not real wages, highlighting an advanced stage of income inequality and wage stagnation, where overall economic growth has failed to translate into majority living standards. Widening inequality is not limited to just income, but includes the environmental and health domains, revealing that these societally impactful advantage-disadvantage patterns pervade one another, exacerbating political disenfranchisement.

12. Conclusion and Call to Action

In order to better navigate these challenges, further collaborative conversations between sociologists, technologists, and policy-makers are needed. Sociologists can contribute by understanding how AI is shaping economic processes, inequality, and social norms, norms that can have an impact on the corporate and policy responses to AI. Technologists should consider the societal implications of their work and develop a better understanding of the social context of their projects. In the meantime, policy-makers must first acknowledge their role in determining AI development avenues, rule-setting, and diffusion and consider policies designed to promote more inclusive development. As a new set of interconnected challenges, future research in sociology and other social sciences should prioritize addressing ethical aspects of AI projects, including privacy, collective inequality, and informed consent. Furthermore, a continuous dialogue between sociologists and practitioners working on AI is recommended, with the aim of adapting regulatory frameworks and guidelines to the rapid changes in technological advances and to foster an informed public debate.

Efforts made so far come far short of addressing the threats posed by the path of this new technological wave. Furthermore, as they spell out the ramifications of the growing

influence of AI technologies over economic and social life in more detail, they are likely to considerably reshape academic, political, and lay public's understanding of the dynamics driving them. Sociologists have the potential to play an important role in these public debates. Given their unique perspective on social processes and their objective of obtaining a deep and nuanced understanding of reality, scholars in sociology might be particularly well placed to develop a comprehensive knowledge of the social implications of AI. Furthermore, adopting a public sociology approach, they might contribute to the development of an environment where inclusively, ethically, and equitably designed AI shape an alternative development path. The current climate of growing concern over the future of work and over AI development and dissemination needs to be understood and shaped by sociologists locating the seeds of these concerns in long-term processes shaping structural transformations of the economy and social structures.

References:

Zajko, M. (2020). Conservative AI and social inequality: Conceptualizing alternatives to bias through social theory.

Giulianotti, R. & Thiel, A. (2023). New horizons in the sociology of sport. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

Fisher, E., A. Flynn, M., Pratap, P., & A. Vietas, J. (2023). Occupational Safety and Health Equity Impacts of Artificial Intelligence: A Scoping Review. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

Zukin, S. & Torpey, J. (2020). Editors' introduction to the special issue on the sociology of digital technology. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

Royer, A. (2020). The Short Anthropological Guide to the Study of Ethical AI.

Giralt Hernández, E. (2024). Towards an Ethical and Inclusive Implementation of Artificial Intelligence in Organizations: A Multidimensional Framework.

Tena-Meza, S., Suzara, M., & Alvero, A. J. (2021). Coding with Purpose: Learning AI in Rural California.