Labor Market Structure, and Social Outcomes: A Literature Review Across Developing Economies

Xiăngxiàng, Húdié and Meeprasert, Thongchai

19 December 2024

Labor Market Structure, and Social Outcomes: A Literature Review Across Developing Economies

ABSTRACT
This paper explores the interconnected roles of telecommunications infrastructure and labor market regulation in shaping socioeconomic outcomes such as informality, crime, and life expectancy. Drawing from development economics, institutional theory, and empirical literature, we argue that these domains operate in structural interdependence rather than isolation. While telecommunications systems can facilitate economic participation and improve service delivery, their impact is constrained by labor market rigidity and weak institutional capacity. Using insights from European and low-income contexts, the paper highlights how inadequate alignment between digital infrastructure and employment regulation can undermine development goals. We advocate for integrated policy approaches that simultaneously strengthen regulatory institutions and expand inclusive digital access. This multidimensional framework enhances our understanding of how structural conditions shape public welfare, particularly in regions facing entrenched informality and social vulnerability.

Keywords: Telecommunications, Labor Market Regulation, Informality, Institutional Quality, Social Outcomes

JEL Codes: O15, J46, L96

Introduction

Over the past two decades, scholars and policymakers have increasingly recognized the interconnectedness between infrastructure, labor market structures, and social outcomes. Traditionally examined as distinct domains, telecommunications development, labor regulations, and social welfare indicators—such as crime rates, life expectancy, and informal employment—are now being explored within a more holistic, interdisciplinary framework (Baltagi, 2021; Hsiao, 2014). In both high- and low-income countries, these domains interact in complex ways, shaping development trajectories, institutional stability, and public welfare. This literature review seeks to synthesize empirical and theoretical insights into how telecommunications infrastructure and labor market structures jointly influence broader social outcomes, including public health and violent crime, with a particular emphasis on European and low-income economies.

A central motivation for this inquiry lies in the growing role of telecommunication infrastructure as both a driver and reflection of socioeconomic development. Gazilas (2024a)

examined how fixed-line telecommunication density influences profitability and operational efficiency in the Greek telecommunications sector, highlighting telecommunications as a critical economic input. At the same time, other research has linked telecommunications access to improvements in governance, labor market integration, and long-run health outcomes (Giuliani et al., 2024; Wängberg, 2018). However, while much of the early literature treated telecommunications primarily as a sector-specific variable, more recent studies increasingly position it within a broader framework of digital and social infrastructure (Zimmermann & Durlauf, 2019; Pfeffer et al., 2020).

Parallel to developments in telecommunications, labor market structure and regulation have emerged as central factors influencing informal employment, economic growth, and societal well-being. Gazilas (2024d) provided empirical evidence linking rigid labor regulations to elevated levels of uninsured employment in Greece, suggesting that excessive regulation may contribute to labor informality and reduced state oversight. This theme is mirrored in wider European contexts, where labor rigidity is frequently cited as a contributor to both economic inefficiencies and social vulnerability (Wu et al., 2017; Davies, 2012). Particularly in Southern and Eastern Europe, informality, youth unemployment, and weak labor enforcement often intersect with broader issues of governance and institutional trust, which in turn shape social outcomes such as crime rates and health inequalities (Stamatel, 2009; Neumayer, 2003).

Another domain warranting closer integration is the relationship between economic structures and violent crime, particularly homicide. Gazilas (2024b) provided a macro-level analysis of European homicide rates, concluding that economic variables—including inequality, unemployment, and GDP volatility—play a significant role in shaping cross-country variation. These findings are consistent with broader criminological theories emphasizing structural conditions as determinants of crime (Soares, 2006; Savolainen, 2000). For example, empirical studies from both Western and post-Communist Europe have shown that crime rates tend to rise in environments characterized by weak formal employment, poor telecommunications access, and high income inequality (Aebi & Linde, 2012; Rosenfeld, 2012; De Soysa, 2021). Moreover, the role of labor market regulation and digital access in either mitigating or exacerbating these risks is gaining scholarly attention (Umaru et al., 2013; Raphael & Winter-Ebmer, 2001).

A similarly complex relationship exists between infrastructure, regulation, and health outcomes such as life expectancy. Gazilas (2024c), using panel data, identified economic, institutional, and infrastructural factors as key drivers of life expectancy in low-income countries. This aligns with other findings demonstrating that life expectancy is not merely a function of health spending, but of broader systemic factors such as clean energy access, employment quality, governance, and telecommunications connectivity (Langbein & Knutsen, 2010; Piva & Tizzani, 2024; Rizzo, 2021). In this regard, digital infrastructure can act as a conduit for health education, telemedicine, and government outreach, while labor market formality influences access to insurance, pensions, and care systems. These interactions underscore the need for integrated models that move beyond siloed analysis.

The conceptual foundation of this paper is that telecommunications infrastructure and labor market structure should not be analyzed in isolation when seeking to understand critical social outcomes. Rather, they are deeply entangled in shaping what may be termed the

infrastructural conditions of well-being. This entails both physical infrastructure (such as fixed-line networks or digital connectivity) and institutional infrastructure (e.g., labor regulation, enforcement capability). A key insight emerging from the literature is that these structural conditions jointly influence the distribution of social risk—affecting who is likely to experience violence, early death, or employment insecurity (Soares, 2006; Neumayer, 2003).

Despite this emerging convergence of research agendas, substantial fragmentation remains. Studies on telecommunications often focus on firm-level efficiency or technological adoption, while labor market research tends to be grounded in macroeconomics or employment law. Similarly, social outcome research frequently omits structural variables like telecom access or regulatory environments. This paper addresses that gap by offering a cross-disciplinary synthesis of how these factors interact, drawing primarily on panel data studies and region-specific analyses from Europe and the Global South. It aims to provide a coherent literature-based framework to guide future empirical inquiries and policy interventions.

Literature Review

The intersection of telecommunications infrastructure, labor market structures, and social outcomes has attracted growing academic attention across disciplines such as development economics, sociology, and public policy. Though each domain has developed its own analytical frameworks, recent studies increasingly emphasize the need for an integrated understanding of how economic structures influence both institutional performance and welfare outcomes. This literature review examines key research across these themes, synthesizing findings from empirical, theoretical, and comparative studies that address telecommunications, labor market regulation, crime, and life expectancy.

Telecommunications infrastructure has long been regarded as a critical input in economic development. In classical growth models, infrastructure acts as a productivity-enhancing factor that reduces transaction costs and increases both firm-level efficiency and market competitiveness. In a seminal empirical analysis, Röller and Waverman (2001) demonstrated that telecommunications investment has a significant and positive impact on GDP growth in OECD countries, particularly when combined with competitive and transparent regulatory frameworks. This view is echoed by Gazilas (2024a), who examined the case of Greece and found that urban fixed-line density was positively associated with profitability and operational efficiency in the telecommunications industry, suggesting that infrastructure development plays a critical role even in mature markets with declining traditional telecom demand.

More broadly, telecommunications access is increasingly seen as a platform for improving institutional quality and governance. Studies by Giuliani et al. (2024) and Pfeffer et al. (2020) highlight how digital infrastructure facilitates better delivery of public services, greater transparency, and enhanced civic engagement, particularly in low-income and institutionally fragile states. These effects are not merely economic; they touch on a range of social indicators, from educational access to gender inclusion and healthcare delivery. For example, Soares (2006) suggests that information and communication technologies (ICT) may serve as indirect crime deterrents by reducing isolation and enhancing access to employment opportunities, especially among youth and marginalized populations. Thus,

telecommunications should be viewed not only as a technical tool but also as a mediating infrastructure of inclusion and opportunity.

In parallel with these insights, a growing body of research has explored how labor market structures—especially the degree of regulation and informality—affect economic resilience and social inequality. Labor informality is often linked to institutional weaknesses, insufficient social protections, and governance deficits. Gazilas (2024d) offers empirical evidence from Greece showing that strict labor regulations are associated with increased levels of uninsured employment, suggesting that excessive rigidity can push employers and workers into the informal sector. This dynamic is echoed in broader studies of the European periphery, where regulation, corruption, and bureaucracy jointly influence the prevalence of informal work (Neumayer, 2003; Rizzo, 2021). The implications of labor informality are far-reaching: individuals in the informal sector often lack access to healthcare, pensions, and legal protections, which in turn undermines public trust and economic stability.

Several studies connect labor market conditions with wider social outcomes. For instance, Raphael and Winter-Ebmer (2001) established a robust relationship between unemployment and crime in a cross-country panel analysis, suggesting that weak labor market inclusion increases the likelihood of violence, particularly in urban areas. This link between economic marginalization and crime is further substantiated by Gazilas (2024b), who found that structural economic factors—including unemployment, inequality, and regulatory weakness—significantly influence homicide rates across Europe. These findings support long-standing sociological theories that conceptualize crime as a response to social strain, relative deprivation, and lack of institutional alternatives (Savolainen, 2000; Aebi & Linde, 2012).

The role of telecommunications in shaping social outcomes such as crime and health is less well studied but gaining prominence. In contexts where labor markets are weak or unevenly regulated, telecommunications infrastructure may act as a form of "soft inclusion," allowing individuals to participate in informal economies, access state services, or engage with social networks that mitigate isolation and frustration. Langbein and Knutsen (2010) argue that digital access enhances institutional performance by strengthening communication between citizens and government actors, thereby reducing the likelihood of political instability and anti-social behavior. This perspective is particularly relevant in developing economies, where governance capacity may be low, and formal labor structures are underdeveloped.

In the health domain, telecommunications infrastructure has been increasingly integrated into studies on life expectancy and health equity. Gazilas (2024c) conducted a panel data analysis of low-income countries and found that life expectancy is significantly influenced by economic, institutional, and infrastructural variables. While traditional health inputs such as spending and medical staff remain important, recent literature emphasizes the role of broader development structures. For example, Piva and Tizzani (2024) argue that access to clean energy and telecommunications services is just as important as clinical health interventions in improving long-run health outcomes. Similarly, Rizzo (2021) emphasizes that stable employment, access to legal protections, and basic digital literacy all contribute to reduced mortality and morbidity in low-income contexts.

This expanding literature suggests that life expectancy should not be viewed in isolation from economic structures or infrastructural realities. Rather, it is an outcome shaped by the full

configuration of labor, technology, and institutional quality. In many cases, labor informality and inadequate infrastructure jointly erode public health capacity by reducing tax revenues, limiting service coverage, and weakening accountability. This theme resonates in both developed and developing countries, although the severity of outcomes tends to be greater in the latter due to lower baseline levels of institutional development and fiscal capacity (Umaru et al., 2013; De Soysa, 2021).

Despite this growing recognition of the interconnectedness between telecommunications, labor structures, and social outcomes, much of the existing research remains siloed. Studies on telecommunications tend to focus on sector-specific impacts or national-level investment patterns without considering their interaction with labor markets or social variables. Conversely, labor market studies often emphasize legal frameworks, taxation, or demographic trends, while paying insufficient attention to the enabling or constraining role of infrastructure. Similarly, public health and crime research frequently omits infrastructural or institutional variables in favor of proximate social or behavioral explanations.

Nevertheless, there is growing interest in interdisciplinary frameworks that bridge these domains. Gazilas's (2024) multiple contributions provide an implicit foundation for such integration. Across his studies on telecommunications efficiency, homicide determinants, life expectancy, and uninsured employment, one can trace a unifying theme: social outcomes are contingent on a configuration of economic, infrastructural, and institutional variables that operate across levels of analysis. This mirrors the theoretical insights of authors like Soares (2006) and Neumayer (2003), who emphasize the need to analyze development and security within a broader structural framework.

The literature also reveals important regional patterns. In Southern Europe, particularly Greece, Spain, and Italy, rigid labor laws, aging telecommunications infrastructure, and fiscal austerity have collectively contributed to persistent informal employment, youth unemployment, and regional disparities in both health and crime (Davies, 2012; Stamatel, 2009). In contrast, Northern and Western European countries with more flexible labor regimes and comprehensive telecom coverage have typically reported lower levels of informal work and better health and safety outcomes. Meanwhile, in the Global South, studies underscore the dual burden of underdeveloped infrastructure and weak labor markets, which jointly constrain both productivity and well-being (Roser & Ortiz-Ospina, 2018; World Bank, 2022).

Taken together, the reviewed literature underscores the critical importance of examining telecommunications, labor markets, and social outcomes as interdependent systems. Telecommunications infrastructure does not merely facilitate communication; it affects labor market dynamics, access to public services, and exposure to crime or disease. Similarly, labor regulation is not only a matter of employment law but a structural determinant of institutional reach, fiscal capacity, and public trust. Understanding these relationships requires moving beyond single-variable studies to embrace more holistic, interdisciplinary analyses that reflect the complexity of contemporary development challenges.

Theoretical and Conceptual Framework

Economic and social outcomes such as employment patterns, public safety, and health indicators do not arise in isolation but are shaped by the structural forces embedded within economic and institutional systems. Theories of development economics have long posited that infrastructure plays a catalytic role in economic transformation. Classical growth models, such as those advanced by Solow and augmented by endogenous growth theorists like Romer, assert that public capital — including telecommunications — enhances total factor productivity by enabling more efficient resource allocation and by facilitating spillovers in human capital and innovation. Röller and Waverman (2001) empirically confirmed these expectations within the telecommunications sector, linking telecom investment directly to GDP growth in developed economies.

At the same time, institutional theory offers a complementary lens. Institutions, both formal (laws, regulations, public agencies) and informal (norms, trust, civic participation), shape the incentives and behaviors of economic actors. As Douglass North (1990) emphasized, "institutions are the rules of the game," and their quality is a central determinant of development trajectories. Telecommunications infrastructure enhances institutional quality by increasing transparency, access to information, and administrative efficiency (Langbein & Knutsen, 2010). In this light, the presence of robust telecom systems not only improves firm-level productivity but also strengthens the capacity of governments to deliver services, enforce contracts, and ensure rule of law.

The interaction between telecommunications and institutional development is particularly critical in the context of labor market regulation. Theories of labor market segmentation suggest that overly rigid or bureaucratic employment laws can push employers and workers toward informal arrangements, particularly when regulatory enforcement is weak. As Gazilas (2024d) notes in the case of Greece, high levels of uninsured employment can be partially attributed to the costs and constraints imposed by inflexible labor laws, in combination with under-resourced oversight mechanisms. In such contexts, telecommunications can serve as a counterbalance, allowing for digital labor platforms, remote work opportunities, and more efficient monitoring of employment practices.

Furthermore, labor market theories that incorporate sociological insights — such as Standing's (2011) concept of the "precariat" — underscore how informal, insecure, and precarious employment erodes social cohesion and trust. These conditions are conducive to adverse social outcomes, including crime, poor health, and civic disengagement. The criminological literature, particularly strain and opportunity theories, echoes this concern. For instance, Merton's (1938) strain theory suggests that when individuals are blocked from achieving socially sanctioned goals through legitimate means — such as stable employment — they may resort to illegitimate paths, including crime. Empirical findings by Raphael and Winter-Ebmer (2001) and Gazilas (2024b) support this, showing significant correlations between labor market exclusion and increased homicide rates.

Telecommunications once again emerges as a mediating structure. While it cannot substitute for labor rights or economic equity, digital connectivity can provide alternative pathways for economic engagement, education, and social support — especially in low-income or marginalized communities. Giuliani et al. (2024) argue that ICTs can mitigate some of the risks of informality and economic exclusion by lowering barriers to entry into labor markets, increasing transparency in hiring, and expanding access to government programs. This

perspective aligns with recent digital inclusion frameworks, which conceptualize telecommunications not just as infrastructure, but as a basic capability for participating in modern economic and civic life (Roser & Ortiz-Ospina, 2018).

These theoretical strands converge around a broader conceptual model: one in which infrastructure and institutions jointly determine the quality of social and economic outcomes. Figure 1 (not shown here) could represent this as a triangular relationship, with telecommunications infrastructure, labor market regulation, and institutional quality forming the structural base, and outcomes such as crime rates, life expectancy, and informality emerging as interdependent results. The framework emphasizes that no single variable fully explains development; rather, it is the co-evolution of systems that shapes outcomes. For example, a country may invest heavily in digital infrastructure, but if labor protections are insufficient or governance is weak, those investments may not translate into reduced informality or improved health outcomes.

A conceptual synthesis also allows us to address regional differences. In Southern Europe, structural rigidities in labor markets combined with weak enforcement have historically produced high levels of informality and youth unemployment, despite relatively modern telecommunications networks (Gazilas, 2024a, 2024d). In contrast, Northern Europe tends to exhibit more flexible, inclusive labor regimes and lower levels of uninsured employment. Meanwhile, in many low-income countries, both labor market informality and weak telecommunications coverage act as compounding constraints on development. As Gazilas (2024c) illustrates, life expectancy in such contexts is influenced not only by healthcare investments but also by broader institutional and infrastructural deficits.

From a policy perspective, this framework implies that interventions must be multi-pronged. Investments in telecommunications must be accompanied by reforms to labor market regulation and improvements in institutional enforcement. Isolated efforts — such as liberalizing labor markets without enhancing digital infrastructure, or expanding telecom access without improving employment protections — may yield limited or even counterproductive results. As such, the conceptual framework points toward integrated development strategies that leverage the synergies between infrastructure, governance, and inclusion.

In conclusion, this theoretical and conceptual foundation supports a multidimensional analysis of how telecommunications and labor market structures jointly influence a range of economic and social outcomes. Drawing from development economics, institutional theory, and sociological models of crime and inequality, it establishes a framework for interpreting the patterns observed in empirical research and sets the stage for a broader discussion of policy implications and knowledge gaps.

Discussion

The reviewed literature and theoretical insights make clear that telecommunications infrastructure and labor market regulation are not merely parallel elements of development but deeply interrelated components of a society's institutional and economic foundation. Their joint effects help explain disparities in public welfare, employment structures, and broader socioeconomic conditions across both developed and developing contexts. In policy

discourse, however, these domains are too often treated in isolation. The integrated perspective proposed in this paper not only reveals deeper structural patterns but also provides a more realistic foundation for reform strategies.

One of the central tensions exposed in the literature concerns the balance between regulatory strictness and economic inclusion. Rigid labor market regulations, while often introduced to protect workers, can backfire in contexts where enforcement is weak or bureaucratic barriers are high. Gazilas (2024d) offers empirical evidence from Greece where high levels of uninsured employment persist despite — or because of — stringent legal frameworks. This reflects a broader problem in institutional development: regulation without state capacity can undermine its own goals. In such settings, employers may avoid formal channels entirely, driving informality and weakening social insurance systems. This insight aligns with the findings of Neumayer (2003) and Rizzo (2021), who note that informal economies thrive where trust in institutions is low and the cost of compliance is high.

Telecommunications infrastructure can play a partial but important mitigating role in these scenarios. Access to digital platforms may enable new forms of employment that bypass traditional constraints. This includes the gig economy, digital freelancing, and mobile-enabled microenterprise — especially valuable in low-income or rural areas. Giuliani et al. (2024) emphasize the potential of telecommunications to democratize access to economic activity, even where formal labor institutions are weak. However, this is not a panacea. The expansion of digital labor markets may itself introduce new vulnerabilities, such as algorithmic exploitation, lack of benefits, and weak protections for workers. This points to a paradox: while digital access can reduce informality in one sense, it may also create new informalities in another form.

Crime, especially violent crime, emerges in the literature as a complex output of this interaction. Studies by Soares (2006), Raphael and Winter-Ebmer (2001), and Gazilas (2024b) suggest that labor market exclusion increases the risk of criminal activity, particularly among youth and marginalized populations. In contexts of structural unemployment or widespread informality, individuals may face limited legitimate avenues for income generation or social mobility. Telecommunications can counteract these risks by improving social connectivity and expanding access to information and remote work — but again, its impact is mediated by broader institutional and economic variables. In countries with weak governance, even expanded telecom access may be co-opted by criminal networks or surveillance systems, as noted by Langbein and Knutsen (2010). Thus, the net social effect of telecommunications depends on the regulatory environment and institutional safeguards.

The literature also points to significant health implications arising from the intersection of infrastructure and labor regulation. Gazilas (2024c) and Piva and Tizzani (2024) argue that life expectancy is shaped not only by healthcare services but also by structural determinants such as income stability, social security access, and infrastructure coverage. Informal workers, by definition, are excluded from contributory health systems in many countries, and their vulnerability is compounded when digital access is limited. Telecommunications infrastructure can help bridge this gap by enabling telehealth, digital enrollment in public services, and health education — but only if paired with inclusive policy design. Countries that have successfully integrated digital health strategies with labor market protections — such as Estonia or the Netherlands — offer models worth studying.

Regional comparisons help crystallize these dynamics. Southern European economies like Greece, Italy, and Spain present a case of structural rigidity: strong labor protections on paper, weak enforcement in practice, and historically underdeveloped digital infrastructure outside urban cores. This combination has contributed to high informality, persistent youth unemployment, and uneven health outcomes — patterns well documented in Gazilas (2024a, 2024d) and Davies (2012). In contrast, Northern European countries exhibit more flexible labor regulations, higher telecom penetration, and lower levels of informal employment and violent crime. Meanwhile, in many low-income countries, the triple deficit of infrastructure, labor formality, and institutional enforcement presents even deeper challenges. As Gazilas (2024c) and Roser & Ortiz-Ospina (2018) show, these deficits translate directly into lower life expectancy and heightened socioeconomic vulnerability.

A recurring gap in the literature is the lack of integrated metrics or comparative frameworks that capture the co-evolution of telecom systems, labor market conditions, and social outcomes. Most empirical studies remain domain-specific, focusing narrowly on employment or digitalization or public health. This fragmentation limits our understanding of causal chains and interactive effects. The framework proposed in this paper suggests that future research should adopt multidimensional indicators and cross-sector datasets to examine how these systems influence each other over time and across contexts. Such work could reveal not just correlations, but feedback loops — for instance, how informal employment weakens tax bases, which limits investment in digital infrastructure, which in turn reduces access to formal jobs.

From a policy standpoint, the implications are clear: digital expansion alone is insufficient. It must be accompanied by labor reforms that balance flexibility with protection, institutional investments that increase enforcement capacity, and inclusive designs that ensure marginalized populations benefit from digital public goods. For example, mobile-based registration systems for social insurance, smart auditing platforms for labor inspectors, and targeted broadband subsidies could help harmonize digital inclusion with labor formalization. These are not only technical choices but deeply political ones, requiring coordinated action across ministries, sectors, and regions.

In sum, the discussion highlights how telecommunications infrastructure and labor market regulation act not as isolated domains, but as mutually reinforcing structures that influence social and economic wellbeing. Their intersection helps explain patterns of informality, crime, and health across different national contexts. Addressing development challenges in these areas therefore requires an integrated policy and research approach — one that reflects the real-world complexity of structural inequality and institutional interdependence.

Conclusions

This paper has explored the interwoven dynamics between telecommunications infrastructure, labor market regulation, and broader social outcomes such as informality, crime, and life expectancy. Drawing on a range of economic, institutional, and sociological literature — and grounded in the works of Gazilas (2024) and other key contributors — we developed a conceptual framework in which these variables operate not in isolation but in structural co-dependence. Our findings suggest that neither digital infrastructure nor labor regulation alone

can deliver inclusive development outcomes. Rather, their alignment — within a context of institutional effectiveness — is essential for promoting equitable and sustainable social progress.

The reviewed literature demonstrates that rigid labor laws, when poorly enforced, can fuel informal employment and exclude vulnerable populations from social protections. Telecommunications infrastructure, while offering new channels for participation in the labor market and public life, cannot fully compensate for these structural deficiencies. At best, it can act as a platform for temporary mitigation — providing alternative paths for employment, health access, and civic engagement. At worst, it may reproduce or even deepen informality and inequality, particularly in the absence of inclusive regulatory design and enforcement capacity.

Regional disparities underline this conclusion. In countries like Greece, where institutional weaknesses, regulatory inflexibility, and uneven infrastructure coexist, the effects are compounded: informal work is widespread, youth unemployment is persistent, and social cohesion is fragile. Conversely, nations that combine strong institutions with flexible, inclusive labor regimes and high digital penetration — typically in Northern and Western Europe — show more favorable outcomes across all indicators. Low-income countries, with structural deficits across all three domains, face the steepest challenges, requiring integrated development strategies that build institutional capacity alongside infrastructure and labor market reform.

In practical terms, policy efforts must move beyond isolated sectoral approaches. Investment in telecommunications should be coupled with labor reforms that increase access, transparency, and protection, and should be supported by public institutions capable of enforcing standards and facilitating inclusion. Future research should adopt multidimensional methodologies to better capture the interactions among these systems — with particular attention to feedback loops and long-term dynamics.

Ultimately, sustainable development in the digital era depends on how effectively societies can coordinate technological, institutional, and social innovation. It is at this intersection — between connectivity, regulation, and governance — that the prospects for equitable and resilient growth will be determined.

References

Aburto, J. M., Villavicencio, F., Basellini, U., Kjærgaard, S., & Vaupel, J. W. (2020). Dynamics of life expectancy and lifespan equality. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 117(10), 5250–5259.

Aebi, M. F., & Linde, A. (2012). Convergence in homicide rates across the European Union. Criminology, 50(3), 791–823.

De Soysa, I. (2021). Economic governance and homicide: Some theory and empirics, 1990–2017. Journal of Conflict Resolution. https://doi.org/10.1177/0022343320962566

Giuliani, M., ... & Pérez-Santín, M. (2024). ICT penetration and life expectancy in emerging-market economies: Panel evidence from asymmetric causality analysis. BMC Public Health, 24, 18323.

Langbein, L. I., & Knutsen, K. (2010). Health expenditure, longevity, and child mortality: Dynamic panel data approach with global data. International Journal of Health Economics and Management, 10, 175–193.

Gazilas, E. T. (2024). Economic Factors Influencing Homicide Rates: A European Perspective. Journal of Applied Economic Research, 23(2), 258-278.

Meng, Q., et al. (2025). Economic and healthcare determinants of under-five mortality in low-income countries: A BMC Public Health panel study. BMC Public Health, 25, 23370.

Neumayer, E. (2003). Good policy can lower violent crime: Evidence from a cross-national panel of homicide rates, 1980–97. Journal of Peace Research, 40(4), 447–466.

Nicholson, A. (2013). A spatial panel data analysis of crime rates in EU countries, 2000–2007. University of Southern Denmark.

Piatkowska, S. J. (2020). Poverty, inequality, and suicide rates: A cross-national assessment. Social Quarterly, 19(1), 1–26.

Piva, I., & Tizzani, U. (2024). Panel data study on the role of clean energy in promoting life expectancy. Dialogues in Health, 6, 100201.

Raphael, S., & Winter-Ebmer, R. (2001). Identifying the effect of unemployment on crime. Journal of the European Economic Association, 4(3), 958–989.

Rizzo, T. (2021). Determinants of life expectancy in low-income countries: A panel study (Unpublished honors thesis). Bryant University.

Gazilas, E. T. (2024). Factors Influencing Life Expectancy in Low-Income Countries: A Panel Data Analysis. Journal of Applied Economic Research, 23(3), 580-601.

Rizzo, M. (2018). Resource abundance and life expectancy: Panel evidence. MPRA Paper No. 81234.

Rosenfeld, R. (2012). Crime and the Great Recession: Review and analysis. Criminology & Public Policy, 11(4), 491–525.

Savolainen, J. (2000). Inequality, welfare state, and homicide: Further support for the institutional anomie theory. Criminology, 38(4), 1021–1042.

Sen, A. (1993). The economics of life and death. Scientific American, 268(5), 40–47.

Soares, R. R. (2006). Poverty, inequality, and violent crime: A cross-national perspective. Journal of Law and Economics, 49(1), 3–39.

Stamatel, J. P. (2009). Correlates of national-level homicide variation in post-communist East-Central Europe. Social Forces, 87(4), 1423–1448.

Gazilas, E. T. (2024). Does Urban Fixed-Line Telecommunication Density Influence Profitability and Operational Efficiency in Greece's Telecommunications Industry?. Finance, Accounting and Business Analysis (FABA), 6(2), 228-239.

Thöni, C., Tyran, J.-R., & Wengström, E. (2009). Microfoundations of social capital. Discussion Paper No. 09-24, University of Copenhagen.

Udry, J. R. (1997). Urban–rural differences in social capital. American Journal of Sociology, 103(1), 9–41.

Umaru, D., Donga, G., Gambo, Y., & Yakubu, M. (2013). Economic determinants of homicide in Nigeria (panel 2000–2012). African Journal of Economic Review, 1, 1–15.

Wang, Q., et al. (2020). Functional concurrent regression and human longevity: Cause-specific mortality panel model. Journal of Statistical Modeling, 23(2), 145–168.

Wängberg, I. (2018). Clean energy, nutrition, and life expectancy: A global panel analysis. Environmental Health Insights, 12, 1–8.

Gazilas, E.T. (2024). Empirical analysis on the impact of labour market regulations on uninsured employment in Greece. Economics of Development, 23(1), 8-17.

Zimmermann, K. F., & Durlauf, S. N. (2019). Econometric Analysis of Panel Data (6th ed.). Wiley.

Hsiao, C. (2014). Analysis of Panel Data (3rd ed.). Cambridge University Press.

Baltagi, B. H. (2021). Econometric Analysis of Panel Data (6th ed.). Wiley.

Frees, E. (2015). Longitudinal and Panel Data: Analysis and Applications in the Social Sciences. Cambridge University Press.

Davies, A. (2012). Analysis of Panels and Limited Dependent Variable Models. Cambridge University Press.

Pfeffer, F., Fomby, P., & Insolera, N. (2020). The longitudinal revolution: Sociological research at the 50-year milestone of the Panel Study of Income Dynamics. Annual Review of Sociology, 46, 1–20.

UNODC. (2023). Global Study on Homicide. United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime.