



Munich Personal RePEc Archive

**Aspects regarding the Romania's
position in the global competition of
knowledge-based economy**

Harangus, Daniela and Duda-Daianu, Dana Codruta

University Tibiscus from Timisoara

11 July 2008

Online at <https://mpra.ub.uni-muenchen.de/16541/>
MPRA Paper No. 16541, posted 02 Aug 2009 02:15 UTC

ASPECTS REGARDING THE ROMANIA'S POSITION IN THE GLOBAL COMPETITION OF KNOWLEDGE-BASED ECONOMY

HARANGUS, D[aniela] & DUDA-DAIANU, D[ana] C[odruta]

Abstract: *The objectives of proposed research are fits into the context of reflecting the level of development reached by our country, developments in information and knowledge-based society. We consider relevant for our research the approach and the diagnosis of our country's position in the global competition of the knowledge-based economy, through representative models of strategic analysis. Taken into account the fundamental importance of information and communication technology (ICT) for supporting the development of our country towards the knowledge society and its integration into EU research, the research aims at achieving a "radiography" of ICT sector.*

Key words: *competitive advantage, ICT, competitiveness, knowledge, development*

1. INTRODUCTION

The development of knowledge-based society seems to be the only viable explanation for, and a solution to what Romanian economists called "the paradox of the Romanian economy". The paradox is determined by developments of the country for the past 150 years (except make the period after 1989), through the development stages during the centenary growth's cycle - considering the global cycle and/or European - and the contradiction of development during descendent phases which was small. Economic gap has expanded constantly (under the influence of performance criteria), as the gap of productivity and standard of living gap.

A possible explanation of this paradox is that the Romanian economy has always focused on the least innovative products. This has provided only a temporary competitive advantage, in the short term. This vicious circle can be broken only through investment in implementing the knowledge-based economy, which depends on new factors of production like inputs, such as knowledge and the transition to a real competitive advantage, as this concept was defined by Michael Porter (1998).

Modern theories clearly describe the relationship between knowledge management and competitive advantage of organizations (Draghici G., 2006). Knowledge management is a complex activity that has two categories of influence in organizations: making the connection between intellectual capacity of organizations - in the form of explicit and tacit knowledge - and directly influence its economic growth (Barclay & Murray, 2000); its fall entirely on strategies, policies and practices at all levels of the organization because of the explicit approach of the activities' knowledge component (Van Der Bly, 2005).

The cognitive substantiation of the activities is finding again at macroeconomic level. The new online economic world is supported by an exponential development of knowledge (Harangus D, 2008).

2. METHODOLOGY

The SWOT analysis can be done in a qualitative or in a quantitative manner, which quantize the position in the space of

two axes. In the following analyse is presented the relationship between internal medium and - quantitative external SWOT medium in case of Romanian ICT branch. Quantitative SWOT approach involves the following steps:

1. Listing the main internal factors;
2. The granting of share of these factors, so that the weights should be 1;
3. The granting of scores on a scale which has "0" as a median. Scores "-" means that the internal factor considered represents a weakness of the organization and the "+" have the contrary meanings;
4. The calculation of the total score as sum of the multiplications between considered factors' scores and their weight. The result represents the co ordinate's resultant on SW axis.

$$p_j = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{20} K_{ij}}{\sum_{j=1}^{20} (\sum_{i=1}^{20} K_{ij})} \quad (1)$$

$$x = \sum_{j=1}^{20} p_j \times n_j \quad (2)$$

The quation (1) represents the calculation's formula for weight of each factor and the equation (2) represents calculation's methodology for coordinate on Ox axis .

5. Listing the main external factors;
6. The granting of share of these factors, so that the weights should be 1;
7. The granting of scores on a scale which has "0" as a median. Scores "-" means that the internal factor considered represents a threat and the "+" have the contrary meanings;
8. The calculation of the total score in a manner similar to the point 4. The result represents the coordinates' resultant on OT axis.

$$p_j = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^9 K_{ij}}{\sum_{j=1}^9 (\sum_{i=1}^9 K_{ij})} \quad (3)$$

$$y = \sum_{j=1}^9 p_j \times n_j \quad (4)$$

The quation (3) represents the calculation's formula for weight of each factor and the equation (4) represents calculation's methodology for coordinate on Oy axis .

The two numbers resulted to point 4 and 8 permit to determine the position of the industry through their signs, in one of the four dial, suggesting a certain type of strategy. The size of that right segment, joining the origin point and the founded point, and its inclination give us an indication regarding the particular strategy from dial's typology.

3. RESULTS OF THE RESEARCH

The results of applying the SWOT analysis model for ICT sector are the following.

