



Munich Personal RePEc Archive

## **Literacy Profile of Ontario's Youth**

Kapsalis, Constantine

Data Probe Economic Consulting


February 2000

Online at <https://mpra.ub.uni-muenchen.de/26139/>

MPRA Paper No. 26139, posted 26 Oct 2010 20:26 UTC

# *Literacy Ontario*

---



## **Literacy Profile of Ontario's Youth**

Literacy and Basic Skills Section  
Workplace Preparation Branch  
Ministry of Training, Colleges and Universities



# **LITERACY PROFILE OF ONTARIO'S YOUTH**

Literacy and Basic Skills Section  
Workplace Preparation Branch  
Ministry of Training, Colleges and Universities

February, 2000

**© QUEEN'S PRINTER FOR ONTARIO, 1999**

**Order Information**

**Literacy and Basic Skills Section  
Workplace Preparation Branch  
Ministry of Training, Colleges and  
Universities  
900 Bay Street, Mowat Block, 23<sup>rd</sup> Floor  
Toronto ON M7A 2B5**

**General Public:**

**Publications Ontario  
880 Bay Street  
Toronto ON M7A 1N8**

**416-326-5476            Tel  
416-326-5505            Fax  
416-326-5493            TDD**

**416-326-5300            Tel  
1-800-668-9938        Toll free**

---

**Canadian Cataloguing in Publication Data**

Main entry under title:

Literacy profile of Ontario's youth

At head of title: Literacy Ontario.

Text in English and French on inverted pages.

Includes bibliographical references.

ISBN 0-7778-8931-5

1. Literacy--Ontario--Statistics. 2. Youth—Ontario--Statistics. 3. Youth--Education--Ontario—Statistics. I. Ontario. Literacy and Basic Skills Section. II. Title: Literacy Ontario.

LC154.2O5L57 1999

302.2'244'0835'09713

C99-964014-3E

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

The author of this report is Dr. Constantine Kapsalis, Data Probe Economic Consulting Inc. The author wishes to thank for their constructive comments Nancy Darcovitch of Statistics Canada, and John Stanley of the Ministry of Training, Colleges and Universities. The author is solely responsible for any errors or omissions.



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>1. INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2. BACKGROUND .....</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1 BASIC CONCEPTS.....	5
2.2 METHODOLOGICAL CONSIDERATIONS.....	7
<b>3. OVERVIEW OF YOUTH LITERACY .....</b>	<b>9</b>
3.1 LITERACY LEVEL OF ONTARIO'S YOUTH.....	9
3.2 LITERACY BY LEVEL OF EDUCATION .....	10
3.3 LITERACY OVER THE LIFE CYCLE.....	11
3.4 THE ECONOMIC PAY-OFF OF LITERACY .....	12
<b>4. PROVINCIAL AND INTERNATIONAL COMPARISONS .....</b>	<b>13</b>
4.1 PROVINCIAL COMPARISONS .....	13
4.2 REGIONAL REGRESSIONS .....	15
4.3 INTERNATIONAL COMPARISONS.....	17
<b>5. LITERACY BY YOUTH CHARACTERISTICS.....</b>	<b>19</b>
5.1 INTRODUCTION.....	19
5.2 DETAILED RESULTS .....	20
<i>Education</i> .....	20
<i>Gender</i> .....	21
<i>Language</i> .....	22
<i>Presence of Disabilities</i> .....	23
<i>Mother's Education</i> .....	24
<i>Father's Education</i> .....	25
<b>6. IMPORTANCE OF YOUTH ACTIVITIES ON LITERACY .....</b>	<b>27</b>
<b>7. CONSEQUENCES OF LOW LITERACY SKILLS.....</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>8. CONCLUSION .....</b>	<b>31</b>
<b>APPENDICES .....</b>	<b>33</b>
APPENDIX A: YOUTH LITERACY - ONTARIO AND CANADA TABLES .....	33
APPENDIX B: YOUTH LITERACY - INTERNATIONAL TABLES .....	37
APPENDIX C: EFFECT OF REGION ON LITERACY - REGRESSIONS.....	41
APPENDIX D: YOUTH LITERACY BY CHARACTERISTICS - TABLES.....	43
APPENDIX E: EFFECT OF CHARACTERISTICS ON LITERACY - REGRESSIONS .....	45
APPENDIX F: IMPORTANCE OF COMMON ACTIVITIES - TABLES .....	49
APPENDIX G: IMPORTANCE OF COMMON ACTIVITIES - REGRESSION .....	53
<b>REFERENCES .....</b>	<b>55</b>





## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This study develops a literacy profile of Ontario's youth (ages 17 to 25) using data from the International Adult Literacy Survey. The analysis concentrates on Canadian-born youth only. Because of sample limitations, it was not possible to explore the literacy skills of foreign-born youth.

IALS classifies respondents into 5 levels of literacy, across 3 literacy domains: document, prose, and quantitative literacy. Most researchers consider level 3 as the minimum necessary literacy level. Individuals scoring at the lowest two levels may be expected to face significant literacy barriers at the workplace and in everyday life. The main findings of the study are:

### BASIC STATISTICS

- **Youth Literacy**: Among the Canadian-born population, Ontario youth have better literacy skills than older Ontarians. For example, 72% of youth exceeded level 2 in document literacy, compared to 65% for Ontarians age 26 to 65.
- **Provincial Comparisons**: The rate of Canadian-born Ontario youth who exceed level 2 in document literacy (72%) is about the same as the national average (70%): it is higher than the Atlantic (57%) and Quebec rate (69%), and marginally lower than the Western rate (75%).
- **Prose and Quantitative Literacy**: Relative to the national average, Ontario's youth skills are weaker in prose (65% vs. 69%) and quantitative literacy (60% vs. 64%).

### KEY DETERMINANTS

- **Importance of Youth's Education**: The strongest determinant of youth literacy is the individual's level of education: 52% of those with no high school diploma failed to exceed level 2 in document literacy; the rate declines to 23% for high school graduates, and to 12% for post-secondary graduates.
- **Importance of Mother's Education**: The second strongest determinant of youth literacy was found to be the mother's education. The percentage of youth who exceeded level 2 document literacy was significantly lower when the mother had not completed secondary education. These results show that high school drop-outs not only suffer themselves the consequences of low literacy, but often the problem affects their children.

- **Importance of Youth Activities:** The activities that have the strongest positive effect on the document literacy score are: (a) attending or participating in sports; (b) using public libraries; (c) taking courses; (d) attending movies, plays or concerts; and (e) limiting the time spent watching TV. These results are an indication that an active life is healthy for literacy.

## **THE IMPORTANCE OF LITERACY**

- **Benefits of High Literacy:** The IALS data provide clear evidence of the economic pay-off of literacy. In adulthood, Ontarians with high literacy levels enjoy more stable employment and higher levels of earnings. At the same time, they make a greater economic contribution (in the form of higher wages and income taxes) and draw less on social transfers, such as social assistance or employment insurance.
- **Consequences of Low Literacy:** The percentage of youth who need help with literacy tasks (like reading business documents or filling out applications) rises significantly at lower levels of document literacy skills. However, the consequences of low literacy are probably even more serious. The reason is that many youth with low literacy skills may avoid the need for relying on others for literacy tasks by simply staying away from jobs or activities that require higher literacy skills.

# 1. INTRODUCTION

IALS was developed by Statistics Canada with the co-operation of the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development. The first round was conducted in the fall of 1994 and involved seven countries, including Canada. Five more countries have since participated in IALS, and several more countries are currently being involved. The Ontario Ministry of Education and Training supported IALS in order to ensure valid data for Ontario in formulating policy and in developing programming.

The objective of this study is to develop a profile of Ontario youth's literacy skills, relying primarily on the IALS data base. The youth literacy profile will serve as a basis for future policy formulation and program development by the Ontario Ministry of Training, Colleges, and Universities. The study also attempts to address important policy questions, such as:

- what are the literacy levels of those dropping out of school?
- what is the effect of education on literacy skills?
- what other factors, besides education, affect literacy?
- how important are family background and literacy activities at home?
- which youth groups (linguistic status, family income) are at greater risk of having low literacy skills?

The report is organised in several sections. Following this introductory section:

- Section 2 provides a background on the IALS database, including basic concepts and definitions, and discusses key methodological issues.
- Section 3 presents an overview of basic literacy statistics.
- Section 4 compares results across four Canadian regions and across different countries.
- Section 5 explores differences in literacy among selected youth characteristics.
- Section 6 looks into the impact of reading and writing tasks on everyday life and extra curricular activities in general on the literacy levels of Ontario's youth.
- Section 7 looks at the consequences of low literacy among youth.
- Section 8 draws together the main conclusions and implications.



## 2. BACKGROUND

### 2.1 BASIC CONCEPTS

IALS conducted an in-depth assessment of the literacy skills of a random sample of adults in each participating country. This assessment involved visiting people at their homes and administering different tests aimed at assessing their ability to process textual and quantitative information.

IALS did not establish a minimum literacy standard. "Such a standard would not only be arbitrary, but would fail to acknowledge the multifaceted nature of literacy and complexity of the literacy problem." Instead, IALS defined literacy in terms of a mode of adult behaviour, namely: "using printed and written information to function in society, to achieve one's goals, and to develop one's knowledge and potential."<sup>1</sup>

Literacy scores were converted by IALS researchers into 5 levels of literacy, ranging from level 1 (lowest) to level 4/5 (highest).<sup>2</sup> Literacy scores or levels are mostly useful in a comparative sense -- such as measuring the relative literacy strengths of individuals or countries, or ranking the importance of various factors influencing literacy. Nevertheless, most researchers consider level 3 as a minimum required literacy level and individuals scoring at the lowest two levels are expected to face significant literacy barriers at the workplace and everyday life.

IALS recognises that literacy cannot be narrowed down to a single skill. Instead the IALS team defined literacy in terms of three domains, each encompassing a common set of skills relevant for diverse tasks. The three literacy domains are briefly explained in Box 1.

---

<sup>1</sup> See *Literacy, Economy and Society*, p.14.

<sup>2</sup> Levels 4 and 5 were collapsed by Statistics Canada into a single level for statistical reasons.

### **Box 1: Definition of Literacy**

Three types of literacy were tested by the IALS:

- (a) document literacy refers to the knowledge and skills required to locate and use information contained in various formats, including job applications, payroll forms, transportation schedules, maps, tables, and graphics;
- (b) prose literacy refers to the knowledge and skills needed to understand and use information from texts including editorials, news stories, poems, and fiction; and
- (c) quantitative literacy refers to the knowledge and skills required to apply arithmetic operations, either alone or sequentially, to numbers embedded in printed materials, such as balancing a chequebook, figuring out a tip, completing an order form, or determining the amount of interest on a loan from an advertisement.

In each of the three literacy domains, a scale from 0 to 500 was constructed, upon which tasks of varying difficulty were placed. The range of scores corresponding to each level are as follows: level 1 (0-225); level 2 (226-275); level 3 (276-325); level 4 (326-375); and level 5 (376-500).

## 2.2 METHODOLOGICAL CONSIDERATIONS

An important methodological challenge facing this study is the small size of the IALS sample of Ontario youth respondents (age 16 to 25). The total number of Ontario youth respondents is 338, of whom 21 were born outside Canada.

The analysis here is therefore restricted to Canadian-born youth. It is known from other studies that, in general, foreign-born adults have lower literacy skills than Canadian-born adults. The exclusion of foreign-born youth from the analysis makes it easier to compare results across regions.

In addition to cross-tabulations, regression analysis was used to make sure that differences in literacy scores among youth with different characteristics or in different regions are in fact statistically significant and not due to the random variability of a small sample. In order to make the study accessible to a wide audience, regression results appear in appendices.

	Canadian- born	Foreign- born	Both
Atlantic	298	4	302
Quebec	197	8	205
Ontario	317	21	338
West	381	33	414
Canada	1,193	66	1,259





### 3. OVERVIEW OF YOUTH LITERACY


#### 3.1 LITERACY LEVEL OF ONTARIO'S YOUTH

Literacy is a key skill for employment and independence. Chart 1 shows that 28% of Ontario's Canadian-born youth scored below level 3 in document literacy. However, level 3 literacy skills are widely considered as necessary for everyday life.

The corresponding percentages of those who scored below level 3 in prose and quantitative literacy were 35% and 40% respectively.<sup>3</sup> These figures indicate that quantitative skills present a greater challenge for Ontario's youth than reading comprehension. An estimated 23% of Ontario's youth scored below level 3 in all three literacy domains.

The results suggest that many of Ontario's youth do not have the literacy skills for today's labour market. Later in the study, the consequences of low literacy will be explored and the factors that can help improve literacy will be identified.

**Chart 1: Distribution by Literacy Levels**  
(Canadian-born Ontario Youth)



<sup>3</sup> See Appendix A for detailed tables.


### 3.2 LITERACY BY LEVEL OF EDUCATION

The most important determinant of literacy is education. Chart 2 shows the distribution of youth by document literacy level within different levels of education. The incidence of low literacy (below level 3) is highest among those without high school diploma. However, most individuals in this group are still students and their literacy scores will likely improve as they continue their schooling.

While high school graduation is not a guarantee of strong literacy skills, only 23% of those with a high school diploma scored below document literacy level 3. However, the incidence of low literacy is higher for the two remaining literacy domains: 32% for prose literacy and 42% for quantitative literacy.

Among those with post-secondary education, literacy levels are considerably higher. However, even in this category, 12% scored below level 3 in document literacy, while 26% scored below level 3 in prose and quantitative literacy. These results suggest that education is a strong determinant of literacy, but it is not a guarantee of adequate literacy. There are clearly many other factors at play, including literacy activities at the workplace and in everyday life.

**Chart 2: Document Literacy by Level of Education**  
(Canadian-born Ontario Youth)




### 3.3 LITERACY OVER THE LIFE CYCLE

The development of literacy skills does not end with the completion of schooling. While the focus of the study is on youth, it must be emphasised that literacy is a life-long process. High literacy levels at early ages may erode unless further developed through life-long learning and continuous use at work and in everyday activities. Chart 3 suggests that most Ontarians fail to maintain their literacy levels throughout their lives.

The level and quality of the education of youth, the educational level of their parents, or literacy activities in everyday life are all key factors in determining the literacy level at which individuals begin their working lives. They are also key in determining the success of the transition from school to work. However, like physical capital, human capital needs continuous updating and upgrading in order to maintain productivity.


**Chart 3: Document Literacy Above Level 2  
by Age and Level of Education,  
(Canadian-born Ontario Adults)**



### 3.4 THE ECONOMIC PAY-OFF OF LITERACY

Literacy has become key to the economic performance and social functioning of modern nations. As the original IALS study observed, "Today, adults need a higher level of literacy to function well: society has become more complex and low-skill jobs are disappearing. Therefore, inadequate levels of literacy among a broad section of the population potentially threaten the strength of economies and the social cohesion of nations."<sup>4</sup>

The IALS data provide clear evidence of the economic pay-off of literacy. As Chart 4 demonstrates, adults with high literacy levels enjoy more stable employment and higher levels of earnings through their working-age lives.<sup>5</sup> At the same time, they make a greater economic contribution (in the form of higher wages and income taxes) and draw less on social transfers, such as social assistance or employment insurance. It is such statistics which bring home forcefully the importance of literacy to youth and society as a whole.



<sup>4</sup> See *Literacy, Economy and Society*, p.13.

<sup>5</sup> Chart 4 refers to individuals age 26 to 65, individuals past the typical formal stage of education. The estimates are based on Canadian-born individuals across all regions, rather than just in Ontario in order to achieve higher accuracy of estimates.

## 4. PROVINCIAL AND INTERNATIONAL COMPARISONS

### 4.1 PROVINCIAL COMPARISONS

Differences in literacy scores among the regions may be the result of differences in, for example: the years of schooling of youth, the quality of education they receive, or their parents' level of education.<sup>6</sup>

In this section, we compare literacy results across regions on the basis of simple charts. In the following section, we use regression analysis to probe regional differences.


Document literacy is the most comprehensive of the three literacy domains since it involves elements of both prose and quantitative literacy. In this domain, Ontario's performance is equal to the national average. Chart 5a shows that the rate of Canadian-born Ontario youth who exceed level 2 in document literacy (72%) is about the same as the national average (70%); it is higher than the Atlantic (57%) and Quebec rates (69%), and marginally lower than the Western rate (75%).

In terms of prose and quantitative literacy, on the other hand, the results are less satisfactory relative to other regions. Using as a yardstick the percentage of youth exceeding level 2, Ontario's youth rated slightly below the national average in prose (65% vs. 69%) and quantitative literacy (60% vs. 64%) (Charts 5b-5c).


---

<sup>6</sup> It is important to recognise that the sample size, on which our estimates are based, is small and all results are subject to a significant margin of error due to sampling variability.


**Chart 5a: Document Literacy Above Level 2**  
Canadian-born Youth by Region



**Chart 5b: Prose Literacy Above Level 2**  
Canadian-born Youth by Region



**Chart 5c: Quantitative Literacy Above Level 2**  
Canadian-born Youth by Region



## 4.2 REGIONAL REGRESSIONS

In order to assess more fully the inter-regional differences in literacy scores, regression analysis was used. The dependent variable is the actual literacy score of each survey respondent. Three separate regressions were estimated, one for each literacy domain.

The independent variables are: the years of schooling of the respondents; the level of education of their parents; gender; and presence of disabilities. The results are summarised in Table 2, while detailed regression results are shown in Appendix C.

The regression results show the following differences between Canadian-born youth in Ontario and Canadian-born youth in the rest of the regions:

- Western youth have a statistically higher average score in all three literacy domains: document literacy 3.3%; prose literacy 6.2%; and quantitative literacy 5.8%.
- Atlantic youth have a statistically lower average score in document literacy (6.1%), while differences in the other two literacy domains are not statistically significant.
- Quebec youth have a statistically higher average score in prose literacy (6.2%) and quantitative literacy (5.1%), while the difference in document literacy is not statistically significant.
- Ontario youth have higher document literacy skills than in Quebec and Atlantic Canada. In the case of prose and quantitative literacy, the differences favour Quebec and the Atlantic region, but these differences are small and within the margin of error due to sampling variability.



**Table 2: Regression Estimates of Effect of Region on Literacy Scores  
Expressed as a Deviation from Ontario's Average Literacy Score  
After Controlling for Differences in Years of Schooling and Other Factors  
Among Canadian-born Youth**

	Actual average literacy score	Regression Results	
		Deviation from Ontario's score due to region	t-statistic of region variable
<b>Document Literacy</b>			
Atlantic	284	-2.7%	-2.080
Quebec	295	-0.9%	-0.623 *
Ontario	304	na	na
West	305	3.3%	2.698
<b>Prose Literacy</b>			
Atlantic	284	0.6%	0.597 *
Quebec	292	2.6%	2.270
Ontario	291	na	na
West	302	6.0%	6.157
<b>Quantitative Literacy</b>			
Atlantic	277	0.1%	0.057 *
Quebec	289	2.8%	2.120
Ontario	286	na	na
West	294	5.6%	5.054

(\*) Percentage difference from Ontario's literacy score not statistically significant (the difference is within the commonly used margin of error of plus or minus two standard errors).

**Example: The average document literacy score in the West**

(305) is only 0.3% higher than the corresponding Ontario score (304). After regression analysis removes the influence of differences in years of education and several other factors, the difference in average scores increases from 0.3% to 3.3%. This is because Ontario has a higher average number of years of education than the West.

**Source:** Appendix C.

### 4.3 INTERNATIONAL COMPARISONS

In addition to enabling researchers to study the factors that affect literacy or the consequences of low literacy, IALS provides a common yardstick for comparing literacy levels across different countries. Such comparisons are useful in assessing a country's comparative advantage in human resources relative to its competitors. They can also be useful in motivating a closer examination of the practices in countries with higher literacy levels.

Chart 6a shows that there are three clusters. The top cluster includes Sweden, the Netherlands, and Belgium. The middle cluster consists of Ontario, Canada and three other countries (with an incidence ranging from 33% to 35%). The remaining countries have a higher incidence of low literacy skills.

Chart 6b ranks Ontario and Canada second to Sweden only with respect to the incidence of the highest levels (4 and 5) of document literacy. These results suggest that Canada and Ontario are doing a better job at the upper end than at the lower end of the literacy scale.


The quantitative literacy results are less favourable. However, both in terms of the percentage with low quantitative literacy (levels 1 and 2) and high quantitative literacy (levels 4 and 5), Ontario and Canada still ranked in the middle of the countries which participated in IALS.<sup>7</sup>

Finally, the IALS results indicate that Ontario and Canada compare very favourably to the United States. This consideration is important since the United States is Ontario's principal trade partner. Increasingly, competitiveness reflects the relative strength of the human capital of a country.


---

<sup>7</sup> See Appendix B for detailed tables.

**Chart 6a: Low Document Literacy (Levels 1/2)**  
Among All Youth (Including Foreign-born) by Country



**Chart 6b: High Document Literacy (Levels 4/5)**  
Among All Youth (Including Foreign-born) by Country



## 5. LITERACY BY YOUTH CHARACTERISTICS

### 5.1 INTRODUCTION

The objective of this section is to explore the level of literacy of Canadian-born youth in Ontario by different personal and family characteristics. The focus of the analysis is on the percentage of youth with a literacy score above level 2.<sup>8</sup>

The analysis is complex for two reasons:

- (a) The small sample of Ontario youth makes it difficult to conduct precise literacy comparisons among different characteristics because estimates are often subject to a wide margin of error due to sampling variability.
- (b) Even when accurate estimates are possible, it is necessary to determine the extent to which the results may reflect the influence of other characteristics. For example, lower literacy among individuals with disabilities may in part be due to differences in education.

These challenges were addressed as follows:

- (a) All literacy comparisons among different youth characteristics were tested to see if observed differences were statistically significant.<sup>9</sup>
- (b) The relationship between literacy and youth characteristics was probed using regression analysis, which helps disentangle the effect of various characteristics.<sup>10</sup>
- (c) Finally, the Ontario results were compared to the national result to see if they lead to comparable conclusions or not.

---

<sup>8</sup> See Appendix D for detailed tables.

<sup>9</sup> Differences in the incidence of literacy above level 2 among different characteristics were tested using the standard binomial distribution test for comparing two ratios.

<sup>10</sup> See Appendix E for regression results.


## 5.2 DETAILED RESULTS

### Education

As noted earlier, education is by far the most important determinant of literacy. Chart 7 shows a steep increase in the percentage of youth who exceed level 2 literacy at higher levels of education. It highlights two important aspects:

- Only 48% of those without high school diploma have document literacy above level 2, compared to 77% among those with high school graduation. These results emphasise the importance of encouraging youth to complete secondary education.
- Even post-secondary graduation, however, is not a guarantee of meeting minimum literacy needs: 12% failed to exceed level 2 in document literacy, while 26% failed to exceed level 2 in prose and quantitative literacy. These findings emphasise the importance of factors other than education that may be having an impact on literacy.


**Chart 7: Percentage with Literacy Above Level 2**  
Canadian-born Ontario Youth by Level of Education



## **Gender**

Chart 8 shows small differences in literacy skills between Canadian-born male and female youth in Ontario. However, these differences are statistically significant only in the case of quantitative literacy (a 12% gap in favour of male youth). Similar results were observed at the national level (Appendix D). Both the Ontario and Canadian results were confirmed by regression analysis (Appendix E).

**Chart 8: Percentage with Literacy Above Level 2**  
Canadian-born Ontario Youth by Gender




**Language**

Chart 9 compares the level of literacy skills between Anglophone and Francophone, Canadian-born youth in Ontario. The results indicate that Francophone youth in Ontario have somewhat lower literacy skills than Anglophone youth.

However, with the possible exception of quantitative literacy, the above differences in literacy skills are not statistically significant. Furthermore, regression analysis shows that when differences in the level of education and other characteristics are factored in, literacy differences between the two linguistic groups are in all cases not statistically significant.

**Chart 9: Percentage with Literacy Above Level 2**  
Canadian-born Ontario Youth by Language First Spoken




### **Presence of Disabilities**

Chart 10 shows that literacy skills are relatively lower among those with disabilities. Regression analysis confirms that the presence of disabilities has a negative effect on literacy skills, even after one accounts for possible differences in level of education or other factors between those with and without disabilities.

However, because of the small sample size and the inability to distinguish among different types of disabilities, the above conclusions are only suggestive. More conclusive evidence requires using surveys that are targeted to specific disabilities. An example of such a survey is the recently released study, *Literacy Profile of Ontario's Deaf and Hard of Hearing Adults* (1998).

**Chart 10: Percentage with Literacy Above Level 2**  
Canadian-born Ontario Youth by Presence of Disabilities






## **Mother's Education**

The IALS data show that low parental literacy tends to have a negative effect on children's literacy. Chart 11 shows that the literacy level of youth whose mothers have not completed secondary education is lower than for other youth. This result is confirmed by regression analysis. By contrast, the difference of results between mothers with secondary education and post-secondary education is statistically insignificant.

These results provide new evidence of the importance of reducing the number of drop-outs. High school drop-outs not only face the consequences of low literacy, but often the problem affects their children.

**Chart 11: Percentage with Literacy Above Level 2  
Canadian-born Ontario Youth by Mother's Education**




### **Father's Education**

Chart 12 shows that the father's education may also be an important factor in influencing youth's literacy. However, the connection is much weaker than in the case of the mother's level of education. In fact, in most cases the differences by level of education of the father were within the margin of sampling variability.

The greater importance of mothers' education is probably a reflection of women's traditional family responsibilities: women are more likely than men to stay home with their children or generally be involved with the day-to-day education activities of their children.

**Chart 12: Percentage with Literacy Above Level 2**  
Canadian-born Ontario Youth by Father's Education





## 6. IMPORTANCE OF YOUTH ACTIVITIES ON LITERACY

This section examines the importance of everyday activities. Because of the small size of the sample, the Ontario estimates are subject to a wide margin of error. As a result, estimates are based on the impact of everyday activities on all Canadian-born youth, regardless of province of residence. The impact was estimated using both simple charts and regression analysis.

The importance of various everyday activities on the literacy skills of youth were assessed by comparing the average literacy score of participants to non-participants. For example, Chart 13a shows that those who attended or participated in sports at least occasionally during the year had a 11% higher document literacy score than the remaining youth.

In order to isolate the effect of everyday activities on literacy from the effect of the level of education and other factors, regression analysis was used.<sup>11</sup>

According to the regression results, the activities that have the strongest positive effect on the document literacy score are:


- attending or participating in sports;
- using public libraries;
- taking courses;
- attending movies, plays, or concerts at least monthly; and
- watching TV for fewer than 3 hours daily.

Finally, Chart 13b shows that the above listed everyday activities tend to be more frequent in Ontario than the rest of the country. Particularly noticeable are the differences with respect to the use of public libraries (72% vs. 62%) and attendance of movies, plays, or concerts (70% vs. 64%).


---

<sup>11</sup> Detailed tables and regression results are shown in Appendices F and G.

**Chart 13a: Impact of Literacy Activities on the Average Document Literacy Score**  
Canadian-born Youth Across All Provinces



**Chart 13b: Incidence Literacy Activities in Every-day Life**  
Canadian-born Youth



## **7. CONSEQUENCES OF LOW LITERACY SKILLS**

As discussed earlier, there is an economic pay-off to high literacy skills. Evidence shows that adult Ontarians with high literacy skills enjoy more stable employment and higher levels of earnings. At the same time, they make a greater economic contribution (in the form of higher wages and income taxes) and draw less on social transfers, such as social assistance or employment insurance.

Further evidence is now provided of other consequences. Table 3 shows that Ontarian youth with document literacy below level 3 are more likely to need help, particularly reading government or business documents, filling out applications, or doing basic math.


Chart 14 shows that the percentage of youth who need help at least occasionally with any of these three types of tasks rises significantly at lower levels of document literacy skills. In particular, about half of the Ontario youth in the lowest two document literacy levels need help at least sometimes.

However, the consequences of low literacy are more serious than suggested by Chart 14. Many youth with low literacy skills may avoid the need for relying on others in literacy tasks by simply staying away from jobs or activities requiring higher literacy skills.

**Table 3: Need Help with Literacy Tasks Often or Sometimes**  
By Document Literacy Level - Ontario' Canadian-born Youth

	Level 1 or 2	Above level 2	All
Reading newspaper articles	9%	0%	3%
Reading government/ business documents	27%	13%	17%
Filling out applications bank deposit slips	19%	5%	9%
Reading medical instructions	8%	4%	5%
Reading instructions on packaged goods in stores	7%	1%	2%
Doing basic math	16%	1%	5%
Writing notes or letters	12%	2%	5%

**Chart 14: Need Help Often/Sometimes with Reading, Filling out Forms, or Doing Basic Math**  
Canadian-born Ontario Youth



## **8. CONCLUSION**

Literacy is key to youth's ability to live full lives and enjoy a successful economic future. Adult Ontarians with high literacy levels enjoy more stable employment and higher levels of earnings. At the same time, they make a greater economic contribution (in the form of higher wages and income taxes) and draw less on social transfers, such as social assistance or employment insurance.

Education is by far the strongest contributor to literacy skills. Youth who enter their working lives without high school education are likely to face the most serious literacy problems. However, even high school graduation is not a guarantee for adequate literacy skills: 23% of graduates have low literacy skills and more are likely to fall in this category as they age.

While education is important, an active life -- using public libraries, attending concerts, or participating in sports -- is also significant in contributing to higher literacy skills. The results of the study suggest that strategies aimed at improving the literacy skills of youth should be broadly based.

Analysing the skill levels of youth literacy in Ontario require looking at family background, first language, and the culture in which youth live, as well as years of education. Youth literacy levels represent an indicator of Ontario's future economic performance and social life. Addressing the issue continues to be a challenge for Ontario society.





## APPENDICES

### APPENDIX A: YOUTH LITERACY - ONTARIO AND CANADA TABLES

<b>Table A1: Ontario Literacy Levels by Age</b>				
Canadian-born Population				
	Youth (16-25)	Non-Youth (26-65)	Older (66+)	All
<b><i>Document Literacy</i></b>				
Level 1	7%	13%	40%	15%
Level 2	21%	22%	32%	23%
Level 3	39%	36%	28%	36%
Level 4/5	33%	29%	0%	26%
Average score	304	297	231	290
<b><i>Prose Literacy</i></b>				
Level 1	8%	11%	35%	13%
Level 2	27%	28%	34%	28%
Level 3	41%	34%	30%	35%
Level 4/5	24%	28%	1%	24%
Average score	291	295	237	287
<b><i>Quantitative Literacy</i></b>				
Level 1	8%	12%	40%	15%
Level 2	32%	22%	36%	26%
Level 3	43%	39%	23%	38%
Level 4/5	17%	27%	1%	22%
Average score	286	298	236	288

---

**Table A2: Ontario Youth Literacy by Level of Education**

Canadian-born Youth

---

	Less than high school	Completed high school	Post- secondary education	All
<b><i>Document Literacy</i></b>				
Level 1	15%	6%	0%	7%
Level 2	37%	17%	12%	21%
Level 3	25%	41%	52%	39%
Level 4/5	23%	37%	36%	33%
Average score	278	312	320	305
<b><i>Prose Literacy</i></b>				
Level 1	17%	7%	0%	8%
Level 2	33%	25%	26%	27%
Level 3	31%	49%	38%	41%
Level 4/5	20%	20%	36%	24%
Average score	275	294	304	291
<b><i>Quantitative Literacy</i></b>				
Level 1	16%	8%	0%	8%
Level 2	36%	34%	26%	32%
Level 3	34%	42%	53%	43%
Level 4/5	15%	16%	21%	17%
Average score	270	287	303	287

---

<b>Table A3: Literacy Scores by Region</b>					
Canadian-born Youth					
	Atlantic	Quebec	Ontario	West	Canada
<b><i>Document Literacy</i></b>					
Level 1	14%	9%	7%	4%	8%
Level 2	29%	22%	21%	21%	22%
Level 3	40%	42%	39%	38%	40%
Level 4/5	17%	27%	33%	37%	31%
Average score	284	295	304	305	300
<b><i>Prose Literacy</i></b>					
Level 1	11%	6%	8%	3%	7%
Level 2	32%	20%	27%	23%	25%
Level 3	41%	59%	41%	44%	47%
Level 4/5	15%	15%	24%	29%	22%
Average score	284	292	291	302	293
<b><i>Quantitative Literacy</i></b>					
Level 1	11%	7%	8%	6%	8%
Level 2	35%	26%	32%	22%	28%
Level 3	43%	56%	43%	47%	47%
Level 4/5	12%	10%	17%	25%	17%
Average score	277	289	286	294	288



## APPENDIX B: YOUTH LITERACY - INTERNATIONAL TABLES

	Document Literacy Level				
	1	2	3	4/5	3+
	%	%	%	%	%
Sweden	3	17	40	41	80
Netherlands	6	17	51	26	77
Belgium (Flanders)	6	18	51	25	76
Canada	10	22	36	31	67
Switzerland (German)	7	26	41	26	67
Switzerland (French)	9	25	40	26	66
Germany	5	29	43	23	66
<b>Ontario</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>65</b>
United Kingdom	18	27	34	22	56
New Zealand	18	29	32	21	53
Ireland	17	33	37	13	50
United States	25	31	28	16	45
Poland	32	33	26	9	35

*Note: sorted by decreasing order of the percentage of youth who scored at level 3 or above*

<b>Table B2: Youth Literacy by Country (Including Foreign-born)</b>					
	<b>Prose Literacy Level</b>				
	1	2	3	4/5	3+
	%	%	%	%	%
Sweden	4	17	40	40	79
Netherlands	8	22	50	19	70
Belgium (Flanders)	8	24	48	21	69
Canada	11	26	44	20	64
Germany	9	29	46	15	62
<b>Ontario</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>60</b>
Switzerland (French)	10	31	43	15	59
Switzerland (German)	7	35	43	14	57
New Zealand	18	26	34	21	56
Ireland	16	29	40	15	56
United Kingdom	17	30	33	20	53
United States	24	31	33	13	46
Poland	27	38	29	6	35

*Note: sorted by decreasing order of the percentage of youth who scored at level 3 or above*

<b>Table B3: Youth Literacy by Country (Including Foreign-born)</b>					
	<b>Quantitative Literacy Level</b>				
	1	2	3	4/5	3+
	%	%	%	%	%
Sweden	5	18	39	38	77
Switzerland (French)	6	21	47	25	72
Belgium (Flanders)	7	21	43	29	72
Netherlands	8	21	50	21	71
Switzerland (German)	7	22	48	23	71
Germany	4	26	47	22	69
Canada	10	29	45	17	61
<b>Ontario</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>55</b>
Ireland	18	30	36	15	52
New Zealand	20	30	33	17	50
United Kingdom	22	29	33	16	49
United States	27	31	29	13	43
Poland	30	33	31	7	38

*Note: sorted by decreasing order of the percentage of youth who scored at level 3 or above*





## APPENDIX C: EFFECT OF REGION ON LITERACY - REGRESSIONS

### Regression Variables

**Dependent Variables:** (1) Natural log of document literacy score  
(2) Natural log of prose literacy score  
(3) Natural log of quantitative literacy score

#### **Independent Variables:**

##### Personal Characteristics

EDUCYRS                      Years of education  
GENDER1                      Male (reference category: female)  
DISAB1                        Presence of disabilities (reference category: no disabilities)

##### Region

REGION1                      Atlantic  
REGION2                      Quebec  
REGION3                      Ontario (reference category)  
REGION4                      West

##### Mother's education:

MOTHEDU1                    Less than high school (reference category)  
MOTHEDU2                    High school  
MOTHEDU3                    Post-secondary education  
MOTHEDU9                    Information missing

##### Father's education:

FATHEDU1                    Less than high school (reference category)  
FATHEDU2                    High school  
FATHEDU3                    Post-secondary education  
FATHEDU9                    Information missing

**Sample:**                      Canadian-born youth across all provinces.

### **(1) Dependent Variable: Natural Logarithm of Document Literacy**

Multiple R .57914  
R Square .33540  
Adjusted R Square .32856  
Standard Error .15738  
Observations 1178

Variable	B	SE B	Beta	T	Sig T
REGION1	-.027258	.013105	-.061145	-2.080	.0378
REGION2	-.009077	.014560	-.017495	-.623	.5331
REGION4	.033086	.012264	.080601	2.698	.0071
EDUCYRS	.040574	.002193	.465289	18.498	.0000
GENDER1	.004220	.009272	.010990	.455	.6491
DISAB1	-.045244	.011264	-.096820	-4.017	.0001
MOTHEU2	.042091	.012061	.105399	3.490	.0005
MOTHEU3	.038592	.014465	.086392	2.668	.0077
MOTHEU9	-.054448	.023657	-.064333	-2.302	.0215
FATHEU2	.047192	.013172	.102890	3.583	.0004
FATHEU3	.027537	.013306	.064926	2.070	.0387
FATHEU9	-.045172	.017341	-.074753	-2.605	.0093
(Constant)	5.141525	.031192		164.833	.0000

### **(2) Dependent Variable: Natural Logarithm of Prose Literacy**

Multiple R .58317  
R Square .34009  
Adjusted R Square .33330  
Standard Error .12554  
Observations 1178

Variable	B	SE B	Beta	T	Sig T
REGION1	.006239	.010454	.017484	.597	.5507
REGION2	.026368	.011614	.063482	2.270	.0234
REGION4	.060235	.009783	.183302	6.157	.0000
EDUCYRS	.031905	.001750	.457048	18.235	.0000
GENDER1	-.031990	.007396	-.104076	-4.325	.0000
DISAB1	-.027414	.008986	-.073284	-3.051	.0023
MOTHEU2	.057494	.009621	.179847	5.976	.0000
MOTHEU3	.032597	.011539	.091156	2.825	.0048
MOTHEU9	-.042071	.018871	-.062097	-2.229	.0260
FATHEU2	.041396	.010507	.112744	3.940	.0001
FATHEU3	.032515	.010614	.095766	3.063	.0022
FATHEU9	.001569	.013833	.003244	.113	.9097
(Constant)	5.219570	.024882		209.775	.0000

### **(3) Dependent Variable: Natural Logarithm of Quantitative Literacy**

Multiple R .58574  
R Square .34309  
Adjusted R Square .33633  
Standard Error .14109  
Observations 1178

Variable	B	SE B	Beta	T	Sig T
REGION1	.000672	.011749	.001671	.057	.9544
REGION2	.027677	.013053	.059152	2.120	.0342
REGION4	.055574	.010995	.150133	5.054	.0000
EDUCYRS	.037446	.001966	.476202	19.042	.0000
GENDER1	.027304	.008313	.078858	3.285	.0011
DISAB1	-.052314	.010099	-.124147	-5.180	.0000
MOTHEU2	.036879	.010813	.102409	3.411	.0007
MOTHEU3	.027135	.012968	.067362	2.092	.0366
MOTHEU9	-.078345	.021209	-.102655	-3.694	.0002
FATHEU2	.035423	.011809	.085646	3.000	.0028
FATHEU3	.032579	.011929	.085182	2.731	.0064
FATHEU9	-.001066	.015547	-.001956	-.069	.9453
(Constant)	5.117129	.027965		182.986	.0000

## APPENDIX D: YOUTH LITERACY BY CHARACTERISTICS - TABLES

**Table D1: Percentage of Youth with Literacy Above Level 2:  
Ontario: Canadian-born Youth**

	DOCUMENT LITERACY	PROSE LITERACY	QUANTITAT. LITERACY	POPULATION DISTRIBUT.	SAMPLE SIZE
<b>GENDER</b>					
Male.....	72%	68%	66%	49%	137
Female.....	73%	62%	54%	51%	180
<b>EDUCATION</b>					
Not stated.....	73%	63%	63%	1%	7
Less than high school....	48%	50%	49%	27%	108
Completed high school....	77%	69%	58%	46%	135
Post-secondary education.	88%	74%	74%	26%	67
<b>STUDENT STATUS</b>					
Completed high school....	81%	70%	64%	73%	209
Still student.....	56%	58%	57%	22%	85
Dropped-out.....	8%	12%	5%	4%	23
<b>FIRST SPOKEN LANGUAGE</b>					
English.....	71%	65%	64%	83%	216
French.....	64%	54%	51%	5%	89
Other.....	85%	68%	36%	13%	12
<b>PRESENCE OF DISABILITY</b>					
Some disability.....	62%	63%	57%	28%	92
No disability.....	76%	66%	61%	72%	225
<b>MOTHER EDUCATION LEVEL</b>					
Not stated.....	43%	19%	41%	7%	21
No high school diploma...	69%	55%	44%	32%	103
High school diploma.....	75%	76%	71%	33%	97
Post-secondary education.	79%	74%	69%	29%	96
<b>FATHER EDUCATION LEVEL</b>					
Not stated.....	52%	40%	44%	11%	37
No high school diploma...	71%	64%	49%	31%	113
High school diploma.....	81%	69%	70%	27%	78
Post-secondary education.	73%	72%	67%	31%	89
<b>WORK SITUATION</b>					
Employed.....	80%	71%	57%	58%	184
Unemployed.....	57%	58%	50%	3%	18
Student.....	63%	62%	66%	34%	101
Homemaker/Other.....	57%	23%	58%	5%	14
ALL.....	72%	65%	60%	100%	317

**Table D2: Percentage of Youth with Literacy Above Level 2:  
Canada: Canadian-born Youth**

	DOCUMENT LITERACY	PROSE LITERACY	QUANTITAT. LITERACY	POPULATION DISTRIBUT.	SAMPLE SIZE
<b>GENDER</b>					
Male.....	70%	67%	68%	50%	536
Female.....	71%	70%	60%	50%	657
<b>EDUCATION</b>					
Not stated.....	59%	55%	55%	1%	10
Less than high school....	47%	54%	43%	31%	454
Completed high school....	77%	71%	69%	44%	496
Post-secondary education..	89%	83%	81%	25%	233
<b>STUDENT STATUS</b>					
Completed high school....	81%	75%	73%	69%	739
Still student.....	60%	63%	56%	18%	280
Dropped-out.....	29%	42%	25%	13%	174
<b>FIRST SPOKEN LANGUAGE</b>					
English.....	71%	67%	67%	64%	799
French.....	66%	71%	63%	29%	361
Other.....	84%	69%	47%	7%	33
<b>PRESENCE OF DISABILITY</b>					
Some disability.....	65%	66%	60%	22%	283
No disability.....	72%	69%	65%	78%	910
<b>MOTHER EDUCATION LEVEL</b>					
Not stated.....	52%	43%	48%	6%	73
No high school diploma...	63%	59%	52%	34%	446
High school diploma.....	74%	76%	71%	34%	393
Post-secondary education..	80%	79%	76%	25%	281
<b>FATHER EDUCATION LEVEL</b>					
Not stated.....	46%	54%	53%	11%	139
No high school diploma...	68%	62%	58%	35%	473
High school diploma.....	79%	72%	68%	23%	281
Post-secondary education..	77%	79%	72%	30%	300
<b>WORK SITUATION</b>					
Employed.....	74%	70%	65%	54%	588
Unemployed.....	50%	48%	38%	5%	98
Student.....	71%	74%	69%	36%	429
Homemaker/Other.....	48%	37%	50%	5%	78
ALL.....	70%	69%	64%	100%	1,193

## APPENDIX E: EFFECT OF CHARACTERISTICS ON LITERACY - REGRESSIONS

### Regression Variables

**Dependent Variables:** (1) Natural log of document literacy score  
(2) Natural log of prose literacy score  
(3) Natural log of quantitative literacy score

#### **Independent Variables:**

##### Personal Characteristics

EDUCYRS                      Years of education  
GENDER1                     Male (reference category: female)  
DISAB1                      Presence of disabilities (reference category: no disabilities)

##### Language first spoken:

FSTLANG2                    French (reference category: English)  
FSTLANG3                    Other than English or French

##### Mother's education:

MOTHEDU1                    Less than high school (reference category)  
MOTHEDU2                    High school  
MOTHEDU3                    Post-secondary education  
MOTHEDU9                    Information missing

##### Father's education:

FATHEDU1                    Less than high school (reference category)  
FATHEDU2                    High school  
FATHEDU3                    Post-secondary education  
FATHEDU9                    Information missing

**Samples:**                    (1) Canadian-born youth in Ontario  
                                      (2) All Canadian-born youth

## **Ontario: Canadian-born Youth**

### **(1) Dependent Variable: Natural Logarithm of Document Literacy**

Multiple R .54187  
R Square .29363  
Adjusted R Square .26798  
Standard Error .15927  
Observations 314

Variable	B	SE B	Beta	T	Sig T
GENDER1	.023261	.018466	.062555	1.260	.2088
EDUCYRS	.031084	.004271	.364886	7.278	.0000
DISAB1	-.069143	.020350	-.166707	-3.398	.0008
FSTLANG2	-.061057	.042917	-.069269	-1.423	.1559
FSTLANG3	.047078	.029684	.084400	1.586	.1138
MOTHEU2	.048956	.024463	.123651	2.001	.0463
MOTHEU3	.046554	.028224	.113691	1.649	.1001
MOTHEU9	-.127012	.045949	-.170179	-2.764	.0061
FATHEU2	.025158	.025290	.059856	.995	.3206
FATHEU3	.017921	.027548	.044568	.651	.5158
FATHEU9	-.036689	.037064	-.061785	-.990	.3230
(Constant)	5.268830	.059791		88.120	.0000

### **(2) Dependent Variable: Natural Logarithm of Prose Literacy**

Multiple R .59842  
R Square .35810  
Adjusted R Square .33480  
Standard Error .12468  
Observations 314

Variable	B	SE B	Beta	T	Sig T
GENDER1	-.006081	.014456	-.019912	-.421	.6743
EDUCYRS	.028745	.003343	.410902	8.598	.0000
DISAB1	-.047909	.015931	-.140660	-3.007	.0029
FSTLANG2	-.055372	.033596	-.076498	-1.648	.1004
FSTLANG3	.012292	.023237	.026834	.529	.5972
MOTHEU2	.092462	.019150	.284388	4.828	.0000
MOTHEU3	.071883	.022095	.213773	3.253	.0013
MOTHEU9	-.096819	.035970	-.157970	-2.692	.0075
FATHEU2	.016966	.019798	.049156	.857	.3921
FATHEU3	-.006600	.021565	-.019987	-.306	.7598
FATHEU9	-.019364	.029015	-.039710	-.667	.5050
(Constant)	5.256945	.046806		112.313	.0000

### **(3) Dependent Variable: Natural Logarithm of Quantitative Literacy**

Multiple R .56423  
R Square .31835  
Adjusted R Square .29361  
Standard Error .14862  
Observations 314

Variable	B	SE B	Beta	T	Sig T
GENDER1	.036605	.017231	.103632	2.124	.0345
EDUCYRS	.033065	.003985	.408608	8.297	.0000
DISAB1	-.068141	.018989	-.172954	-3.588	.0004
FSTLANG2	-.005909	.040047	-.007057	-.148	.8828
FSTLANG3	.020933	.027699	.039507	.756	.4504
MOTHEU2	.075887	.022827	.201782	3.324	.0010
MOTHEU3	.039407	.026337	.101314	1.496	.1356
MOTHEU9	-.102277	.042877	-.144265	-2.385	.0177
FATHEU2	.036751	.023599	.092050	1.557	.1204
FATHEU3	.013279	.025706	.034765	.517	.6058
FATHEU9	-.006333	.034585	-.011228	-.183	.8548
(Constant)	5.163702	.055793		92.551	.0000

## **All Provinces: Canadian-born Youth**

### **(1) Dependent Variable: Natural Logarithm of Document Literacy**

Multiple R .57446  
R Square .33000  
Adjusted R Square .32369  
Standard Error .15795  
Observations 1178

Variable	B	SE B	Beta	T	Sig T
GENDER1	.005533	.009326	.014411	.593	.5531
EDUCYRS	.040413	.002167	.463445	18.645	.0000
DISAB1	-.043123	.011257	-.092281	-3.831	.0001
FSTLANG2	-.018998	.011337	-.041214	-1.676	.0941
FSTLANG3	.063639	.019282	.081050	3.300	.0010
MOTHEU2	.048701	.012016	.121952	4.053	.0001
MOTHEU3	.044207	.014595	.098961	3.029	.0025
MOTHEU9	-.047039	.023627	-.055580	-1.991	.0467
FATHEU2	.042376	.013134	.092390	3.226	.0013
FATHEU3	.030547	.013313	.072023	2.295	.0219
FATHEU9	-.044493	.017445	-.073629	-2.550	.0109
(Constant)	5.141101	.028964		177.498	.0000

### **(2) Dependent Variable: Natural Logarithm of Prose Literacy**

Multiple R .56211  
R Square .31596  
Adjusted R Square .30952  
Standard Error .12776  
Observations 1178

Variable	B	SE B	Beta	T	Sig T
GENDER1	-.031728	.007544	-.103224	-4.206	.0000
EDUCYRS	.030800	.001753	.441225	17.568	.0000
DISAB1	-.029021	.009105	-.077580	-3.187	.0015
FSTLANG2	-.009957	.009170	-.026983	-1.086	.2778
FSTLANG3	.026788	.015596	.042618	1.718	.0861
MOTHEU2	.064445	.009719	.201591	6.631	.0000
MOTHEU3	.036022	.011806	.100733	3.051	.0023
MOTHEU9	-.037974	.019111	-.056049	-1.987	.0472
FATHEU2	.034140	.010624	.092982	3.214	.0013
FATHEU3	.033004	.010768	.097206	3.065	.0022
FATHEU9	5.10759E-04	.014110	.001056	.036	.9711
(Constant)	5.257602	.023428		224.414	.0000

### **(3) Dependent Variable: Natural Logarithm of Quantitative Literacy**

Multiple R .56988  
R Square .32476  
Adjusted R Square .31839  
Standard Error .14299  
Observations 1178

Variable	B	SE B	Beta	T	Sig T
GENDER1	.027577	.008443	.079646	3.266	.0011
EDUCYRS	.036519	.001962	.464413	18.611	.0000
DISAB1	-.053402	.010190	-.126728	-5.241	.0000
FSTLANG2	3.09140E-04	.010263	7.437E-04	.030	.9760
FSTLANG3	.028280	.017455	.039941	1.620	.1055
MOTHEU2	.044214	.010877	.122779	4.065	.0001
MOTHEU3	.031818	.013213	.078989	2.408	.0162
MOTHEU9	-.073595	.021389	-.096432	-3.441	.0006
FATHEU2	.028988	.011890	.070087	2.438	.0149
FATHEU3	.033159	.012052	.086699	2.751	.0060
FATHEU9	-.001163	.015792	-.002134	-.074	.9413
(Constant)	5.146949	.026221		196.294	.0000





## APPENDIX F: IMPORTANCE OF COMMON ACTIVITIES - TABLES

**Table F1: Effect of Common Activities on Literacy Skills  
Ontario: Canadian-born Youth  
Detailed Frequency of Activities**

	DOCUMENT LITERACY SCORE	DOCUMENT LITERACY ABOVE LEVEL 2	POPULATION DISTRIBUTI ON	SAMPLE SIZE
TOOK COURSES IN 1994				
No.....	299	74%	31%	75
Yes.....	307	72%	69%	242
USE A PUBLIC LIBRARY				
Daily.....	365	100%	4%	6
Weekly.....	306	81%	10%	32
Monthly.....	306	58%	18%	67
Once or more a year.....	309	84%	40%	107
Never.....	291	59%	28%	104
ATTEND MOVIE, PLAY OR CONCERT				
Weekly.....	318	79%	21%	63
Monthly.....	305	69%	49%	141
Once or more a year.....	299	74%	24%	90
Never.....	282	71%	6%	21
ATTEND/PARTICIPATE IN SPORTS				
Daily.....	323	84%	14%	25
Weekly.....	298	64%	24%	88
Monthly.....	315	68%	19%	57
Once or more a year.....	311	81%	27%	79
Never.....	279	69%	17%	66
WRITE LETTERS ETC.				
Daily.....	292	46%	7%	20
Weekly.....	317	75%	25%	67
Monthly.....	318	85%	20%	86
Once or more a year.....	299	74%	25%	79
Never.....	291	66%	22%	63
DO VOLUNTEER WORK				
Daily.....	324	100%	1%	1
Weekly.....	324	91%	10%	21
Monthly.....	306	66%	10%	30
Once or more a year.....	319	80%	17%	68
Never.....	298	68%	62%	195
READ NEWSPAPERS/ MAGAZINES				
Daily.....	301	67%	51%	163
Weekly.....	314	84%	35%	98
Monthly.....	308	82%	10%	33
Once or more a year.....	270	27%	2%	12
Never.....	242	21%	2%	8
READ BOOKS				
Daily.....	311	76%	42%	116
Weekly.....	322	82%	14%	48
Monthly.....	310	78%	19%	59
Once or more a year.....	291	62%	14%	51
Never.....	270	55%	11%	41
HOURS OF TV DAILY				
Not daily.....	334	89%	12%	26
Up to 1 hour.....	301	66%	21%	54
1-2 hours.....	315	75%	30%	103
3-4 hours.....	292	71%	33%	109
5+ hours.....	273	57%	5%	24
ALL.....	304	72%	100%	317

**Table F2: Effect of Common Activities on Literacy Skills  
Ontario: Canadian-born Youth  
Grouped by Frequency of Activities**

	DOCUMENT LITERACY SCORE	DOCUMENT LITERACY ABOVE LEVEL 2	POPULATION DISTRIBUTI ON	SAMPLE SIZE
TOOK COURSES IN 1994				
No.....	299	74%	31%	75
Yes.....	307	72%	69%	242
USE A PUBLIC LIBRARY SOMETIMES				
No.....	291	59%	28%	104
Yes.....	309	77%	72%	213
ATTEND MOVIE, PLAY, CONCERT AT LEAST MONTHLY				
No.....	296	74%	30%	111
Yes.....	308	72%	70%	206
ATTEND/PARTICIPATE IN SPORTS SOMETIMES				
No.....	279	69%	17%	66
Yes.....	309	73%	83%	251
WRITE LETTERS ETC. SOMETIMES				
No.....	291	66%	22%	63
Yes.....	308	74%	78%	254
DO VOLUNTEER WORK SOMETIMES				
No.....	298	68%	61%	195
Yes.....	315	78%	39%	122
READ NEWSPAPERS/MAGAZINES AT LEAST WEEKLY				
No.....	295	67%	14%	53
Yes.....	306	73%	86%	264
READ BOOKS AT LEAST MONTHLY				
No.....	281	59%	25%	92
Yes.....	312	77%	75%	225
3+ HOURS OF TV DAILY				
No.....	313	74%	63%	184
Yes.....	290	69%	37%	133
ALL.....	304	72%	100%	317

**Table F3: Effect of Common Activities on Literacy Skills  
All Provinces: Canadian-born Youth  
Detailed Frequency of Activities**

	DOCUMENT LITERACY SCORE	DOCUMENT LITERACY ABOVE LEVEL 2	POPULATION DISTRIBUTI ON	SAMPLE SIZE
<b>TOOK COURSES IN 1994</b>				
No.....	282	60%	31%	361
Yes.....	308	75%	69%	832
<b>USE A PUBLIC LIBRARY</b>				
Daily.....	341	100%	2%	21
Weekly.....	310	78%	12%	112
Monthly.....	319	77%	17%	188
Once or more a year.....	306	81%	32%	344
Never.....	282	56%	38%	525
<b>ATTEND MOVIE, PLAY OR CONCERT</b>				
Daily.....	329	98%	0%	4
Weekly.....	315	81%	21%	221
Monthly.....	307	73%	42%	444
Once or more a year.....	289	66%	30%	407
Never.....	258	41%	7%	111
<b>ATTEND/PARTICIPATE IN SPORTS</b>				
Daily.....	315	79%	11%	113
Weekly.....	300	72%	24%	302
Monthly.....	309	72%	18%	175
Once or more a year.....	308	76%	27%	317
Never.....	275	57%	20%	282
<b>WRITE LETTERS ETC.</b>				
Daily.....	302	68%	7%	89
Weekly.....	317	82%	21%	233
Monthly.....	305	75%	22%	286
Once or more a year.....	299	73%	27%	295
Never.....	282	54%	23%	285
<b>DO VOLUNTEER WORK</b>				
Daily.....	306	71%	1%	20
Weekly.....	315	86%	9%	100
Monthly.....	309	76%	9%	99
Once or more a year.....	309	78%	19%	280
Never.....	294	65%	63%	689
<b>READ NEWSPAPERS/ MAGAZINES</b>				
Daily.....	304	72%	48%	563
Weekly.....	301	74%	39%	441
Monthly.....	298	63%	9%	108
Once or more a year.....	274	56%	3%	43
Never.....	236	29%	1%	29
<b>READ BOOKS</b>				
Daily.....	306	74%	36%	386
Weekly.....	312	80%	17%	221
Monthly.....	305	75%	18%	218
Once or more a year.....	292	64%	15%	184
Never.....	275	54%	14%	180
<b>HOURS OF TV DAILY</b>				
Not daily.....	319	81%	11%	102
Up to 1 hour.....	307	74%	19%	184
1-2 hours.....	302	68%	30%	362
3-4 hours.....	294	70%	35%	431
5+ hours.....	264	53%	5%	111
ALL.....	300	70%	100%	1,193

**Table F4: Effect of Common Activities on Literacy Skills  
All Provinces: Canadian-born Youth  
Grouped by Frequency of Activities**

	DOCUMENT LITERACY SCORE	DOCUMENT LITERACY ABOVE LEVEL 2	POPULATION DISTRIBUTI ON	SAMPLE SIZE
TOOK COURSES IN 1994				
No.....	282	60%	31%	361
Yes.....	308	75%	69%	832
USE A PUBLIC LIBRARY SOMETIMES				
No.....	282	56%	38%	525
Yes.....	311	79%	62%	668
ATTEND MOVIE, PLAY, CONCERT AT LEAST MONTHLY				
No.....	284	61%	36%	518
Yes.....	309	75%	64%	675
ATTEND/PARTICIPATE IN SPORTS SOMETIMES				
No.....	275	57%	20%	282
Yes.....	306	74%	80%	911
WRITE LETTERS ETC. SOMETIMES				
No.....	282	54%	23%	285
Yes.....	305	75%	77%	908
DO VOLUNTEER WORK SOMETIMES				
No.....	294	65%	62%	689
Yes.....	309	78%	38%	504
READ NEWSPAPERS/MAGAZINES AT LEAST WEEKLY				
No.....	286	57%	13%	180
Yes.....	302	72%	87%	1,013
READ BOOKS AT LEAST MONTHLY				
No.....	283	59%	30%	364
Yes.....	307	75%	70%	829
3+ HOURS OF TV DAILY				
No.....	306	72%	60%	651
Yes.....	290	68%	40%	542
ALL.....	300	70%	100%	1,193

## APPENDIX G: IMPORTANCE OF COMMON ACTIVITIES - REGRESSION

**Dependent Variable:** Natural log of document literacy score

**Independent Variables:**

Effect of Everyday Activities on Literacy Skills

ZTRAIN	Participated in training or education activities in 1994.
ZHPUB	Use a public library sometimes
ZHMOVIE	Attend movies, plays, concerts at least monthly
ZHSPORT	Attend/participate in sports sometimes
ZHLETTE	Write letters/notes sometimes
ZHVOLU	Do volunteer work sometimes
ZHMAGAZ	Read newspapers/magazines at least weekly
ZHBOOK	Read books at least monthly
ZHTV	Watch TV 3+ hrs daily

Personal Characteristics

EDUCYRS	Years of education
GENDER1	Male (reference category: female)
DISAB1	Presence of disabilities (reference category: no disabilities)

Region

REGION1	Atlantic
REGION2	Quebec
REGION3	Ontario (reference category)
REGION4	West

Mother's education:

MOTHEDU1	Less than high school (reference category)
MOTHEDU2	High school
MOTHEDU3	Post-secondary education
MOTHEDU9	Information missing

Father's education:

FATHEDU1	Less than high school (reference category)
FATHEDU2	High school
FATHEDU3	Post-secondary education
FATHEDU9	Information missing

**Sample:** Canadian-born youth across all provinces.

## Results

Dependent Variable.. LND0C

Multiple R .63022  
 R Square .39717  
 Adjusted R Square .38419  
 Standard Error .15417  
 No of Observations 1091

Variable	B	SE B	Beta	T	Sig T
ZTRAIN	.040130	.011210	.096214	3.580	.0004
ZHPUB	.028955	.011213	.072749	2.582	.0099
ZHMOVIE	.031362	.010852	.077019	2.890	.0039
ZHSPOET	.055575	.012639	.115782	4.397	.0000
ZHLETTE	.012242	.012907	.026339	.949	.3431
ZHVOLU	-.028716	.010297	-.071865	-2.789	.0054
ZHMAGAZ	.000225	.015042	3.806E-04	.015	.9881
ZHBOOK	.008292	.011955	.019084	.694	.4881
ZHTV	-.019839	.009867	-.049898	-2.011	.0446
REGION1	.001574	.014113	.003563	.112	.9112
REGION2	.020259	.022598	.038793	.896	.3702
REGION4	.037749	.012981	.088705	2.908	.0037
GENDER1	-.000849	.009968	-.002161	-.085	.9322
EDUCYRS	.035821	.002294	.414820	15.614	.0000
DISAB1	-.043307	.011640	-.090357	-3.720	.0002
FSTLANG2	-.016483	.018621	-.035519	-.885	.3763
FSTLANG3	.050774	.021062	.061585	2.411	.0161
MOTHEU2	.029482	.012556	.072045	2.348	.0191
MOTHEU3	.024058	.015133	.052397	1.590	.1122
MOTHEU9	-.066892	.024450	-.076435	-2.736	.0063
FATHEU2	.040590	.013505	.087091	3.006	.0027
FATHEU3	.010721	.013941	.024604	.769	.4420
FATHEU9	-.039841	.017770	-.064296	-2.242	.0252
(Constant)	5.101524	.033321		153.101	.0000

## REFERENCES

- Human Resources Development Canada/ Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development. *Literacy Skills for the Knowledge Society*, 1997.
- Jones, Stan. *Survey of Adult Literacy in Ontario*, Ministry of Education and Training, Ontario, 1992.
- Kapsalis, Constantine. *Employee Training: An International Perspective*, Statistics Canada, Catalogue No. 89-552-MPE, No.2, 1997.
- Kapsalis, Constantine. *Education, Literacy, and the Employability of Social Assistance Recipients*, Human Resources Development Canada, Working Paper W-98-1E, 1998.
- Ontario. Ministry of Education and Training. *Adult Literacy in Ontario: The International Adult Literacy Survey Results*, 1998.
- Ontario. Ministry of Education and Training. *Literacy Profile of Ontario's Deaf and Hard of Hearing Adults*, 1998.
- Statistics Canada/ Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development. *Literacy, Economy and Society*, 1995.
- Statistics Canada. *Reading the Future: A Portrait of Literacy in Canada*, Catalogue No. 89-551-XPE, 1996.
- Willms, J. Douglas. *Literacy Skills of Canadian Youth*, Statistics Canada No. 89-552, 1992.