



Munich Personal RePEc Archive

## **Economic Revolution Plan: Noble Intentions but Muddled Thinking**

Waknis, Parag

October 2008

Online at <https://mpra.ub.uni-muenchen.de/26687/>  
MPRA Paper No. 26687, posted 18 Nov 2010 10:16 UTC

# Arthakranti Plan: Noble Intentions but Muddled Thinking

Parag Waknis

November 14, 2010 – Version 3

## **Abstract**

This essay attempts a critique of the popular development proposals for Indian economy by [Arthakanti](#).

## 1. Introduction

This essay attempts a critique of the development proposals for the Indian economy as found on [www.arthakranti.org](http://www.arthakranti.org). Arthakranti literally means economic revolution. These systemic changes for fostering an economic revolution are definitely well intended but their effectiveness in producing the desired results is doubtful. The proposed changes show a lack of understanding of basic principles of economics in general and that of institutions and reform in particular. The crux of their arguments is that abolishing black economy would ease many constraints that have served as bottlenecks for India's growth till now. In general this might seem appealing at some level, but the specifics of proposed actions call for a closer scrutiny.

In the second section, I briefly comment on idea of black economy and choice of a particular policy in democracy. In the third section, I provide a critique to specific proposals and demonstrate how at best they constitute loose economic thinking. One of the premise of these proposals is that cash based economy is a hindrance to development. I provide a sketch of an argument for emergence of a cash based economy in the fourth section. The fifth section concludes.

## 2. Black Economy

As a starter, emergence of a black economy is a much more complex phenomenon than assumed by Arthakranti. If we take the black economy to consist of informal as well as the illegal economy, then various suggestions to curb this economy may affect these two sectors differently. There is also some evidence that black economy in general may emerge as a correction to some real rigidities or policy distortions in the economy and trying to curb it may amount to treating the symptom but worsening the disease. For example, smaller firms in India, many of which are in the informal sector, emerged because of restrictions on size of firms or workers get hired but do not show up on the roster because its costly to employ someone legally. In both these cases, emergence of

informal sector or off the record hiring of labor is an optimal response to legal framework. To change these situations we have to alter the legal system and not target the situations themselves.

A word about how policies are chosen in practice is imminent. Policy choice in reality is often guided more by political and social considerations than by theory. To illustrate the point, economic logic shows that one way to reduce the incidence or burden of tax on the consumer is to have a value added tax (VAT). We have been trying to implement it for quite some time. However, there is a lot of resistance from various groups to this tax system. Manufacturers and sellers do not like it because the current system has a good amount of ambiguity that allows them to overprice and under report to some extent. With VAT this room for maneuverability is no more available. So a policy which looks ideal from theoretical perspective may not be chosen in reality or may be implemented at a slower pace because policies are determined by politics and special interest groups. So to just say that a policy should be implemented because it makes sense may be naive.<sup>1</sup>

### **3. Proposals**

#### **3.1. Abolishing all the indirect taxes and having only one transaction tax**

Let us now consider a specific proposal. The plan suggests that all indirect taxes at different levels should be abolished and the only tax levied should be the income tax or one uniform tax on banking transactions. Economic theory tells us that a single direct tax like the income tax works if only if all the consumers are identical or at the very least ability of individuals to be taxed is perfectly observable.<sup>2</sup> To the extent this is not the case, optimal tax policy will have both income and commodity taxes. Now you do not need to be an economist to see that the above two assumptions are rarely satisfied in

---

<sup>1</sup>See Dixit and Londregan (1998) for an interesting model of fiscal federalism and the redistributive policies as an example of the literature looking at the political economy of government policy.

<sup>2</sup>See Salanié (2003) for a good review of taxation theories.

the real world.

What actually might work, at least in the short run, are policies like posting prices separately from taxes instead of the maximum retail price inclusive of taxes. However, there is some grain to the argument of harmonization of taxes across jurisdictions from the point of reducing fiscal barriers to mobility of commodities and services.

### **3.2. 100 vs.1000- Which is better?**

Now, consider their reasoning for reducing the denomination of currency notes. Decline in the maximum denomination will supposedly reduce the problem of inflation, unemployment and the black economy. How do we know this? Look at the developed countries. They have lower maximum denomination than that of India. US, UK and Japan have 100 units as biggest denomination as against of 1000 units for India. The ratio of per capita GDP to this maximum denomination is around 400 in US, Japan and UK and 23 in India. What do we understand from these differences? Is there any reason to believe that a high value of such ratio signifies lower black economy as Arthakranti would have us believe or does it point to something else?

This ratio is similar to what economists call income velocity of money. Velocity of money tells you how much a unit of money circulates to support the income generated. In the above calculations the unit of money is the maximum denomination of currency notes. To start with use of different denominators makes the ratios incomparable<sup>3</sup>. Secondly, the variation in these ratios comes from denominations as well as per capita incomes and that should tell us something. It should come as no surprise to anyone if someone said that countries with high per capita incomes have sophisticated payment systems and use much less cash than those with lower per capita incomes. In general we would expect that developed countries have higher velocity of money than the developing ones, other things remaining same<sup>4</sup>. The point is that the difference

---

<sup>3</sup>There is also this issue of which currency note is most in circulation. For example in US even though the maximum denomination is \$100, the currency note that circulates the most is \$20. The average ATM withdrawal is not more than \$20.

<sup>4</sup>Velocity of money is affected by changes in technology and institutions and therefore will change over

in velocity points to institutional and economic differences and there is no reason to believe that per se a lower velocity would imply higher black economy, though it does signify presence of a substantial cash based economy.

### **3.3. Scrap all above 50!**

Can we say that a cash based economy automatically implies a large black economy? One would be tempted to believe so but the relation is not that obvious. Moreover, even if we take it be true, there is still the question of whether reduction in maximum denomination of notes help reduce cash based economy and inflation. My answer is a qualified no. Qualification being the direction of causality. Do we use higher denominations of currency notes in response to rising prices or the other way round?<sup>5</sup>

But without getting into this issue lets engage in a thought exercise. Suppose the government tomorrow declares that all the notes above Rs.50 are illegal to use. What will happen next? If the government does not add to the money supply for every confiscated note of higher denomination there will certainly be reduction in money supply. This will translate into less money in all our pockets and so we will spend less. Producers and manufacturers of goods and services will find they cannot sell enough at the current prices and hence will reduce the prices to induce consumers to buy their goods. This will reduce all the prices, thereby reducing inflation.

What are the problems with this scenario? No doubt that people with substantial cash in high denominations will suddenly find their wealth has vanished but not all of them contribute to the black economy. So will all of us support this policy? No. There will be substantial social and political cost to this action. Moreover, for this action of the government to be effective it has to come as a surprise. Even if there is an inkling that government might do this, there will be a scramble to convert all money in high

---

time. Even in developed economies velocity has undergone tremendous changes over the last couple of centuries. Generally, any innovation in the payment systems that reduces the necessity of actual currency notes would increase the velocity, as less number of currency notes support higher number of transactions.

<sup>5</sup>I thank Shalini Mitra for pointing this out.

denominations to multiples of Rs. 50 multiples. The scrapping of high denominations will have little or no effect on inflation. The same will hold true if currency compression is done in stages. The question of what if the producers do not cut the prices enough opens a different black box altogether.

There have been cases in Latin America where they scrapped the complete money supply and replaced it by a different currency to control inflation. Such measures work only when there is a clear evidence that inflation is chronic.<sup>6</sup> It would be incorrect to believe that is the case in India where much of the concern about inflation is on account of sudden increases in inflation. Such surges are invariably caused by agricultural shortages and or hoarding.

### **3.4. No cash transactions above Rs. 2000**

Law says whenever you buy something you have to pay a tax. What do you do? You do not take a receipt and evade the tax. So if government disallows cash transactions above Rs. 2000, what will people do? They will find a variety of ways to get away from this requirement! Thus, even if this proposal is the one that probably makes most sense, to get people to adhere to it will require some checks and balances. Economic theory says people respond to incentives. So if a law gets the incentive structure right it will be followed. To implement the proposal in practice we will have to make violations costly and minimize on monitoring costs. We also have to think if Rs. 2000 is valid limit for cash transactions. How do we achieve this? Well, that is where economics of law and mechanism design becomes relevant.

## **4. Emergence of Cash Based Economy**

At this point it might pay to think why does cash based economy flourish in the first place? Will reducing maximum denomination of currency notes transform a cash based

---

<sup>6</sup>A switch to a relatively stable currency like the US dollar is also a possibility in such situations as demonstrated recently by Zimbabwe.

economy to a non cash one? To answer these questions we have to first understand that the cost of adopting an electronic payment system is an economic decision. This means that a vendor will engage into a cost benefit analysis before he switches to such a system. Now we all have faced this constraint at some or other point right? You walk into a shop and buy something and present your card for payment. The person behind the counter says she can accept a card only above certain purchase value. This happens even in the US and thus, you could have cash based transactions even in a relatively sophisticated economy.

Notwithstanding this, more generally it should be that the more organized the economy is the cheaper it is to shift to non cash systems of payment. So as long as we have smaller shops, street vendors, and roadside carts selling stuff which we spend most of our money on, there will always be a cash based economy and this will lead to some black economy in terms of unreported income.

Why do we have more number of smaller shops instead of Walmarts and Stop & Shops? One of the answers according to me is that along with being price sensitive many of our consumers are liquidity constrained. Smaller and decentralized shops provide easier access to credit purchases to liquidity constrained consumers and hence even if a cheaper alternative is available it will not be chosen if it means paying cash up front. Thus, if organization of commerce affects the kinds of payment systems we will have, it is the level of economic development that affects the way commerce will be organized. Our level of per capita incomes is just not enough to sustain the scale of economic organization that makes adoption of non-cash based payment systems attractive on a wider scale.

As an aside, cash based economy also allows the holder to engage in an anonymous transaction. The credit card debt puzzle where people have credit balances in spite of having cash balances somewhat hints at this anonymity aspect of money<sup>7</sup>.

---

<sup>7</sup>Telyukova and Wright (2008) provide one such interesting analysis.



## 5. Conclusion

A lot of the changes in the way the commerce is organized in the developed economies has been a result of increasing income levels, even though there might be some causation other way round as well. Hence, to say that we should do what the developed countries do and we will be developed like them definitely implies a very restricted view of how institutions emerge and evolve. Changing laws or enacting new ones does not automatically mean that they will be followed. There has to be an incentive system in place to make people respond in the desired manner. Any meaningful policy making exercise has to take this into account. India would definitely be an economic superpower someday, but getting there will unfortunately take much more than just scrapping currency or changing the tax system.

## References

- Bane N (2008), Mothya Notancha Economical Locha, *Maharashtra Times Diwali Anka*.
- Dixit A & Londregan J (1998), "Fiscal Federalism and Redistributive Politics", *Journal of Public Economics*, 68, 153-180.
- Salanié B (2003), *The Economics of Taxation*, The MIT Press.
- Telyukova I and R Wright (2008), A Model of Money and Credit, with Application to the Credit Card Debt Puzzle, *Review of Economic Studies*, Volume 75, Issue 2, pages 629-647.
- [www.arthakranti.org](http://www.arthakranti.org) (accessed on several occasions in October 2008 and July-August 2010)