

MPRA

Munich Personal RePEc Archive

African Financial Development Dynamics: Big Time Convergence

Simplicé A, Asongu

19 January 2012

Online at <https://mpra.ub.uni-muenchen.de/36053/>
MPRA Paper No. 36053, posted 19 Jan 2012 15:07 UTC

African Financial Development Dynamics: Big Time Convergence

Simplice A. Asongu

E-mail: asongusimplice@yahoo.com

Tel: 0032 473613172

HEC-Management School, University of Liège.

Rue Louvrex 14, Bldg. N1, B-4000 Liège, Belgium

African Financial Development Dynamics: Big Time Convergence

Abstract

In the first critical assessment of convergence in financial development dynamics in Africa, we find overwhelming support for integration. The empirical evidence is premised on 11 homogenous panels based on regions(Sub-Saharan and North Africa), income-levels(low, middle, lower-middle and upper-middle), legal-origins(English common-law and French civil-law) and religious dominations(Christianity and Islam). We examine convergence in financial intermediary dynamics of depth, efficiency, activity and size. Findings suggest that countries with small-sized financial intermediary depth, efficiency, activity and size are catching-up with countries with large-sized financial intermediary depth, efficiency, activity and size respectively. We also provide the speeds of convergence and time necessary to achieve a full(100%) convergence. As a policy implication African governments should not relent in structural and institutional reforms.

JEL Classification: F15; F36; F42; O55; P52

Keywords: Convergence; Policy Coordination; Banking; Africa

1. Introduction

Evidence of financial integration and convergence are considered of utmost importance in assessing the outcome of deregulation policies aimed at improving the performance and efficiency of the financial intermediary sector (Casu & Girardone, 2010). Financial and economic integrations in Africa are expected to provide gains in growth by favoring competition and efficiency in the banking sector. These gains result from price reductions in financial services leading to direct gains for consumers and indirect benefits through the reduction of loan rates that favor investment (Weill, 2009). Investigating financial intermediary convergence is therefore relevant in Africa; owing to the current debate on financial integration in the continent. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first assessment of convergence in the African banking sector. The critical assessment is based on 11 different panels depicting: Middle income, Upper middle income, Lower middle income, Low income, English common-law, French civil-law, North African, Sub-Saharan African, Christian-dominated and Moslem-oriented countries. The richness of our dataset and encompassment of financial intermediary dynamics of depth, efficiency, activity and size in the investigation provide a robust account of the state of financial convergence in Africa. An added appeal of this seminal work is that it provides the rate of convergence as well the time required to achieve full (100%) convergence which are relevant guidelines in policy making. The rest of this work is organized as follows. Section 2 reviews existing literature. Data and methodology are presented and outlined respectively in Section 3. Empirical analysis, discussion and policy implications are covered in Section 4. Section 5 concludes.

2. Existing literature

2.1 Conflicts in the literature

With respect to Narayan et al.(2011), whereas there is a theory and vast empirical work on per capita income convergence, there is as yet not a theory on financial system convergence. Owing to this reality, like in Narayan et al.(2011) we are aware of the risks of “doing measurement without theory” and assert that reporting facts, even in the absence of a formal theoretical model may be a useful scientific activity. Therefore, we side with Costantini & Lupi(2005) in positing that applied econometrics has other tasks than merely validating or refuting economic theories.

The last three decades have witnessed paramount changes in financial structure and institutions in Africa due to liberalization, privatization, innovation and globalization. These events coupled with the rethinking of the role of finance after the recent financial crisis(Baltagi & Demetriades, 2011) have prompted a growing body of work on the similarities and differences between national financial systems (Bruno et al.,2011). This has led to two strands in the literature on the impact of openness(trade and capital) on financial market convergence.

The first strand entails proponents asserting that openness, deregulation, economic integration, harmonization of regulation and corporate governance rules have led to the convergence of financial market characteristics. To this end, a number of studies have confirmed that European continental financial systems have become more similar to Anglo-Saxon ones and that the classical distinction between bank-based and market-based systems is less relevant than in the past(Allen & Gale, 2000; Rajan & Zingales, 2003; Holzl, 2006). With regard to this thesis, financial structures have converged towards a model which combines characteristics of the Anglo-Saxon model, where investment banks and markets prevail with elements of the

continental European systems (where-in commercial banks are predominant). More so, from 1980 through 2005 most countries in the world adopted free market policies that have proven crucial in ensuring economic growth and real convergence (Balcerowicz & Fischer, 2006; Shleifer, 2009; Rodrik, 2006, 2011). The free market reforms have also influenced financial sectors of the economies but it is unclear whether financial convergence has moved in tandem with real convergence. One dimension through which financial convergence can occur is via financial integration. This is grounded on the fact that financial integration augments the supply of finance in the less financially developed countries. This process may be reflected in an expansion in the size of national financial systems with respect to domestic GDP: in those countries with less developed financial markets (Giannetti et al., 2002). In the context of the European Union, the different financial systems could reflect a convergence trend in the aftermath of the common markets in 1993 and of the euro area in 1999 (Calcagnini et al., 2000).

On the contrary, another strand of the literature stresses that domestic financial markets remain heterogeneous in spite of integration and globalization. The adoption of a common set of formal rules in a segment of countries does not necessarily imply their economic convergence even in the distant future. Thus the presence of different informal norms and enforcement features explain persistent diversity among countries. The recent financial meltdown and economic down-turn have affected different countries with varying intensities. The IMF financial development index (IMF, 2006) depicts a large difference between developed and developing countries without significant variations between 1995 and 2004. Some authors stress the path dependency of financial development and the role legal origins play in accounting for cross-country variations in stock market development. It is argued that the institutional web of

informal norms, formal rules and enforcement are characteristics of the economic and financial performances of a country(La Porta et al.,1988; North, 1990, 1994).

This paper shall attempt to discriminate between these two views in Africa from a financial intermediary standpoint. Findings could have important policy implications given the motivations for financial system convergence in the continent.

2.2 Motivations for convergence in the African financial system

The financial system plays a crucial role in modern economic literature debates(Scholten & Naaborg,2005). Firstly, it is believed to impact on the effectiveness of the transmission mechanism of monetary policy(Bondt,2000). Secondly, it is thought to affect the channels in which financial development is linked to economic growth(Allen & Gale, 2000). The financial system interacts with the economy by producing information ex-ante about possible investments, allocation of capital, monitoring of investments, exertion of corporate governance after providing finance, facilitating trading, diversifying and managing risk, mobilizing and pooling savings and easing the exchange of goods and services(Levine,2004).

Although a number of papers have assessed the dynamic interdependence of equity markets worldwide, the emphasis has often been on developed markets and the emerging economies of Latin America and Asia. According to Alagidede(2008), such neglect is far from surprising as African financial intermediary markets are perceived as excessively risky and have less developed operating institutional environments. Economic instability and political strife have plagued many African countries and continue to pose a threat to foreign investments and private capital flows(Kenyan post elections crises in 2007/2008, Zimbabwe's economic meltdown, Nigeria's marred transition in 2008 and currently the unending Egyptian revolution). But for South Africa, no African country has yet risen as an economic power. This might partly

elucidate the lack of academic research on the banking sector of the continent. However, Africa has recently witnessed significant economic and financial developments, thus assessing convergence from multidimensional financial perspectives in the continent could have important policy implications.

Convergence within the framework of the current paper simply put, implies the integration of banking sector market dynamics: depth, efficiency, activity and size. Financial theory deems integrated markets to be relatively more efficient compared to divergent ones. An integrated financial intermediary market on the premise of stimulating cross-border flow of funds, augments trading volume which in-turn improves stock market liquidity. Integrated banking markets award investors the opportunity to efficiently allocate capital(Chen et al., 2002). This leads to a lower cost of capital for firms and lower transaction costs for investors(Kim et al.,2005). An integrated banking market has the positive rewards to financial stability as it minimizes the probability of asymmetric shocks(Umutlu et al., 2010). Financial intermediary stability in-turn may reduce the risk of cross-border financial contagion(Beine et al.,2010) and ameliorate the capacity of economies to absorb shocks(Yu et al., 2010).

Financial intermediary dynamics may also converge to reflect the level of arbitrage activity. When they converge, it implies there is a common force such as arbitrage activity that attracts the markets together. In other words it indicates convergence in markets will imply, the potential for making above normal profits via international diversification will be limited as supernormal profits are arbitrated away(Von Furstenberg & Jeon, 1989). In the same vein, if barriers or potential barriers generating country risks and exchange rate premiums are absent, the consequence is similar yields for financial assets of similar risk and liquidity regardless of locality and nationality(Von Furstenberg & Jeon, 1989).

The need for convergence in the African banking sector therefore draws on the tenets of arbitrage and the hypothesis proffered by the portfolio theory to devise a framework that inspires convergence in stock markets. The motivations for convergence of financial markets has premises in the literature of banking sector interdependence and portfolio diversification(Grubel.,1968; Levy & Sarnat, 1970). These works have for the most part considered short-run relationships of stock markets and have found the existence of short-term financial market co-movements. The findings have been extended to cover co-movements of financial markets over the long-run(Bessler & Yang, 2003). Majority of these works have shown evidence of cointegration as well as short-run relationships which depict some form of convergence in financial markets.

3. Data and methodology

3.1 Data

We examine a sample of 34 African countries with data from African Development Indicators(ADI) and the Financial Development and Structure Database(FDSD) of the World Bank. While openness, inflation, public investment and GDP growth indicators are obtained from the former source, financial intermediary dynamics are fetched from the later. Due to constraints in data availability, dataset spans from 1981 to 2009. More information on summary statistics(Appendix 1), correlation analysis(Appendix 2), variable definitions(Appendix 3) and presentation of countries(Appendix 4) is found in the appendices.

We concur with Narayan et al.(2011) in asserting that, one is unlikely to find convergence in financial intermediary markets within a very heterogeneous set of countries. According to Mayer-Foulkes(2010) economic development is a complex process with historical, political, economic, institutional and geographical determinants that do not conform to some

simple linear model. We thus disaggregate countries into homogenous panels based on regions(SSA and North Africa), income-levels(low-income, middle-income, lower middle-income and upper middle-income), legal-origins(English common-law and French civil-law) and religious-dominations(Christianity and Islam).

3.1.1 Endogenous financial intermediation variables

a) Financial depth

Borrowing from the FDSO and recent finance literature(Asongu, 2011abcd) this paper measures financial depth both from overall-economic and financial system perspectives with indicators of broad money supply ($M2/GDP$) and financial system deposits ($Fdgd$) respectively. While the former denotes the monetary base plus demand, saving and time deposits, the later represents liquid liabilities. Since we are dealing exclusively with developing countries, we distinguish liquid liabilities from money supply because a great proportion of the monetary base does not transit through the banking sector (Asongu, 2011e). The two indicators are in ratios of GDP (see Appendix 3) and both can robustly cross-check each other as either account for over 97% of information in the other (see Appendix 2).

b) Financial efficiency

By financial intermediation efficiency here, the paper neither refers to the profitability-oriented concept nor to the production efficiency of decision making units in the financial sector (through Data Envelopment Analysis: DEA). What we seek to highlight is the ability of banks to effectively fulfill their fundamental role of transforming mobilized deposits into credit for economic operators. We employ proxies for banking-system-efficiency and financial-system-efficiency (respectively ‘bank credit on bank deposits: $Bcbd$ ’ and ‘financial system credit on

financial system deposits: *Fcfd'*). Like with financial depth, these two financial allocation efficiency proxies can cross-check each other as they represent more than 87% of variability in one another (see Appendix 2).

c) Financial size

With respect to the FDSI we appreciate financial intermediary size as the ratio of “deposit bank assets” to the “total assets” (deposit bank assets on central bank assets plus deposit bank assets: *Dbacba*).

d) Financial activity

By financial intermediary activity here, the work highlights the ability of banks to grant credit to economic operators. We proxy for both banking intermediary activity and financial intermediary activity with “private domestic credit by deposit banks: *Pcrb*” and “private credit by domestic banks and other financial institutions: *Pcrbof*” respectively. The later measure cross-checks the former as it represents more than 92% of information in the former (see Appendix 2).

3.1.2 Control variables

In the literature on convergence in per capita incomes, countries identical in structural characteristics such as preferences in technologies, economic performance, government policies and price stability have the tendency to converge to one another if their initial conditions are dissimilar (Prichett, 1997). In this paper we proxy for these preferences in technology, economic performance, government policy and price stability with openness (trade), GDP growth, public investment and inflation respectively (Bruno et al., 2011; Narayan et al., 2011).

3.2 Model and estimation approach

Borrowing from Fung(2009; 3) the two equations below are the standard approaches in the literature for investigating conditional convergence if $W_{i,t}$ is taken as strictly exogenous.

$$\ln(Y_{i,t}) - \ln(Y_{i,t-\tau}) = \beta \ln(Y_{i,t-\tau}) + \delta W_{i,t-\tau} + \eta_i + \xi_t + \varepsilon_{i,t} \quad (1)$$

$$\ln(Y_{i,t}) = \sigma \ln(Y_{i,t-\tau}) + \delta W_{i,t-\tau} + \eta_i + \xi_t + \varepsilon_{i,t} \quad (2)$$

Where $\sigma = 1 + \beta$, $Y_{i,t}$ is the proxy for per capita financial intermediary development in country i at period t . $W_{i,t}$ is a vector of determinants of per capita finance, η_i is a country specific effect, ξ_t is a time specific constant and $\varepsilon_{i,t}$ an error term. Consistent with the neo-classical growth model, a statistically significant negative coefficient on β in Eq. (1) suggests that countries relatively close to their steady state of per capita growth will experience a slowdown in growth of the per capita banking development, known as conditional convergence(Narayan et al.,2011; 2). Also, in accordance with Fung(2009; 3), if $0 < |\sigma| < 1$ in Eq.(2), then $Y_{i,t}$ is dynamically stable around the path with a trend growth rate the same as that of W_t , and with a height relative to the level of W_t . The variables contained in $W_{i,t-\tau}$ and the individual effect η_i are measures of the long-term level the market is converging to. Therefore, the country specific effect η_i denotes the existence of other determinants of a country's steady state not captured by $W_{i,t-\tau}$.

Conditions for convergence elucidated above are valid if and only if $W_{i,t}$ is strictly exogenous. Unfortunately, this is not the case in the real world because, while inflation, trade,

public investment and GDP growth(components of $W_{i,t}$) influence per capita financial development, the reverse effect is also true. Thus we are confronted here with the issue of endogeneity where inflation, openness(trade), public investment and GDP growth are correlated with the error term($\varepsilon_{i,t}$). Also country and time specific effects could be correlated with other variables in the model, which is often the case with lagged dependent variables included in the equations. A way of dealing with the problem of the correlation between the individual specific-effect and the lagged dependent variables involves eliminating the individual effect by first differencing. Therefore Eq. (2) becomes:

$$\ln(Y_{i,t}) - \ln(Y_{i,t-\tau}) = \sigma \ln(Y_{i,t-\tau} - Y_{i,t-2\tau}) + \delta (W_{i,t-\tau} - W_{i,t-2\tau}) + (\varepsilon_{i,t} - \varepsilon_{i,t-\tau}) \quad (3)$$

However, estimation by Ordinary Least Square(OLS) is still biased because there remains a correlation between the lagged endogenous independent variable and the disturbance term. Arellano & Bond(1991) suggested an application of the Generalized Method of Moments(GMM) exploiting all the orthogonality conditions between the lagged dependent variables and the error term. This GMM approach has been extensively used in the convergence literature; and recently applied by Narayan et al.(2011). While Narayan et al.(2011) use Eq.(1) in the absence of fixed effects, this paper applies Eq.(3) instead; in line with (Fung,2009). We opt for the *second-step* GMM because it corrects the residuals for heteroscedasticity. In the *first-step* the residuals are assumed to be homoscedastic. The assumption of no auto-correlation in the residuals is crucial as past lagged variables are to be used as instruments for the dependent variables. Also, the estimation depends on the assumption that the lagged values of the dependent variable and other independent variables are valid instruments in the regression. When the error terms of the level equation are not auto-correlated, the first-order auto-correlation of the differenced residuals should be significant while their second-order auto-correlation: $AR(2)$

should not be. The validity of the instruments is assessed with the Sargan over-identifying restrictions test(OIR).

As emphasized by Islam (1995 ;14), yearly time spans are too short to be appropriate for studying convergence, as short run disturbances may loom substantially in such brief time spans. Therefore considering the data span of 28 years, we borrow from Narayan et al.(2011) in using a 4 year non-overlapping interval such that we have seven time intervals: 1982-1985; 1986-1989 and so on. This implies in our analysis, τ is set to 4.

We also compute the implied rate of convergence by calculating $(\sigma/4)$ which is the equivalent of the Narayan et al.(2011) computation with $(1+\beta)/4$. Thus we divide the estimated coefficient of the lagged differenced endogenous variable by 4 because we have used a four year interval to absorb short term disturbances. When the absolute value of the derived autoregressive coefficient is greater than zero but less than one ($0 < |\sigma| < 1$), we conclude the existence of convergence. The broader interpretation suggests, past differences have less proportionate impact on future differences, denoting the variation on the left hand side of Eq.(3) is decreasing overtime as the country is converging to a steady state.

4. Empirical analysis

This section addresses three principal concerns: (1) investigation of the existence of convergence; (2) assessment of the speed of convergence and; determination of the time required to achieve a full(100%) convergence. Table 1 presents a summary of overall findings and addresses the first two concerns, while Tables 2-3 and Tables 4-7 disclose results for absolute and conditional convergence respectively.

Absolute(unconditional) convergence is estimated with only the lagged difference of the endogenous variable as exogenous variable while conditional convergence is in respect of Eq.

(3). Thus unconditional convergence is modeled without $W_{i,t}$: vector of determinants (openness, GDP growth, public investment and inflation) of per capita finance. To investigate the validity of the model and the corresponding convergence hypothesis, we carry-out two tests, namely the Sargan test which examines the over-identification restrictions, and the Arellano and Bond test for autocorrelation which examines the null hypothesis of no autocorrelation. The Sargan test investigates if the instruments are correlated with the error term in the estimated equation. Its null hypothesis is the stance that the instruments as a group are strictly exogenous (are not victim of endogeneity), which is needed for the validity of the GMM estimates. We also report the Wald statistics which examines the joint significance of estimated coefficients. The autocorrelation, Sargan and Wald tests statistics with corresponding p-values are reported in the tables. The Sargan test statistics for the most part appear with a p-value greater than 0.10, hence its null hypothesis is not rejected for the most part. We only report the second-order autocorrelation test: $AR(2)$ since it is more important than $AR(1)$ as it tests for autocorrelation in levels. For most estimated models we are unable to rule-out (reject) the $AR(2)$ null hypothesis of no autocorrelation. There is thus substantial evidence that most of the models are free from autocorrelation at the 1% significance level.

4.1 Summary of results

Table 1 reports a summary of our results which are based on details presented in Tables 2-7. For every financial dynamic AC, CC, SAC, SCC; represent Absolute Convergence, Conditional Convergence, Speed of Absolute Convergence and Speed of Conditional Convergence respectively. At first glance we notice overwhelming evidence of convergence both in absolute and conditional terms in most panels. More than 80% of significant results are at the

1% significance levels. This integration is most significant in financial size regressions, followed by a tie between financial efficiency and financial activity and lastly by financial depth.

Table 1: Summary of results on convergence

		Financial Depth							
		Money Supply				Liquid Liability			
		AC	CC	SAC	SCC	AC	CC	SAC	SCC
Legal origins	English Common Law	Yes(1%)	Yes(10%)	15.95%	16.70%	Yes(1%)	Yes(1%)	20.27%	19.47%
	French Civil Law	Yes(10%)	No	10.32%	---	Yes(5%)	No	12.40%	---
Religions	Christianity	Yes(1%)	Yes(1%)	20.32%	17.70%	No	Yes(1%)	---	22.97%
	Islam	No	No	---	---	No	No	---	---
Regions	North Africa	No	No	---	---	No	No	---	---
	Sub Saharan Africa	Yes(1%)	Yes(1%)	14.85%	14.27%	Yes(1%)	Yes(1%)	23.72%	15.60%
	Low Income	Yes(1%)	No	21.25%	---	No	No	---	---
Income Levels	Middle Income	Yes(1%)	Yes(1%)	7.72%	12.00%	Yes(1%)	No	7.5%	---
	Lower Middle Income	No	No	---	---	No	No	---	---
	Upper Middle Income	Yes(1%)	No	11.75%	---	Yes(10%)	No	18.42%	---
	Africa	Yes(1%)	Yes(1%)	15.25%	12.00%	Yes(1%)	No	23.50%	---

		Financial Intermediation Efficiency							
		Banking System Efficiency				Financial System Efficiency			
		AC	CC	SAC	SCC	AC	CC	SAC	SCC
Legal origins	English Common Law	Yes(1%)	No	7.47%	---	Yes(10%)	No	8.10%	---
	French Civil Law	Yes(1%)	Yes(1%)	17.80%	15.17%	Yes(1%)	Yes(5%)	17.00%	10.07%
Religions	Christianity	Yes(1%)	Yes(1%)	12.85%	13.02%	Yes(1%)	Yes(5%)	13.35%	10.62%
	Islam	Yes(1%)	No	16.27%	---	Yes(1%)	No	16.72%	---
Regions	North Africa	No	No	---	---	Yes(1%)	No	14.12%	---
	Sub Saharan Africa	Yes(1%)	Yes(1%)	14.67%	15.40%	Yes(1%)	Yes(1%)	14.15%	11.30%
	Low Income	Yes(1%)	Yes(1%)	12.00%	13.27%	Yes(1%)	No	10.25%	---
Income Levels	Middle Income	Yes(1%)	Yes(1%)	18.25%	17.00%	Yes(1%)	No	13.60%	---
	Lower Middle Income	Yes(1%)	No	16.50%	---	Yes(5%)	No	9.10%	---
	Upper Middle Income	Yes(1%)	No	14.25%	---	Yes(1%)	No	20.25%	---
	Africa	Yes(1%)	Yes(1%)	15.25%	16.00%	Yes(1%)	Yes(1%)	15.75%	14.00%

		Financial Activity							
		Banking System Activity				Financial System Activity			
		AC	CC	SAC	SCC	AC	CC	SAC	SCC
Legal origins	English Common Law	Yes(1%)	No	15.20%	---	Yes(1%)	No	19.57%	---
	French Civil Law	Yes(1%)	Yes(1%)	11.80%	20.17%	Yes(1%)	Yes(5%)	9.80%	13.65%
Religions	Christianity	Yes(1%)	Yes(1%)	21.32%	17.47%	Yes(1%)	Yes(1%)	14.12%	12.50%
	Islam	Yes(5%)	No	10.60%	---	Yes(1%)	No	11.32%	---
Regions	North Africa	No	No	---	---	Yes(5%)	No	9.32%	---
	Sub Saharan Africa	Yes(1%)	Yes(1%)	14.90%	19.45%	Yes(5%)	Yes(5%)	10.00%	14.55%
	Low Income	No	Yes(1%)	---	19.00%	Yes(1%)	Yes(1%)	12.50%	13.75%
Income Levels	Middle Income	Yes(5%)	No	7.62%	---	Yes(10%)	No	7.02%	---
	Lower Middle Income	No	No	---	---	No	No	---	---
	Upper Middle Income	Yes(5%)	No	8.45%	---	No	No	---	---
	Africa	Yes(1%)	Yes(1%)	15.50%	19.75%	Yes(5%)	Yes(1%)	10.77%	17.25%

		Financial Size			
		AC	CC	SAC	SCC
Legal origins	English Common Law	Yes(1%)	Yes(1%)	15.00%	13.22%
	French Civil Law	Yes(5%)	Yes(1%)	14.65%	14.92%
Religions	Christianity	Yes(1%)	Yes(1%)	17.10%	16.47%
	Islam	Yes(1%)	Yes(1%)	12.67%	14.90%
Regions	North Africa	Yes(1%)	No	22.80%	---
	Sub Saharan Africa	Yes(1%)	Yes(1%)	15.77%	15.22%
	Low Income	Yes(1%)	Yes(1%)	16.25%	15.40%
Income Levels	Middle Income	Yes(1%)	Yes(1%)	13.00%	12.50%
	Lower Middle Income	Yes(1%)	Yes(1%)	13.50%	11.67%
	Upper Middle Income	Yes(10%)	No	5.05%	---
	Africa	Yes(1%)	Yes(1%)	16.50%	14.75%

AC: Absolute Convergence. CC: Conditional Convergence. SAC : Speed of Absolute Convergence. SCC: Speed of Conditional Convergence.

The lowest and the highest convergence rates are respectively 5.05% and 22.08% per annum(p.a) and pertain to financial size regressions. Panels with the least support for convergence are(in decreasing order):North Africa; Lower middle income; Islam; Upper middle income; and English common-law countries. Based on overall findings, results for AC are more significant than those of CC. If results are to be based on a 100% significance in all regressions, then only SSA countries reflect convergence in all financial dynamics both in absolute and relative terms.

4.2 Results of absolute convergence(AC)

Tables 2-3 below report results of absolute convergence regressions. The first impression we have of almost all models is that, the instruments are valid as the null hypotheses of the AR(2) and Sargan OIR tests are not rejected. Where the retarded endogenous estimated coefficient is significant, the Wald statistics is also significant. We expected this outcome for the Wald statistic because only one endogenous regressor is used in the absolute convergence regressions.

For financial depth, with respect to money supply we notice convergence in eight of the 11 panels with the following speeds of (and time for full) convergence: English(15.95% p.a for 25.07yrs); French(10.32% p.a for 38.75yrs); Christian(20.32% p.a for 19.68yrs); SSA(14.85% p.a for 26.93yrs); Low income(21.25% p.a for 18.82yrs); Middle income(7.72% p.a for 51.81yrs); Upper middle income(11.75% p.a for 34.04yrs) and Africa(15.25% p.a for 26.22yrs). These results are robust to the liquid liability dimension of financial depth, with the speeds of(and time for full) convergence as follows: English(20.27% p.a for 19.75yrs); French(12.40% p.a for 32.25yrs); SSA(23.72% p.a for 16.86yrs); Middle income(7.5% p.a for 53.33yrs); Upper middle income(18.42% p.a for 21.71yrs) and Africa(23.50% p.a for 17.02yrs).

Table 2: Absolute convergence in financial depth and efficiency

Financial Depth											
Money Supply(M2)											
	English	French	Christ	Islam	N.Africa	SSAfrica	Low.I	Middle I	LMI	UMI	Africa
Initial	0.638*** (0.006)	0.413* (0.086)	0.813*** (0.000)	0.143 (0.644)	0.165 (0.847)	0.594*** (0.000)	0.85*** (0.000)	0.30*** (0.004)	0.237 (0.165)	0.47*** (0.000)	0.61*** (0.000)
2 nd Auto	1.170 (0.241)	-0.049 (0.960)	0.664 (0.506)	0.408 (0.683)	-0.224 (0.822)	1.126 (0.259)	-0.074 (0.940)	1.118 (0.263)	0.923 (0.355)	1.129 (0.258)	1.087 (0.277)
OIR	13.413 (0.858)	18.885 (0.529)	19.518 (0.488)	12.263 (0.906)	3.139 (0.999)	25.00 (0.201)	17.166 (0.642)	15.981 (0.717)	8.859 (0.984)	4.955 (0.999)	27.566 (0.120)
Wald	7.494*** (0.006)	2.937* (0.086)	33.28*** (0.000)	0.212 (0.644)	0.036 (0.847)	15.78*** (0.000)	27.2*** (0.000)	7.90*** (0.004)	1.924 (0.165)	207*** (0.000)	17.9*** (0.000)
Countries	15	19	21	13	4	30	18	16	10	6	34
Obser	77	101	107	71	22	156	92	86	54	32	178
Liquid Liabilities(Fdgp)											
	English	French	Christ	Islam	N.Africa	SSAfrica	Low.I	Middle I	LMI	UMI	Africa
Initial	0.811*** (0.000)	0.496** (0.015)	1.041*** (0.000)	0.205 (0.360)	0.540 (0.561)	0.949*** (0.000)	1.18*** (0.000)	0.30*** (0.002)	0.137 (0.413)	0.737* (0.093)	0.94*** (0.000)
2 nd Auto	1.242 (0.214)	-0.774 (0.438)	-0.543 (0.586)	0.836 (0.402)	-0.488 (0.624)	1.032 (0.301)	-1.363 (0.172)	1.280 (0.200)	0.898 (0.369)	0.823 (0.410)	0.889 (0.374)
OIR	14.99 (0.776)	18.060 (0.583)	20.797 (0.409)	12.927 (0.880)	3.967 (0.999)	26.780 (0.141)	17.898 (0.594)	15.819 (0.727)	9.939 (0.969)	5.997 (0.998)	29.39* (0.080)
Wald	21.92*** (0.000)	5.865** (0.015)	22.22*** (0.000)	0.835 (0.360)	0.336 (0.561)	13.10*** (0.000)	44.1*** (0.000)	9.35*** (0.002)	0.669 (0.413)	2.810* (0.093)	12.2*** (0.000)
Countries	15	19	21	13	4	30	18	16	10	6	34
Obser	79	102	110	71	22	159	95	86	54	32	181
Financial Intermediation Efficiency											
Banking System Efficiency(BcBd)											
	English	French	Christ	Islam	N.Africa	SSAfrica	Low.I	Middle I	LMI	UMI	Africa
Initial	0.299*** (0.000)	0.712*** (0.000)	0.514*** (0.001)	0.651*** (0.000)	0.131 (0.860)	0.578*** (0.000)	0.48*** (0.002)	0.73*** (0.000)	0.66*** (0.000)	0.57*** (0.000)	0.61*** (0.000)
2 nd Auto	-1.301 (0.193)	-0.753 (0.450)	-1.689* (0.091)	0.202 (0.839)	-1.118 (0.263)	-1.164 (0.244)	-1.228 (0.219)	-0.689 (0.490)	-0.200 (0.840)	-1.087 (0.276)	-1.439 (0.150)
OIR	14.619 (0.797)	17.696 (0.607)	18.779 (0.536)	12.581 (0.894)	3.52 (0.999)	19.649 (0.480)	17.574 (0.615)	15.797 (0.729)	9.786 (0.971)	4.871 (0.999)	19.422 (0.494)
Wald	13.79*** (0.000)	52.11*** (0.000)	10.46*** (0.001)	38.83*** (0.000)	0.030 (0.860)	20.43*** (0.000)	9.15*** (0.002)	57.06*** (0.000)	31.5*** (0.000)	17.5*** (0.000)	27.2*** (0.000)
Countries	15	19	21	13	4	30	16	16	10	6	34
Obser	89	110	123	76	22	177	106	93	58	35	199
Financial System Efficiency(FcFd)											
	English	French	Christ	Islam	N.Africa	SSAfrica	Low.I	Middle I	LMI	UMI	Africa
Initial	0.324* (0.081)	0.680*** (0.000)	0.534*** (0.000)	0.669*** (0.000)	0.565*** (0.009)	0.566*** (0.000)	0.41*** (0.000)	0.544*** (0.000)	0.364** (0.016)	0.81*** (0.007)	0.63*** (0.000)
2 nd Auto	0.050 (0.960)	0.068 (0.945)	0.104 (0.916)	0.144 (0.884)	-0.089 (0.928)	0.168 (0.866)	-0.558 (0.576)	0.621 (0.534)	0.533 (0.593)	0.101 (0.919)	0.156 (0.876)
OIR	12.394 (0.901)	17.989 (0.588)	20.405 (0.432)	12.449 (0.899)	3.317 (0.999)	20.409 (0.432)	17.833 (0.598)	14.402 (0.809)	9.963 (0.968)	3.975 (1.000)	20.493 (0.427)
Wald	3.043* (0.081)	74.34*** (0.000)	12.48*** (0.000)	20.79*** (0.000)	6.803*** (0.009)	24.09*** (0.000)	14.5*** (0.000)	15.11*** (0.000)	5.77** (0.016)	7.19*** (0.007)	29.3*** (0.000)
Countries	15	19	21	13	4	30	18	16	10	6	34
Obser	79	102	110	71	22	159	95	86	54	32	181

***, **, *: significance levels of 1%, 5% and 10% respectively. English: Common-Law. French: Civil-Law. Christ: Christians. N.Africa: North Africa. SSA: Sub-Saharan Africa. Low I: Low Income. Middle I: Middle Income. LMI: Lower Middle Income. UMI: Upper Middle Income. 2nd Auto: Second Order Autocorrelation test. OIR: Overidentifying Restrictions test. Obser: Observations. Initial: lagged endogenous estimated coefficient.

Given the detailed nature of our database, it is space consuming to discuss all the details of speed of and time for full convergence. The speeds are already summarized in Table 1 and

computing their corresponding time spans for 100% convergence is basic arithmetic as elucidated above for financial depth results. We thus provide a snapshot of results for the other financial dynamics. But for the North African panel in the banking efficiency regressions, all other panels reflect AC in both dimensions of financial intermediary efficiency.

Table 3 summarizes the AC results of financial intermediary activity and size. While nine of the eleven panels in both dimensions of financial activity reveal AC, all panels converge in financial size.

Table 3: Absolute convergence in financial activity and size

Financial Activity											
Banking System Activity (Pcrbf)											
	English	French	Christ	Islam	N.Africa	SSAfrica	Low.I	Middle I	LMI	UMI	Africa
Initial	0.608*** (0.004)	0.472*** (0.000)	0.853*** (0.000)	0.424** (0.017)	0.103 (0.820)	0.596*** (0.001)	1.00*** (0.000)	0.305** (0.025)	0.218 (0.276)	0.338** (0.032)	0.62*** (0.000)
2 nd Auto	1.342 (0.179)	-1.310 (0.190)	-0.272 (0.785)	-0.353 (0.723)	-0.623 (0.532)	0.566 (0.570)	-0.549 (0.582)	0.004 (0.996)	-0.109 (0.913)	0.069 (0.944)	-0.233 (0.815)
OIR	14.499 (0.804)	17.914 (0.593)	20.331 (0.437)	12.187 (0.909)	3.306 (0.999)	26.223 (0.158)	17.952 (0.590)	15.43 (0.751)	8.232 (0.990)	5.006 (0.999)	26.881 (0.138)
Wald	8.018*** (0.004)	11.95*** (0.000)	24.00*** (0.000)	5.629** (0.017)	0.051 (0.820)	9.903*** (0.001)	34.0*** (0.000)	4.96** (0.025)	1.186 (0.276)	4.58** (0.032)	11.0*** (0.000)
Countries	15	19	21	13	4	30	18	16	10	6	34
Obser	79	100	110	69	20	159	95	84	52	32	179
Financial System Activity(Pcrbof)											
	English	French	Christ	Islam	N.Africa	SSAfrica	Low.I	Middle I	LMI	UMI	Africa
Initial	0.783*** (0.000)	0.392*** (0.011)	0.565*** (0.004)	0.453*** (0.005)	0.373** (0.019)	0.400** (0.023)	0.50*** (0.007)	0.281* (0.070)	0.287 (0.123)	0.188 (0.563)	0.431** (0.016)
2 nd Auto	1.612 (0.106)	-1.038 (0.299)	0.855 (0.392)	-0.152 (0.878)	-0.938 (0.348)	1.120 (0.262)	0.628 (0.529)	0.068 (0.945)	-0.006 (0.995)	0.084 (0.932)	0.485 (0.627)
OIR	13.454 (0.857)	18.911 (0.527)	20.633 (0.419)	12.692 (0.890)	2.930 (0.999)	28.135 (0.106)	17.989 (0.588)	15.99 (0.716)	9.180 (0.980)	4.664 (0.999)	27.811 (0.113)
Wald	11.03*** (0.000)	6.378** (0.011)	8.12*** (0.004)	7.75*** (0.005)	5.422** (0.019)	5.147** (0.023)	7.10*** (0.007)	3.280* (0.070)	2.370 (0.123)	0.334 (0.563)	5.78** (0.016)
Countries	15	19	21	13	4	30	18	16	10	6	34
Obser	79	102	110	71	22	159	95	86	54	32	181
Financial Size(Dbacba)											
	English	French	Christ	Islam	N.Africa	SSAfrica	Low.I	Middle I	LMI	UMI	Africa
Initial	0.60*** (0.000)	0.586** (0.039)	0.684*** (0.002)	0.507*** (0.000)	0.912*** (0.000)	0.631*** (0.000)	0.65*** (0.000)	0.52*** (0.000)	0.54*** (0.000)	0.202* (0.073)	0.66*** (0.000)
2 nd Auto	-1.153 (0.248)	-1.813* (0.069)	-1.652* (0.098)	-1.159 (0.246)	-0.931 (0.351)	-1.276 (0.201)	-1.125 (0.260)	-1.099 (0.271)	-1.017 (0.308)	-1.088 (0.276)	-1.293 (0.195)
OIR	13.806 (0.840)	18.010 (0.586)	19.119 (0.514)	12.141 (0.911)	3.028 (0.999)	22.152 (0.332)	16.105 (0.710)	14.091 (0.825)	9.026 (0.982)	4.996 (0.999)	21.902 (0.345)
Wald	47.58*** (0.000)	4.237** (0.039)	8.91*** (0.002)	53.65*** (0.000)	22.01*** (0.000)	55.41*** (0.000)	14.9*** (0.000)	44.98*** (0.000)	78.2*** (0.000)	3.207* (0.073)	53.3*** (0.000)
Countries	15	19	21	13	4	30	18	16	10	6	34
Obser	82	108	112	78	24	166	103	87	60	27	190

*** **, *: significance levels of 1%, 5% and 10% respectively. English: Common-Law. French: Civil-Law. Christ: Christians. N.Africa: North Africa. SSA: Sub-Saharan Africa. Low I: Low Income. Middle I: Middle Income. LMI: Lower Middle Income. UMI: Upper Middle Income. 2nd Auto: Second Order Autocorrelation test. OIR: Overidentifying Restrictions test. Obser: Observations. Initial: lagged endogenous estimated coefficient.

4.3 Results of conditional convergence(CC)

Tables 4,5,6 and 7 below reveal results of conditional convergence in financial depth, financial efficiency, financial activity and financial size respectively. Like in the preceding tables we notice an overwhelming validity of the instruments and estimated coefficients in the models because the null hypotheses of the AR(2) and Sargan OIR tests are not rejected for the most part. In almost all cases where the lagged endogenous estimated coefficient is significant, we also find evidence of a significant Wald statistics.

For the financial depth regressions in Table 4, while 5 panels converge in money supply, 3 converge in liquid liabilities. With regard to financial efficiency in Table 5, whereas 6 panels converge relative to banking system efficiency, 4 do with regard to financial system efficiency. In Table 6, the same number of panels converge in banking activity and financial activity, which stand at 5. In Table 7, nine of the eleven panels converge in financial activity.

Table 4: Conditional convergence in financial depth

	Financial Depth										
	Money Supply(M2)										
	English	French	Christ	Islam	N.Africa	SSAfrica	Low.I	Middle I	LMI	UMI	Africa
Initial	0.668* (0.050)	0.004 (0.983)	0.708*** (0.000)	0.042 (0.891)	-0.533 (0.586)	0.571*** (0.000)	0.116 (0.552)	0.48*** (0.008)	0.416 (0.326)	-2.722 (0.186)	0.48*** (0.003)
Constant	-0.0006 (0.984)	0.027 (0.176)	0.006 (0.747)	0.044 (0.259)	0.091 (0.163)	0.023* (0.079)	0.065** (0.014)	0.009 (0.519)	0.002 (0.937)	0.166* (0.076)	0.017 (0.301)
Trade	0.004*** (0.001)	0.002 (0.200)	0.004** (0.021)	0.002 (0.477)	0.004 (0.257)	0.004*** (0.000)	0.003* (0.064)	0.005** (0.018)	0.001 (0.721)	-0.009 (0.270)	0.004*** (0.000)
Inflation	-0.003 (0.448)	-0.003 (0.317)	-0.005 (0.307)	0.003 (0.574)	0.009 (0.391)	-0.003 (0.460)	-0.004 (0.313)	0.007 (0.611)	-0.002 (0.584)	0.017* (0.065)	-0.001 (0.683)
Pub. Invt	0.006 (0.718)	0.004 (0.374)	0.0006 (0.942)	0.020 (0.306)	---	0.006 (0.454)	0.011 (0.307)	0.002 (0.753)	-0.004 (0.573)	-0.012 (0.448)	0.005 (0.401)
GDPg	-0.013 (0.246)	-0.01*** (0.000)	-0.011* (0.061)	-0.023*** (0.005)	---	-0.01*** (0.000)	-0.01*** (0.004)	-0.01** (0.033)	-0.0009 (0.966)	-0.01*** (0.000)	-0.01*** (0.001)
2 nd Auto	0.514 (0.606)	-0.914 (0.360)	0.993 (0.320)	-1.256 (0.208)	-0.980 (0.326)	-0.329 (0.742)	0.297 (0.765)	-0.681 (0.495)	-1.189 (0.234)	-0.932 (0.351)	-0.380 (0.703)
OIR	9.397 (0.977)	14.147 (0.822)	18.002 (0.587)	6.062 (0.998)	0.000 (1.000)	24.904 (0.205)	9.939 (0.969)	10.205 (0.964)	4.489 (0.999)	---	24.049 (0.240)
Wald	18.33*** (0.002)	89.83*** (0.000)	38.07*** (0.000)	86.10*** (0.000)	18.39*** (0.000)	31.96*** (0.000)	15.36*** (0.008)	20.2*** (0.001)	57.76*** (0.000)	81.3*** (0.000)	53.7*** (0.000)
Countries	13	19	21	11	4	28	17	15	9	6	32
Obser	62	91	101	52	22	134	78	75	43	32	153

	Liquid Liabilities(FdgdP)										
	English	French	Christ	Islam	N.Africa	SSAfrica	Low.I	Middle I	LMI	UMI	Africa
	Initial	0.779*** (0.001)	0.022 (0.860)	0.919*** (0.000)	0.054 (0.847)	-1.375 (0.443)	0.624*** (0.006)	0.406 (0.122)	0.366 (0.184)	0.342 (0.580)	-3.210 (0.161)
Constant	0.013 (0.732)	0.042** (0.048)	0.012 (0.472)	0.070 (0.160)	0.260 (0.214)	0.028 (0.182)	0.057* (0.091)	0.032 (0.217)	0.010 (0.724)	0.009 (0.878)	0.038* (0.088)
Trade	0.004*** (0.003)	0.002 (0.101)	0.006** (0.016)	0.004 (0.242)	0.000 (0.999)	0.005*** (0.000)	0.006** (0.035)	0.005** (0.015)	0.004 (0.245)	-0.007 (0.323)	0.004*** (0.001)
Inflation	-0.005** (0.046)	-0.004* (0.070)	-0.007*** (0.000)	0.001 (0.755)	0.018 (0.387)	-0.003 (0.104)	-0.004** (0.020)	0.001 (0.633)	-0.0002 (0.964)	0.004 (0.553)	-0.002 (0.178)
Pub. Invt	0.008 (0.676)	0.005 (0.401)	0.003 (0.705)	0.012 (0.480)	---	0.013 (0.217)	0.006 (0.646)	0.003 (0.709)	0.0003 (0.984)	-0.022 (0.445)	0.012 (0.145)
GDPg	-0.021 (0.266)	-0.01*** (0.000)	-0.016* (0.057)	-0.020* (0.084)	---	-0.01*** (0.000)	-0.015* (0.050)	-0.02*** (0.007)	-0.008 (0.725)	-0.01* (0.073)	-0.01*** (0.000)
2 nd Auto	0.353 (0.723)	-1.188 (0.234)	0.278 (0.780)	-1.354 (0.175)	-0.884 (0.376)	-0.435 (0.663)	-0.516 (0.605)	-0.415 (0.677)	-1.086 (0.277)	-0.686 (0.492)	-0.479 (0.631)
OIR	10.21 (0.964)	14.185 (0.820)	18.813 (0.534)	6.429 (0.996)	0.000 (1.000)	24.60 (0.217)	12.153 (0.910)	11.407 (0.935)	5.152 (0.999)	---	23.590 (0.260)
Wald	24.89*** (0.000)	33.42*** (0.000)	42.35*** (0.000)	11.329** (0.045)	46.01*** (0.000)	28.45*** (0.000)	9.594* (0.087)	25.4*** (0.000)	5.442 (0.364)	111*** (0.000)	25.8*** (0.000)
Countries	13	19	21	11	4	28	17	15	9	6	32
Obser	64	92	104	52	22	137	81	75	43	32	156

*** ** *: significance levels of 1%, 5% and 10% respectively. English: Common-Law. French: Civil-Law. Christ: Christians. N.Africa: North Africa. SSA: Sub-Saharan Africa. Low I: Low Income. Middle I: Middle Income. LMI: Lower Middle Income. UMI: Upper Middle Income. 2nd Auto: Second Order Autocorrelation test. OIR: Overidentifying Restrictions test. Obser: Observations. Pub.Invt: Public Investment. GDPg: GDP growth. Initial: lagged endogenous estimated coefficient.

Table 5 : Conditional convergence in financial efficiency

Financial Intermediation Efficiency											
Banking System Efficiency(BcBd)											
	English	French	Christ	Islam	N.Africa	SSAfrica	Low.I	Middle I	LMI	UMI	Africa
Initial	0.568 (0.130)	0.607*** (0.000)	0.521*** (0.000)	0.121 (0.753)	0.501 (0.440)	0.616*** (0.000)	0.531*** (0.000)	0.68*** (0.000)	-0.301 (0.375)	-0.906 (0.487)	0.64*** (0.000)
Constant	0.015 (0.440)	0.003 (0.818)	0.013 (0.384)	-0.059 (0.258)	0.014 (0.891)	0.004 (0.759)	0.003 (0.879)	0.018 (0.215)	-0.024 (0.434)	-0.120 (0.146)	0.002 (0.856)
Trade	-0.001 (0.575)	-0.0002 (0.875)	0.0006 (0.555)	-0.001 (0.605)	-0.007 (0.564)	0.0006 (0.726)	-0.002 (0.527)	-0.0002 (0.895)	-0.004*** (0.001)	-0.005 (0.431)	0.0003 (0.883)
Inflation	-0.001* (0.077)	-0.019* (0.078)	-0.002*** (0.000)	-0.008** (0.041)	-0.062 (0.185)	-0.002*** (0.000)	-0.002*** (0.035)	-0.005 (0.179)	-0.004*** (0.002)	0.006 (0.924)	-0.003** (0.018)
Pub. Invt	0.004 (0.823)	0.0008 (0.920)	-0.001 (0.895)	0.010 (0.629)	---	-0.003 (0.647)	-0.003 (0.799)	0.004 (0.598)	0.004 (0.494)	0.003 (0.867)	0.002 (0.744)
GDPg	0.004 (0.562)	0.008** (0.027)	0.004* (0.064)	0.011 (0.310)	---	0.002 (0.515)	-0.0008 (0.818)	0.01*** (0.000)	-0.013** (0.046)	0.011 (0.182)	0.004 (0.236)
2 nd Auto	-0.388 (0.697)	-0.051 (0.959)	-2.085* (0.037)	0.180 (0.856)	-0.670 (0.502)	-0.153 (0.878)	-0.059 (0.952)	-0.586 (0.557)	0.983 (0.325)	-0.421 (0.673)	-0.571 (0.567)
OIR	8.717 (0.986)	12.069 (0.913)	15.884 (0.723)	6.074 (0.998)	---	24.262 (0.231)	12.024 (0.915)	10.529 (0.957)	1.056 (1.00)	---	25.500 (0.183)
Wald	12.48** (0.028)	53.55*** (0.000)	29.52*** (0.000)	5.700 (0.336)	11.01** (0.011)	54.77*** (0.000)	33.33*** (0.000)	78.2*** (0.000)	36.52*** (0.000)	31.2*** (0.000)	93.1*** (0.000)
Countries	13	19	21	11	4	28	17	15	9	6	32
Obser	69	97	111	55	22	147	86	80	47	33	166

Financial System Efficiency(FcFd)											
	English	French	Christ	Islam	N.Africa	SSAfrica	Low.I	Middle I	LMI	UMI	Africa
Initial	0.500 (0.375)	0.403** (0.015)	0.425** (0.010)	0.260 (0.543)	-1.120 (0.361)	0.452*** (0.004)	0.427 (0.136)	0.362 (0.110)	0.300 (0.421)	-4.109 (0.447)	0.56*** (0.000)
Constant	0.016 (0.555)	-0.026 (0.213)	-0.001 (0.921)	-0.084 (0.156)	-0.194 (0.202)	-0.011 (0.498)	-0.031 (0.207)	-0.013 (0.696)	-0.022 (0.765)	-0.166 (0.215)	-0.008 (0.555)
Trade	-0.003 (0.451)	-0.004** (0.037)	-0.003** (0.010)	-0.000 (0.992)	0.005 (0.811)	-0.001 (0.176)	0.0003 (0.933)	-0.003 (0.243)	-0.005 (0.478)	0.002 (0.551)	-0.002** (0.026)
Inflation	-0.0004 (0.800)	-0.012 (0.130)	-0.0001 (0.919)	-0.017 (0.114)	0.003 (0.947)	-0.0009 (0.490)	-0.001 (0.583)	-0.008 (0.223)	-0.007** (0.016)	0.073 (0.654)	-0.001 (0.363)
Pub. Invt	0.004 (0.789)	0.0007 (0.960)	0.001 (0.916)	-0.001 (0.925)	---	0.005 (0.487)	0.009 (0.626)	0.011 (0.262)	0.022* (0.058)	-0.024 (0.529)	0.008 (0.321)
GDPg	-0.003 (0.744)	0.001 (0.776)	-0.001 (0.690)	0.008 (0.481)	---	-0.005 (0.106)	-0.004 (0.419)	-0.0007 (0.932)	0.003 (0.817)	-0.01** (0.017)	-0.003 (0.376)
2 nd Auto	0.025 (0.979)	0.453 (0.650)	-0.826 (0.408)	-1.240 (0.214)	-0.823 (0.410)	-0.167 (0.866)	-1.037 (0.299)	0.336 (0.736)	0.510 (0.609)	---	0.0002 (0.999)
OIR	10.184 (0.964)	15.283 (0.760)	16.541 (0.682)	4.795 (0.999)	0.000 (1.000)	17.412 (0.626)	14.577 (0.800)	9.764 (0.972)	6.297 (0.997)	0.115 (0.907)	17.992 (0.587)
Wald	1.794 (0.876)	101.0*** (0.000)	43.37*** (0.000)	6.867 (0.230)	2.863 (0.413)	55.98*** (0.000)	4.866 (0.432)	59.6*** (0.000)	12.53** (0.028)	48.7*** (0.000)	62.2*** (0.000)
Countries	13	19	21	11	4	28	17	15	9	6	32
Obser	64	92	104	52	22	137	81	75	43	32	156

***, **, *: significance levels of 1%, 5% and 10% respectively. English: Common-Law. French: Civil-Law. Christ: Christians. N.Africa: North Africa. SSA: Sub-Saharan Africa. Low I: Low Income. Middle I: Middle Income. LMI: Lower Middle Income. UMI: Upper Middle Income. 2nd Auto: Second Order Autocorrelation test. OIR: Overidentifying Restrictions test. Obser: Observations. Pub.Invt: Public Investment. GDPg: GDP growth. Initial: lagged endogenous estimated coefficient.

Table 6 : Conditional convergence in financial activity

	Financial Activity										
	Banking System Activity (Pcrb)										
	English	French	Christ	Islam	N.Africa	SSAfrica	Low.I	Middle I	LMI	UMI	Africa
Initial	0.174 (0.704)	0.807*** (0.000)	0.699*** (0.000)	0.627 (0.238)	-2.634 (0.386)	0.778*** (0.000)	0.76*** (0.000)	0.321 (0.271)	-0.158 (0.901)	0.965 (0.714)	0.79*** (0.000)
Constant	0.065* (0.070)	0.063*** (0.007)	0.052** (0.034)	0.017 (0.851)	0.232 (0.336)	0.050*** (0.002)	0.062* (0.085)	0.002 (0.955)	-0.047 (0.769)	0.253 (0.536)	0.04*** (0.004)
Trade	0.002 (0.586)	0.0005 (0.845)	0.002 (0.497)	-0.0009 (0.835)	-0.010 (0.727)	0.002 (0.352)	0.002 (0.542)	0.0004 (0.902)	-0.002 (0.762)	0.003 (0.559)	0.002 (0.368)
Inflation	-0.003* (0.071)	-0.021 (0.109)	-0.006*** (0.000)	-0.017 (0.399)	-0.026 (0.697)	-0.006*** (0.000)	-0.006*** (0.000)	-0.011 (0.260)	-0.007 (0.366)	-0.065 (0.490)	-0.007*** (0.001)
Pub. Invt	0.008 (0.604)	0.019 (0.222)	0.009 (0.517)	0.049 (0.221)	---	0.017 (0.185)	0.009 (0.687)	0.007 (0.406)	0.029 (0.106)	0.006 (0.873)	0.016 (0.242)
GDPg	-0.025 (0.253)	-0.008 (0.401)	-0.020*** (0.011)	0.008 (0.762)	---	-0.01*** (0.001)	-0.01** (0.038)	-0.016 (0.149)	-0.028 (0.373)	-0.02*** (0.000)	-0.01** (0.014)
2 nd Auto	-0.272 (0.785)	-1.346 (0.178)	-0.305 (0.760)	-1.116 (0.264)	-0.844 (0.398)	-0.646 (0.518)	-0.787 (0.430)	-1.110 (0.266)	-0.324 (0.745)	0.030 (0.975)	-1.197 (0.231)
OIR	9.019 (0.982)	15.472 (0.748)	19.734 (0.474)	7.994 (0.991)	0.000 (1.000)	26.141 (0.161)	11.066 (0.944)	10.581 (0.956)	6.494 (0.996)	0.000 (1.000)	27.339 (0.126)
Wald	10.41* (0.064)	78.39*** (0.000)	83.82*** (0.000)	34.32*** (0.000)	1.027 (0.794)	73.44*** (0.000)	35.50*** (0.000)	192*** (0.000)	16.74*** (0.005)	655*** (0.000)	79.5*** (0.000)
Countries	13	19	21	11	4	28	17	15	9	6	32
Obser	64	90	104	50	20	137	81	73	41	32	154

	Financial System Activity(Pcrbof)										
	English	French	Christ	Islam	N.Africa	SSAfrica	Low.I	Middle I	LMI	UMI	Africa
	Initial	0.233 (0.590)	0.546** (0.021)	0.500*** (0.001)	0.387 (0.505)	-0.825 (0.589)	0.582** (0.010)	0.55*** (0.000)	0.199 (0.393)	-0.285 (0.705)	0.395 (0.818)
Constant	0.063 (0.129)	0.021 (0.327)	0.042* (0.072)	-0.002 (0.975)	0.118 (0.490)	0.036** (0.028)	0.044 (0.165)	0.001 (0.974)	-0.046 (0.449)	0.260 (0.496)	0.037** (0.041)
Trade	0.003 (0.486)	0.002 (0.511)	0.001 (0.483)	0.002 (0.750)	0.003 (0.865)	0.001 (0.534)	0.003 (0.462)	0.001 (0.729)	-0.001 (0.755)	0.001 (0.708)	0.002 (0.394)
Inflation	-0.003** (0.015)	-0.014 (0.252)	-0.006*** (0.000)	-0.013 (0.366)	0.006 (0.921)	-0.005*** (0.001)	-0.005*** (0.006)	-0.009 (0.190)	-0.004 (0.415)	-0.044 (0.431)	-0.007*** (0.001)
Pub. Invt	0.012 (0.545)	0.015 (0.224)	0.003 (0.815)	0.019 (0.713)	---	0.012 (0.413)	0.014 (0.515)	0.009 (0.231)	0.034* (0.065)	-0.007 (0.794)	0.014 (0.333)
GDPg	-0.025 (0.300)	-0.015* (0.062)	-0.020*** (0.002)	-0.005 (0.581)	---	-0.01*** (0.008)	-0.015* (0.092)	-0.017* (0.065)	-0.019 (0.472)	-0.02*** (0.000)	-0.017** (0.013)
2 nd Auto	-0.056 (0.955)	-0.608 (0.543)	0.309 (0.756)	-1.245 (0.213)	-0.861 (0.388)	-0.308 (0.758)	-0.203 (0.839)	-0.856 (0.392)	-0.936 (0.349)	0.459 (0.645)	-0.456 (0.647)
OIR	9.918 (0.969)	15.202 (0.764)	18.439 (0.558)	8.826 (0.976)	0.000 (1.000)	25.180 (0.194)	15.137 (0.768)	10.923 (0.948)	3.241 (1.000)	---	26.781 (0.141)
Wald	12.93** (0.024)	53.84*** (0.000)	93.73*** (0.000)	48.0*** (0.000)	1.085 (0.780)	64.0*** (0.000)	31.7*** (0.000)	183*** (0.000)	10.69* (0.057)	494*** (0.000)	64.7*** (0.000)
Countries	13	19	21	11	4	28	17	15	9	6	32
Obser	64	92	104	52	24	137	81	75	43	36	156

***, **, *: significance levels of 1%, 5% and 10% respectively. English: Common-Law. French: Civil-Law. Christ: Christians. N.Africa: North Africa. SSA: Sub-Saharan Africa. Low I: Low Income. Middle I: Middle Income. LMI: Lower Middle Income. UMI: Upper Middle Income. 2nd Auto: Second Order Autocorrelation test. OIR: Overidentifying Restrictions test. Obser: Observations. Pub.Invt: Public Investment. GDPg: GDP growth. Initial: lagged endogenous estimated coefficient.

Table 7: Conditional convergence in financial size

	Financial Size(Dbacba)										
	English	French	Christ	Islam	N.Africa	SSAfrica	Low.I	Middle I	LMI	UMI	Africa
Initial	0.529*** (0.000)	0.597*** (0.001)	0.659*** (0.000)	0.596*** (0.000)	-1.116 (0.345)	0.609*** (0.000)	0.616*** (0.000)	0.50*** (0.000)	0.467*** (0.000)	0.332 (0.562)	0.59*** (0.000)
Constant	0.056*** (0.000)	0.013 (0.155)	0.034*** (0.008)	0.008 (0.741)	0.109 (0.110)	0.024* (0.078)	0.042*** (0.003)	0.013 (0.525)	0.016 (0.388)	0.090 (0.220)	0.022** (0.028)
Trade	0.0009 (0.916)	0.006*** (0.005)	0.005** (0.019)	0.0001 (0.969)	-0.002 (0.697)	0.007** (0.047)	0.0001 (0.959)	0.011** (0.037)	0.003 (0.672)	0.008 (0.146)	0.006** (0.050)
Inflation	-0.007* (0.061)	-0.002 (0.467)	-0.003** (0.036)	-0.03*** (0.000)	-0.009** (0.048)	-0.008* (0.087)	-0.005** (0.027)	-0.015 (0.165)	-0.012 (0.391)	0.003 (0.734)	-0.008* (0.094)
Pub. Invt	-0.021 (0.131)	0.004 (0.647)	-0.015* (0.068)	-0.016 (0.464)	---	-0.014 (0.238)	0.0002 (0.985)	-0.012 (0.401)	-0.000 (0.986)	-0.007 (0.490)	-0.009 (0.519)
GDPg	0.014 (0.480)	0.002 (0.635)	0.003 (0.544)	0.018** (0.028)	---	0.002 (0.716)	0.012 (0.236)	-0.009 (0.426)	-0.001 (0.901)	-0.005 (0.300)	0.004 (0.536)
2 nd Auto	-1.087 (0.276)	-2.062*** (0.039)	-1.462 (0.143)	-1.633 (0.102)	0.319 (0.749)	-1.229 (0.218)	-1.916* (0.055)	-0.658 (0.510)	-0.717 (0.473)	-0.748 (0.454)	-1.224 (0.220)
OIR	6.100 (0.998)	15.128 (0.769)	18.234 (0.572)	4.069 (0.999)	---	22.521 (0.312)	13.042 (0.875)	9.291 (0.979)	2.506 (1.000)	0.000 (1.000)	21.479 (0.369)
Wald	62.27*** (0.000)	20.07*** (0.001)	33.46*** (0.000)	373.9*** (0.000)	10.51** (0.014)	303.1*** (0.000)	92.59*** (0.000)	335*** (0.000)	76.95*** (0.000)	5882*** (0.000)	291*** (0.000)
Countries	13	19	21	11	4	28	17	15	9	6	32
Obser	62	96	101	57	24	137	83	75	49	26	158

***, **, *: significance levels of 1%, 5% and 10% respectively. English: Common-Law. French: Civil-Law. Christ: Christians. N.Africa: North Africa. SSA: Sub-Saharan Africa. Low I: Low Income. Middle I: Middle Income. LMI: Lower Middle Income. UMI: Upper Middle Income. 2nd Auto: Second Order Autocorrelation test. OIR: Overidentifying Restrictions test. Obser: Observations. Pub.Invt: Public Investment. GDPg: GDP growth. Initial: lagged endogenous estimated coefficient.

4.4 Discussion and policy implications

Before engaging in the discussion of results, it is imperative at the outset to underline the economic intuition motivating absolute and conditional convergence in financial intermediary development within African homogenous settings. The motivations for convergence in financial markets has premises in the literature on banking sector interdependence and portfolio diversification(Grubel,1968; Levy & Sarnat, 1970). In the current debate on financial integration in Africa, converged financial intermediary markets are relatively more efficient in comparison to divergent ones. Integrated banking markets award investors the opportunity to efficiently allocate capital(Chen et al., 2002), lower cost of capital for firms and lower transaction cost for investors(Kim et al.,2005). An integrated banking market in Africa will have the positive rewards to financial stability as it will minimize the probability of asymmetric shocks(Umutlu et al., 2010). Integration as we have observed will reduced the risk of cross-

border financial contagion(Beine et al.,2010) and ameliorate the capacity of economies to absorb shocks(Yu et al., 2010). The convergence of African financial intermediary dynamics reflect the level of arbitrage activity. It implies there is a common force such as arbitrage activity that attracts the markets together. Therefore, the potential for making above normal profits through international diversification will be limited as supernormal profits are arbitrated away(Von Furstenberg & Jeon, 1989). The need for convergence in the African banking sector draws on the tenets of arbitrage and the hypothesis proffered by the portfolio theory to devise a framework that inspires convergence of stock markets. Our findings are redeeming as we expect barriers or potential barriers generating country risk and exchange risk premiums among countries to reduce(or be mitigated) and the consequence of this is similar yields for financial assets of similar risk and liquidity regardless of locality and nationality(Von Furstenberg & Jeon, 1989).

4.4.1 Absolute convergence

Unconditional convergence proceeds from factors such as monetary unions and the adoption of a single currency, among others(Nayaran et al., 2011). Absolute convergence in financial intermediary dynamics implies countries share the same fundamental characteristics with respect to the banking market such that the only difference across countries is in initial levels of financial intermediary market development. The broad similarity in absolute convergence is due to common fundamental characteristics largely credited to policies of structural adjustment imposed by the IMF and World Bank on African countries.

Since the mid 1980s many countries in Africa have undertaken structural reform programs engineered by the IMF which include financial liberalization for the most part. The objective of such reforms has been to reduce barriers to trade and increase foreign investment. Common currency union arrangements in Africa are gaining momentum with the East African

Community(EAC) and Economic Community of West African States(ECOWAS) planning to launch single currencies in 2012 and by 2020 respectively. These emerging monetary unions are also the result of common structural reforms imposed by the IMF. Capital control and control on exchange rate transactions are being substantially eased as due dates for the potential monetary unions draw nigh. With advances in computer and communication technologies, the African banking industry is increasingly becoming synchronized; implying the rate at which one bank adjusts when there is a shock in another is growing. All the factors elucidated above have resulted in absolute convergence.

4.4.2 Conditional convergence

Borrowing from the economic growth literature(Barro, 1991), conditional convergence elicits convergence whereby one's long-term steady state(equilibrium) is contingent on the different structural and institutional characteristics of its economy or market(Narayan et al., 2011). Still according to Narayan et al.(2011), when financial intermediary markets across countries differ in terms of factors relating to the performance of their markets, there could be conditional convergence. Thus in our analysis the convergence in dynamics of the banking sector is contingent on variables which we have observed and empirically tested(or modeled); implying the findings of this paper are conditional on the macro economic variables we have used. It is worth noting that owing to constraints in data availability and degrees of freedom imperative for the OIR test, we conditioned our analysis on four macroeconomic variables: consistent with the convergence literature(Prichett,1997; Bruno et al.,2011; Narayan et al., 2011). The natural inference from the conditioning information set we have used is that countries share the same structural characteristics in trade(openness), GDP growth, public investment and inflation. Given the homogenous nature of panels, it could be established that similarities in institutional factors

like government quality(control of corruption, rule of law, regulation quality, political stability, voice and accountability...etc) have also eased conditional convergence.

A broader interpretation of the findings suggests that, countries with small-sized financial intermediary depth, efficiency, activity and size are catching-up with countries with large-sized financial intermediary depth, efficiency, activity and size respectively. As a policy implication African governments should not relent in structural and institutional reforms.

5. Concluding remarks

In the first critical assessment of convergence in financial development in the African continent, we find overwhelming support for integration. The empirical evidence is premised on 11 homogenous panels based on regions(Sub-Saharan and North Africa), income-levels(low, middle, lower-middle and upper-middle), legal-origins(English common-law and French civil-law) and religious dominations(Christianity and Islam). We have examined convergence in financial intermediary dynamics of depth, efficiency, activity and size. A broader interpretation of findings suggests that; countries with small-sized financial intermediary depth, efficiency, activity and size are catching-up with countries with large-sized financial intermediary depth, efficiency, activity and size respectively. As a policy implication African governments should not relent in structural and institutional reforms.

Appendices

Appendix 1: Summary statistics

		Mean	S.D	Minimum	Maximum	Observations
Financial	Money Supply	0.306	0.194	0.046	1.141	248
Depth	Liquid Liabilities	0.234	0.180	0.026	0.948	250
Financial	Banking Efficiency	0.854	0.490	0.086	3.671	268
Efficiency	Financial Efficiency	0.890	0.482	0.181	2.606	250
Financial	Banking Activity	0.180	0.161	0.015	0.869	249
Activity	Financial Activity	0.205	0.221	0.015	1.739	250
Fin. Size	Financial Size	0.701	0.240	0.021	1.609	260
Control	Openness(Trade)	68.224	37.119	10.079	224.19	263
Variables	Inflation	11.979	22.802	-100.00	183.31	256
	Public Investment	7.695	4.132	0.000	27.523	233
	GDP growth	3.976	7.402	-16.740	71.188	266

S.D: Standard Deviation. GDP: Gross Domestic Product.

Appendix 2: Correlation analysis

Financial Depth		Financial Efficiency		Financial Activity		Fin. Size	Control Variables				
M2	Fdgdg	BcBd	FcFd	PrCb	PrCbOf	Dbacba	Trade	Inflation	PubInv.	GDPg	
1.000	0.971	-0.116	-0.081	0.737	0.606	0.409	0.165	-0.119	0.152	-0.122	M2
	1.000	-0.119	-0.044	0.791	0.697	0.469	0.216	-0.127	0.148	-0.113	Fdgdg
		1.000	0.873	0.376	0.316	0.251	-0.038	-0.218	-0.075	-0.022	BcBd
			1.000	0.469	0.544	0.260	-0.103	-0.218	-0.090	-0.015	FcFd
				1.000	0.925	0.532	0.153	-0.184	0.040	-0.097	PrCb
					1.000	0.463	0.064	-0.148	-0.016	-0.101	PrCbOf
						1.000	0.413	-0.423	0.128	0.024	Dbacba
							1.000	-0.165	0.299	0.301	Trade
								1.000	-0.152	-0.058	Inflation
									1.000	0.001	PubInv.
										1.000	GDPg

M2 :Money Supply. Fdgdg : Liquid liabilities. BcBd : Bank credit on Bank deposit. FcFd: Financial credit on Financial deposit. PrCb:Private domestic credit by deposit banks. PrCbOf: Private domestic credit by deposit banks and other financial institutions. Dbacba: Deposit bank assets on deposit bank assets plus central bank assets. PubInv.: Public Investment. GDPg: GDP growth.

Appendix 3: Variable definitions

Variables	Signs	Variable definitions	Sources
Inflation	Infl.	Consumer Prices (Annual %)	World Bank(WDI)
Openness	Trade	Imports(of goods and services) plus Exports(of goods and services) on GDP	World Bank(WDI)
Public Investment	PubI	Gross Public Investment(% of GDP)	World Bank(WDI)
Growth of GDP	GDPg	Average annual GDP growth rate	World Bank(WDI)
Economic financial depth(Money Supply)	M2	Monetary Base plus demand, saving and time deposits(% of GDP)	World Bank(FDSD)
Financial system depth(Liquid liabilities)	Fdgdp	Financial system deposits(% of GDP)	World Bank(FDSD)
Banking system allocation efficiency	BcBd	Bank credit on Bank deposits	World Bank(FDSD)
Financial system allocation efficiency	FcFd	Financial system credit on Financial system deposits	World Bank(FDSD)
Banking system activity	Pcrb	Private credit by deposit banks (% of GDP)	World Bank(FDSD)
Financial system activity	Perbof	Private credit by deposit banks and other financial institutions(% of GDP)	World Bank(FDSD)
Financial size	Dbacba	Deposit bank assets on Central banks assets plus deposit bank assets	World Bank(FDSD)

M2: Money Supply. Fdgdp: Liquid liabilities. BcBd: Bank credit on Bank deposits. FcFd: Financial system credit on Financial system deposits. Pcrb: Private domestic credit by deposit banks. Perbof: Private domestic credit by deposit banks and other financial institutions. Dbacba: Deposit bank assets on Central bank assets plus deposit bank assets. WDI: World Development Indicators. FDSD: Financial Development and Structure Database.

Appendix 4: Presentation of countries

Group	Group category	Countries	Num
Legal origin	English Common-Law	Botswana, The Gambia, Ghana, Kenya, Lesotho, Malawi, Mauritius, Nigeria, Sierra Leone, South Africa, Sudan, Swaziland, Uganda, Zambia, Tanzania.	15
	French Civil-Law	Algeria, Burkina Faso, Burundi, Cameroon, Central African Republic, Chad, Ivory Coast, Egypt, Equatorial Guinea, Ethiopia, Gabon, Madagascar, Mali, Morocco, Niger, Rwanda, Senegal, Togo, Tunisia.	19
Religions	Christianity	Botswana, Burundi, Cameroon, Central African Republic, Ivory Coast, Equatorial Guinea, Ethiopia, Gabon, Ghana, Kenya, Lesotho, Madagascar, Malawi, Mauritius, Rwanda, South Africa, Swaziland, Togo, Uganda, Zambia, Tanzania.	21
	Islam	Algeria, Burkina Faso, Chad, Egypt, The Gambia, Mali, Morocco, Niger, Nigeria, Senegal, Sierra Leone, Sudan, Tunisia.	13
Regions	Sub-Saharan Africa	Botswana, The Gambia, Ghana, Kenya, Lesotho, Malawi, Mauritius, Nigeria, Sierra Leone, South Africa, Sudan, Swaziland, Uganda, Zambia, Tanzania, Burkina Faso, Burundi, Cameroon, Central African Republic, Chad, Ivory Coast, Equatorial Guinea, Ethiopia, Gabon, Madagascar, Mali, Niger, Rwanda, Senegal, Togo.	30
	North Africa	Algeria, Egypt, Morocco, Tunisia.	4
Income Levels	Low Income	Burkina Faso, Burundi, Central African Republic, Chad, Ethiopia, The Gambia, Ghana, Kenya, Madagascar, Malawi, Mali, Niger, Rwanda, Sierra Leone, Togo, Uganda, Zambia, Tanzania.	18
	Middle Income	Algeria, Botswana, Cameroon, Ivory Coast, Egypt, Equatorial Guinea, Gabon, Lesotho, Mauritius, Morocco, Nigeria, Senegal, South Africa, Sudan, Swaziland, Tunisia.	16
	Lower Middle Income	Cameroon, Ivory Coast, Egypt, Lesotho, Morocco, Nigeria, Senegal, Sudan, Swaziland, Tunisia.	10
	Upper Middle Income	Algeria, Botswana, Equatorial Guinea, Gabon, Mauritius, South Africa.	6

Num: Number of cross sections(countries)

References

- Alagidede, P.,(2008). “African Stock Market Integration: Implications for Portfolio Diversification and International Risk Sharing”, *Proceedings of the African Economic Conferences* 2008.
- Allen, F., & Gale, D.,(2000). *Comparing Financial Systems*. The MIT Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Allen, F., & Gale, D., (2009). *Comparing Financial Systems*. Cambridge, Mass and London, MIT Press.
- Arellano, M., & Bond, S., (1991). “Some tests of specification for panel data: Monte Carlo evidence and an application to employment equations”. *The Review of Economic Studies*, 58, pp. 277-297.
- Asongu, S. A., (2011a), “Law, finance, economic growth and welfare: why does legal origin matter?”, *MPRA* No. 33868.
- Asongu, S. A., (2011b), “Law and finance in Africa”, *MPRA* No. 34080.
- Asongu, S. A., (2011c), “Finance and democracy in Africa”, *MPRA* No. 35500.
- Asongu, S. A., (2011d), “Why do French civil-law countries have higher levels of financial efficiency”, *MPRA* No. 33950.
- Asongu, S. A., (2011e), “New financial intermediary development indicators for developing countries”, *MPRA* No. 30921.
- Balcerowicz, L., & Fischer, S.,(2006). *Living standards and the wealth of nations. Successes and failures in real convergence*. The MIT Press.

Barro, R., (1991). “Economic Growth in a Cross Section of Countries”. *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 196 (2/May): 407–443.

Baltagi, B.H., & Demetriades, P.,(2011). “Introduction to the special issue on new perspectives on finance and development”, *Empirical Economics*, 41, pp.1-5.

Beine, M., Cosma, A., & Vermeulen, R.,(2010).“The dark side of global integration: increasing tail dependence“, *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 34, pp. 184-192.

Bessler, D.A., & Yang, J., (2003).“The structure of interdependence in international stock markets”, *Journal of International Money and Finance*, 22, pp.261-287.

Bondt, G., (2000). “Financial Structure and Monetary Transmission in Europe” . Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.

Bruno, G., De Bonis, R., & Silvestrini, A., (2011). “Do financial systems converge? New evidence from financial assets in OECD countries”, *Journal of Comparative Economics*; Forthcoming.

Calcagnini, G., Farabullini, F., Hester, D.D., (2000). “Financial Convergence in the European Monetary Union?” *SSRI Working Paper Series 2022*, University of Wisconsin, Madison.

Casu, B., & Girardone, C.,(2010). “Integration and efficiency convergence in the EU banking”, *Omega*, 38, pp.260-267.

Chen, G-M., Firth, M., & Rui, O.M.,(2002).“Stock market linkages: evidence from Latin America”, *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 26, pp.1113-1141.

Costantini, M., & Lupi, C., (2005). “Stochastic Convergence among European Economies”. *Economics Bulletin*, 3(38), pp.1-17.

Fung, M.K., (2009). “Financial development and economic growth: convergence or divergence?”, *Journal of International Money and Finance*, 28, pp.56-67.

Giannetti, M., Guiso, L., Jappelli, T., Padula, M., & Pagano, M.,(2002). “ Financial Market Integration, Corporate Financial and Economic Growth”, *European Commission Economic Papers* No. 179, Brussels.

Grubel, H., (1968). “Internationally diversified portfolio: welfare gains in capital flows”, *American Economic Review*, 58, pp. 89-94.

Holzl, W.,(2006). “Convergence of financial system: towards an evolutionary perspective”, *Journal of Institutional Economics*, 2, pp. 67-90.

International Monetary Fund (2006), “How do financial systems affect economic cycles?”, *World Economic Outlook*, September(Chapter 4).

Islam, N.,(1995). “Growth Empirics: A Panel Data Approach”, *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 110, (4), pp. 1127-1170.

Kim, S. J., Moshirian, F., & Wu, E., (2005). “Evolution of international stock and bond market integration: influence of the European Monetary Union”, *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 30, pp. 1507-1534.

La Porta, R., Lopez-de-Silanes, F., Shleifer, A., & Vishny, R.,(1998). “Law and finance”, *Journal of Political Economy*, 106, pp.1113-1155.

Levine, R., (2004). “Finance and Growth: Theory and Evidence”. *NBER Working Paper* 10766.

Levy, H., & Sarnat, M., (1970). “International diversification of investment portfolios”, *American Economic Review*, 60, pp.668-675.

Narayan, P.K., Mishra, S., & Narayan, S., (2011). “Do market capitalization and stocks traded converge? New global evidence”, *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 35, pp.2771-2781.

North, D.C.,(1990). *Institutions, Institutional Change and Economic Performance*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.

North, D.C.,(1994). “Economic performance through time”, *American Economic Review*, 84, pp.359-368.

Rajan, R., & Zingales, L.,(2003). “Banks and Markets: The Changing Character of European Finance”, *NBER Working Paper No. 9595*.

Rodrik, D.,(2006)., “Goodbye Washington consensus. Hello Washington confusion? A review of the World Bank’s economic growth in the 1990s: Learning from a decade of reform”, *Journal of Economic Literature*, 44, pp.973-987.

Rodrik, D., (2011). “The Future of Economic Convergence”, *NBER Working Paper No. 17400*.

Scholtens, B., & Naaborg, I.,(2005). “Convergence of Financial Intermediary Activities within Europe: A comparison of banks’ balance sheets in the EU and the New E.U Member States”, Department of Finance, University of Groningen.

Shleifer, A., (2009). “ The age of Milton Friedman”, *Journal of Economic Literature*, 47, pp.123-135.

Prichett, L., (1997). “Divergence, big time”, *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 11, pp.3-17.

Umutlu, M., Akdeniz, L., & Altag-Salih, A.,(2010).“The degree of financial liberalisation and aggregated stock-return volatility in emerging markets”, *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 34(3), pp.509-521.

Von Furstenberg, G.M., & Jeon, B.N.,(1989).“International stock price movements: links and messages”, *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*, 1, pp.125-179.

Weill, L.,(2009). “Convergence in banking efficiency across European countries”, *International Financial Markets, Institutions and Money*, 19, pp.818-833.

Yu, I-W., Fung, K-P., & Tam, C-S.,(2010). “Assessing the financial market integration in Asia-equity markets”, *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 34, pp.2874-2885.