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Investigating the Business Cycle Properties of Tourist Flows to Barbados

Abstract
This paper evaluates whether the tourism cycles of Barbados can be regarded as a direct consequence of business cycles of the UK, US, Canada and Barbados. The cyclical components of the series are extracted using the structural time series framework by Harvey, 1989, and the interrelations between the variables are evaluated using innovation accounting. The variance decompositions suggests that shocks to the source country business cycle series can explain up to 25 percent of the future variation of the Barbadian tourism cycle. Shocks to the Barbadian business cycle only seem to significantly affect the Canadian tourist cycle. This implies that for tourist arrivals from the US and UK are more influenced by economic developments in their respective home countries, rather than those of Barbados. Finally, Granger-causality tests indicate that past values of the source country business cycles can help better predict present values tourist arrivals to Barbados, while past values of the Barbadian cycle only Granger-cause the Canadian tourist cycle. An interesting observation is that there appears to be some delay in the reaction of the tourism cycle to the business cycles. Thus, policy makers should take advantage of the delay between the two cycles, and adopt some form of countercyclical policy to soften the impact of negative income shocks in the UK, US or Canada on the Barbadian economy.
1. Introduction

During the last few decades, Barbados has witnessed unprecedented growth in its service industry, with its more traditional sectors (agriculture and manufacturing) being subject to decline or stagnation. Estimates from the World Bank’s World Development Indicators database suggest that Barbados’ services sector rose from 59 percent of GDP in 1960 to about 79 percent of GDP in 2009. Tourism is the country’s largest service-based industry and as such, has been largely responsible for the service sector’s growth.

Since the 1980s, tourism has been the main thrust of the Government’s developmental strategy. As noted by Lorde et al (2011), the main policy tools by successive Governments to develop the various tourism sectors have been favorable incentives and policies geared towards promoting their competitiveness and sustainability. These incentives usually take the form of tax concessions for a period of time and include the construction of hotels and duty free imports of some supplies and materials. The Government has also invested directly into the tourism industry through marketing, investment in tourism infrastructure and policy initiatives which have allowed investors to reduce the costs of inputs into the industry.

The push towards tourism as a means of economic development is not surprising. First, Barbados holds a natural comparative advantage for the development of its tourism industry: temperatures vary between 20ºC and 33ºC; there is an abundance of sunshine year-round; and, the island is surrounded by soft, warm, white sand beaches. But most importantly, Barbados – like most small island developing states – lacks the abundance of natural resources to competitively develop other industries or competitively engage in international trade. Thus, tourism has emerged as the main impetus of growth and a major source of foreign exchange. In fact, recent work by Lorde et al. (2010) suggests that with tourism receipts accounting for about 50 per cent of total exports, Barbados relies on the earnings from tourism to maintain somewhat sustainable current account deficits, Moreover, tourism satellite accounting by the World Travel and Tourism Council estimates that tourism’s total contribution to Barbados’ employment and gross domestic product is above 45 per cent. The impact of tourism on the Barbadian economy is therefore profound and extensive, and so, unexpected deviations from trends can have serious macroeconomic repercussions for the island.

Like most macroeconomic variables, tourist arrivals sometimes deviate significantly from their long-term trend. These deviations are known in the literature as the cycle of the series. Figure 1 plots arrivals from Barbados’ main tourist markets – UK, US and Canada – as well as their long-term trend. It is clear from the plots that each series display several deviations from its growth path. This raises the question, what are the driving forces behind the cyclical behavior of tourist arrivals?

To date, most studies do not focus explicitly on the tourism cycle, though several studies have long recognized the presence of cyclical patterns in tourism demand in the literature (see Gonzalez and Moral, 1996; Greenidge, 2001;). The cyclical relationship between tourism and the income of the source country have been implicitly accounted for in tourism demand models. Although these papers provide valuable information about tourism, they shed little light on the industry’s cyclical characteristics. This largely stems from the fact that the estimated coefficients from these series may be confounded by structural shifts in the economy, or general trends in the series. Hence, in order to evaluate cyclical properties of tourist arrivals to Barbados, all series used in this paper has been de-trended, so that the underlying signal (i.e. cyclical properties) can be evaluated.
Among the few papers which look at the synchronization between tourism demand cycles and business cycles are studies by Gouveia and Rodrigues (2005) and Gizzardi and Mazzocchi (2010). Gouveia and Rodrigues (2005) found that there a time lag between foreign tourism stays in Algrave hotels and the industrial production index of the countries of origin. Using quarterly data on overnight stays in Italian hotels, both domestic and inbound, Gizzardi and Mazzocchi (2010) find that cycles in tourism are mainly determined by the delayed effects of the overall business cycle. The goal of this paper is to add to the sparse body of literature. The authors seek to determine factors influencing the cyclicality of Barbados’ tourism demand – particularly, the cycles of arrivals from the UK, US and Canada. Like previous studies, we test whether or not the tourism cycle can be regarded as a direct consequence of business cycles in the source country. But, the novelty of this paper lies in the fact that the authors also investigate whether business cycles in Barbados (the destination country) also impact the tourism cycle. While it is generally recognised that tourism is the main impetus for growth in Barbados (see Jackman, 2011), very few studies have investigated the growth-led tourism hypothesis – i.e. the possibility that economic activity in Barbados is capable of stimulating tourism activity. Thus, this study fills this gap by evaluating the cyclical relationships both the source country income and Barbados’ income. The rest of this paper is outlined as follows: Section 2 provides some stylised facts, Section 3 describes the dataset, Section 4 presents the findings, and finally, Section 5 concludes.

2. Stylised Facts
Prior to the 1950s, the economic fortunes of Barbados were closely tied to its agriculture industry – mainly sugar. But as noted by Worrell et al (2011), during the 1950s and 1960s, tourism began to emerge as a major economic activity. At the same time, several tourism related organisations began to surface: the Barbados Hotel Association (now the Barbados Hotel and Tourism Association) was set up in 1952; the Barbados Development Board was established in 1957 and a tourist board (now the Barbados Tourism Authority) surfaced in 1958. These establishments aided in further boosting tourism through industrial development, hotel expansion and intense tourism marketing campaigns. But, it wasn’t until the post-independence era that tourism really began to take off. As shown in Figure 2, total long-stay arrivals to Barbados moved from a mere 79,104 in 1966 to reach 201,349 in 1972. Thus, arrivals more than doubled in a less than ten years and with the exception of a few dips (early 80’s, early 90’s, 2001 and 2009), tourism has generally continued on a steady growth path of about 2.5 percent per annum.

As arrivals grew, so did tourism’s economic importance to Barbados. As shown in Table 1, tourism accounts for marked shares of GDP, employment and export earnings. More than this, tourism also has a chain of possible linkages with other economic sectors which enhances its role in the economy. In particular, tourism can boost other sectors of the economy, such as the agricultural, construction, transport, communications, entertainment and distribution sectors which can help to service the tourism industry. In fact, in 2011, the World Trade and Travel Council ranked Barbados among the top ten most tourism dependent states in the world.

Given the importance of tourism to Barbados, an understanding of the factors influencing tourism activity lies at the center stage of macroeconomic policy. In line with the academic literature, the fluctuations in the incomes of tourists are viewed as one of the most important determinants of tourism demand. Economic theory posits that, subject to budgetary constraints, tourists choose to purchase particular tourism products/services from among a set of all available such products/services to maximize their utility (Song and Witt, 2000). As such, changes in
income greatly affect the demand for tourism. Song et al note that most studies on tourism demand generally report income elasticities greater than one. With respect to studies on Barbados, Greendige (2001) reports that the income elasticity of demand from the UK, US and Canadian markets are 1.512, 2.268 and 3.134 percent, respectively. These high elasticities underscore how detrimental external shocks could be to the tourism sector. Against this backdrop, the aforementioned decline in arrivals experienced during the early 80’s, early 90’s, 2001 and 2009 are by no means surprising.

Notwithstanding the importance of source country income on tourism demand, a recent strand of the literature suggests that higher growth in the destination might also be the cause of higher levels of tourism (Eugenio-Martin et al, 2008). For instance, for the case of Korea, Oh (2005) find evidence of a causal relationship from economic growth to tourism i.e. the existence of the “supply-side” or “economic-growth driven tourism” hypothesis. Similar results were also attained Narayan (2004) for Fiji; Dritsakis (2004) for Greece; Durbarry (2004) for Mauritius; Kim et al. (2006) – Taiwan; and, Louca (2006) – Cyprus.

But, why would economic growth in the destination country boost tourist arrivals? Eugenio-Martin et al (2008) note that there are several variables which contribute to a positive causal relationship between economic growth and tourism. In particular, these variables tend to boost arrivals, and also boost growth. These include:

- Physical Capital such as roads and infrastructure - many tourists expect a minimum level of infrastructure as part of their experience. In addition, the provision of infrastructure can be key in attracting local investment or foreign direct investment in the form of hotels.
- Human Capital – Employees in tourism activities require a range of skills, for instance, languages, catering skills, communication skills, the ability to use software, etc. Education and training are the main means of skill acquisition.
- The degree of openness – Increasing openness to foreign trade means introducing new technology and innovations into the economy. In addition, as a country opens its frontiers and reaches new markets, there is an increase in business travel, which is often a particularly lucrative form of tourism.
- Political Stability – generally, tourists prefer destinations that are politically stable.

Taken together, most of the above factors boost economic growth, but may also contribute to higher tourist arrivals. Thus, it is possible that to see a relationship between economic growth in Barbados may influence its demand for tourism.

In this study, the authors focus on the impact of business cycles (domestic and abroad) on tourism demand in Barbados. The business cycle factor has only been implicitly accounted for in tourism demand models (see Dalrymple et al, 1997; Greenidge, 2001; Worrell et al, 2011) and unfortunately, can provide little insight on the evolution and cyclical behavior of tourism demand in Barbados. As such, the study fills this gap by explicitly modeling of the cyclical components of tourism. Figure 3 plots the tourism cycles for arrivals US, UK and Canada along with the business cycles of the respective countries and that of Barbados. The cycles are extracted using the structural time series framework by Harvey, 1989. As would be expected, there seems to be general co-movement between the tourist cycles and the business cycles in the source countries. Particularly, with the exception of Canada over the period 2005-2010, the phases of the tourist cycles seem to be characterized by developments in the source country cycles. There also appears to be strong co-movement between the Barbadian business cycle and the tourist cycles. However, this correlation may be due to tourism causing the Barbados business cycle and not the
other way around. Of course, more robust tests (Section 4) will determine the direction of the relationship.

3. Data
This study employs annual data over the 1966 to 2009 time period. Data on arrivals to Barbados from the US, UK and Canada are taken from the Barbados Statistical Service. Observations on real GDP for Barbados, US, UK and Canada are obtained from the World Bank World Development indicators and IMF’s International Financial Statistics online database. Drawing on the previous literature on tourism demand, oil prices (a proxy for transportation costs) and the relative destination price\(^1\) are employed as control variables. A-priori, both of these variables are expected to be negatively correlated with the tourism cycle.

3.1 Obtaining the Cyclical Components
While a host of methods have been proposed for de-trending macroeconomic variables, the Hodrick-Prescott (HP) filter is by far the most popular in literature. The HP filter is used to obtain a smoothed estimate of the long-term trend component of a series. Once the trend is estimated, the remaining series forms the cyclical component of the series. However, the HP approach to business cycles has been criticized by several authors. For instance, Cogley and Nason (1995) argues that the HP filter can generate business cycle dynamics even if none are present in the original data. Furthermore, the HP filtered data can exhibit periodicity and co-movement over business cycle horizons even if none are present in the input series. In this study, these issues are avoided by adopting an alternative approach to the HP filter.

To extract the cyclical components of each of series, the basic or univariate structural time series framework of Harvey (1989) is employed. Unlike classical decompositions of time series data, where the parameters of the various time series components are fixed, structural time series models allow the coefficients to change over time. A structural time series model for annual observations may be written as:

\[
y_t = \mu_t + \psi_t + \epsilon_t \quad (1)
\]

where \(\mu_t\) is the trend, \(\psi_t\) is the cycle and \(\epsilon_t\) is the irregular.

The trend component is specified as

\[
\mu_t = \mu_{t-1} + \beta_t + \eta_t, \quad \eta_t \sim NID(0, \sigma^2_\eta) \quad (2)
\]

\[
\beta_t = \beta_{t-1} + \zeta_t, \quad \zeta_t \sim NID(0, \sigma^2_\zeta) \quad (3)
\]

Here, \(\mu_t\) is the level, \(\beta_t\) the slope, \(\eta_t\) and \(\zeta_t\) are the level and slope disturbances respectively, and are mutually uncorrelated. \(\eta_t\) allows the level of the trend to shift up or down, while \(\zeta_t\) allows the slope to change. Hence, setting either \(\eta_t\) or \(\zeta_t\) to zero gives a fixed level or slope, respectively.

The cyclical component of the model, \(\psi_t\), is modeled as:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\psi_t \\
\psi^*_t
\end{bmatrix} = \rho \begin{bmatrix}
\cos \lambda_c & \sin \lambda_c \\
-\sin \lambda_c & -\cos \lambda_c
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
\psi_{t-1} \\
\psi^*_{t-1}
\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix}
\kappa_t \\
\kappa^*_{t-1}
\end{bmatrix}, \quad t = 1, \ldots, T \quad (4)
\]

\(^1\) The relative destination price is defined as the Barbadian consumer price index (CPI) divided by the origin country CPI and then multiplied by the exchange rate between the Barbadian and origin country currency.
Where $\lambda_c$ is the frequency, in radians, in the range $0 < \lambda_c < \pi$, $\kappa_t$ and $\kappa^*_t$ are two mutually uncorrelated white noise disturbances with zero mean and common variances $\sigma^2_k$, and $\rho$ is a dampening factor. It is clear that the stochastic cycle becomes a first-order autoregressive process if $\lambda_c$ is 0 or $\pi$.

In estimating the model, the variance of the cycle itself $\sigma^2_{\psi}$, rather than $\sigma^2_{\kappa}$, is taken to be the fixed parameter. Since $\sigma^2_{\psi} = (1 - \rho^2) \sigma^2_{\kappa}$, it follows that $\sigma^2_{\kappa} \to 0$ as $\rho \to 1$ and (4) above reduces to the deterministic but stationary cycle:

$$\psi_t = \psi_0 \cos \lambda_c t + \psi^*_0 \sin \lambda_c t, \ t = 1, \ldots, T \quad (5)$$

The forms of the trend and cycle are determined by casting the model in state space form and applying a Kalman Filter (Harvey and Shepherd, 1993). The extent to which the variables change over time is determined by the parameters $\sigma^2_{\kappa}$, $\sigma^2_{\xi}$ and $\sigma^2_{\eta}$. The stochastic form is first specified. $\sigma^2_{\kappa}$, $\sigma^2_{\xi}$ or $\sigma^2_{\eta}$ taking a value of zero would indicate that the corresponding component is deterministic and the model would be rectified to suit. After the form is verified, the cyclical component of each series is extracted. Once the cyclical components have been isolated, the study proceeds to evaluate the underlying relationships between the variables.

4. **Empirical Estimations and Analysis**

4.1. **Simple Correlation Analysis**

As previously discussed, the cyclical components are modelled and extracted using the structural time series framework by Harvey (1989). As a starting point, the contemporaneous and asynchronous (calculated using one lead and one lag of the independent variable) cross correlation coefficients are estimated. Correlations provide a simple way of assessing the potential linkages between tourism and the business cycle. Tourism is said to be procyclical and synchronous with real output if the contemporaneous correlation (i.e. the cross correlation at time $t=0$) between the two series is positive and statistically significant. Meanwhile, if the relationship between the two variables is negative and significant at time $t = 0$, then tourism are said to be countercyclical. The same logic follows for the asynchronous correlation coefficients, bearing in mind that asynchronous cross correlations enables one to identify the timing and direction that tourism respond to output drops. Finally, if all correlations are insignificant, then one can conclude that the relationship between the variables is acyclical. As the oil price and relative prices variables are I(1), the model was run with these variables in first-differences. Estimates cross-correlation coefficients are presented in Table 2.

Panel A shows the response of the various tourist demand cycles to their respective source country business cycle. The cross correlations coefficients are all positive, but only the US and Canadian tourist cycles seem to be significantly impacted by their home country business cycle – at least by conventional levels of testing. Moreover, there is some difference in the timing of the response of tourism to fluctuations in source country income. Specifically, the Canadian tourist cycle seems to be contemporaneously related to the Canadian business cycle, implying that tourists respond to income changes in the same year. However, the US tourist cycle is asynchronously related to the US business cycle. Particularly, arrivals from the US are a reflection of fluctuations in the past and future/expected income values, which may be expected

---

2 The KPSS, ADF and PP all suggest that the relative prices and oil price variables are I(1).
if travel to Barbados by US tourists is a budgeted/planned event. In contrast to a-prior expectations, the UK business cycle appears to have little impact on the UK arrivals cycle.

Turning to the cross correlations between the tourist cycle and the Barbados business cycle (Panel B), the correlation estimates suggest that arrivals from the US and UK are unaffected by the Barbados business cycle. In contrast, the Canadian tourist cycles appear to lag fluctuations in the Barbadian real GDP. Taken at face value, this would suggest that the growth-led tourism hypotheses may have some credence for arrivals from Canada. As explained in section 2, it could be that some variables which boost growth say, increased infrastructure, also increase arrivals from Canada.

The last two panels present the correlations between the tourism cycle, relative prices (Panel C) and oil prices (Panel D). For the case of arrivals to the UK, oil prices have a contemporaneous and negative impact – thus suggesting that tourists from the UK respond almost instantaneously to changes in oil prices. In contrast, the US tourist cycle appears to lag oil prices. Thus, previous fluctuations in oil prices seem to be negatively correlated with US tourism demand for Barbados – which, like correlations estimated for the case of US business cycle – would be expected if travel to Barbados by US tourists is a planned event. Finally, only the UK market appears to be significantly affected by higher relative prices in Barbados.

4.2. Innovation Accounting
The correlations presented above only provide a cursory analysis of the cyclical relationship between the variables under study and, unfortunately, cannot make a strong statement about the co-movements between tourism and output. In order to make more robust inferences about the underlying relationship among the variables, the study relies on a vector autoregressive (VAR) model. For instance, in Barbados, tourism leads to growth, but is also possible that output may also have a significant impact on tourist arrivals. The use of the VAR addresses issues such as endogeneity by treating all the variables in the system as endogenous. The Akaike Information Criteria (AIC) is used to determine the optimal lag specification.

In order to identify the structural components of the VAR, the recursive Cholesky orthogonalisation is employed, which requires the variables to be ordered in terms of exogeneity. In this paper, the variables are listed as “oil prices”, “tourist source country business cycle” “relative prices”, “tourism cycle” and “Barbados business cycle”.

Innovation accounting is used to determine the dynamic responses of the variables over a five-year horizon. The variance decomposition provides information about the percentage of the forecast error in tourism cycles that is explained by an innovation or shock to the endogenous variables. The study also investigates the causal relationship between the tourism cycles and business cycles using Granger-causality tests (see Granger, 1969). Basically, this test seeks to ascertain whether or not the inclusion of past values of a variable x do or do not help in the prediction of present values of another variable y. If variable y is better predicted by including past values of x than by not including them, then, x is said to Granger-cause y. A test of joint significance of the lagged values of x constitutes the Granger-causality test.

Table 3 presents the variance decomposition of the cyclical behavior of tourism demand for Barbados. Initially, the tourist cycles are all largely explained by their own variation. In the first year, the tourist cycle of the UK market accounts for about 93 percent of its own fluctuations, the US-tourist cycle accounts for about 83 percent of its own variation, while the Canadian tourist cycle accounts of about 90 percent of its variability. But as time progresses, the

---

3 Q-statistics indicated the absence of serial correlation in each equation of the VAR.
ability of tourism to explain itself decreases, as a result of the increasing explanatory power of the other variables.

An interesting observation is that in each specification, a shock to Barbadian economy initially accounts for 0 percent of the variation of tourist arrivals. But, as time goes on, the percentage of the forecast error variance increases - though the increase is much more dramatic for arrivals from Canada. For instance, a shock to Barbados business cycle only accounts for a maximum of about 2 percent of the variation in the UK tourist cycle and about 6 percent of the US tourist cycle. For the Canadian tourist market, the variation explained by fluctuations in Barbados output increases steadily to nearly 15 percent by the tenth year. These findings are very much in line with those reported in Table 1, which suggested that arrivals from Canada are the most influenced by the Barbadian business cycle.

The estimated decompositions suggest that shocks to the source country business cycle are much more important. But, like the case of the Barbadian business cycle, cyclical movements in tourism demand seem to have a delayed response to shocks to the source country income cycle. Specifically, a shock to the source country business cycle initially accounts for a small portion of the cyclical behavior of tourist arrivals to Barbados – 6 percent for the UK and US and about 2 percent for Canada. However, as the forecast horizon widens, the explanatory power of the source country business cycle increases, reaching 18 to 25 percent by year 10. This implies that the variability in tourism demand caused by fluctuations in the source country income is large and persistent, highlighting the considerable degree of uncertainty that policymakers in Barbados will face in planning for the long term. Given Barbados’ dependence on tourism, this result also underscores the impact that business cycles aboard may have on the economic well-being of the island in both the short and long runs.

Turning now to the control variables, the forecast decompositions suggest that shocks to oil and relative prices initially explain relatively little of the future variation in tourism demand for Barbados. But, as time passes, the behavior of the tourist arrivals can be characterised by the endogenous responses to oil prices and relative prices. The only outlier is the response of US arrivals to innovations to relative prices. Particularly, the fraction of US tourist arrivals determined by changes in relative prices decreases slightly over time (from approximately 10 percent to 7 percent). The low and declining contribution of relative price shocks in combination with the insignificance of cross correlations presented in Table 2, suggest that the price-level in the destination country may be an insignificant factor for arrivals from Canada.

As a final step to the empirical analysis, this study conducts Granger-causality tests – within the context of the VAR – to analyse the causal relations among the variables. These are presented in Table 4. The test lends support for the hypothesis that fluctuations in source country income are a key determinant of arrivals from all countries under consideration. Taken together with the results of Tables 2, it can be concluded that the tourism cycles of Barbados’ main tourist market can be regarded as a direct consequence of business cycles of the UK, US, and Canada. This lends support to the notion that tourism is a key channel through which contractions in the UK, US and Canadian economies can be transmitted to Barbados. Meanwhile the Barbados business cycle only Granger-causes Canadian arrivals, which supports the results presented in Table 1 and 2 as well as the hypotheses in section 2. Thus, the level of economic activity of Barbados does not appear to be very important for arrivals from the US or UK markets. It could be that tourists from these countries may be less interested in the economic characteristics of the country, and more so in the 3S– sun, sea and sand. Of course, more detailed research – i.e. at the micro-level – would be needed to fully justify this statement. Overall, our
results indicate that tourist arrivals from the UK and US may be more determined by developments in their origin country. Finally, oil prices only seem to Granger-cause arrivals from the US and UK, while relative prices is only significant for the Canadian market.

5. **Concluding Remarks**

This paper explicitly focuses on the dynamic specification and understanding of the cyclical component of tourist arrivals to Barbados. The main objective of the study is to determine the extent to which tourism cycles can be regarded as consequences of business cycles in the source country and business cycles in Barbados. To meet this objective, the cyclical components of the variables are extracted using the structural time series framework by Harvey (1989). Then, a VAR is estimated and variance decompositions and Granger-causality tests are conducted. The empirical results confirm that the cyclical behaviour of arrivals from the UK, US and Canada respond to the business cycles in their respective countries. As most tourists originate from the United Kingdom (UK), United States (US) and Canada, arrivals from these markets are an important factor in the economic relationship between Barbados and these countries. With respect to the relation between business cycles in Barbados, only arrivals from Canada appear to be affected by this variable.

An interesting observation is that there appears to be some delay in the reaction of the tourism cycle to the business cycles. This has important policy implications. Specifically, policy makers can take advantage of the delay between the two cycles, and adopt some form of countercyclical policy to soften the impact of negative income shocks in the UK, US or Canada on the Barbadian economy.
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Figure 1: Tourist Arrivals to Barbados and their Long term Trend
Figure 2: Long-Stay Arrivals to Barbados 1966-2010
Figure 3: Business Cycles and Tourism Cycles
Table 1: Economic Importance of Tourism to Barbados

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Share of Real GDP</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>12.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share of Employment</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share of Export Earnings</td>
<td>36.9</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>53.1</td>
<td>46.5</td>
<td>47.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Central Bank of Barbados
Table 2: Cross Correlation Coefficients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>t-1</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>t+1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>A. Cross Correlations with the Source Country Business Cycle</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK Tourist Cycle</td>
<td>0.105 [0.111]</td>
<td>0.056 [0.721]</td>
<td>0.033 [0.843]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Tourist Cycle</td>
<td>0.453 [0.009]</td>
<td>0.125 [0.481]</td>
<td>0.284 [0.096]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canadian Tourist Cycle</td>
<td>0.055 [0.708]</td>
<td>0.356 [0.031]</td>
<td>0.258 [0.137]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B. Cross Correlations with the Barbados Business Cycle</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK Tourist Cycle</td>
<td>0.314 [0.139]</td>
<td>0.169 [0.297]</td>
<td>0.209 [0.206]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Tourist Cycle</td>
<td>0.173 [0.261]</td>
<td>0.026 [0.880]</td>
<td>0.211 [0.209]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canadian Tourist Cycle</td>
<td>0.326 [0.034]</td>
<td>0.097 [0.551]</td>
<td>0.065 [0.707]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>C. Cross Correlations with Oil Prices</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK Tourist Cycle</td>
<td>-0.008 [0.988]</td>
<td>-0.993 [0.060]</td>
<td>-0.766 [0.144]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Tourist Cycle</td>
<td>-1.416 [0.011]</td>
<td>-0.393 [0.493]</td>
<td>-0.177 [0.745]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canadian Tourist Cycle</td>
<td>-0.690 [0.159]</td>
<td>-0.189 [0.709]</td>
<td>-0.540 [0.313]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>D. Cross Correlations with Relative Prices</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK Tourist Cycle</td>
<td>-4.513 [0.006]</td>
<td>-2.596 [0.109]</td>
<td>-2.588 [0.111]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Tourist Cycle</td>
<td>-3.442 [0.342]</td>
<td>-3.329 [0.423]</td>
<td>-3.047 [0.486]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canadian Tourist Cycle</td>
<td>-3.454 [0.143]</td>
<td>-2.041 [0.417]</td>
<td>-0.651 [0.815]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: p-values in squared parentheses [ ].
Table 3: Variance Decompositions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Horizon</th>
<th>Tourism Cycle</th>
<th>Source Country Business Cycle</th>
<th>Barbados Business Cycle</th>
<th>Oil Prices</th>
<th>Relative Prices</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>A. UK Tourist Cycle</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>93.355</td>
<td>6.036</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.276</td>
<td>0.333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>47.537</td>
<td>18.343</td>
<td>1.555</td>
<td>9.751</td>
<td>22.814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>45.983</td>
<td>18.611</td>
<td>1.897</td>
<td>10.034</td>
<td>23.475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B. US Tourist Cycle</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>83.358</td>
<td>6.146</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.549</td>
<td>9.948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>54.062</td>
<td>24.147</td>
<td>5.596</td>
<td>9.026</td>
<td>7.168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>53.909</td>
<td>24.153</td>
<td>5.678</td>
<td>9.119</td>
<td>7.141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>C. Canadian Tourist Cycle</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>89.996</td>
<td>2.753</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.199</td>
<td>7.713</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>27.429</td>
<td>25.331</td>
<td>14.171</td>
<td>18.031</td>
<td>15.039</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4: Granger Causality Tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Null Hypothesis</th>
<th>$\chi^2$ test statistic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Source Country business cycle does not Granger-cause:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK Tourist Cycle</td>
<td>4.971 [0.083]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Tourist Cycle</td>
<td>7.559 [0.006]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canadian Tourist Cycle</td>
<td>6.887 [0.032]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barbadian Business Cycle does not Granger-cause</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK Tourist Cycle</td>
<td>3.522 [0.172]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Tourist Cycle</td>
<td>1.258 [0.262]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canadian Tourist Cycle</td>
<td>13.495 [0.001]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil Prices does not Granger-cause</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK Tourist Cycle</td>
<td>0.227 [0.893]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Tourist Cycle</td>
<td>6.725 [0.010]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canadian Tourist Cycle</td>
<td>10.977 [0.004]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative Prices does not Granger-cause</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK Tourist Cycle</td>
<td>11.077 [0.004]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Tourist Cycle</td>
<td>0.922 [0.337]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canadian Tourist Cycle</td>
<td>2.803 [0.246]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: p-values in squared parentheses [ ].