



Munich Personal RePEc Archive

Theoretical Perspective of Local Government - Literature Review

Haqu, Adnan ul

Research Institute of Behavioural Psychology

12 May 2012

Online at <https://mpra.ub.uni-muenchen.de/45868/>
MPRA Paper No. 45868, posted 08 Apr 2013 12:49 UTC

THEORETICAL PERSPECTIVE OF **LOCAL GOVERNMENT - Literature Review**

By *Adnan ul Haque*

Research Institute of behavioural Psychology, (PAK)

Abstract

“This research paper discusses the basic fundamentals of local government in theoretical perspective. The aim of this paper is to critically evaluate the conceptual framework of local government with its significance in the modern era. Various approaches of local government are discussed in the light of previous empirical researches. The paper also attempts to explore the role of good governance in the development of society’s infrastructure. In developing countries the role of LG (local government) is unlike in the developed countries but to great extent its essentiality is widely accepted by large number of researches and legal experts in order to build strong foundation therefore the role, approaches and its significance are undertaken in this paper to evaluate local government in depth. On the basis of identified notions, the framework to build strong governance is developed”.

Introduction:

In the process of decision making, the intensification by the participation of masses and democratization's process are two essential aspects that defend the local government's existence. Without local government's system it is not possible to consider any political system to be complete and entirely democratic.

The two-fold essentialities (purposes) that local government serves are (a) supplying goods and services come under administrative purpose, (b) the representation and the involvement of masses in locating particular public need and objectivity to understand how these needs can be met. The administration and representation at local levels inside the structure of local government is connected and formulated by the process of representatives at local government. For the enhancement of creating a better understanding about the local government's structure and functions, it is essential to elaborate and define local government and create awareness about local government values and democracy. The importance and the essentiality of local government will be concentrated on by keeping in mind the aspects of local government so that the attentions are drawn towards the distinctive local government's structure as the fundamental framework of the local government is formed by the local government's administrative structure where the determination and implementation of public policy take place at local level. Hence the attentions are directed towards the councils' composition and activities performed by these councils.

Defining a local government:

As an element of decentralization, local government is a result of devolution. Olowu (1988; 12) states: in the context of literature, there are two advances to define the local government. In aspect of comparative studies, under the central government, all those national structures are regarded as central government. In the second approach, the specifics and particular characteristics that determines local government in more circumspect manner. These distinguished features mainly focus on five following aspects: (1) legal personality, (2) localness, (3) effective participation by citizens, (4) extensive budgetary and employing self-sufficiency in regard to bounded control from central authority, and (5) particular powers to execute a variety of functions. These attributes are significant in separating it from the different forms of institutions

at local level and furthermore, it makes certain that organizational effectiveness is maintained at a prompt rate.

Robson (1937: 574) elaborates local government from the perspective of legality as:

“In broad categorization, local government may engage the formation of a protective, community that is non sovereign and contains the legal rights and essential institution to articulate its internal associations. The prevalence of authorities at local level with authority to perform without external involvement and control along with the local community’s participation in the administration of its own affairs are assumed in turn of those regulations”.

Local government is defined by Gomme (1987: 1-2) as:

“sub part of the entire government of a nation or states is regarded as local government that is managed and administered by the system subordinate to authority of state but independently elected of the state’s authority control, by competent persons local, or containing properties in specific localities, which regions have been structured through common interests and common histories by the communities”

The views of both Robson and Gomme have strongly argued that local government are not entirely free from the control of the central government. This indicates that the power and authority enjoyed by the local government is to relative extent and it is because of the responsibilities are split among national and local government for the provision of services. Furthermore, it is vital to note that these divisions of responsibilities are done according to the political interests and policy related agenda. The World Bank (1989: 88) has identified the successful correlation between the local and central government due to the various conditions and Heymans & Totemeyer (1988: 6) determine those preconditions as:

- (1) The requirement and the push for a well-built local government system in environment filled with democratic support.
- (2) In the national and regional development, a main stream role will be played by the local government.

- (3) A fair distribution of the financial resources among central, local and regional bodies.
- (4) A distribution of human resources is done in a fair manner between the central and the local government.
- (5) The checks and balances between central and local government are in a formal and effective way.
- (6) The information sharing and flowing among all levels are in an accurate and consistent pace and the consultation is precise and complete.
- (7) The expansion of democracy in the all dimensions of government, such as the anticipation of all citizens to a full extent at the levels of administration and government, irrespective of any gender and race biases.
- (8) Harmony in social and political aspects.
- (9) Clearly mentioned affairs among various levels of government and the potential for pressure exertion at local level so that central government alters legislation.
- (10) The fundamental principles of government are trust and honesty, and
- (11) Ability to adopt innovations.

In the designing of sound democratic political system local government should be viewed as the cornerstone as it serves as a cardiac vehicle on specific level to ensure able and conscientious citizenship.

Marshall's (1965: 1) definition is considerably closer to the actual characteristics of local government and distinguishes three definite attributes: "operation in a restricted geographical area within a nation or state; local election or selection; and the enjoyment of a measure of autonomy..."

Meyer (1978: 10) explains local government as follows:

“Local democratic governing units within the unitary democratic system of this country, which are subordinate members of the government vested with prescribed, controlled governmental powers and sources of income to render specific local services and to develop, control and regulate the geographic, social and economic environment of defined local area.

The drawback of Meyer’s definition is that democracy is vital for establishment of local government but local government can prevail without democratic form of government. To support our point, consider the example that, a *coup de’etat* brings a government in power; such kind of government is not democratic and is more of repressive. Therefore, it can be concluded that local government could be also undemocratic and may refer it as undemocratic local government.

Though there is a visible weakness in the definition proposed by Meyer but it attracts the local government’s essence that is closely connected to diverse features recognized by Marshall. The core is that local government is organ of government that exercise powers in a restrictive manner and within specific geographic boundaries and legal jurisdiction the authority is defined. The prime attribute of local government is the authority to endorse legislation in specific control and hence autonomy is enjoyed in a measured way. These attributes of local government are vital to consider when local government is restructured as it makes sure that rationale of local government is not ignored.

Approaches of Local government:

Decentralization and its types:

Although decentralization is one major aspect of local government but it is essential for several reasons in which strengthening the role of governance, formation of environment that is strongly felt and addressed as national environment by all. These mentioned above are some of vital aspects that have enhanced the attention towards the governance. A range of sectors of society, chiefly groups with distinguish margin, can probably without difficulty take part in judging and making decisions at the grass root level for the reason that local pronouncement to huge extent openly have an effect on them.

Also, they can willingly have right of entry to local assessment creator, in comparison to state decision makers who may be positioned in a far-away city. Information concerning the machinery of administration can be further at ease corresponded to people at the local level, setting up a transparent connection among contribution and conclusion. Certainly, several governments have got on to the devolution programs to promote egalitarian procedures. Still where official instruments for notifying public are feeble, the consequences of local government do and don'ts stay extremely evident.

In the 1980s Côte d'Ivoire's government strongly held on to an determined system of decentralization, splitting into 35 urban governments in 1980 and over 60 extra in 1987 for the reason that, rewording the Minister of Interior as democracy could be more in focus by means of local governments. Resentfully, one must also distinguish that the purpose of creation of these programs were to concentrate inhabitant interest and power on local exertion to divert them or to repel dissatisfaction regarding the work and methods of national government.

Despite the causes, conversely, there is obviously a tendency toward forming or fortification of local governments through strategy and/or legal reformulation. For example, the local governments were formed in the Philippine constitution of 1987 and the Haitian constitution in 1987 in order to dispose the dictators and it was done through specific constitutional articles, showing the significance linked to the role of local governments in democratic society. Likewise, an alteration to the Indian statute in 1991 gave official, lawful prominence for the first time to local governments.

“Decentralization is the most important approach for shifting conscientiousness from the central government to sub national levels of government. It is a basic modification in the structural outline in which political, social, and economic decisions are made”. Various scholars have differentiated among diverse forms of decentralization (Rondinelli, Dennis, Nethis, Chemma, 1984):

- **Deconcentration** is the shift from (fundamental) central agencies functioning from the centre cities to ground offices of these agencies;
- **Delegation** is the convey of service duty from central government agencies to expert institutions or bodies with a few or little level of functioning independence (semi-autonomous companies or sub national divisions of government);
- **Devolution** is the shift from central government to independent divisions of local government with group prominence (units with a statutory or constitutional basis for power that is distinct from central government); and
- **Privatization** is the transfer of duty for manufacturing goods or services to private unpaid organizations or private enterprises.

In reality, a decentralization scheme is generally and usually a combination of these three types, depending on the particular purposes of the approach. Nevertheless, the devolution type evidently gives the quite frequent prospective for achieving governance and economic advantages. With the shift of tasks to local divisions of government, major advantages result in requisites of responsibility (accountability), solving problems, and participation by local individuals. Local governments with considerable authority can balance the power of central government. Local public sector administrators are more likely to be held liable to the population they serve because the class and amount of services they supply are more effortlessly recognized than those granted by central administrators. Successful civic region act presuppose that exertion are acknowledged and results are realized to attain required outcomes.

Self-governing local governments that are not supposed to stiff centrally defined consistent values are more possible to fabricate ground-breaking, suitable, and well-organized outcomes. Likewise, local governments are placed in position which is much better than local government as it works in close coordination with local NGOs and community-based institutions or volunteers in recognizing inconvenience and stating answers. At last, people can have more right of entry to public decision making at the local level. The charge to people of getting information regarding performances of government is in a smaller amount for local government services as compare to central government. Spatial closeness make easy to substitute and conciliation between local decision makers and general public. Important financial reimbursements may also accumulate from decentralization: improved allotment of municipal subdivision resources and enhanced enlistment of resources to funding public sector actions. In a exceedingly centralized system for

public goods and services, there is a requirement of dependable information about the outlays of those services, about their eminence, and about the by and large performance of public sector associations. In addition the shortage of a wisdom of paying for those services by their clients tends to produce excessively in either or both a class and magnitude sense. In comparison, the provision of resources to public sector services is expected to be well-organized under a decentralized system because local institutions are more prone to be conscious of citizen first choices and requirements.

The significance of Local government:

The importance and vitality of local government has always remained in focus due to essential phase of the procedure of democratization and the local's participation in the process of decision making. Moreover, it is argued that without the local government, there cannot be considered any political system to be comprehensive and complete (Mawhood, 1993: 66, cf also Wraith, 1964: 118).

Three distinct reasons are put forwarded in order to create the awareness about the vitality of local government and these reasons are as following:

- (a) Training platforms so that masses are politically educated,
- (b) Training foundation for the development of strong political leadership, and
- (c) System ensures that accountability of government is maintained.

These considerations even make more crucial and strengthen role of local government. Therefore these reasons are explained more in depth below.

Mass' political education's training ground:

The foundation and formation of local government is affirmed and advocated because it is a fundamental source to train the masses about mobilization and political education. Tocqueville (1935: 631) states that, meetings of town are linked with freedom as the science is closely linked with the primary schools. Government is brought among people's reach as it assist men to using and enjoying the facilities.

Marshall (1965: 59) is more of dynamic in explaining the following as:

A sound and healthy political awareness is the fundamental objective canon of local government. The member of mass realize to identify the inaccurate demagogue, citizen learns to avoid voting for the representatives who are incompetent or corrupt, they learn to debate on the agendas in more effective and healthy manner, to establish the relationship between the expenditures and revenue, and they also learn to think for future.

It does not mean that political awareness and consciousness will arise automatically in the public and there will be maturation and nourishment among public as the progress and development will enhanced just due to the just existence of local government. There is always a need to execute the plans to perfection by introduction of programmes that have high political mobilization. These plans must be introduced by these institutions to stimulate the involvement of public in actual and purposive political agendas. This step will further make sure that the local government's usefulness is more visible to masses and their own role in decision making process. According to Holm (1971: 61) Local government is seen as an another bureaucratic government organisation when masses directly participate in local politics and councillors of local government work in political consciousness by executing and aiming to intensify public towards local politics.

Political leadership's training ground:

The basic foundation for political leadership is served in shape of training provided by local government, particularly for those individuals who intent to further prosper their career in national politics, and Laski (1931: 31) suggests, "if M.P's (members) prior to their entry was officially permitted, allowed to serve tenure of three years on local grounds, they would attain the experience of foundations so essential to prosper.

There are few certain advantages in this reason, but it cannot be entirely conceived that law makers with a little experience in politics surrounded by local government are improved national legislators than those who lack it. Undoubtedly, the participation in local administration provides break through to councillors to avail experiences in the politics especially concerning the

mechanism of law-making and budgeting (Laski, 1931: 31). The restructuring of local government is influenced by the involvement of councillors in the politico-mechanism.

Capacity of Government Accountability:

In general perspective, local government is viewed as defense mechanism especially against subjective power by government which means that any unsound concentration of power merged at the centre can be unhealthy for progress; this is prevented by the local government. The oppression due to the power constrained at centralization is discouraged by means of local government as it claims to do so and therefore Smith (1985: 27) argued that,

“There is correctness in this regard that greater level of accountability can be restored by means of local democracy. It can be said that local democracy have greater control than public corporate, appointed agencies and field administration. The element of ‘elective’ relates citizen with bureaucrats who makes the entire procedure more meaningful as accountability is more visible in local government. Activities of political nature inside local government like elections, pressure of political nature, rule-formulating, public debate and publicity- Bridge the gap among citizen, and their responsible administration along with the provision of opportunities for the handling of grievances”.

Though the above mentioned may appear true but there is a strong realization that unfortunately local government is level to corruption (Olowu, 1988: 12). Stewart (1983: 8) strongly argued that:

“Due to the clear visibility of local government, these justifiability and accusations are imposed. From public scrutiny perspective the act to safeguard routine decisions in an official way is not hidden. The issues are discussed in an open manner by the committees of local authorities that discuss it in public meetings and it scares civil servants or central politicians in number of ways. The defects are revealed because of the openness of the system as it provides room for amends.

The merit of the argument is that it is not just limited to geographical boundaries as it pursue that social and psychological phenomenon are also essential to consider. In the majority of less developed countries infrastructure in physical regard is vital for establishing the communication

chain between the people and the central government. For the promotion and facilitation of good governance and accountability towards public, most flexible tool and most reliable instrument is local government.

The arguments posed by Held (1987: 15) are, “most of people claim that they comprehensively understand the matters of government and the politics at national grounds, nor they ample to maintain steady and remarkable interest. Importantly, the interest in the political life is mostly taken in consideration by those who are more closely associated with the centres. Although, the remoteness towards ‘politics’ experienced by individual who have little or lack of interest as they perceived that politics do not touch or encounter their lives in direct mode and they themselves are not powerful enough to alter or affect its course.

Powers are determined and vested in the hands of the public to certain extent through local government formation as the idea is that government by, of and for the people are more than little in the actual settings. Latib (1995: 8) argued that: “Fulfilment of the procedure has been more in focused in the historical perspective... there is need of creating a wide community perception regarding accountability... The wider notion means accountability should be held on the conception of overall governance”.

The above mentioned approach stress not merely on the representation in political dimension and political structure’s superiority in the procedure of accountability, but also on the interactive procedures with civil society.

In the democratic process, the vital element formed is accountability and in the long run, to stride for greater length local government usually enhances accountability. The perception of the absolute poor ones that politics is peripheral game architect by a few people who has power to dominate and cheat them and the ordinary people who have cynical views about the power holders are reduced to certain extent or removes by means of accountability (Holm, 1989).). Here the ‘ordinary people’ and ‘absolute poor’ means that population’s certain financially unstable part believe that a government controlled by majority is just a mask with no real concern for people.

Concept of Governance:

In self-governing or democratic systems, government prevails to perform tasks such as keeping safety, supplying public services, and making sure that dealing is done fair and equal as per law. The precise temperament of these roles may differ in due course, but in western systems it shapes a bond among government and its citizens. The agreement prevails at various levels: the charter describes the broadest standards of the pact, state and sub-national rules and regulations grant a further precise structure, and the convention gets mainly functioning at the local stage. Mass take part in government to characterize the bond and to run and examine it. The idea of “**governance**” has been put as the methods throughout which public choices are made.

Landell-Mills and Serageldin (1991: P 3) have defined governance as: “*the use of political authority and exercise of control over a society and the management of resources for social and economic development*”.

This classification highlights the supporting (political) character and the supervision phase of governance.

On the other hand, it does not describe the temperament of the connection involving the system (the governors) and the masses (the governed).

Charlick (1992: P3) proposes an additional classification for the Governance program in developing countries: ... “*The efficient execution of public concerns by means of the age group of a command (set of rules) acknowledged as lawful, for the rationale of encouraging and increasing communal standards required by persons and groups*”.

This classification gives a further normative direction to the idea in aspect of the results of the procedure and the character of the correlation among authority (power holders) and remaining mass of society. They further discuss in regard to the excellence of the executive process. These descriptions include a political element of governance (the assurance to attain the public good) and a technical aspect (the capability or officious ability to handle well). These directions are replicated in the subsequent traits that numerous researchers point to “**good**” governance:

- **Legitimacy:** the acceptance of the authority by masses as of accepting those in power; the continuation of a authorized set of regulations methods, and procedures.
- **Accountability:** linked to legality in which the possessors of control will be apparent as lawful if they are answerable to the public. Accountability is guaranteed by the procedures for choosing authority containers and by the course of actions by which public decision-making procedures and the outcomes they generate are caught up to public inspection and response.
- **Management effectiveness:** mainly a technical aspect, efficiency regarding the potential of public bureaucracies to expertly and professionally convert public reserves into services and infrastructure that communicates to openly resolute main concerns. Act course and clear events are vital features of successful management of public.
- **Availability of information:** information run is the prevalence of all connections between public and government. It allows the civic to observe and analyze the efficacy of those in command and their official procedures. The ability of people to take part and to clutch those in rule responsible depends on the accessibility of information about rules, actions, and consequences.

Building Good governance:



In actual, there is a commonly supporting character for creating good governance, explained in (Figure 1) as the three circles keep on expanding then the individuals, communities, and organisation are more linked with the local government in concentric circles. Prospects must be given to people so that they can state their likings for the excellence and temperament of services they wish for. Management usefulness and behaviour verify how well chosen bureaucrats and body give services that reply to those needs. As local government achievements are apparent to be valuable and approachable, people are ever more eager to present possessions for services and infrastructure. All over again by means of translucent executive and management exercises, local government expresses how it is responsible to society in the utilization of public resources.

Good governance:

Governance is a fresh notion on the exploring programme and is frequently analysed as a required result of self-governing decentralisation. A publication of World Bank on Africa launched the idea in 1989 by declaring that a '*crisis of governance*' was basically Africa's advancement obstacles. The viewpoint trapped by and '*governance*' turned into an exhortation. Several

journals stress the significance of democratic system and individual constitutional rights, but on the whole of them requisite a slightly technocratic analysis – at least in anticipation of the mid-1990s – centring on running (management) and supervising (administration) as the prime ideas of good governance. The fame of the conception and the growing awareness for control agendas can be credited to the subsequent causes (Leftwich 1994, p. 366):

- (a) The familiarity through formational modification in the 1980s;
- (b) The supremacy of neo-liberalism,
- (c) The fall down of the authorized collective establishments in the 1990s; and
- (d) The appearance of pro-democracy activities in the third world.

The execution of formational alteration plans lead for enhancing understanding regarding the significance of political affairs as the merely choice en route for modification. Change formed a relocation of assets and authority to be executed by the administration who observe its capacity to categorize and carry out course of action be set to the trial.

As Leftwich states: ‘The know-how with modification dealt with the global organizations and two-sided patrons with the certainty of useless and frequently fraudulent government in numerous developing countries’ (1994, p. 368).

The solution for this ‘ineffectual and distort state’ was, nevertheless, inequitably appeared as for in executive and governmental approach, overlooking the imperative supporting matters that had destabilized the excellence of government formerly. Neo-liberalism – that stimulated the alteration tactics – sustained the thought of self-governing political affairs and the formation of well-organized and responsible civic strategy as component of an open market financial system. The absorption of supporting and financial supremacy vested in the hands of the (main) central government was considered as too much and counterproductive.

In reply, numerous western governments (characterized by numerous many-sided institutions, like World Bank and the IMF) encouraged “good governance” as a form for maintain steady

economic development. The crumple of socialist regimes in the early 1990s highlighted the value of governance. Besides, western countries can at this time openly declare following criterion and enforce circumstances of democratisation and cost-effective liberalisation exclusive of comprising to apprehension of *'losing'* developing or least developed countries to the other group. Political as well economic liberalisation, governance, and decentralisation came to control the advancement discussion. The actions in the direction of democratisation that appeared in the line of the 1980s in the stir of totalitarian governments more carried the term to consider vigorously were good governance.

Harpham and Boateng (1997), in a research investigation on municipal control and metropolitan services, elucidate the existing centre of discussion is on governance as a response to a standpoint on progress that located over stress on financial aspects, avoiding the part of socio-political changeable. The end was thought too hard to grip for contributor institutions, which were frightened of being charged of interfering in the inner interaction of other countries. Commencing the idea of governance in progressive collaboration, nevertheless, produced a new condition and a transfer in the direction of policies stressing the significance of institutions. A number of definitions of governance have been articulated from the time when the notion first emerged on the growth programme.

Halfani and colleagues (1994, p. 35) argued that 'governance, as separate from government, refers to the connection between the state, between rulers and the ruled, the state and society, the government and the governed'.

Bibliography

- Charlick, R. B. (1992) "Popular Participation and Local Government Reform: Public Administration and Development, 21, PP 3

- Cheema, G.S. & Rondinelli D.A., (ed.) (1983), *Decentralization and Development. Policy Implementation in Developing Countries*. London: Sage Publications.
- Gomme, G.L., (1987) “Lectures on the principles of the local government”, delivered at London school of Economics, Lent term 1987, Westminster, Whitehall garden. PP 1-2
- Halfani, M. P., McCarney, P. & Rodriguez, A., (1994), *Towards an understanding of governance: the emergence of an idea*. In: R. Stren (ed.) *Urban research in the developing world*. Toronto: University of Toronto.
- Harphan, T. & Boeteng, K.A., (1997), *Urban governance in relation to the operation of urban services in developing countries*. *Habitat International*, V. 21, n. 1, PP 65-77
- Held, D., (1987), *Models of democracy*. London: Polity Press. PP 12-15
- Holm, J.D., (1971), *Dimension of mass politics*. Boulder: Westview Press. PP- 60-62
- Holm, J.D., (1989), *Elections and democracy in Botswana*. In J. Hold and P. Molutsi. *Democracy in Botswana*. Ohio: Ohio University Press.
- Landell-Mills, P. & Serageldin, I. (1991). *Governance and the development process*. *Finance and Development*, 28(3), PP 13–24.
- Laski, H., (1931), *Democracy in crisis*. Chapel Hill. PP 30-31
- Laski, H.J., (1936), *Faith, reason and civilisation: an essay in historical analysis*. London: Gollancz.
- Latib, S., (1995), *Accountability*, In F. Cloete and J. Mokgoro (eds). *Policies for Public services transformation*. Kenwyn: Juta & co. Ltd
- Leftwich,, A. (1994). *Governance: ‘the State and the Politics of Development’*, *Development and Change*, 25, PP. 363-368
- Olowu, D. (1988) *African Local Governments as Instruments of Economic and Social Development*, No. 1415, The Hague, International Union of Local Authorities, PP 10-17.
- Marshall, A. (1965), *Financial administration of Local Government*. George Allen & Unwin, London. PP 1-5.

- Mawood, P. N., (1983) Local Government in the Third World Countries. Wiley and Sons, New York.
- Meyer, J. (1978) local government law- Volume 1- General principles. Durban, Butterworth.
- Robson, W., (1916) Aircraft in War and Peace. London, Macmillan
- Robson W (1937) “The development of Local Government”. London, G. Allen & Unwin. PP 750-575
- Rondinelli et al (1981) “Government decentralization in comperative perspective”, International Review of Admisitrative Sciences, Vol. 47, No. 2, PP. 133-145
- Smith, B.C., (1985), Decentralization: the territorial dimension of the state. London: George Allen & Unwin. PP 25-29
- Stewart, J., (1983), Local government: the conditions of local choice. London: George Alleg & Unwin. PP 5-10
- Heymans, C. & Totemeyer, G. (1988) “Government by the people? Politics of local government in South Africa. Kenwyn: Juta & Co. Ltd
- The World Bank, (1989). Urban and Local Government Strategy. Washington, D.C.
- The World Bank, (1989) Strengthening Local Governments in Sub-Saharan Africa: Proceedings of Two Workshops, Washington, D.C.
- Tocqueville, A. de (1955). The old regime and the French revolution (S. Gilbert, Trans.). Garden City, NY: Doubleday.
- Wraith, R., (1972) Local Administration in West Africa. George & Unwin, London. PP 64-65