

Islamic Stock Markets in a Global Context

Sheng, Andrew and Singh, Ajit

Fung Global Institute, University of Cambridge

 $26 \ \mathrm{January} \ 2013$

Online at https://mpra.ub.uni-muenchen.de/53035/ MPRA Paper No. 53035, posted 19 Jan 2014 18:49 UTC

Chapter 10 Islamic Stock Markets in a Global Context

Andrew Sheng and Ajit Singh*

Introduction

This study is a sequel to the 2012 Sheng and Singh article that identified and explained the significance of the two central tenets of Islamic finance: namely, its underpinning by a strong ethical system, and the absolute prohibition of the use of interest rates. That study also argued that the cooperation between the conventional Western system and the Islamic system is eminently sensible and will lead to a Pareto optimal increase in world welfare.¹

Our earlier study noted that Islamic finance has been growing at a fast rate over the last two decades, and concluded that it is a complete system that has the potential to satisfy the financing and banking needs not only of Muslims worldwide but also of non-Muslims in various countries. It offers the world an additional financial system favoring profits derived from capital and labor working together, rather than interest, and with a rather different ethical basis than that of current Western capitalism.²

This study looks at a much narrower but current issue of establishing and operating Islamic stock markets within a global context. Islamic stock markets would compete against non-Islamic markets. If Islamic stock markets were successful, they would strengthen and enhance the international appeal and practice of Islamic finance. Leading Islamic scholars have long argued in favor of Islamic stock markets, even though they recognize that many practices in conventional stock markets may be incompatible with Islamic teaching. In 1984, Professor Mukhtar Metwally observed:

^{*} The authors are, respectively, President of the Fung Global Institute, and Emeritus Professor of Economics at the University of Cambridge. They are grateful to the Perdana Foundation for funding this research, but all opinions and errors and omissions are their own.

¹ Pareto optimal refers to the state of the world in which while no person's welfare decreases, everyone else's welfare remains the same or increases. Alternatively, no one can be made better off without making at least one individual worse off.

² For a full discussion of the issues, see Sheng and Singh (2012).

In an Islamic economy where interest-bearing loans are prohibited and where direct participation in business enterprise, with its attendant risks and profit sharing, is encouraged, the existence of a well-functioning Stock Exchange is very important. It would allow for the mobilization of savings for investment and provide means for liquidity to individual shareholders. However, existing Stock Exchanges in non-Islamic economies have many drawbacks. They generate practices such as speculation and fluctuations in share prices which are not related to the economic performance of enterprises. These practices are inconsistent with the teachings of Islam. (Metwally 1984, 19)

In 2011, one of the foremost scholars of Islamic economics and finance, Professor Abbas Mirakhor, argued in the Islamic Finance Forum for government intervention to develop truly vibrant and active Islamic stock markets. He noted that risk sharing is central to Islamic finance and observed that "arguably, the stock market is the first-best instrument of risk-sharing. Developing an active and efficient stock market can promote international as well as domestic risk sharing which render the economy and its financial system resilient to shocks." (Mirakhor 2011, 23)

Coincidentally, Islamic scholars' positive interest in Islamic stock markets arose at the same time as a vigorous debate in economics on the non-Islamic stock market's negative consequences. The role of the stock market in propagating if not generating important dimensions of the current international financial crisis, has been a subject of many serious commentaries. As this study intends to draw suggestions for an Islamic stock market from the experience and analysis of the stock market in non-Islamic countries, we intend to explore what are the weaknesses of the current stock market model.

Most analysts agree that one of the significant causes of the 2007–09 ³Great Recession was the U.S. housing bubble. The ending of this bubble led to a fall in share prices not only in the United States but also around the world due to the close integration of world stock markets that had occurred in the previous two decades. Oliver Blanchard (2009) estimates bank losses due to the failure of the subprime mortgage market to be around \$250 billion. However, the consequent financial crisis led to "contagion" and a sharp fall in aggregate world stock market capitalization of the order of \$26 trillion—nearly 100 times larger than the losses associated with subprime mortgages. Similarly, Robert Solow (2009) notes that the combined result of the

³ There is no consensus on the date of the beginning of the crisis. Many economists, however, suggest that the crisis began with the demise of the Lehmann Brothers in 2008. The World Bank however, regards 2007-09 as the crisis date. Other economists extend the crisis period to include 2011-2012 as well.

housing and stock market shocks was a decline in U.S. household wealth from \$64.4 trillion in mid-2007 (before the crisis) to \$51.5 trillion at the end of 2008. Thus \$13 trillion of household wealth disappeared in the space of about one year. Solow (2009) notes: "Nothing concrete had changed. Buildings still stood, factories were still capable of functioning; people had not lost their ability to work or their skills or their technology. But a population that thought in 2007 that they had 64.4 trillion dollars with which to plan their lives discovered in 2008 that they have lost 20 percent of that."

Thus one important aspect of a stock market regime is that globalization may make an economy unstable through contagion, even though there is no intrinsic reason for the country to be subject to fluctuations. Such external shocks often end up with a procyclical feedback loop between two volatile financial markets: namely, the stock market and the market for foreign exchange. These macroeconomic effects of the stock market are usually neglected in the economic literature and policy analysis. This is mainly because the corporate finance economists who specialize in this area tend to work with microeconomic models. This study attempts to address this lacunae.

In 2011, the British government invited a committee under London School of Economics professor John Kay to review the UK equity market and its impact on long-term decision making (Kay 2012). Its report, published in July 2012, identified two important principles that turn out to be relevant to the establishment of stock markets in Islamic countries:

- 1. All participants in the equity investment chain should act according to the principles of stewardship, based on respect for those whose funds are invested or managed, and trust in those by whom the funds are invested or managed.
- 2. Relationships based on trust and respect are everywhere more effective in promoting high performance of companies and securing good returns to savers taken as a whole than trading transactions between anonymous agents.

These notions of stewardship, trust, and respect are clearly more closely aligned with the Islamic precepts and beliefs of the participants. There is a strong pressure toward the promotion of these more ethical values in Islamic culture.

The discussion that follows examines the problems of primary and secondary aspects of the non-Islamic stock markets and other critiques of corporate governance, and explores how Islamic stock markets should avoid these defects. We do this because the stock market is not only an important symbol of capitalism, but also has a wider role in the economy to promote investment and create employment. The case for and against the stock market inevitably involves a discussion of important related subjects of corporate finance, corporate governance, and corporate law. The relationship between the legal system and the stock market, and the relationship between corporate finance and the stock market, are salient to any assessment of the role of the stock market in economic development. This study presents a nuanced and balanced view of the feasibility and desirability of Islamic stock markets. It suggests that Islamic stock markets can compete effectively against non-Islamic stock markets by improving on corporate controls and serving the real sector by helping small and medium enterprises (SMEs) raise capital. This requires the creation of a class of intermediaries that nurture the SMEs before they access capital markets.

Specifically, this study reflects on the following questions:

- Do stock market economies grow faster than economies where stock markets are relatively little used?
- Stock markets have an ability to finance technological developments and therefore have an important role in principle in supporting new technology. Are stock market economies more conducive to technical change and economic development than non-stock market economies?
- To be compatible with Shari'ah law, an Islamic stock market will need to eliminate short-termism, speculation, and strategic pricing by stock market participants among other practices. What kind of regulation, formal or informal, will be required to achieve this?
- How well does the stock market perform its essential task of pooling society's savings dispersed among individual savers, to channel these savings selectively to companies with the best investment prospects?
- How does the stock market ensure the efficient use of assets that embody past savings?
- What are the implications of the stock market development for corporate governance?

• What are the implications of the mode of financing of corporate growth for stock markets in emerging countries?

The discussion will review the evidence on some of the more important above issues from the data on non-Islamic stock markets and examine the implications of this analysis for the potential development in Islamic stock markets.

Do Stock Markets Help Economic Development?

The mainstream free market ideology that permeated financial market theory and development economics thinking recommended almost without reservation the establishment of stock markets in emerging markets as positive factor for growth. In the 1990s, the International Finance Corporation (IFC) helped establish stock markets in many emerging markets and led the wave of portfolio investment in emerging stocks as an asset class.

Their argument for stock markets is two-fold. First, the World Bank concluded that the bank-dominated financial systems of developing countries in the 1980s were failing. The debtbased system, together with policy-based allocation of government funds, particularly to big domestic corporations to promote industrialization, was unsatisfactory because of excessive leverage, crony capitalism, and inefficient and inflationary finance.

The second argument was what may be called natural progression. The basic idea is that stock market development is a part of a natural progression of countries toward higher stages of development. As countries become richer, they also expand and modernize their capital markets, with the stock markets as the foundation of deep derivative markets. Stock market development became an emblem of economic development, just as airports were emblems in the 1960s.

These views were supported by advanced market fund managers and some emerging market intellectuals. The former wanted a wider range of assets to diversify their risks, with opportunities to improve their risk-return frontier. The latter group argued that long-term capital for developing countries should come from the huge accumulated savings of Western investment institutions, such as pension funds and insurance companies. This would reduce emerging

market debt overhang. Stock markets would also enable government assets to be privatized and foreign exchange to be obtained. Emerging market companies would be able to raise capital to expand overseas.

The proponents of the conventional stock market model suggest that deep and liquid stock markets improve four key functions of capital markets: resource allocation, price discovery, risk management, and corporate governance. Enhancing deep and liquid capital markets in emerging markets not only enable long-term direct and portfolio investments to be channeled from developed markets, but long-term capital to be raised, which in turn can create jobs and growth. Furthermore, price discovery is improved as stock markets "signal" the attractiveness of "undiscovered" emerging market firms. With the arrival of advanced market investors and intermediaries, risk management and corporate governance are improved.

There is no question that primary and secondary stock markets enable emerging market entrepreneurs to raise capital and "double leverage" their assets, since they can not only raise capital in primary issues, but also use their (now) liquid holdings as collateral to raise more capital in the secondary market or through the banking system.

While there has been substantial financial deepening in many emerging markets, the volatility of such markets, with booms and busts and sharp fluctuations in capital flows, has resulted in a more nuanced reexamination of the cost and benefits of stock markets for economic development. The following sections examine these more systematically in order to see what lessons can be drawn for Islamic stock markets.

First, the series of stock market debacles since the Asian financial crisis, tech stock bubble, the Gulf stock market crash, and the Great Recession all suggest that short-termism, excessive market volatility, lack of corporate control, social inequities, and weak risk management are endemic in the existing structure.

Second, the case against speculative stock markets was powerfully argued in the depths of the Great Depression by John Maynard Keynes in his *General Theory*:

Speculators may do no harm as bubbles on a steady stream of enterprises. But the position is serious when enterprise becomes the bubble on a whirlpool of speculation. When the capital development of a country becomes a by-product of the activities of a casino, the job is likely to be ill-done. The measure of success attained by Wall Street, regarded as an institution of which social purpose is to direct new investment into the most profitable channels in terms of future

yield, cannot be claimed as one of the outstanding triumphs of laissez-faire capitalism. (Keynes 1936, 159)

Third, these critiques of the stock market were given fresh impetus by a report of a Blue Ribbon Committee of 25 leading U.S. finance specialists established in the 1990s. The Committee was chaired by Harvard Professor Michael Porter, and its purpose was to investigate to what extent the American financial system and the stock market in particular were responsible for the poor overall American economic performance, particularly during the period 1980–95, when the U.S. economy was stagnant; the trend rate of growth of U.S. productivity was virtually zero during this period. The Committee concluded:

The change in nature of competition and the increasing pressure of globalization make investment the most critical determinant of competitive advantage. Yet the US system of allocating investment capital both within and across companies is failing. This puts America at a serious disadvantage in global competition and ultimately threatens the long term growth of the US economy. (Porter 1992, 4)

Fourth, the Kay Review (2012, 9) uncovered serious deficiencies in the current model: "Short-termism is a problem in the UK equity markets, and [the] principal causes are the decline of trust and the misalignment of incentives throughout the equity investment chain." Indeed, the Kay Review found that UK companies have not been investing as much as their foreign competitors and that smaller companies are finding difficulty in accessing capital. Furthermore, successful companies are beginning to privatize themselves because of the regulatory burdens, while investors are complaining that the long-term returns on investing in listed companies have been disappointing.

Lessons for Islamic Stock Markets

Given these contrasting perspectives, what are the appropriate lessons to be drawn for the construction of Islamic stock markets? A good place to begin is with regard to the question of financing of corporate growth and to ask what role the stock market plays in this task.

The textbook function of the stock market is to help increase savings by providing an additional investment instrument, (namely, share purchase). It enables individuals to buy a

fraction of a steel plant or a shipyard, thus spreading the risks across the board. This also helps investment, as without such fractional buying and risk sharing, big projects may not have been undertaken at all.

However, the experience of non-Islamic countries in the real world does not quite square with this textbook account. In leading industrial countries such as the United Kingdom and Germany, most large firms listed on the stock markets in these countries do not raise new equity capital at all. Instead, they rely on their retained profits for financing almost all of their investment needs. The few, usually small, companies that do go to the stock markets do not use the new capital for investment purposes. Rather, they employ it as a means of acquiring liquidity in the early stages of their development. The Kay Review concludes that the main role of equity markets is not to monitor allocation of capital between companies, but instead to oversee its allocation within companies. The Review goes on to suggest that "promoting good governance and stewardship is....the central rather than an incidental function of the UK equity markets" (10). Similarly, there are relatively few initial public offerings (IPOs) in continental European countries such as Germany and Italy. This suggests that the role of public equity markets in these countries is also likely to be small (Pagano, Panetta, and Zingales 1998).

What has happened in advanced markets like the United Kingdom is that companies now raise more capital through private equity and debt, which leads to a closer relationship between providers and users of capital. Such a relationship is much more difficult for listed companies due to the fragmentation of share ownership, extensive restrictions on information that listed companies are allowed to share, and operational difficulty of "managing good earnings" without huge listed share price shocks.

The experience of the U.S. stock market is quite different from that of the United Kingdom or European countries. There are many more IPOs and more listed companies and a greater resort to the stock markets by small companies. More importantly, the U.S. stock markets act as an "exit mechanism" for the public flotation of companies in the hi-tech sectors, for private equity and venture capital that play important roles as incubators of dynamic entrepreneurs and innovators.

In the case of fast-growing developing countries in Asia, the behavior of the stock market in mobilizing savings and financing corporate growth is also different from the U.S. or the European experience. First, these countries enjoyed an enormous expansion of savings over the last 30 years, with savings as a proportion of national GDP growing from about 5 percent of GDP at the beginning of the period to 30 percent or more now. In this huge increase in national savings, the stock market has played a relatively small role.

Second, as studies by Glen and Singh (2003, 2005) and Singh (1993) have suggested, large firms in developing countries raise a much larger proportion of their capital from the stock markets than large firms in advanced countries. This so-called Singh-paradox is counterintuitive and defies most explanations offered for it. The closest reasonable interpretation is that the growth rate of a developing country's firms is greater than that of advanced country corporations, leading to a greater demand for funds by the former. But this explanation does not answer the question of why this "greater demand" should be met by equity issues rather than other sources. Indeed, the question of fairness in access to capital markets arises: why should large state-owned enterprises (SOEs) and large multinational and local firms have greater access to financing than the SMEs?

According both to traditional economic theory and its further development in the seminal paper by Myers and Majluf (1984), the financing of corporate growth should follow the pecking order outlined below: firms should in the first instance rely as far as possible on retained earnings for their investment needs; if that is not adequate, they should resort to debt; and only as a last resort should they raise any funds from the stock market. Myers and Majluf show that this is mainly due to asymmetrical information between managers and outsiders. Glen and Singh (2005) confirm the earlier Singh (1995) and Singh and Hamid (1993) results for the 1980s. Their new results for the 1990s suggest that the pecking order theory is comprehensively rejected by the data for this decade. As shown in table 10.1, on the financing of corporate growth in 19 developing and 22 advanced countries from 1995 to 2000, developing countries during this period financed 39 percent of their growth from equity issues, 27 percent from retained earnings, and 35 percent from debt, on average. In contrast, for advanced countries, the corresponding figures were 17 percent, 53 percent, and 30 percent, on average. This clearly indicates a much greater resort to equity issues by large firms in developing countries, compared to those of advanced countries.

Table 10.1. Financing of Corporate Growth in 19 Developing Countries and 22 AdvancedCountries, 1995–2000 (percent)

Developed	Liabilities	Exter-	Internal	Emerging	Liabilities	External	Inter-
markets		nal	finance	markets		finance	nal fi-
		finance					nance
Australia	58	32	11	Argentina	46	16	38
Austria	52	3	45	Brazil	74	11	15
Belgium	56	6	38	Chile	44	33	23
Bermuda	41	23	36	Colombia	73	16	11
Canada	56	32	12	Czech Rep.	33	21	46
Cayman Islands	90	8	2	Hong Kong	44	20	35
Denmark	72	6	23	Hungary	28	1	71
Finland	53	26	22	India	53	5	43
France	61	7	31	Indonesia	110	12	-23
Germany	62	5	33	Israel	54	6	40
Greece	52	34	14	Korea	27	48	25
Ireland	76	5	18	Malaysia	40	18	42
Italy	68	5	27	Mexico	61	30	10
Japan	62	6	32	Philippines	34	17	49
Netherlands	65	9	26	South Africa	49	10	41
Norway	50	23	27	Taiwan	59	40	1
Singapore	66	15	19	Thailand	74	11	15
Spain	68	-9	40	Turkey	61	18	21
Sweden	57	4	39	Venezuela	27	54	19
Switzerland	54	7	39				
United Kingdom	52	21	27				
United States	47	21	32				
Group average	53	17	30	Group average	35	39	27
						1	
Global average	49	22	29				

Source: Glen and Singh (2005).

Note: The basic accounting identity in this table is as follows: Total finance for corporate growth consists of the growth of liabilities, growth of equity capital, and the growth of internal finance.

It is not the purpose of this study to explain this phenomenon, as this has been done elsewhere,⁴ but rather to ask what lessons those who wish to establish an Islamic stock market should learn from the above experience of stock market financing in advanced and developing countries.

⁴ For a fuller analysis of this issue, see Glen and Singh (2003, 2005); Singh (2003); and Gügler, Mueller, and Yurtoglu (2003)

It should be noted that in advanced as well as developing countries, the significance of public stock markets has declined while that of private equity has greatly increased. The question therefore is whether a strong Islamic stock market should attempt to create a thriving public market with perhaps a small role for private equity markets, or sequence development the other way round: develop SMEs first and then the stock market as an exit mechanism.

An important question raised by the above discussion is why more companies do not list on the equity markets What measures should a future Islamic equity market take to expand its role to meet the financing needs of households and corporations?

Several reasons have been put forward to explain this deficit of firms to list on the stock market: fiscal discrimination against equity in favor of debt; the greater burden and expenses of listing on the public stock market than before; and the poor performance of the public equity markets.

The Kay Review suggests a more fundamental and deeper reason lies in the nature of financial intermediation itself, since it regards equity markets as a means of financial intermediation between savers and corporations. The latter enables savers to achieve diversification and liquidity. A successful intermediary enables savers to derive the benefits of diversification and liquidity by minimizing the disadvantages of control loss and information loss as the firms become bigger. The Review suggests that information asymmetry and principal-agent conflicts become more serious as the modern corporate economy evolves. The relationship between the investors and the corporations in large, impersonal, public equity markets is more distant and much less close (due to regulatory and information provision restrictions) than that between the management of a private equity–supported firm and its investors. The more distant the human relationship, the easier the moral dimension gets lost.

The Kay Review sums up the evidence on the UK stock market in relation to savings, and outlines an approach to resolving difficulties in this area in the following terms:

Equity markets today should primarily be seen as a means of getting money out of companies rather than a means of putting it in. This does not mean that equity markets are not relevant to investment in UK business. But the relevance is indirect. Equity markets are one of the means by which investors who support fledgling companies can hope to realise value. Equity markets provide a means of oversight of the principal mechanism of capital allocation, which takes place within companies. Promoting stewardship and good corporate governance is not an incidental function of equity markets. The effectiveness of modern equity markets depends almost entirely

on their effectiveness in promoting these goals of stewardship and governance. (28, para. 2.32, emphasis added)

There are other weaknesses of non-Islamic stock markets that deserve attention. Notably, these include the short-termism of the stock markets. It is important to note that short-termism takes many different forms. Apart from not being concerned with the long-term value of the company, it can translate into lack of investment in the company's infrastructure, and reduction in expenditure on research and development and overall, a diminution of its reputation. It can also take the form of making quick profits on the stock market rather than staying the course with long-term investment in particular companies. In view of its many manifestations, the phenomenon of short-termism is commented upon at more than one place in this study. In chapter 12 of the General Theory, Keynes (1936, 160) adopted a straightforward definition of short-termism in terms of share turnover and observed as follows: "The spectacle of modern investment markets has sometimes moved me towards the conclusion that to make the purchase of an investment permanent and indissoluble, like marriage, except by reason of death or other grave cause, might be a useful remedy for our contemporary evils. For this would force the investor to direct his mind to the long-term prospects and to those only." However, Keynes abandoned this idea because if individual purchases of investments were rendered illiquid, they might adversely affect the propensity to invest.

The Porter Commission regarded short-termism as a major fault of U.S. capital markets. It proposed a tax on those who dispose of their shares quickly. Under this scheme, if an investor held the shares in a corporation for five or more years, he or she would be subject to a much lower level of taxation. Porter and his colleagues thought that such a change in the taxation of stock market returns would, over time, change the culture and ethos of the market and shift it toward long-termism.

The Kay Review proposed a third, radically different approach to short-termism and stock market reform. The remedy in the Kay Review is original and a major contribution to the theory of finance. It suggests that the answer lies in having long-term relationships among all the players: asset managers, asset holders, and corporate directors. It attributes short-termism to the decline of trust and misalignment of incentives. The Review suggests that a culture based on principles of stewardship, founded on respect for those whose funds are invested, is required as a long-term remedy for the problems of the stock market.

This approach fits in well with the basic ethos and culture of Islamic stock markets. It is, therefore, likely to be easier to implement the vision of the Kay Review in Islamic stock markets than in existing non-Islamic markets. Most commentators feel that it will not be easy to change existing stock markets due to resistance from those who would stand to lose from any major reform.

Stock Markets and Economic Efficiency: Further Lessons for Islamic Stock Markets

The Islamic stock market has the great advantage of being late on the scene and can therefore achieve fast growth and structural development much more speedily than would otherwise be the case. Moreover, the history of stock markets in non-Islamic countries and their analyses provide rich and varied narratives about the progress of the stock market, as well as its difficulties in these countries. The Islamic stock market must examine these narratives closely and learn the lessons that they provide. With that in mind, we shall consider some controversial issues in non-Islamic stock market economics and examine their implications for Islamic markets. The two main issues we shall focus on are the efficiency of the stock market prices, and the takeover mechanism, together with means such as bankruptcy, and delisting from the stock market as the disciplinary devices to increase economic efficiency.

Stock Market and Efficiency of Share Prices

Opinions differ on how "efficient" stock market prices are in theory and in practice. The orthodox paradigm of share price determination postulates that share prices are efficient because they emanate from perfect markets involving large numbers of well-informed buyers and sellers in which no one buyer or seller can influence the price and where there is a homogeneous product: namely, corporate shares. There is an alternative paradigm, however, indicated by the passage from Keynes cited earlier, that characterizes stock markets essentially as gambling casinos dominated by speculators. Allen and Gale (2000); Shiller (2000); Sheifer (2000); Singh

and others (2005); Baker and Wurgler (2007); and Hong and Stein (2007), among others, have formalized the various elements of this paradigm.⁵ In brief, this literature suggests that in the face of a highly uncertain future, share prices are likely to be influenced by the so-called noise-traders, and by whims, fads, and contagion. For similar reasons of psychology, investors may attribute much greater weight to near-term price forecasts rather than historical long-term performance, thus suggesting another reason for short-termism. Nevertheless, many economists believe that overall the best theory of share price determination is the one suggested by Keynes' famous "beauty contest" analogy. This points toward strategies adopted by probably a large number of investors on the stock market.

[To] change the metaphor slightly, professional investment may be likened to those newspaper competitions in which the competitors have to pick out the six prettiest faces from a hundred photographs, the prize being awarded to the competitor whose choice most nearly corresponds to the average preferences of the competitors as a whole; so that each competitor has to pick, not those faces which he himself finds prettiest, but those of which he thinks likeliest to catch the fancy of the other competitors, all of whom are looking at the problem from the same point of view. It is not just a case of choosing those which, to the best of one's judgment, are really the prettiest, nor even those which average opinion genuinely thinks the prettiest. We have reached the third degree where we devote our intelligences to anticipating what average opinion expects the average opinion to be. And there are some, I believe, who practice the fourth, fifth and higher degree. (Keynes 1936, 156)

However, until recently, the empirical literature on the determination of share prices has been dominated by the so-called efficient markets hypothesis (EMH), which argues that real world share prices are efficient in the sense that they incorporate all available information (Fama 1970). In the 1980s, 1990s, and 2000s, the efficient markets hypothesis suffered fundamental setbacks against the empirical reality of the 1987 US stock market crash, the meltdown in the Asian stock markets in the 1990s, the bursting of the technology stocks bubble in 2000, and the demise of the housing and sub-prime mortgages' bubble in 2007–09. None of these events is compatible with the fundamental valuation efficiency of the stock market. Alan Greenspan (1998) has commented on the reasons for the 1987 stock market crash and Asian stock market meltdown: "At one point the economic system appears stable, the next it behaves as though a dam has reached a breaking point, and water (read 'confidence') evacuates the reservoir. The

⁵ See also students of behavioral finance, such as contributions by Barberis and Thaler (2003).

United States experienced such a sudden change with the decline in stock prices of more than 20 percent on October 19, 1987. There is no credible scenario that can readily explain so abrupt a change in the fundamentals of long-term valuations on that one day." Kindleberger ([1978], 1989) similarly documented about 30 cases of unwarranted euphoria and excessive pessimism on the stock markets since the South Sea bubble of 1720. He termed these episodes manias, panics, and crashes.

James Tobin (1984) made an analytically useful distinction between two kinds of efficiency of stock markets. The first is information arbitrage efficiency, which ensures that all information concerning a firm's shares immediately percolates to all stock market participants, ensuring that no participant can make a profit on such public information. The second is fundamental valuation efficiency, which ensures that share prices accurately reflect a firm's fundamentals: namely, its long-term expected profitability. The growing consensus view is that, in these terms, stock markets may at best be regarded as being efficient in the first sense but are far from being efficient in the economically more important second sense). Thus the efficient markets hypothesis, as identified in information arbitrage efficiency, is compatible with share prices not reflecting fundamental values. A prominent supporter of the efficient markets hypothesis, Fischer Black (1986), observed this in his presidential address to the American Finance Association, noting that the efficient market theory of the stock market "seems reasonable" if we adopt the right definition of "efficient." He defined "efficient" to mean that individual company stock prices are between half true value and twice true value almost all the time, and he defined "almost all" the time to mean at least 90 percent.⁶ This illustrates, at a very conservative level, the difference between theory and empirical reality in relation to the orthodox hypothesis. A more detailed discussion, as well as other examples of share prices evidently departing from their fundamentals for prolonged periods, is provided in Singh and others (2005).

Apart from the day-to-day mispricing of share prices on the stock market—which is particularly likely to be severe in developing countries because their firms do not have a long track record— share prices in developing countries are more volatile than in advanced countries.⁷ The volatility of share prices, however, is a negative feature of stock markets for several reasons. First, it reduces the efficiency of the price signals in allocating investment resources. Second, it

ch country. 15151515151515151515

increases the riskiness of investments and may discourage risk-averse corporations from financing their growth via equity issues and indeed from seeking a stock market listing at all. Third, at the macroeconomic level, a highly volatile stock market may lead to financial fragility for the entire economy (Singh 1997).

Takeovers and Bankruptcy as Disciplinary Mechanisms

It is important in this context for Islamic scholars favoring the establishment of stock markets to also bear in mind that the stock market often "spontaneously" leads to the development of a market for corporate control. Such a market exists in countries like the United States and the United Kingdom, and plays an active role in these economies. In developing countries, this market exists so far only in a rudimentary form. This is because in most of these countries, stock markets are immature and lack sufficient separation of ownership from control. Nevertheless, developing country governments come under pressure from big players in the system to establish a free market for corporate control. Parenthetically, this market for corporate control is regarded by traditional economics as the evolutionary end point of stock market development.

Empirical evidence, however, is all to the contrary. Research shows that the takeover mechanism as it works in the real world is highly flawed. Selection in the market for corporate control takes place not on the basis of performance alone, but on the basis of size, as well. Thus, a large relatively unprofitable firm has a greater chance of survival than a small profitable firm. This has adverse consequences for economic efficiency.⁸ The market for corporate control, instead of being a vehicle for economic efficiency, exacerbates the shortcomings of the stock markets by encouraging speculative takeovers of whole companies rather than just buying and selling of a few shares of individual companies. Thus, in the non-Islamic world, in conventional economic terms, neither the pricing mechanism nor the takeover mechanism are helpful to economic efficiency and development.

The third issue is the effectiveness of the exit mechanism for failed companies, such as delisting from the stock market or bankruptcy. The important disciplinarian role of the exit

⁸ See Tichy (2002); Scherer (2006); Singh (2008).

mechanism is that failed institutions should exit and that there are consequences for failure. Many emerging market stock markets do not work well because of the lack of enforcement of rules or not allowing more delisting and bankruptcy of failed companies. Indeed, in a number of cases, governments have been known to intervene in stock markets to bail out companies in trouble. However, not all interventions to prevent the closure of large firms in developed or developing economies are necessarily wrong. The orthodox view is that such action only engenders more moral hazard problems, eroding the disciplinary role of financial markets. However, a full cost-benefit analysis of the proposed intervention is required, including not just the financial outcomes but also the relevant social costs and benefits, before it can be concluded that the cost of intervention is too high in terms of erosion of financial market discipline. It is also worth noting that one way in which takeovers may be helpful is the case of a declining company that may be acquired by before it fails.

Stock Market Efficiency in Non-Islamic Markets: Further Lessons for Islamic Markets

The main lesson that the architects of Islamic stock markets should draw from the complex literature on share price determination and the takeover mechanism is that there are broadly two kinds of agents who participate in the stock market. One of these is interested in maximizing the long-term value of the company in which he or she is investing. The second is a trader who is interested only in the share prices and whether he or she can make an immediate profit on the basis of the analysis of these prices and their movements over time. The second category of investor is not at all interested in the long-term value. Such investors try to forecast the psychology of the market and ascertain how they can profit from it. The main implication for the Islamic stock market is that in a conventional market, both kinds of individuals or economic agents will be present. In order to achieve its long-term goals, the Islamic stock market must encourage the former and attempt to discourage the latter type of activity. Short-termism will run counter to Shari'ah laws, but it is difficult to provide proof of this behavior because no one will admit that they were playing the market rather than seeking long-term maximization of the value of the firm in which they had invested. As suggested by the Kay Review, the ideas of

trust, stewardship, and straightforward honesty will need to be brought to bear on this fundamental issue.

To avoid the difficulties of immature markets—which are bound to arise in developing countries due to the operations of a market for corporate control—an alternative form of regulation is to be much more cautious in allowing the takeover market to emerge. Research shows that takeovers represent a very costly mechanism for changing firm management, especially when takeover bids are contested. Even when they are not contested, the transaction costs tend to be high.⁹ Takeovers and mergers also greatly increase the dangers of short-termism, and encourage speculation and financial engineering, rather than the pursuit of the classic capitalist goals of reducing costs and producing new products. Importantly, neither Germany nor Japan nor any of the successful East Asian newly industrialized countries had a market for corporate control during their development.

Research also shows that such a market is arbitrary and uncertain in its effects. There is no perfect solution to the need for change in management and ownership. Proponents of the Anglo-American system argue that the Darwinian process of creative destruction requires takeovers as means of accelerating change. Opponents argue that such bidding wars are costly and the change has not necessarily benefited investors, due to the asset-stripping behavior that regulators cannot always prevent. Developing countries must find a cheaper way of changing firm management than the takeover mechanism that currently works in countries like the United States and the United Kingdom.

Issues of Globalization and of Long-Term Growth for Islamic Stock Markets

The discussion that follows briefly considers the growth question first, as it is in some ways easier to answer. This is what was referred to earlier as the natural progression theory, which asserts that as economies grow, so does the stock market, which is therefore an emblem of development. It will take us too far afield to discuss this theory in depth. However, even broadbrush evidence on this issue is telling. For example, it is useful to note that the economic

⁹ See Peacock and Bannock (1991).

miracles that occurred in the second half of the twentieth century can hardly be ascribed to the stock market and its development. Thus, in Europe, the Italian miracle (very fast growth), the German miracle, and the Austrian miracle, and in Asia, the justly famous miracles of the Republic of Korea or Taiwan, China, did not depend conspicuously on the equity or bond markets in these countries. Similarly, an examination of comparative growth rates over a long hundred-year time span indicates that bank-based countries (such as Germany and Japan) have as good, if not better, a long-term record than the United States and the United Kingdom. Pagano (1993) notes that the Italian stock market was bigger 100 years ago than it was in 1990. The Italian economy evidently grew during these 100 years without any expansion of its stock market. There are wide inter-country differences in the size of the stock market relative to GDP in various European countries. In the United Kingdom, the ratio of stock market value to GDP is five times larger than in Denmark, Finland, France, and Germany, and six times larger than in Italy and Norway. Pagano (1993) reports that in Italy, not only has the number of listed companies stagnated for nearly a century, but the total worth of companies trading has not kept pace with the economy as a whole. At the turn of the century (1906), total market capitalization of Italian firms was 26.3 percent, compared to 12.1 percent in 1991. Explaining inter-country differences in the incidence of stock market capitalization, the number of companies listed on the stock market, and related questions are subjects for research that cannot be pursued here because these lie outside the scope of the present study.

The discussion now turns to the impact of globalization on Islamic stock markets. Simply and starkly put, Islamic stock markets cannot operate independently from non-Islamic financial markets.

First, contagion will always occur, due to arbitrage activities between markets, even though such arbitrage may not be either legal or permissible under Shari'ah rules.

Second, the fact that current global markets are highly distorted in terms of interest rates, exchange rates, different tax rates, and policy regimes means that there will be impact on Islamic stock markets.

Third, the regulatory rules governing Islamic stock markets and non-Islamic stock markets will be different, primarily because Islamic finance has Shari'ah rules that govern the moral foundations of Islamic markets. In the final analysis, the Islamic stock market is only as strong as its technical and legal infrastructure; the quality of listed companies' financial

intermediaries; and the way that Islamic regulators, courts, and interpretation of Shari'ah laws reinforce trust in the Islamic financial system and avoid the moral hazard and egregious behavior that currently mar and undermine non-Islamic markets.

Fourth, if non-Islamic financial markets suffer from short-termism and lack of trust, can Islamic markets protect themselves from "infection"?

The bottom line is that currently, non-Islamic stock markets suffer from the curse that modern finance appears to be serving itself rather than serving the real sector. Being built on moral foundations, Islamic stock markets must demonstrate that they serve the real sector more effectively, more equitably, and more sustainably.

How can we induce the financial system to serve the real sector? The answer is that if the financial system makes money, while the real sector is losing money, finance is not symbiotic or aligned with the real sector. Finance as a service industry can prosper only when its principal, the real sector, prospers. Therefore, the incentives that drive finance must be aligned with the real sector, not just in the short term, but in the long term as well. To do so, the marginal revenue from serving the real sector must be equal to the marginal cost of doing so. In other words, profits from toxic excessive leverage should be taxed or regulated—and if need be, incentives should be provided for lending to the real sector. The current global regulatory reforms do not address this basic distortion in incentives.

In that regard, we are convinced that conventional finance theory and its practice has forgotten its institutional history. Finance grew out of serving the real sector, with a foundation in moral ethics and trust, and acting as the credit disciplinarian and corporate governance steward for borrowers and listed companies. Indeed, in the first decade of the last century, legal trusts were ubiquitous on the stock market and the dealings on the market were expected to be trustworthy, not just in a legal sense, but in terms of normal usage of the word "trust." To cite a small but pertinent example, at the beginning of the twentieth century in England, there were 19 provincial stock exchanges in cities like Birmingham and Manchester. Economic historians note that they performed very useful functions, including raising substantial amounts of equity capital for local firms, and worked on the basis of trust rather than formal legal rules. However, none of these provincial exchanges function today. Economies of scale enjoyed by the London stock

market have overwhelmed all the small stock exchanges.¹⁰

Indeed, with the arrival of modern finance theory and derivative financing, the game has become global, impersonal, and a celebration of private greed at public expense. When financial markets become impersonal and too large and too complex to manage, they can lose their moral bearings. Personal accountability becomes lost in impersonal "public responsibility." Everybody's money is nobody's money, so liberal monetary creation for all loses all sense of responsibility. It is as if inflation is good for all. It may be good for those with huge debt overhang, but the poor and those with holdings of paper assets will suffer.

This analogy of provincial stock exchanges with the Islamic stock market will be valid only if the latter remains small and relatively local. It does underscore the point that the role of financial intermediaries in safeguarding the moral bearings of Islamic finance is critical. They must start small in helping the SMEs to raise funds for trade, investments, and risk taking. This calls for a very different approach from the "mega-markets" of centralizing liquidity through sheer scale. The Islamic stock market will also have to discourage any strategic pricing that is not concerned with enhancing the long-term value of corporations; be on guard against the threat of contagion; and try to avoid any negative feedback loops that may arise from the interaction between the stock market and the foreign exchange market in a crisis situation.

Conclusion

Currently, there is widespread dissatisfaction with the stock markets in advanced countries, including the United States and the United Kingdom, where such markets have been historically important. The UK Equity Market (Kay) Review is most illuminating. It recommends a root and branch change in the conduct of the stock markets. It calls for long-term relationships among all participants in the equity chain: relationships that are based on respect and trust and involve notions of stewardship and mutual respect. The report also suggests that the whole ethos of the stock market should change to permit the exercise of appropriate values of

¹⁰ It is also interesting to note in this context that banks and financial institutions in Canada fared far better than their counterparts in other advanced economies during the recent financial crisis because they stayed closer to their roots, did not overextend themselves either across borders or in terms of leverage, and continued to be very conservative and traditional in their values. The authors are grateful to the editors for this point.

trust, stewardship, and honesty.

In essence, since stock markets are systems, the long-term viability and sustainability of systems depend on the tradeoff among three overlapping but often conflicting objectives: efficiency in resource allocation; stability and resilience to internal and external shocks; and fairness and equity, enabling all to access the market in a competitive manner. The fact that stock markets, like all systems, require oversight and regulation, particularly during crises, means that government intervention is inevitable. The current crisis has amply demonstrated that the efficient markets hypothesis assumed that efficiency would take care of stability and social equity.

It is the central contention of this study that the proponents of Islamic stock markets will find it easier to implement Kay's reform program for the stock market than their U.K. counterparts. The strong ethical basis of the Islamic stock market gives it a decisive edge in meeting the requirements of the Kay Review. Indeed, it may come to pass that the tutor and the pupil reverse their roles. The U.K. stock market may well learn from the experience of the Islamic stock market, with its strong ethical underpinnings.

The advocates of Islamic stock markets in various country settings should regard the project of establishing such markets a long-term commitment that may take two to three decades to complete.¹¹ They should begin by concentrating on the financing needs of the excluded, particularly SMEs, and by implementing the spirit of the Kay Review, which is very much in accord with Islamic ethics. It may also be useful to start the actual establishment of Islamic stock markets in a small number of Muslim countries on a national basis in the first instance. However, as people come to know one another and as the knowledge base and experience expand, the national stock markets may integrate into regional or international Islamic stock markets. Developing markets is a process of learning and adapting.

The second major lesson is that advocates of Islamic stock markets should not permit a market for corporate control to arise either "spontaneously" or by design. This is because, as discussed, such a market leads to short-termism, speculation, rapid turnover of shares, and strategic pricing—all of which go against the grain of an Islamic stock market.

This raises an important question: If takeovers are not to be used to discipline errant or

¹¹ While there is only one basic concept of an Islamic stock market—which emphasizes trust and stewardship and prohibits speculative activity—the specific stock exchange rules will not necessarily be the same for each country; hence the discussion of "Islamic stock markets."

poorly performing firms, how should these firms be controlled? The answer is simple but extremely important. All firms should in the first instance be subject to the discipline of competition in their primary product and service markets. The exit mechanism in terms of bankruptcy laws and the court mechanisms should have higher priority in the policy agenda, rather than takeover mechanisms.

Islamic stock markets will have to devote more attention to the stability and equitable aspects of market systems through their ethical and moral base. Since Islamic finance investors and market participants are relatively new and inexperienced, it will take time to nurture the development of Islamic stock markets to balance the three objectives of stability, robustness, and fairness in a transparent and sustainable manner.

To put all the above into practice will not be easy, but this is a worthy exercise and challenge for Islamic finance practitioners. It is also a historic opportunity to enter the global market at a time when there is widespread dissatisfaction with the conduct of stock markets and related financial institutions in advanced countries.

References

- Allen, Franklin, and Douglas Gale. 2000. "Financial Contagion." *Journal of Political Economy* 108 (1): 1–33.
- Baker, Malcolm P., and Jeffrey A. Wurgler. 2007. "Investor Sentiment in the Stock Market." *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 21 (2): 129–52.
- Barberis, Nicholas, and Richard Thaler. 2003. "A Survey of Behavioral Finance." In *Handbook* of the Economics of Finance, 1st ed. Vol. 1, chap 18, 1053–1128. Elsevier.
- Black, Fischer. 1986. "Noise." *Journal of Finance* 41(3): 529–43. Also "Presidential Address," American Finance Association.
- Blanchard, Olivier, 2009. "The Crisis: Basic Mechanisms and Appropriate Policies." *CESifo Forum*, Ifo Institute for Economic Research at the University of Munich 10 (1): 3–14. <u>http://www.cesifo-group.de/portal/pls/portal/docs/1/1200909.PDF</u>
- El-Erian, M., and M. Kumar. 1995. "Emerging Equity Markets in Middle Eastern Countries." In Development of Financial Markets in the Arab Countries, Iran and Turkey, edited by Economic Research Forum (ERF) for Arab Countries, Iran and Turkey, 129–75. Cairo: Economic Research Forum (ERF) for Arab Countries, Iran and Turkey.
- Fama, Eugene F. 1970 "Efficient Capital Markets: A Review of Theory and Empirical Work." *Journal of Finance* 25 (2): 383–417.
- Glen, Jack, and Ajit Singh. 2003. "Capital Structure, Rates of Return and Financing Corporate Growth: Comparing Developed and Emerging Markets, 1994–00." Working Paper 265, ESRC Centre for Business Research, University of Cambridge.
- -----. 2005. "Corporate Governance, Competition, and Finance: Re-thinking Lessons from the Asian Crisis." *Eastern Economic Journal* 31 (2): 219–43.
- Greenspan, Alan. 1998. Speech before the annual Financial Markets Conference of the Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta, Miami Beach, Florida. http://www.federalreserve.gov/boarddocs/speeches/1998/19980227.htm
- Gügler, Klaus, and B. Burcin Yurtoglu. 2003. "Corporate Governance and Dividend Pay-out Policy in Germany." *European Economic Review* 47 (4): 731–58.

Gügler, Klaus, Dennis C.Mueller, and B. Burcin Yurtoglu. 2003. "<u>The Impact of Corporate</u> <u>Governance on Investment Returns in Developed and Developing Countries.</u>" *Economic Journal* <u>113 (491): F511–F539</u>.

- Hong, Harrison, and Jeremy C. Stein. 2007. "Disagreement and the Stock Market." *Journal of Economics Perspectives* 21 (2): 109–128.
- Kay, John. 2012. "The Kay Review of UK Equity Markets and Long-Term Decision Making." http://www.bis.gov.uk/kayreview.
- Keynes, John Maynard. 1936. *The General Theory of Employment Interest and Money*. New York: Harcourt, Brace and Company.

- Kindleberger, Charles. (1978) 1989. Manias, Panics, and Crashes: A History of Financial Crises. Reprint, New York: Basic Books. Revised and enlarged, 1989.
- Metwally, Mokhtar M. 1984. "The Role of the Stock Exchange in an Islamic Economy." *Journal* for Research in Islamic Economics 2 (1): 21–30.
- Mirakhor, Abbas. 2011. "Keynote Address: Epistemological Foundation of Finance: Islamic and Conventional." Foundations of Islamic Finance Conference Series, Epistemological Foundation of Finance: Islamic and Conventional, March 8–10.
- Myers, Stuart C., and N. S. Majluf. 1984. "Corporate Financing and Investment Decisions When Firms Have Information that Investors Do Not Have." *Journal of Financial Economics* 13 (2)" 187–221.
- Pagano, Marco. 1993. "Financial Markets and Growth: An Overview." *European Economic Review* 37 (2–3): 613–22.
- Pagano, Marco, Fabio Panetta, and Luigi Zingales. 1998. "Why Do Companies Go Public? An Empirical Analysis." *Journal of Finance* 53 (1): 27–64.
- Peacock, Alan, and Graham Bannock. 1991. "Corporate Takeovers and the Public Interest." Aberdeen University Press.
- Porter, Michael E. 1992. "Capital Disadvantage: America's Failing Capital Investment System." Harvard Business Review. <u>http://hbr.org/1992/09/capital-disadvantage-americas-failing-capital-investment-system/ar/1</u>
- Scherer, F. M. 2006. "A New Retrospective on Mergers." *Review of Industrial Organization* 28 (4): 327–41.
- Sheng, Andrew, and Ajit Singh. 2012. "The Challenge of Islamic Finance." <u>http://www.project-syndicate.org/commentary/the-challenge-of-islamic-finance</u>.
- Shiller, Robert J. 2000. Irrational Exuberance. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- -----. 2012. Finance and the Good Society. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Shleifer, A. 2000. *Inefficient Markets: An Introduction to Behavioral Finance*. Oxford University Press.
- Singh, Ajit. 1993. The Stock Market and Economic Development: Should Developing Countries Encourage Stock Markets? UNCTAD Review No. 4. http://cedeplar.ufmg.br/economia/disciplinas/ecn933a/crocco/Criacao_expansao_mercado_ capitais/SINGH~31.PDF
- -----. 1995. "Corporate Financial Patterns in Industrializing Economies: A Comparative International Study." IFC Scientific Paper No. 2, International Finance Corporation, Washington, DC.
- -----. 1997. "Financial Liberalisation, the Stock Market and Economic Development." *Economic Journal* May: 771–82.
- -----. 2003. "Competition, Corporate Governance and Selection in Emerging Markets." *Economic Journal* 113 (491): 443–64.
- -----. 2008. "Stock Markets in Low- and Middle-Income Countries" Working Paper 377, ESRC Centre for Business Research.

- Singh, Ajit, and Tabatabai Hamid, eds. 1993. *Economic Crisis and Third World Agriculture*. Cambridge University Press.
- Singh, A., J. Glen, A. Zammit, R. De Hoyos, A. Singh, Alaka, and B. Weisse. 2005. "Shareholder Value Maximisation, Stock Market and New Technology: Should the U.S. Corporate Model Be the Universal Standard?" *Asia-Europe Papers*, Discussion Paper No. 1, July 2005. Also published as CBR Working Paper 315. Subsequently published in *International Review of Applied Economics* 19 (4, October): 419–37.
- Solow, Robert M. 2009. "How to Understand the Disaster." *New York Review of Books* 56 (8, May 14). <u>http://www.nybooks.com/articles/archives/2009/may/14/how-to-understand-the-disaster</u>.
- Tichy, G. 2002. "What Do We Know about the Success and Failure of Mergers?" *Journal of Industry, Competition and Trade* 1 (4).
- Tobin, James. 1984. "A Mean-Variance Approach to Fundamental Valuations." Cowles Foundation Discussion Papers 711R, Cowles Foundation for Research in Economics, Yale University, New Haven, CT.