

Yield to Maturity Is Always Received as Promised

Cebula, Richard and Yang, Bill

Jacksonville University, Georgia Southern University

4 March 2007

Online at https://mpra.ub.uni-muenchen.de/53182/MPRA Paper No. 53182, posted 25 Jan 2014 13:42 UTC

Yield to Maturity Is Always Received as Promised

Richard J. Cebula¹ and Bill Z. Yang²

ABSTRACT

This note comments on a misconception that yield to maturity from holding a coupon bond until maturity is only promised, but not really received, unless coupon payments are reinvested at the same rate as the (original) yield to maturity. It shows that yield to maturity is always earned no matter how coupon payments are allocated — spent or reinvested at any rate. It illuminates that the realized compounding yield in fact measures the yield to maturity from a combination of two investments rather from simply holding the bond itself until maturity.

Introduction

Yield to maturity (YTM hereafter) is "the standard measure of the total rate of return of the bond over its life. This interest rate is often viewed as a measure of the average rate of return that will be earned on a bond if it is bought now and held until maturity" (Bodie, et al, 2002, p. 426). And it is considered "the most accurate measure of interest rate" (Mishkin, 2004, p. 64). Unfortunately, due to a fact that "yield to maturity will equal the rate of return realized over the life of the bond if all coupons are reinvested at an interest rate equal to the bond's yield to maturity (Bodie, et al, 2002, p. 429), YTM has been widely misinterpreted as "the true rate of return an investor would received by holding the security until its maturity if each ... interest payment is reinvested at the yield to maturity" (Strong, 2004, p.70, italic original). Similar interpretations can be also found in, to name a few, Reilly and Brown (1997, pp.530-531), Madura (1998, p. 217), and Fabozzi and Modigliani (2002, p. 364).

This note points out that the above-mentioned common treatment in many textbooks turns out to be a fallacy. The truth is that YTM on a (coupon) bond is always received regardless of how coupon payments are re-invested, provided that the bond is held until maturity without default. It addresses a basic question in bond theory: between YTM and realized compounding yield (RCY hereafter), which concept measures the true rate of return from holding a coupon bond until maturity? It is well accepted that YTM measures the rate of return from holding a bond until maturity for both coupon bond and zero-coupon bond as well. By definition, the YTM received from holding a bond is independent of how coupon payments are allocated, as long as they are paid on time as contracted. By comparing the initial investment and the final value accumulated over the investment horizon, on the other hand, RCY on a bond measures the rate of return from an account (or trust) that holds the bond and the interests paid. Of course, it depends on how coupon payments are reinvested. We demonstrate that the RCY actually measures the YTM from a combined investment - holding a coupon bond plus an additional periodic investment with each coupon payment received. Not surprisingly, YTM and RCY would be normally unequal; RCY equals YTM if and only if coupon payments are reinvested at the same rate as the initial YTM. However, this conclusion should not be interpreted as "the yield to maturity is actually received only if coupon payments are reinvested at the yield to maturity".

Yield to Maturity vs. Realized Compounding Yield

¹ Richard J. Cebula, Shirley and Philip Solomons Eminent Scholar Chair and Professor of Economics, Armstrong Atlantic State University, Savannah, GA 31419, Richard.cebula@armstrong.edu

² Bill Z. Yang, Associate Professor of Economics, Georgia Southern University, Statesboro, GA 30460-8151, billyang@georgiasouthern.edu

Yield to maturity (YTM) of a coupon bond is defined as the solution for variable y from the following equation

$$P = \sum_{t=1}^{N} \frac{C}{(1+y)^{t}} + \frac{F}{(1+y)^{N}},\tag{1}$$

where P is the purchase price of the bond, C is the periodical coupon payment, F is the face value and N is the term to maturity. The YTM measures the theoretic annual rate of return from this investment, provided the investor holds it until maturity and receives C per period as well as F at maturity as contracted. That is, the YTM is completely determined by the cash flows paid and received by the investor over the investment horizon. By definition, nothing has been assumed regarding how coupon payments are allocated — reinvested at a specific rate or simply spent when received. The only implicit assumption on coupon payments (and the par value) is that they are received on time as promised, i.e., no default.

Why do so many authors emphasize that the YTM is actually received *only if* the coupon payments are reinvested at the same rate as YTM? It stems from misinterpreting another measure of (annual) rate of return – realized compound yield (RCY), which is formally defined as follows:

$$RCY = -1 + \left[\frac{V_N}{P}\right]^{\frac{1}{N}}$$
 (2)

where P = funds initially invested (or initial purchase price), $V_N =$ current value accumulated from the investment at the end of period N. Note that RCY is determined exclusively by the initial investment and the final value accumulated from the investment without specifying the cash (in- or out-) flows on the investment during the investment horizon. Solving for P, we can rewrite (2) as

$$P = \frac{V_N}{(1 + RCY)^N}. ag{3}$$

It implies immediately from equation (3) that if an investor holds to maturity a zero-coupon bond that pays cash in-flows only at maturity, obviously, RCY = YTM.

Proposition 1. For a zero-coupon bond, RCY = YTM.

If an investor holds a coupon bond that pays cash in-flows periodically until maturity, the value accumulated from all cash in-flows at the end of investment horizon, V_N , depends on whether coupon payments are spent or reinvested, and at what rate if reinvested. Hence, RCY may or may not equal the YTM as calculated at the time of purchase.

To illuminate how the statement is incorrectly reached that YTM is actually received only if coupon payments are reinvested at YTM, we first show how RCY is linked with YTM from holding a coupon bond. For the purpose of exposition, we examine three different investments:

- 1) buying and holding a coupon bond until maturity;
- 2) (re)investing every coupon payment whenever received;
- holding a portfolio that combines these two investments.

³ When a coupon payment is received and simply spent rather than reinvested, it can be interpreted as being reinvested at a rate of 100%

Figure 1: Time lines of three different investments

Figure 1 above describes the time lines with cash flows of each of the three investments. Formally, let YTM_1 denote the YTM on holding the coupon bond until maturity. By definition, YTM_1 is determined in the following equation

$$P = \sum_{t=1}^{N} \frac{C}{(1+YTM_1)^t} + \frac{F}{(1+YTM_1)^N}.$$
 (1')

Clearly, YTM_1 is entirely determined by parameters P, C, F and N, and independent of how C's are allocated – simply spent or reinvested at any rate.

To invest an amount equal to the coupon payment periodically when they are received at a rate of y_r is another investment. The YTM from such a separate investment, denoted as YTM₂, is determined by its cash out-flows and in-flows as follows

$$0 = \sum_{t=1}^{N-1} \frac{-C}{(1+YTM_2)^t} + \frac{1}{(1+YTM_2)^N} \sum_{t=1}^{N-1} C(1+y_r)^t.$$
 (4)

Rewriting (4) as

$$\sum_{t=1}^{N-1} C(1 + YTM_2)^t = \sum_{t=1}^{N-1} C(1 + y_r)^t , \qquad (4')$$

we can obtain that $YTM_2 = y_r$.

Holding the coupon bond until maturity and reinvesting all coupon payments at a rate of y_r when received, as a matter of fact, combines the above two investments. Let YTM_{12} denote the YTM on this combined investment. From the time lines in Figure 1, it implies that it is determined in the following equation (5):

$$P = \frac{\sum_{t=0}^{N-1} (1+y_r)^t C + F}{(1+YTM_{12})^N}.$$
 (5)

⁴ For the uniqueness of solution to such an equation, see, for example, Theorem 6.2(d) on Descartes' Rule of sign, in Henrici (1974, p. 422).

Note that this combined investment is like a zero-coupon bond that does not generates any cash in-flows until maturity. Explicitly solving for YTM_{12} from equation (5), we obtain

$$YTM_{12} = -1 + \left[\frac{\sum_{t=0}^{N-1} (1+y_r)^t C + F}{P} \right]^{\frac{1}{N}}.$$
 (6)

Comparing equation (6) with equation (2), we have $YTM_{12} = RCY$ with all coupon payments being reinvested at a rate of y_r . Hence, RCY is essentially the YTM on the combined investment that holds the coupon bond and reinvests its coupon payments when received.

Then, how is RCY (= YTM₁₂) related to YTM₁? If $y_r = \text{YTM}_1$, then YTM₁ also solves equation (5), since YTM₁ solves equation (1). Note from (6) that RCY is an increasing function of y_r . The uniqueness of solution of equation (1) and the monotonicity of RCY in y_r imply that RCY = YTM₁ if and only if $y_r = \text{YTM}_1$. This is quite intuitive. By structure, RCY (= YTM₁₂) measures the annual rate of return from the combination of the first two investments. That is, RCY is a weighted average of YTM₁ and YTM₂. Therefore, when YTM₁ = YTM₂, their average, RCY, must be equal to both of them. We summarize the outcomes from above analysis in the following:

Proposition 2. For an investor who holds a coupon bond until maturity,

- (i) YTM₁ as defined in equation (1) measures the annual rate of return actually received by the bond investor, regardless of how coupon payments are re-invested, ie., independent of y_r .
- (ii) RCY = YTM₁₂ measures the yield to maturity from a combined investment of holding the bond until maturity plus reinvesting coupon payments at a rate of y_r .
- (iii) RCY \geq (<) YTM₁ if and only if $y_r \geq$ (<) YTM₁.

The Root of the Fallacy

The results summarized in Proposition 2 are quite clear and intuitive. However, result (i) has been ignored, though it can be easily seen from the definition of YTM per se; outcome (ii) has been probably overlooked and it is not recognized that RCY is in fact the YTM of a portfolio/trust; and the worst, conclusion (iii) has been misinterpreted as "YTM₁ (from holding the coupon bond until maturity) is actually received only if RCY = YTM₁." This misinterpretation simply tells students and readers that if a coupon bond is purchased at a price of P, the YTM as calculated from Equation (1) is only a promise to the bond holder at the time of purchase, but may not be really received, unless $P(1 + YTM)^N$ can be accumulated at the end of period N by reinvesting all coupon payments at the same rate as the initial YTM. Implicitly, the concept behind such a misinterpretation is that the RCY, rather than the YTM, should be the measure of rate of return on holding a bond per se to maturity.

Do bond investors have to reinvest all coupon payments at the same rate as YTM to earn such an annual rate of return by holding it until maturity? Let's look at a simple example. Two bond investors, A and B, both have bought the same coupon bond at the face value and hold it until maturity. When receiving the coupon payment, investor A always deposits the same amount of money as the coupon payments in a savings account that happens to offer the same interest rate as the coupon rate, whereas investor B has to rely on the coupon payments as a source of income to pay bills. At the time when the bond is mature, investor A has accumulated much more wealth due to reinvesting coupon payments and the power of compounding, but investor B only has the face value redeemed. So, what annual rate of return does each of two investors earn from holding the bond? Should we measure it with YTM₁ or RCY?

In this example, the two investors have earned the same YTM_1 but different RCY. For investor A, $RCY = YTM_1$, while for investor B, RCY = 0. Hence, if RCY is used to measure the annual rate of return actually *earned* from holding the bond, then investor A has earned an annual rate of return that is equal to

YTM₁, and investor B has a zero rate of return! If one tells invest B that he has earned nothing from holding the bond, he would either laugh or get very confused. By investing the amount of face value (F), investor B has received coupon payment (C) year in year out until maturity. Obviously, every year he has earned a rate of return of C/F (= YTM₁ in this example) while holding the bond. Indeed, investor A would have accumulated much more wealth than investor B over such an investment horizon. It is, however, not because the reinvestment makes A's coupon bond yield higher than B's bond does, but because the former has additional funds periodically invested.

To summarize, RCY does not measure the rate of return earned from holding the bond per se. Rather, it measures the rate of return earned from two investments – holding the bond plus saving a constant amount every period while holding the bond. When claiming YTM₁ is actually earned only if coupon payments are reinvested at YTM₁, one might have confused between YTM₁₂ (= RCY) and YTM₁; in fact, the former measures the rate of return from a portfolio or trust that holds the bond as well as its coupon payments, while the latter measures the rate of return from holding the bond per se no matter how coupon payments are reinvested. Confusion between the two investments may be the root of the fallacy.

Yield to Maturity or Realized Compounding Yield: A practical discussion

As analyzed above, we articulate that YTM and RCY are two different and important methods to measure the rate of return from investments. Basically, YTM measures the rate of return from holding a bond no matter how coupon payments are disposed, while RCY measures the rate of return from holding the bond *plus* re-investing coupon payments when received.

Hence, in practice investors with different purposes for investment may want to use different measure when making an investment decision. Specifically, if one decides to spend the coupon payments when received, then YTM should be used to measure the rate of return from holding a coupon bond. If instead, one plans to save every penny for the future and likes to know his rate of return from the investment portfolio, then he should consider RCY as the measure of the rate of return from the (combined) investments since they include re-investment as well. Moreover, if one is mostly concerned about re-investment risk, then zero-coupon bond is a better vehicle (than coupon bond) in investment since RCY = YTM for zero-coupon bond and there is no re-investment risk at all.

References

Bodie, Zvi, Alex Kane, and Alan J. Marcus, 2002. Investments, 5th edition, McGraw-Hill Irwin

Fabozzi, Frank, J. and Franco Modigliani, 2002. Capital markets – Institutions and Instruments, 3rd edition, Prentice Hall

Henrici, Peter, 1974. Applied and computational complex analysis, vol. 1, John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

Madura, Jeff, 1998. Financial markets and institutions, 4th edition, South Western College Publishing

Mishkin, Frederic S., 2004. The economics of money, banking and financial markets, 7th edition, Pearson Addison Wesley

Reilly, Frank K., and Keith C Brown, 1997. Investment analysis and portfolio management, 5th edition, Dryden

Strong, Robert A., 2004. Practical investment management, 3rd edition, Thomas Southwestern