

# Exploring the relation between urbanization and residential CO2 emissions in China: a PTR approach

Hu, Zongyi and Tang, Liwei

College of Finance and Statistics, Hunan University

November 2013

Online at https://mpra.ub.uni-muenchen.de/55379/ MPRA Paper No. 55379, posted 17 Apr 2014 05:48 UTC

# Exploring the relation between urbanization and residential CO<sub>2</sub> emissions

in China: a PTR approach

Zongyi Hu, Liwei Tang

College of Finance and Statistics, Hunan University

### Abstract

Recent empirical work suggests that urbanization and residential  $CO_2$  emissions are related. This paper investigates the nonlinear impact of urbanization on residential  $CO_2$  emissions over the period 1997–2011 in China by applying the Candelon et al. (2012) methodology. The results show that the relationship between urbanization and residential  $CO_2$  emissions is negative over the sample which is inconsistent with the previous studies. In addition, we find the absolute difference of the estimated coefficients in two regimes of urbanization is significant. Keywords: Panel threshold model, urbanization, residential  $CO_2$  emissions.

Keywords: Residential CO<sub>2</sub> emissions; Urbanization; PTR model

JEL classification: C2, R1

# **1** Introduction

The global climate change which is mainly caused by carbon dioxide ( $CO_2$ ) emissions<sup>1</sup> has received increasing attention from researchers, politicians and whole society during the past two decades. The combustion of fossil fuels is the main source of  $CO_2$ . It is estimated that the world's  $CO_2$  emissions rose from 18.04 billion ton in 1980 to 30.28 billion ton in 2010, and the residential  $CO_2$  emissions have grown to 1.88 billion ton in 2010, which is accounting for 6.2%, 30.4% in total  $CO_2$  emissions, Manufacturing industries and construction in 2010 (IEA, 2012), respectively. These figures tell us that residential  $CO_2$  emissions is an important source of total  $CO_2$  emissions, but it might have been largely ignored and the nexus between residential  $CO_2$  emissions, economic growth and urbanization received little attention from academics. Most of these studies only investigate the relationship between urbanization and total  $CO_2$  emissions, such as Parikh and Shukla (1995);

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  CO<sub>2</sub> accounted for about 76.7% of total anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions in 2004 (IPCC, 2007).

Cole and Neumayer (2004); York (2007); York et al. (2003); Fan et al. (2006); Martínez-Zarzoso and Maruotti (2011); Zhu et al. (2012); Al-mulali et al.(2013). Poumanyvong and Kaneko (2012) is the first one as far as we know, who make an elaborated analysis on the relation between urbanization and residential  $CO_2$  emissions, and they constructed a regression model of 88 countries over the period 1975–2005 to study the overall impact of urbanization on residential  $CO_2$  emissions. The results indicate that there is a U-shape nexus between urbanization and residential  $CO_2$  emissions in pooled groups and low-income group, a positive relation in middle-income group and inverted U-shape relation in high-income group. Other literatures only analyses the scale or influential factors of residential  $CO_2$  emissions, such as Liu, Wang(2012); Fan, Liao, Liang(2013); Zhu, Peng, Wu(2012); Donglan, Dequn, Peng (2010).

In short, though the relation between urbanization and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions do exist in existing literatures, the relationship between of them varies across the countries, which is largely determined by their level of income and development. There is one limitation, however, to all these papers, all of them chose their threshold levels to identify the relation according to the criteria of World Bank, rather than estimating these parameters from the sample, which may lead to bias. In this paper, we explore the relationship between urbanization and residential CO<sub>2</sub> emissions based on panel threshold model (PTR model) which can determine the income threshold by the data itself. In addition, as far as we known, our paper is the first one studying the relation between urbanization and residential CO<sub>2</sub> emissions using the Chinese data in a PTR model. The contribution of this article is as follow: First, we calculate the residential CO<sub>2</sub> emissions which are burning from fossil fuels from 30 provinces in China over the period 1997-2011. Second, the econometric model we used can overcome the bias which caused from select threshold levels arbitrarily. Our empirical results show that the regime effect is significant, the relation between urbanization and residential CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, however, is not an inverted U-shape or linear relationship, and thus our findings are inconsistent with the previous study. When the level of income is under the threshold, the effect of urbanization on residential CO<sub>2</sub> emissions is negative, but it is not significant, and the absolute of coefficient is somewhat lower: a 10% increase in urbanization leads to only a 1% decline in residential CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Once the regime is stepped over, a 10% increase in

urbanization leads to a 9% decrease in residential  $CO_2$  emissions, and more importantly, the negative impact of urbanization on residential  $CO_2$  emissions becomes significant.

The remainder of our paper is structured as follows. The next section briefly reviews PTR model. Section 3 introduces the data and empirical results for the nexus between urbanization and residential  $CO_2$  emissions. Finally, section 4 concludes.

#### 2 Theoretical framework and econometric methods

In this paper, we make use of the STIRPAT model (Stochastic Impacts by Regression on Population, Affluence, and Technology, Dietz and Rosa, 1997; York et al., 2003) to analysis the impact of urbanization on residential CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. The model specification of STIRPAT model is as follows:

$$I_i = a P_i^b A_i^c T_i^d \varepsilon_i \tag{1}$$

Where *I*, *P*, *A* and *T* represents total environmental impact, population size, GDP per capita, and technology. *a*, *b*, *c* and *d* are to be estimated parameters and  $\varepsilon$  denote the error term. Technology (*T*) of the STIRPAT model varied according to the types of environment impact being studied (Poumanyvong and Kaneko, 2012). To capture the impact of urbanization on residential CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, we use urbanization to express *T* to estimate the STIRPAT model. Taking logarithmically in two sides of Eq.(1), we get the empirical model for the panel data of residential CO<sub>2</sub> emissions:

$$lnresico2_{it} = \mu_i + \beta_1 lnpop_{it} + \beta_2 lnpgdp + \beta_3 lnurban_{it} + \varepsilon_{it}$$
(2)

Where  $\mu_i$  is the region individual effect which captures the unobserved region-specific effect.  $resico2_{it}$  denotes the amount of residential CO<sub>2</sub> emissions of country *i* at year *t*. *pop* refers to the population size. *pgdp* is per capita GDP. *urban* represents the urbanization. As was previously mentioned, in this paper, we introduce nonlinearity in order to identify the real relation between urbanization and residential CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, a solution was to make use of a PTR model that proposed by Candelon et al.(2012). And this study only takes into account two regimes:

$$lnresico2_{it} = \begin{cases} \mu_i + \beta_{10} lnpop_{it} + \beta_{20} lnpgdp + \beta_{30} lnurban_{it} + \varepsilon_{it} & q_{it} \le \lambda \\ \mu_i + \beta_{11} lnpop_{it} + \beta_{21} lnpgdp + \beta_{31} lnurban_{it} + \varepsilon_{it} & q_{it} > \lambda \end{cases}$$
(3)

Where  $q_{it}$  refers to a threshold variable pgdp and  $\lambda$  refers to a threshold parameter. PTR model can we rewritten as:

$$lnresico2_{it} = \mu_i + \delta'_1 X_{it} I_{(q_{it} \le \lambda)} + \delta'_2 X_{it} I_{(q_{it} > \lambda)} + \varepsilon_{it}$$
(4)

Where  $\delta'_j = (\mu_j \beta_{1j} \beta_{2j} \beta_{3j})'$  for j = 1,2 and  $X_{it} = (lnpop_{it} lnpgdp_{it} lnurban_{it})'$ and  $I_{(\cdot)}$  is the indicator function,  $\varepsilon_{it} \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ . For any given  $\lambda$ , the slope coefficient  $\delta'_1$ and  $\delta'_2$  can be estimated by OLS after the fixed-effects transformation. Conditional to a value of  $\lambda$ , it is possible to compute the sum of squared errors, represent as  $S_1(\lambda)$ :

$$S_1(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{\varepsilon}_{it}^2(\lambda)$$
(5)

The threshold parameter  $\lambda$  can be estimated by minimizing  $S_1(\lambda)$ :

$$\hat{\lambda} = \arg\min_{\lambda} S_1(\lambda) \tag{6}$$

Because we consider pgdp as the unique therehold variable in the model, then there is only one mian problem with this threshold model. The problem is to test the threshold model, and the two regimes null hypothesis is  $H_0: \delta_1 = \delta_2$ . When the original hypothesis is rejected, the threshold effect is significant, implying that the relationship between urbanization and residential CO<sub>2</sub> is nonlinear. The detail of the PTR model can be referred to Candelon et al.(2012).

#### **3** Data and empirical results

#### 3.1 estimate residential CO<sub>2</sub> emissions

The panel data set is over the period 1997–2011 for 30 provinces of China<sup>2</sup>. First, we have to calculate the residential  $CO_2$  emissions because there is no official data regarding this variable. Residential  $CO_2$  emissions of this study come from the direct consumption of fossil fuels of city resident and rural resident which do not include indirect consumption of fuels and the biofuels used in countryside. The Formula to estimate residential  $CO_2$  emissions which refer to IPCC is as follow:

$$resico2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} E_i \times NCV_i \times CC_i \times COF_i \times \frac{4}{12} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} E_i \times EF_i$$
(7)

Where *resico*2 denotes the total residential CO<sub>2</sub> emissions,  $E_i$ ,  $NCV_i$ ,  $CC_i$ ,  $COF_i$ represents the consumption, Net Calorific Values, Carbon Emission Factor and Carbon Oxidation Factor of the ith energy.  $EF_i$  refers to the CO<sub>2</sub> emission coefficient of fuel *i*. We

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Tibet is excluded because of data availabilities, Taiwan, Hong kong and Aomen are not considered in this paper.

compute the residential CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from fossil fuel burning which include raw coal, cleaned coal, washed coal, briquettes, coke, coke oven gas, other gas, crude oil, gasoline, kerosene, diesel oil, fuel oil, LPG, refinery gas, natural gas, other Petroleum product, LNG, converter gas, blast furnace gas. All the data on fossil fuels are compiled from the total final consumption item of regional energy balance tables in China Energy Statistical Yearbook. All of the emission coefficients refer to Su et al.(2010), National Coordination Committee Office on Climate Change and Energy Research Institute of the National Development and Reform Commission (NCOCCERINDRC,2007) and IPCC (2006). We substitute some fuels' emission coefficients which cannot find its emission coefficients by the similar fuel. Moreover, we adjust the Carbon Oxidation Factor according to NCOCCERINDRC(2007).

Fig.1 plots the total residential  $CO_2$  emissions from 1995 to 2011 by region. Based on Fig.1, we find that total residential  $CO_2$  emissions keep declining before 2000, but raise dramatically after 2000. Residential  $CO_2$  emissions for three regions almost have no differences before 2005, while the differences become Significant between east and the others after 2005 which means that more fossil fuels are made use of in the east region than center and west. Table 1 provides a detailed description of all the variables and the data source used in this paper.



Fig 1 residential CO<sub>2</sub> emissions by area

Variables	Definitions	Unit	Obs	Mean	Std.dev	Min	Max
рор	population	ten thousand	450	4268.97	2578.05	496	10504.85
pgdp	GDP per capita	RMB (2000 prices)	450	15540.88	12902.26	2172.73	68639.27
urban	urbanization	percent	450	33.93	16.04	14.04	89.32
resico2	residential CO <sub>2</sub>	ten thousand ton	450	974.96	638.81	6.00	3199.89

Table 1 Description of the variables

Notes: all the data are from China Statistical Yearbook, China Population Statistics Yearbook, China Population and Employment Statistics Yearbook, and China Energy Statistical Yearbook. Urbanization is defined as the percentage of non-agricultural population to total population.

#### **3.2 empirical results**

The empirical results are reported in Table 2. The single threshold test result (F=40.03, p = 0.00) is higher significant which suggests that there is a significant nonlinear relation between urbanization and residential CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. The estimated parameters of the PTR model with two regimes are listed in the Lower part of Table 2. The corresponding t-statistics are corrected for heteroskedasticity. We find that the impact of urbanization on residential  $CO_2$  emissions is negative when per capita GDP is less than the threshold (25719.38). It is inconsistent with Poumanyvong and Kaneko(2012) while in a lower income group. The coefficient of urbanization denotes that a 10% increase in urbanization leads to a 1.2% decline in residential  $CO_2$  emissions, however, it is not significant (t=-0.7453). The relation between urbanization and residential CO<sub>2</sub> emissions is not clear. When per capita GDP exceeds 25719.38 which means a middle or higher income group, the effect of urbanization on residential CO<sub>2</sub> emissions is negative and significant, and a 10% increase in urbanization leads to a 8.8% decline in residential CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Furthermore, the relationship between population and residential CO<sub>2</sub> emissions is positive and more importantly, it is significant, the estimated coefficient in two different regimes is 0.3618 and 0.3971, respectively. It is almost a linear relation between them which means a 10% increase in population size leads to about 4% increase in residential CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. The estimated coefficient of GDP per capita is positive in two regimes which indicate the nexus between GDP per capita and residential CO<sub>2</sub> emissions is positive in the whole sample. When per capita GDP is over the threshold,

the absolute of the estimated coefficient, however, is increasing which do not consistent with the EKC hypothesis.

Test for single threshold	F	p-value		
	40.03	0.00		
Threshold estimates	λ	95% confidences interval		
	25719.38	[20607.29, 33193.19]		
coefficients estimates	Coefficient	t-statistics		
Regime1: $q_{it} \leq \lambda$				
urban	-0.1246	-0.7453		
рор	0.3618	1.6278		
pgdp	0.2714	6.1495		
Regime 2: $q_{it} > \lambda$				
urban	-0.8786	-3.8233		
рор	0.3971	1.6538		
pgdp	0.5434	5.9656		

Table 2 Estimation results

Notes: P-value for the test of single threshold is computed from 300 simulations.

# **4** Conclusion

This paper examines the urbanization-residential  $CO_2$  emissions relation by using the STIRPAT model. Under controlling the population size and per capita income, we find a nonlinear relation between urbanization and residential  $CO_2$  emissions is different from the previous studies and this paper shed new light on urbanization-residential  $CO_2$  emissions relation.

# Acknowledgements

We think Professor Dr. Christophe Hurlin for providing the program code. This research is supported by the National Social Science Foundation of China (12AJL007).

## References

Al-mulali, U., Fereidouni, HG., Lee, JYM., et al, 2013. Exploring the relationship

between urbanization, energy consumption, and  $CO_2$  emission in MENA countries. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews 23, 107-12.

Cole, M.A., Neumayer, E., 2004. Examing the impact of demographic factors on air pollution. Pollution and Environment 26, 5–21.

Candelon, B., Colletaz, G. and Hurlin, C., 2012. Network Effects and Infrastructure Productivity in Developing Countries. Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics. doi: 10.1111/j.1468-0084.2012.00722.x

Dietz, T., Rosa, E.A., 1997. Effects of population and affluence on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Proceedings of the National Academy of Siences USA 94, 175-179.

Donglan, Z., Dequn, Z., Peng, Z., 2010. Driving forces of residential CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in urban and rural China: An index decomposition analysis. Energy Policy 38, 77-83.

Fan, Y., Liu, L.-C., Wu, G., Wei, Y.-M., 2006. Analyzing impact factors of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions using the STIRPAT model. Environmental Impact Assessment Review 26, 377–395.

Fan, J-L., Liao, H., Liang, Q-M., et al., 2013. Residential carbon emission evolutions in urban–rural divided China: An end-use and behavior analysis. Applied Energy 101, 323-32.

Hansen, B. E., 1999. Threshold effects in non-dynamic panels: estimation, testing and inference, Journal of Econometrics 93, 345–368.

Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), 2007. Climate Change 2007: Synthesis Report IOCC, Geneva.

Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), 2006. 2006 IPCC guidelines for national greenhouse gas inventories. Available at:. www.ipcc.ch.

International Energy Agency (IEA), 2012. CO<sub>2</sub> EMISSIONS FROM FUEL COMBUSTION Highlights (2012 Edition) .World energy outlook. Paris: IEA.

Liu, W., Wang, C., Mol, APJ., 2012. Rural residential CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in China: Where is the major mitigation potential? . Energy Policy 51,223-32.

Martinez-Zarzoso, I.,Maruotti,, 2011. The impact of urbanization on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions: Evidence from developing countries. Ecological Economics 70, 1344–1353.

National Coordination Committee Office on Climate Change and Energy Research Institute under the National Development and Reform Commission (2007). National greenhouse gas inventory of the People's Republic of China. Beijing: Chinese Environmental Science Press (In Chinese).

Poumanyvong, P., Kaneko, S., Dhakal, S., 2012. Impacts of urbanization on national residential energy use and CO2 emissions : Evidence from low-, middle- and high-income countries, IDEC DP2 Series Vol.2 no.5 page.1-35 (201201), Unpublished results.

Su, M., Fu, Z-H., Xue, W., et al., 2010. design and conception of carbon tax policy under new situation, Sub National Fiscal Research, 9-13.(In Chinese).

York, R., 2007. Demographic trends and energy consumption in european union nations, 1960–2025, Social Science Research 36, 855-872.

York, R., Rosa, E.A., Dieta, T., 2003. STIRPAT, IPAT and ImPACT: analytic tools for unpacking the driving forces of environmental impacts. Ecological Economics 46, 351–365.

Zhu, H-M., You, W-H., Zeng, Z-f., 2012. Urbanization and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions: A semi-parametric panel data analysis. Economics Letters 117, 848-50.

Zhu, Q., Peng, X., Wu, K., 2012. Calculation and decomposition of indirect carbon emissions from residential consumption in China based on the input–output model. Energy Policy 48, 618-26.