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Introduction

The Coca-Cola Company of Atlanta, Georgia is the world’s largest provider of carbonated soft drinks with an over 50% market share worldwide. Atlanta pharmacist John Pemberton created the drink in 1886 as a fountain beverage which contained syrup and water, and the extract of kola nuts and coca leaves. By 1898, the beverage was available at drug store counters in all states as well as Canada and Mexico.¹

Brand Recognition

Today the flagship Coca-Cola Classic (now without cocaine) is the world’s most popular soft drink and along with other Coke products is available in over 200 countries. 1.1 billion servings of Coca-Cola beverages are served every single day. More importantly, the beverage which defined the carbonated cola category continues to maintain strong brand recognition everywhere. The trademark coke bottle or red can with the Coca-Cola wave device, whether written in Hindi, Chinese, or Hebrew, is ubiquitous and is universally recognized by nearly everyone, whether literate or not, in places where the cross of the Christian church has not even been seen.² Truly, Coca-Cola is an American business success story.

Competition

In its core competency, the Coca-Company has only one serious competitor, the PepsiCo Company, maker of Pepsi-Cola. Current market share of the two companies in the United States stands at 43.7% for Coca-Cola against 31.6% for PepsiCo.³ British firm Cadbury Schweppes comes in third in the American market with its 7UP and Dr. Pepper brands but does not have a head to head cola competitor for Coca-Cola. Supermarket “private label” cola brands are a substitute beverage for the big two but in terms of dollar
sales, they do not cut greatly into their market share. According to the *Beverage Digest* 2001 survey, the top 4 brands continue to be Coke Classic, with a U.S. market share of 19.9%, Pepsi-Cola, with 13.2%, followed by Diet Coke with 8.8% and Mountain Dew (a PepsiCo product) with 6.9%.4

In recent years, the competition between the top two firms has been vigorous and has been played out for all of us to see on television, in supermarket shelf space, in school lunchrooms and at sports stadiums across the country. One factor driving the intensity of competition between the cola giants has been the flat or declining consumption of carbonated soft drinks (CSDs) in recent years. A changing public taste oriented toward ostensibly lighter and healthier sports drinks, teas, and single serve bottled waters has left the big two scrambling to chip out market share in the increasingly fragmented beverage field.5

While overall company market share has remained relatively constant between the big two over the last decade, the Coca-Cola Company has had to struggle not to lose ground to its arch-rival. Last year was not a good one for Coke in which it lost market share to Pepsi.6 In addition, the *Wall Street Journal* reported that this was the third straight year in a row that Coca-Cola has slipped in market share. A 0.1 or 0.2 % decline in share for Coke brands may not sound like much but it adds up to a large sum in a company with over $20 billion in annual revenues.7 This trend has been noticed by the financial press and Wall Street insiders alike and has depressed the price of Coca-Cola stock to $53 a share from its high of $83 in the early 1990’s. This is recorded in my SWOT analysis of the company in which a key weakness is listed as weak share price and a key external threat is listed as the perceived strength of PepsiCo’s financial position.8
Strategy into the Future

In a recent interview, I asked Diana Lopez, route manager at the Coca-Cola Bottling Company of Sylmar, California, several questions related to Coke’s forward-looking strategy and how it plans to position itself in the market. She was especially enthusiastic about new products from the company like Powerade, a sports drink which is gaining in popularity. She related that Powerade and Dasani Water, Coke’s entry in that category, are doing very well in machine, convenience, and supermarket sales in southern California. When I asked about how Classic Coke was doing and whether Pepsi posed a challenge to its position, her answer was that “Coke has a very strong following, as it always has and [people tell her] that Coca-Cola’s taste is what sells the product. And small store owners tell me, especially in Latino neighborhoods that the Coke brand means quality to them.”

According to Ms. Lopez, Coca-Cola intends to build upon its success and popularity as the original cola drink which is “loved by consumers everywhere.” In the soft-beverage working group, we have indeed identified this feature, differentiation, as key to Coke’s historical and continuing success. “If it ain’t broke, don’t fix it,” was the lesson of the company’s ill-fated experiment with “New Coke” in the 1980’s.

Moving ahead, Coca-Cola’s forward-looking strategy is to position itself in the non-carbonated sector of the soft drink market and take advantage of changing consumer tastes. Since taking over at the helm two years ago, CEO Douglas Daft has endeavored to accomplish this as well as to cut costs so that a leaner Coca-Cola can produce better numbers on the bottom line. In the last two years he has slashed nearly 30,000 from the workforce. In addition, he has placed renewed emphasis on “thinking locally, acting
locally.” This initiative has led to the transfer of quite a few executives from Atlanta to
field offices around the country with the mission to feel the pulse of local consumers and
serve them better. Daft has not always found his reform efforts smooth sailing,
however. Unlike the legendary Robert Goizueta who steered Coke through its most
successful years in the late ‘80s and early ‘90s, Mr. Daft has not been given
unconditional support by the board of directors. Specifically, Warren Buffet, whose
Berkshire Hathaway has a major share of Coca-Cola stock, demonstrated that he may
keep Daft on a short leash by vetoing Daft’s bid to acquire Quaker Oats last year.

Legal and Regulatory Issues

As the multi-billion dollar market leader in the soft-drink world, the Coca-Cola
Company is burdened with the strengths and weaknesses of empire. The company will
continue to be held to close scrutiny, both legal and financial. Any attempt to use Coke’s
enormous market power to leverage strategic advantage in the marketplace will be met by
resistance from competitors as well as regulatory authorities. Take, for example a case in
which the company was sued for requiring exclusive advertising agreements with local
supermarkets in Texas and was required to pay a hefty fine and divest itself of
distribution rights for Dr. Pepper in that jurisdiction.

Forecast

Wall Street analysts have been reporting for some time on the doldrums that
Coca-Cola has found itself in the last few years. After the untimely passing of Mr.
Goizueta, who led Coke through a period of unprecedented growth and financial success
in the ‘80s and early ‘90s, the company has drifted for the last few years with
disappointing earnings and unimpressive efforts to distinguish itself in a market where cola has shown slow or no growth.

New CEO Douglas Daft has shown initiative in repositioning the company to take advantage of the changing consumer tastes we have discussed above. In addition, his cost-cutting moves promise to tighten up the bottom line at a company which has been described as an “enormous bureaucracy.”

The news appears to be brightening up. The *L.A. Times* reports that Coke expects to show a 9% earnings gain in the quarterly report due out soon. Also, the stock price, which has been slipping over the last 5 years, is showing rebound over the last few months. This may be in large part due to the resurgent U.S. economy, but let us not forget that Coca-Cola made over $4 billion in profit last year. Further, while Coke has had to skirmish with Pepsi in the American market, it is still dominant overseas. On the positive side of my SWOT analysis, I identify management as a key internal *strength*. Overseas markets, where Coca-Cola has impressive marketing expertise, is identified as a key external *opportunity*. According to beverage digest, “Coke went through two challenging years, but it is now emerging as a focused, well managed company again.”

I would give Coca-Cola a buy recommendation because the stock is well below its 5-year highs and appears to be trending up. Management looks good, and the 11,500 customers in 200 countries who sip a Coke beverage every second give me confidence that the company will see better days ahead.
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Appendix A Company Market Share, U.S.

Company Market Share 1997

- Coca-Cola: 44%
- Pepsi-Cola: 31%
- Dr Pepper/7UP: 14%
- All Other: 11%

Company Market Share 2001

- Coca-Cola: 43.7%
- PepsiCo: 31.6%
- Cadbury Schweppes: 15.6%
- All Other: 9.1%

Appendix B Company and Brand market Share

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ranking Companies</th>
<th>Market Share</th>
<th>Change</th>
<th>Cases Volume (millions)</th>
<th>% Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Coca-Cola Co.</td>
<td>43.7</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>4,376.7</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Pepsi-Cola Co.</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>+0.2</td>
<td>3,164.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Dr Pepper/Seven Up (Cadbury)</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>+0.9</td>
<td>1,559.9</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Cott Corp.</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>+0.5</td>
<td>376.0</td>
<td>14.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. National Beverage</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>+0.1</td>
<td>224.5</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Big Red</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>flat</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Seagram</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>flat</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Red Bull</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>+0.1</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>118.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Monarch Co.</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>flat</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Private Label/Other</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>216.9</td>
<td>-38.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Industry             | 100.0        | 0.0    | 10,010.0                | 0.6      |

**SOURCE** Beverage Digest/Maxwell

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brands</th>
<th>Market Share</th>
<th>Change</th>
<th>Cases Volume (millions)</th>
<th>% Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Coke Classic</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>1,987.5</td>
<td>-2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Pepsi-Cola</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>1,320.1</td>
<td>-2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Diet Coke</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>878.8</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Mt. Dew</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>687.7</td>
<td>-3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Sprite</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>646.2</td>
<td>-1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Dr Pepper</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>617.0</td>
<td>-1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Diet Pepsi</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>flat</td>
<td>533.6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. 7UP</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>189.2</td>
<td>-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. CF Diet Coke</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>flat</td>
<td>171.7</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Barq's root beer</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>flat</td>
<td>114.2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SOURCE** Beverage Digest/Maxwell
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Appendix D Coca-Cola 3 month Stock Price
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