



Munich Personal RePEc Archive

On Characterizing Some Discrete Distributions Using an Extension of the Rao-Rubin Theorem

Panaretos, John

1982

Online at <https://mpra.ub.uni-muenchen.de/6229/>
MPRA Paper No. 6229, posted 12 Dec 2007 16:20 UTC

ON CHARACTERIZING SOME DISCRETE DISTRIBUTIONS USING AN EXTENSION OF THE RAO-RUBIN THEOREM

By JOHN PANARETOS*

Department of Statistics, Trinity College, Dublin, Ireland

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X, Y be non-negative integer-valued r.v.'s such that $P\{X = n\} = P_n$
and

$$P(Y = r | X = n) = s(r, n), \quad r = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$

Using Bernstein's theorem on completely monotone functions, Rao and
Rubin (1964) have shown that if

$$s(r, n) = \binom{n}{r} p^r q^{n-r}, \quad p \text{ in } (0, 1), \quad q = 1-p \quad (1.1)$$

then $\{P_n, n = 0, 1, \dots\}$ is Poisson if and only if (iff)

$$P(Y = r) = P(Y = r | X = Y) = P(Y = r | X > Y), \quad r = 0, 1, \dots \quad (1.2)$$

(the so called R-R condition).

Shanbhag (1974) gave an elementary proof of this result. Srivastava
and Srivastava (1970) proved that if $\{P_n\}$ is Poisson, then the R-R condition
holds iff $s(r, n), r = 0, 1, \dots, n$ is binomial. Rao and Rubin (1964) have
also shown that if the non-negative integer-valued r.v. X takes the values
 $k, k+1, \dots; k \geq 0$ and $s(r, n)$ is binomial as in (1.1), then $\{P_n, n = k, k+1, \dots\}$
is truncated Poisson iff

$$P(Y = r | Y \geq k) = P(Y = r | X = Y) = P(Y = r | X > Y, Y \geq k), \\ r = k, k+1, \dots \quad (1.3)$$

*Present address : Department of Statistics of Actuarial Science, University of Iowa, Iowa City,
Iowa, 52242, USA.

2. SHANBHAG'S RESULT AND A VARIANT

Shanbhag (1977) gives the following extension of the R-R characterization.

Theorem 1 (Shanbhag's extension): Let $\{(a_n, b_n), n = 0, 1, \dots\}$ be a sequence of real vectors with $b_0, b_1 > 0$, $a_n > 0$ for all $n \geq 0$ and $b_n \geq 0$ for $n \geq 2$ and let $\{c_n\}$ denote the convolution of $\{a_n\}$ and $\{b_n\}$. (Observe that $c_n > 0$, $n \geq 0$). Let (X, Y) be a random vector, as defined in the introduction, such that $P_0 = P[X = 0] < 1$ and whenever $P_n > 0$

$$P(Y = r | X = n) = \frac{a_r b_{n-r}}{c_n} \quad r = 0, 1, \dots, n. \quad \dots \quad (2.1)$$

Then

$$P(Y = r) = P(Y = r | X = Y) \quad r = 0, 1, \dots \quad \dots \quad (2.2)$$

iff

$$\frac{P_n}{c_n} = \frac{P_0}{c_0} \theta^n \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \quad \text{for some } \theta > 0. \quad \dots \quad (2.3)$$

The result of Theorem 1 will now be used to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2 (Extension to the truncated case): Let $\{(a_n, b_n) : n = 0, 1, \dots\}$ be a sequence of vectors of non-negative real numbers such that $b_0, b_1 > 0$ and $a_n > 0$ for $n \geq k$, $k \geq 0$. Let $\{c_n\}$ be the convolution of $\{a_n\}$ and $\{b_n\}$. (Observe that $c_n > 0$, $n \geq k$). Let (X, Y) be a vector of non-negative integer-valued r.v.'s such that $P(X = n) = P_n$ with $P_k < 1$ and X taking values $\geq k$ only, and whenever $P_n > 0$

$$P(Y = r | X = n) = \frac{a_r b_{n-r}}{c_n} \quad \begin{array}{l} r = 0, 1, \dots, n \\ n = k, k+1, \dots \end{array} \quad \dots \quad (2.4)$$

Then

$$P(Y = r | Y \geq k) = P(Y = r | X = Y), \quad r = k, k+1, \dots \quad \dots \quad (2.5)$$

iff

$$\frac{P_n}{c_n} = \frac{P_k}{c_k} \theta^{n-k} \quad n = k, k+1, \dots \quad \text{for some } \theta > 0, \quad \dots \quad (2.6)$$

Proof: We have been given that $X-k$ is a non-negative integer-valued random variable. Further, it follows that conditional on $Y-k \geq 0$, the random variable $Y-k$ is non-negative and integer valued.

If we define

$$c_n^{(k)} = \sum_{r=k}^n a_r b_{n-r} \quad \dots (2.7)$$

we will obviously have

$$c_{n+k}^{(k)} = \sum_{r=k}^{n+k} a_r b_{n+k-r} = \sum_{r=0}^n a_{r+k} b_{n-r}. \quad \dots (2.8)$$

It then follows that

$$P(X-k = n | Y-k \geq 0) = \frac{1}{P(Y \geq k)} \frac{c_{n+k}^{(k)}}{c_{n+k}} P_{n+k} \quad n = 0, 1, \dots \quad \dots (2.9)$$

and

$$P(Y-k = r | X-k = n, Y-k \geq 0) = \frac{a_{r+k} b_{n-r}}{c_{n+k}^{(k)}} \quad \begin{matrix} r = 0, 1, \dots, n \\ n = 0, 1, \dots \end{matrix} \quad \dots (2.10)$$

It also follows that (2.5) is equivalent to

$$P(Y-k = r | Y-k \geq 0) = P(Y-k = r | X-k = Y-k) \quad r = 0, 1, \dots \quad \dots (2.11)$$

Then, clearly the random vector $(X-k, Y-k)$ conditional on $Y-k \geq 0$ possesses all the properties required of (X, Y) in Theorem 1. Hence, applying this theorem to the random vector $(X-k, Y-k)$ conditional on $Y-k \geq 0$ we have that (2.11) holds iff

$$\frac{P(X-k = n | Y-k \geq 0)}{c_{n+k}^{(k)}} = \frac{P(X-k = 0 | Y-k \geq 0)}{c_k^{(k)}} \theta^n \quad \begin{matrix} \text{for some } \theta > 0 \\ n = 0, 1, \dots \end{matrix}$$

which in view of (2.9), is equivalent to

$$\frac{P_{n+k}}{c_{n+k}} = \frac{P_k}{c_k} \theta^n \quad n = 0, 1, \dots \quad \dots (2.12)$$

Since (2.11) is equivalent to (2.5), the result is now obvious.

Note 1: Theorem 2 can also be obtained directly by appealing to the basic lemma of Shanbhag (1977).

Note 2: It is interesting to observe that Theorems 1 and 2 remain valid if the right hand side or the left hand side of (2.2) and (2.5) is replaced by $P(Y = r | X > Y)$ and $P(Y = r | X > Y, Y \geq k)$ respectively.

In part 3, Theorem 1 is used to show that if $s(r, n)$ is negative hypergeometric (n, m, ρ) then the R-R condition holds iff $\{P_n\}$ is negative binomial $(m+\rho, p)$ without requiring additional conditions on the existence of the derivatives $G^{(r)}(t)$ of the p.g.f. G of X , as in Patil and Ratnaparkhi (1975).

Then Theorem 2 is used to show that provided again that $s(r, n)$ is negative hypergeometric, the modified R-R condition (2.5) is necessary and sufficient for $P_n, n = k, k+1, \dots$ to be truncated negative binomial.

In part 4 we state two other characterizations based on truncated forms of the distribution of Y given X .

3. CHARACTERIZATIONS OF THE NEGATIVE BINOMIAL AND TRUNCATED NEGATIVE BINOMIAL DISTRIBUTIONS

Corollary 3.1 (Characterization of the Negative Binomial Distribution):
Let X and Y be non-negative integer-valued r.v.'s as defined in Theorem 1.

Assume that

$$P(Y = r | X = n) = \frac{\binom{-m}{r} \binom{-\rho}{n-r}}{\binom{-m-\rho}{n}} \quad \begin{matrix} r = 0, 1, \dots, n \\ m > 0, \rho > 0 \end{matrix} \quad \dots \quad (3.1)$$

i.e., negative hypergeometric (n, m, ρ) .

Then the condition (2.2) holds iff

$$P_n (= P(X = n)) = \binom{-N}{n} p^N (-q)^n \quad N = m + \rho \quad \text{for } n = 0, 1, \dots \quad \dots \quad (3.2)$$

Proof: It is obvious that Theorem 1 applies with

$$a_n = \binom{-m}{n} (-1)^n, \quad b_n = \binom{-\rho}{n} (-1)^n. \quad \dots \quad (3.3)$$

whence

$$c_n = \binom{-m-\rho}{n} (-1)^n. \quad \dots \quad (3.4)$$

Corollary 3.2 (Characterization of the truncated negative binomial distribution): Let us now assume that X, Y are non-negative integer-valued r.v.'s as defined in Theorem 2. Suppose that the conditional distribution of

Y given X is negative hypergeometric as in (3.1). Then, the modified R-R condition (2.5) holds iff

$$P_n = \frac{\binom{-N}{n} p^N (-q)^n}{\sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \binom{-N}{i} p^N (-q)^i} \quad n = k, k+1, \dots \quad \dots \quad (3.5)$$

i.e., negative binomial truncated at $k-1$.

Proof: Define the sequences $\{a_n\}, \{b_n\}, n = 0, 1, \dots$ as in (3.3). Consequently $P(Y = r | X = n)$ is again of the form $a_r b_{n-r} / c_n, r = 0, 1, \dots, n$.

Hence, since all the requirements of Theorem 2, are met, (2.5) holds iff $P_n = P_k \frac{c_n}{c_k} \theta^{n-k}$ for some $\theta > 0$, and $n = k, k+1, \dots$ i.e., iff (3.5) is valid.

Note: It is interesting to note here that if $\{P_n\}$ is negative binomial and $P(Y = r | X = n)$ is of the form $a_r b_{n-r} / c_n$, then the negative hypergeometric is not the only distribution of the form (2.1) that $Y | X$ should follow in order that the R-R condition (2.2) holds.

This is so, because there exist two independent non-negative random variables which are not negative binomial, but their sum is negative binomial. However it is interesting to observe that if we also require $\{b_n\}$ to be the s -fold convolution of $\{a_n\}$, then we get a characterization for the negative hypergeometric distribution.

4. CHARACTERIZATIONS OF THE CONVOLUTION OF DISTRIBUTIONS WITH ONE OF THE MEMBERS AS TRUNCATED

Corollary 4.1 (Characterization of the convolution of a Poisson (μ), with a truncated poisson (λ) Distribution): Consider the r.v.'s X and Y as in Theorem 2. Suppose that the conditional distribution of $Y | X$ is binomial truncated at $k-1$, i.e.,

$$P(Y = r | X = n) = \frac{\binom{n}{r} p^r q^{n-r}}{\sum_{i=k}^n \binom{n}{i} p^i q^{n-i}} \quad \begin{array}{l} r = k, k+1, \dots, n \\ n = k, k+1, \dots \\ 0 < p < 1, q = 1-p \end{array} \quad \dots \quad (4.1)$$

Then, the modified R-R condition (2.5) holds iff

$$P(X = n) = \frac{e^{-\mu} \sum_{r=k}^n \binom{n}{r} \lambda^r \mu^{n-r}}{n! \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^i}{i!}} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{for some } \lambda, \mu > 0 \\ n = k, k+1, \dots \end{array} \quad \dots \quad (4.2)$$

i.e., convolution of a Poisson (μ) with a Poisson (λ) truncated at $k-1$.

Proof: Consider the following sequences,

$$a_n = \begin{cases} \frac{\lambda^n/n!}{\sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \lambda^i/i!} & n = k, k+1, \dots \\ 0 & n = 0, 1, \dots, k-1 \end{cases} \quad \dots (4.3)$$

$$b_n = e^{-\mu} \frac{\mu^n}{n!} \quad n = 0, 1, \dots \quad \dots (4.4)$$

The convolution of (4.3) and (4.4) for $n \geq k$ is given by

$$c_n = \sum_{r=k}^n a_r b_{n-r} = \frac{e^{-\mu} \sum_{r=k}^n \binom{n}{r} \lambda^r \mu^{n-r}}{n! \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \lambda^i/i!} \quad \dots (4.5)$$

It is clear now that the R.H.S. of (4.1) can be written as $a_r b_{n-r}/c_n$ with a_n, b_n, c_n given by (4.3), (4.4), (4.5) respectively and with $p = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda+\mu}, q = 1-p$.

Since a_n, b_n satisfy all the conditions of Theorem 2 we have that the R-R condition (2.5) holds iff P_n satisfies (2.6) for c_n given by (4.5). This implies that (2.5) holds iff

$$P_n = P_k \frac{\sum_{r=k}^n \binom{n}{r} \lambda^r \mu^{n-r}/n!}{\binom{k}{k} \lambda^k/k!} \theta^{n-k} \quad n = k, k+1, \dots; \text{ for some } \theta > 0.$$

Noting that $\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} P_n = 1$ we have

$$P_k^{-1} = e^{-\mu\theta} \frac{\sum_{r=k}^{\infty} (\lambda\theta)^r/r!}{(\lambda\theta)^k/k!}$$

So, (2.5) holds iff

$$P_n = \frac{e^{-\mu\theta} \sum_{r=k}^n \binom{n}{r} (\lambda\theta)^r (\mu\theta)^{n-r}}{n! \sum_{r=k}^{\infty} (\lambda\theta)^r/r!} \quad \lambda, \mu, \theta > 0$$

i.e. iff $\{P_n\}$ is the probability distribution of the convolution of a Poisson r.v. with a $k-1$ truncated Poisson r.v.

Note: The form of the convoluted Poisson distribution examined in Corollary 4.1 is a special case of convoluted Poisson distributions studied by Samaniego (1976).

Corollary 4.2 (Characterization of the convolution of a negative binomial with a truncated negative binomial distribution): Let again, X, Y be as in Theorem 2. Suppose that the distribution of $Y|X$ is negative hypergeometric truncated at $k-1$, i.e.,

$$P(Y = r | X = n) = \frac{\binom{-m}{r} \binom{-\rho}{n-r}}{\sum_{i=k}^n \binom{-m}{i} \binom{-\rho}{n-i}} \quad r = k, k+1, \dots, n \dots \quad (4.6)$$

In that case, the modified R-R condition (2.5) holds iff $\{P_n\}$ is the convolution of a negative binomial (ρ, p) and a negative binomial (m, p) truncated at the point $k-1$, i.e., iff

$$P_n = \frac{\sum_{r=k}^n \binom{-m}{r} \binom{-\rho}{n-r} (-q)^n p^\rho}{\sum_{r=k}^{\infty} \binom{-m}{r} (-q)^r} \quad n = k, k+1, \dots \dots \dots (4.7)$$

Proof: Define the sequences

$$a_n = \begin{cases} \frac{\binom{m+n-1}{n} q^n}{\sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \binom{m+i-1}{i} q^i} & n = k, k+1, \dots \dots \dots (4.8) \\ 0 & n = 0, 1, \dots, k-1 \end{cases}$$

$$b_n = \binom{\rho+n-1}{n} q^n \quad n = 0, 1, \dots \dots \dots (4.9)$$

Then, the convolution of $\{a_n\}$ and $\{b_n\}$ is given by

$$c_n = \frac{\sum_{r=k}^{\infty} \binom{m+r-1}{r} \binom{\rho+n-r-1}{n-r} q^n}{\sum_{r=k}^{\infty} \binom{m+r-1}{r} q^r} \quad n = k, k+1, \dots \dots \dots (4.10)$$

Clearly, (since $\binom{m+n-1}{n} = \binom{-m}{n} (-1)^n$)

$$\frac{a_r b_{n-r}}{c_n} = \frac{\binom{-m}{r} \binom{-\rho}{n-r}}{\sum_{r=k}^n \binom{-m}{r} \binom{-\rho}{n-r}} \quad r = k, k+1, \dots \dots \dots (4.11)$$

Consequently, the sequences $\{a_n\}$, $\{b_n\}$ as defined in (4.8), (4.9) can be used to express (4.6) in the form required by Theorem 2. Hence the result follows readily from Theorem 2.

Note: It is clear that for $k = 0$ Corollaries 4.1 and 4.2 reduce to Corollaries 3.1 and 3.2, respectively.

REFERENCES

- PANARETOS, J. (1976): On characterizing some discrete distributions using an extension of the Rao-Rubin theorem. *Statistics Reports and Preprints* No. 25, School of Mathematics, University of Bradford, Bradford, England.
- PATIL, G. P., RATNAPARKHI, M. V. (1975): Problems of damaged random variables and related characterizations. In *Statistical Distributions in Scientific Work*. Vol. 3 *Characterizations and Applications*. G.P. Patil, S. Kotz and J. K. Ord (eds.). D. Reidel Publishing Company, Dordrecht and Boston, 255-270.
- RAO, C. R., RUBIN, H. (1964): On a characterization of the Poisson distribution. *Sankhyā, A*, **26**, 295-298.
- SAMANIEGO, F. J. (1976): A characterization of convoluted Poisson distributions with applications to estimation. *J.A.S.A.*, **71** (354), 475-479.
- SHANBHAG, D. N. (1974): An elementary proof for the Rao-Rubin characterization of the Poisson distribution, *J. Appl. Prob.*, **11**, 211-215.
- (1977): An extension of the Rao-Rubin characterization of the Poisson distribution. *J. Appl. Prob.*, **14**, 640-646.
- SRIVASTAVA, R. C., SRIVASTAVA, A. B. L. (1970): On a characterization of the Poisson distribution. *J. Appl. Prob.*, **7**, 495-501.

Paper received: March, 1979.

Revised: April, 1980.