

Estimating Consumption function under Permanent Income Hypothesis: A comparison between Nigeria and South Africa

Alimi, R. Santos

Economics Department, Adekunle Ajasin University Akungba-Akoko. Ondo State, Nigeria

July 2015

Online at https://mpra.ub.uni-muenchen.de/65787/ MPRA Paper No. 65787, posted 28 Jul 2015 20:23 UTC

Estimating Consumption Function Under Permanent Income Hypothesis: A Comparison Between Nigeria And South Africa

R. Santos Alimi Economics Department, Adekunle Ajasin University Akungba-Akoko. Ondo State, Nigeria Email: <u>santos4rd@gmail.com</u>

Abstract

The aim of this study was to estimate the consumption function of Nigeria and South Africa under the Permanent Income Hypothesis using a time series data on final household consumption expenditure, real GDP and real interest rate from 1980 to 2013. The study estimated parameter MPC long run time series employing Cagan's adaptive expectation model. The result shows exist of a long run relationship between consumption and income for the two countries. Data for Nigeria suggested that the behavior of consumers in Nigeria is forward looking in the sense that their consumption behavior is based on expected future income while in case of South Africa, the study showed that past consumption has effect on current consumption as posited by Duesenberry in the Relative income hypothesis in South Africa. Moreso, it was revealed that the behavior of consumers in South African is also forward-looking although not as much as Nigerians. The policy implication of these findings is that the impact of government policy of tax reductions will be greater on consumption if such a policy is perceived by consumers as permanent. Thus, a tax cuts aimed at the bottom of the income distribution are likely to be more expansionary than tax cuts aimed at the top.

Keywords: Consumption, Permanent Income Hypothesis, Cagan's Adaptive Expectation Model, MPC.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

...our reassessment of Friedman's original PIH test as promising, not only because of its implications regarding possible validity of the PIH, but more importantly because it suggests useful avenues for further research, and simply because it is interesting to see how old ideas fare when confronting new data. Friedman's old ideas are not obviously outmoded... – DeJuan & Seater (pp 41, 2006)

There has been much theoretical and empirical research on understanding consumer behaviour since the seminal work of Hall (1978) most of which is done in advanced economy such as U S and U K. However, there are few attempt at testing the consumption theories with Africa data. In this paper we seek to redress the situation and investigate whether the permanent income hypothesis (PIH) is consistent with Nigeria and South Africa data over the 1970-2013 periods. The permanent income hypothesis, proposed by Milton Friedman, simply posits that households spend a fixed fraction of their permanent income on consumption. Under Friedman's framework, only changes in permanent income can alter one's consumption. As he states in his *A Theory of the Consumption Function* (1957): "The

transitory components of a consumer unit's income have no effect on his consumption except as they are translated into effects lasting beyond his horizon. His consumption is determined by longer-range income considerations plus transitory factors affecting consumption directly. The transitory components of income show up primarily in changes in the consumer units' assets and liabilities, that is, in his measured savings." (page 221, as cited in (Mei, 2012)). Therefore, consumers plan their expenditure on the grounds of a long-run view of the resources that will accrue to them in their lifetime (Alimi, 2013).

According to Roche (1995), there are at least two justifications for examining and testing the Permanent Income Hypothesis; first, is its implication for policymaking especially in the area of income tax. For instance the impact of government policy of tax cuts will be greater on consumption if such a policy is perceived by consumers as permanent. Therefore a tax cuts aimed at the bottom of the income distribution are likely to be more expansionary than tax cuts aimed at the top because of the fact that lower income households have a higher MPC (Palley, 2008). Second, the PIH forms important part of other theoretical developments, such as the expansionary fiscal contractions debate. In the line of the debate, it is suggested that reduction in government expenditures will make way for income tax cuts in the future. Hence, the rational forward-looking agents will perceive an increase in their permanent disposable incomes; therefore they will increase their consumption spending and consequently lead to output growth. Barry and Devereux (1992) suggest that this output growth argument might be too promising because increase consumption might not bring about increase employment.

We provide some recent empirical findings from literature on consumption function under permanent income hypothesis in Table 1 below;

Author(s)	Country of	Econometrics	Findings/Conclusion
And Year	Study/Sample	Techniques	
Osei-Fosu et al	Ghana	FMOLS	The results confirmed that indeed the
(2014)			Permanent Income Hypothesis holds in Ghana.
Gupta, R and	US	Bayesian	The study does not find evidence for the US
Ziramba, E.		VAR	data to be consistent with PIH.
(2009)			
Manitsaris, A.	15 European	OLS	The study shows strong support for the PIH
(2006)	Union		and adaptive expectation model
	Member		
	States		
Paz, L (2006)	Brazil	OLS	The study rejected the PIH due to the presence
			of both liquidity constraints and myopia.
Khan, K. and	Pakistan	ordinary least	This study indicates the invalidity of PIH and
Mohammed,		square (OLS)	validity of Keynesian absolute income
N. (2011)		method and	hypothesis (AIH) for Pakistan
		instrumental	
		variable (IV)	
Nwala, K.	Cameroon,	FMOLS	The result shows that PIH hold in five out of
(2010)	Ghana,		the six countries.
	Kenya,		
	Nigeria,		
	Senegal and		
	South Africa.		
Altune and	Organization	OLS	The empirical result provides evidences
Aydin (2014)	of Islamic		supporting the consumption formed in
	Cooperation		accordance with the PIH and the adaptive
	(D-8)		expectations
Wang, K.	10 Asian	Panel study	The study finds that consumption and current

 Table 1: Summary of Literature Review on Consumption Function under Permanent Income Hypothesis

(2011)	Countries		income are strongly co-integrated, liquidity constraints exist in all ten Asian countries, and this implies that the PIH is not upheld.		
DeJuan, J., Seater, J. and Wirjanto,T. (2006)	11 West- German States	OLS	It is found that the response of consumption to income innovations is found to be much weaker than is predicted by the PIH.		

Thus, the object of this study is to test the validity of the permanent income hypothesis for Nigeria and South Africa. The paper is therefore structured as follows: the next section describes the data and method adopted in this study. This is followed by results and interpretation and the final section concludes this study.

2.0 DATA AND METHODS

Model Specification

Friedman postulated that income, Y, is made up of two components: a permanent component (Y^P) and transitory component (Y^T) and he argued that some of the factors that give rise to the transitory component of income were specific to particular consumer but that for any considerable group of consumers the transitory components tend to average out so that the mean of the transitory component is expected to be zero (Fernandez-Corugedo, 2004). Similarly, consumption expenditures comprise permanent (C^P) and transitory components (C^T) . According to Friedman, the permanent component relates to the amount that consumers plan to consume to maximize their lifetime utility; assuming there is no uncertainty, the total consumption would be equal to permanent consumption, given that temporary consumption relates to all 'other' factors.

The PIH gives rise to a consumption function of the form:

$C^{P} = k(r, w, u) \times Y^{p}$	(1)
$Y = Y^P + Y^T$	(2)
$\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{C}^{\mathbf{P}} + \mathbf{C}^{\mathrm{T}}$	(3)

where C = current consumption spending, C^{P} = permanent consumption, C^{T} = transitory consumption, Y = current income, Y^{P} = permanent income, Y^{T} = transitory income, r = rate of interest at which the consumer can borrow or lend, w = ratio of wealth to income and u = consumer's taste preferences. Equation (1) defines the relationship between permanent consumption and permanent income, and the marginal propensity to consume out of permanent income, k(·) is independent of the size of permanent income but it does depend on other variables: r, w and u. The equations (2) and (3) provide a means of linking actual measured variables (C, Y) to their relevant components (Fernandez-Corugedo, 2004).

Thus, permanent consumption expenditure is assumed to be determined by permanent income, such that;

 $C_{t=}^{P} \alpha + \beta Y_{t}^{P}$

(4)

where α and β are parameters to be estimated.

Following Manitsaris (2006) and Osei-Fosu, et al (2014) equation (4) is estimated by combining the partial adjustment and the adaptive expectations model, in order to transform the unobservable permanent consumption expenditure (C_t^P) and permanent income (Y_t^P) variables in (4) into the observable variables C_t and Y_t respectively. Thus, the model obtained is as follows (see Gujarati and Potter, 2009);

$$C_{t} - C_{t-1} = \theta(C_{t}^{p} - C_{t-1}) + \varepsilon_{t} \qquad (0 < \theta \le 1) \qquad (5)$$

$$Y_{t}^{p} - Y_{t-1}^{p} = (Y_{t} - Y_{t-1}^{p}) + \varepsilon_{t} \qquad (0 < \psi \le 1) \qquad (6)$$

where θ is the partial adjustment coefficient and ψ is the adaptive expectations coefficient. By substituting equation (3) into (4) we obtained a new equation which is as follows; $C_t = \alpha + \beta Y_t^P + C_t^T$ (7)Expressing Equation (7) as an econometric model begets $C_t = \alpha + \beta Y_t^P + v_t$ (8)where $v_t = \varepsilon_t + C_t^T$ Make Y_{t}^{P} the subject from (8) and lag it by one thereafter. Thus we have $\mathbf{Y}_{t}^{\mathbf{P}} = \frac{1}{\beta} \mathbf{C}_{t} - \frac{\alpha}{\beta} - \frac{1}{\beta} \boldsymbol{\upsilon}_{t}$ (9) $Y_{t-1}^{P} = \frac{1}{\beta} C_{t-1} - \frac{\alpha}{\beta} - \frac{1}{\beta} \upsilon_{t-1}$ (10)Following Koutsoyiannis (1977, pp 316) we substitute (9) and (10) into equation (6) $C_{t} = \alpha \boldsymbol{\psi} + \beta \boldsymbol{\psi} Y_{t} + (1 - \boldsymbol{\psi}) C_{t-1} + [\upsilon_{t} - (1 - \boldsymbol{\psi})\upsilon_{t-1}]$ (11)Equation (11) can be restated as follows: $C_t = \Omega + \Omega_1 Y_t + \Omega_2 C_{t-1} + \eta_t$ (12)Where: $\Omega = \alpha \psi$ (13) $\Omega_1 = \beta \boldsymbol{\psi}$ (14) $\Omega_2 = (1 - \boldsymbol{\psi})$ (15) $\eta_t = [\upsilon_t - (1 - \boldsymbol{\psi})\upsilon_{t-1}]$ (16)

Finally, the consumption function under the permanent income hypothesis and the adaptive expectations model, are estimable since all the variables involved are expressed in actual and not in observable variables. The specific model for estimation is presented in the log-linear form because it allows the regression coefficients to be interpreted as elasticity; $\ln C_t = \Omega + \Omega_1 \ln Y_t + \Omega_2 \ln C_{t-1} + \eta_t$ (17)

This study incorporate real interest rate variable (RIR_t) to equation (17), so as to assess the effect of interest rate on consumption, having identified it as one of the factors determining consumption in equation (1). Therefore, we estimated the model given by equation (14) as

 $\ln HFCE_{t} = \Omega + \Omega_{1} \ln RGDP_{t} + \Omega_{2} \ln HFCE_{t-1} + \Omega_{3} RIR_{t} + \eta_{t}$ (18) where Ω_{i} are the elasticity coefficients, $HFCE_{t} = C_{t}$ and $RGDP_{t} = Y_{t}$.

Data and Sources

This study employed annual time series data, which covers the period 1980 to 2013. The data for the trivariate model are Real GDP (RGDP), Household Final Consumption Expenditures (HFCE) and Real Interest Rate, obtained from World Bank and International Financial Statistics. Data for Real GDP (RGDP), Household Final Consumption Expenditures are in Billion Rand for South Africa and Naira for Nigeria while real interest rate is given in percentage. For data Analysis Real GDP (RGDP), Household Final Consumption Expenditures expenditures are transformed to logarithm form.

Preliminary Tests.

In this study, we first examine the stationarity of our variables. A non-stationary time series has a different mean at different points in time, and its variance increases with the sample size (Harris and Sollis (2003). The problem with non-stationary data is that the standard OLS regression procedures can easily lead to incorrect conclusions (Asteriou and Hall, 2007). In the case of spurious regression, t-values of the coefficients are highly significant, coefficient of determination (\mathbb{R}^2) is very close to one and the Durbin Watson (DW) statistic value is very low, which often lead investigators to commit a high frequency of Type 1 errors. Mostly in the literature to find out the order of integration ADF (Dicky & Fuller, 1979) and PP (Philip

& Perron, 1988) tests have been used extensively. Due to their poor size and power properties, both tests are not reliable for small sample data set (Dejong et al, 1992). These tests seem to over-reject the null hypotheses when it is true and accept it when it is false. While newly proposed tests such as Dicky-Fuller generalized least square (DF-GLS) detrending test developed by Elliot et al. (1996) seem to solve this arising problem.

Hence, the unit root tests are conducted using Augmented Dickey Fuller (ADF) and DF-GLS. If a unit root is detected for more than one variable, we further conduct the test for cointegration employing error correction model (ECM) and Johansen system cointegration tests. The major advantage of the ECM method of that it enables one to analyse both the short run and long effects of the variables as well as the adjustment coefficient. Another important advantage of ECM is that all the terms in the ECM model are stationary and the standard OLS estimation is therefore valid.

3. ESTIMATION RESULTS AND PRESENTATIONS

Table 1 shows the summary statistics of the variables used for the study for Nigeria and South Africa. For Nigeria data, the average household final consumption expenditures is N98.58 billion while that of real GDP is N161.26 billion. This implies that about 61 percent of Nigeria's income goes into household consumption. Whereas for South Africa only about 20 percent of her income is spent on household consumption, given average household final consumption expenditures of N32.76 billion and real GDP of N174.80 billion.

Country	Ĩ		Variables	
Nigeria		HFCE	RGDP	RIR(%)
-	Mean	98.58529	161.2676	5.000294
	Median	71.35000	101.9500	7.320000
	Maximum	371.5000	515.0000	23.71000
	Minimum	24.80000	47.00000	-42.31000
	Std. Dev.	89.49768	129.4327	12.11378
	Skewness	1.436134	1.238284	-1.664421
	Kurtosis	4.122069	3.610826	7.994744
	Jarque-Bera	13.47102	9.217532	51.04059
	Probability	0.001188	0.009964	0.000000
	Sum	3351.900	5483.100	170.0100
	Observations	34	34	34
South Africa		HFCE	RGDP	RIR(%)
	Mean	32.76765	174.8059	15.23529
	Median	25.80000	136.5000	15.37500
	Maximum	83.20000	416.6000	24.00000
	Minimum	10.20000	59.10000	8.000000
	Std. Dev.	21.55615	103.9819	4.399527
	Skewness	1.034743	1.027478	0.064686
	Kurtosis	2.943027	2.790413	2.011752
	Jarque-bera	6.071858	6.044597	1.407275
	probability	0.048030	0.048689	0.494782
	sum	1114.100	5943.400	518.0000
	Sum Sq.Dev.	15334.03	356804.1	638.7426
	Observations	34	34	34

Table 1: Summary Statistic of Variables for Nigeria and South Africa

Table 2 shows the result of (ADF) unit root test constant only while Table 3 presents DF-GLS for Nigeria and South Africa.

VARIABLES/COUNTRY	AT LEVEL	*	DIFFERNCE		DECISION
NIGERIA	Statistic	Probability	Statistic	Probability	
LnHFCE	0.7209	0.9908	-4.2197***	0.0024	I(1)
LnRGDP	-0.4625	0.8856	-2.6294	0.0980	I(1)
RIR	-7.7539***	0.0000	-	-	I(0)
SOUTH AFRICA	Statistic	Probability	Statistic	Probability	
LnHFCE	5.4387***	0.0001	-	-	I(0)
LnRGDP	-0.1269	0.9382	-4.1542***	0.0028	I(1)
RIR	-2.2522	0.1928	-5.9642***	0.0000	I(1)

Unit Root Test Table 2: ADF unit root test constant only

Table 3: DF-GLS Unit Root Test

Country	Nigeria		Decision	South Africa		Decision
Variables	At levels	At First Difference		At levels	At First Difference	
LnHFCE	-0.520606	-4.293834***	I(1)	0.079697	-5.530392***	I(1)
LnRGDP	-0.714295	-2.549763**	I(1)	-0.400216	-4.193980***	I(1)
RIR	-7.219749	-	I(0)	-1.949449*	-	I(0)

The unit root results reported in Tables 2 and 3 show that under the two unit root test only real interest rate is stationary at level and the other variables are non-stationary at level but become stationary after taking their first difference i.e. I(1). But for South Africa data, only household consumption variable is stationary at level under ADF test and only real interest rate is stationary at level under DF-GLS test. Thus we apply the ECM and Johansen cointegration tests to test long run relationship among the variables.

 Table 4: Results of Cointegration tests: Error Correction Model and Johansen

 Cointegration tests

Country	Error Correction Model				Johar	nsen Test		
	ECM-		Prob.	Conclusion	Trace-	5% critical	Prob.	Conclusion
	statistic				statistic	value		
Nigeria	-0.1301		0.3133	Not	39.5663	29.7970	0.0028**	Cointegrated
				Cointegrated				
South	-0.8131		0.0004***	Cointegrated	28.8720	29.7970	0.0636*	Not
Africa				_				Cointegrated

The two tests suggest different conclusion. For example, ECM test shows that the South Africa variables are cointegrated but Nigeria's variables are not, whereas the reverse holds under Johansen cointegration test. We therefore proceed to estimate the long run regression model formulated in equation (15) and presented the result in table 5.

Table 5: Regression	results for equ	uation 15 for	Nigeria and	l South Africa
----------------------------	-----------------	---------------	-------------	----------------

Panel A: Nigeria					
Variable	Coefficient	Std-Error	t-Statistic	Prob.	
С	-0.181116	0.783379	-0.231198	0.8189	
LnRGDP	0.902895	0.134428	6.716578	0.0000	
LnHFCE(-1)	0.049926	0.145531	0.343058	0.7342	
RIR	0.001534	0.001419	1.080893	0.2893	
AR(1)	0.828822	0.138255	5.994879	0.0000	

R-squared	0.973758		Mean dependent va	ur. 4.249553	
Adjusted R-squared	0.969870		S.D. dependent var	0.835884	
S.E of regression	0.145092		Sum squared resid	. 0.568398	
Durbin-Watson	2.346413				
			Panel B: South Africa		
Variable	Coefficient		Std-Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
С		-2.0250	0.3570	-5.6723	0.0000
LnRGDP		0.9015	0.1178	7.6562	0.0000
LnHFCE(-1)		0.2264	0.1207	1.8756	0.0708
RIR		0.0048	0.0062	0.7724	0.4461
R-Squared	0.982032		Mean dependent v	var. 3.319368	
Adjted R-Squared	0.980173		S.D. dependent var	0.626021	
S.E of Regression	0.088150		Sum squared resid	. 0.225341	
Durbin – Watson stat	2.130566				

We report the estimation results for Nigeria in panel A of table 5, including the estimated first-order autoregressive coefficient of the error term using OLS, to take into account the problem autocorrelation. It is only the coefficient of income variable that is statistically significant with expected positive sign. The coefficient of determination (R^2) is high and the Durbin-Watson statistics of 2.346413 shows the absence of serial correlation. In case of South Africa, both income variable and lag of household consumption are statistically significant with expected positive signs. Again, the coefficient of determination (R^2) is high and the Durbin-Watson statistics of 2.130566 shows the absence of serial correlation.

From table 5, the estimated models are;

For Nigeria: $lnHFCE_t = -0.1811 + 0.9028lnRGDP_t + 0.0499lnHFCE_{t-1} + 0.0015RIR_t$ (19) For South Africa: $lnHFCE_t = -2.0250 + 0.9015lnRGDP_t + 0.2264lnHFCE_{t-1} + 0.0048RIR_t$ (20)

We first computed the adaptive expectation coefficient in order to derive the long run MPC. From Equation (15), adaptive expectation coefficient is expressed as

Thus, adaptive expectation coefficient for Nigeria =1 - 0.0499 = 0.9501And

Adaptive expectation coefficient for South Africa ψ =1- 0.2264 = 0.7736

The long run MPC or the elasticity of consumption out of permanent income (β) is obtained from equation (14) - $\Omega_1 = \beta \psi$. We therefore

Table 7: Short-Run and Long-Run Elasticity of Consumption with respect to income and Adjusted Coefficient for Nigeria

Country	Elasticity of	Adaptive	Elasticity of consumption
	Consumption with	expectation	with Respect to
	respect to actual	$coefficient(\lambda)$	permanent income(β)
	income		
Nigeria	0.9029	0.9501	0.9503
South Africa	0.9015	0.7736	1.1650

For Nigeria, the result shows that with an elasticity of consumption with respect to actual income at 0.9029. In order words, the short run marginal propensity to consume out of current income is about 0.90 suggesting that a 1 naira increase in the current or observed real income (as measured by real GDP) would increase mean consumption by about 0.90 naira.

However, suppose there is a sustained rise in income, then eventually MPC out of permanent income will be about 0.95 naira. In order words, when consumers have had time to adjust to the 1 naira change in income, they will increase their consumption ultimately by about 0.95 naira. Moreso, the real interest rate introduced to the model and the lagged of household consumption are not statistically significant. This suggests that the behavior of consumers in Nigeria is forward looking in the sense that their consumption behavior is based on expected future income. An adaptive expectation coefficient of 0.93 implies that in long run expectations of households in Nigeria are realized by 93%. Therefore, this result exhibits a strong support for the permanent income hypothesis for Nigeria.

In case of South Africa, the short run MPC is 0.90 suggesting that a 1 rand increase in the current real income would increase the average consumption by about 0.90 rand while the long run MPC is 1.165. The long run MPC exceeds Keynes upper limit value of one. Thereby suggesting that in South Africa when consumers have had time to adjust to the 1 rand change in income, they will increase their consumption ultimately by about 1.16 rand. The study also found that previous consumption (Ct-1) was statistically significant and then implies that past consumption has effect on current consumption as posited by Duesenberry in the Relative income hypothesis. It can therefore be concluded that the relative income hypothesis hold in South Africa and also describe the behavior of consumers in South Africa is forward-looking although not as much as Nigerians. These findings are in agreement with previous study like Nwala (2010) and Osei-Fosu *et al* (2014).

4.0 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

The aim of this study is to estimate the consumption function of Nigeria and South Africa under the Permanent Income Hypothesis using a time series data on final household consumption expenditure, real GDP and real interest rate from 1980 to 2013. The study estimated parameter MPC long run time series employing Cagan's adaptive expectation model. The result shows exist of a long run relationship between consumption and income for the two countries. The study found for Nigeria that when consumers have had time to adjust to the 1 naira change in income, they will increase their consumption ultimately by about 0.95 naira. Also, data for Nigeria this suggests that the behavior of consumers in Nigeria is forward looking in the sense that their consumption behavior is based on expected future income. In case of South Africa, the study shows that past consumption has effect on current consumption as posited by Duesenberry in the Relative income hypothesis in South Africa. Moreso, it is revealed that the behavior of consumers in South Africa is also forward-looking although not as much as Nigerians.

The policy implication of our findings is that the impact of government policy of tax cuts will be greater on consumption if such a policy is perceived by consumers as permanent. Therefore a tax cuts aimed at the bottom of the income distribution are likely to be more expansionary than tax cuts aimed at the top because of the fact that lower income households have a higher MPC (Palley, 2008).

REFERENCE

Alimi, R.S. (2013). Keynes' Absolute Income Hypothesis and Kuznets Paradox. *MPRA Paper No. 49310*. Online at <u>http://mpra.ub.uni-muenchen.de/49310/</u>

- Altunc, O.F and Aydin, C. (2014). An estimation of the consumption function under the permanent income hypothesis: the case of D-8 countries. Journal of Economic Cooperation& Development, 35(3)
- Asteriou, D. and Hall, S. G. (2007). *Applied Econometrics: A Modern Approach Using Eviews* and Microfit . Palgrave Macmillan, Basingstoke, Revised Edition

- Barry, F. and Devereux, M. B. (1992). An Examination of the Expansionary Fiscal Contraction Hypothesis. *Centre for Economic Research, University College Dublin, Working Paper WP92/6.*
- DeJuan, J., Seater, J. and Wirjanto, T. (2006). Testing the Permanent-income hypothesis new Evidence from West-German States, *Empirical economics*, *31*(3):613-629
- Dejuan, J.P. And Seater, J.J. (2006). A Simple Test of Friedman's Permanent Income Hypothesis. Economica 73, 27–46
- Dickey, D., and Fuller, W. (1979). Distribution Of The Estimators For Autoregressive Time Series With A Unit Root. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 74:427–731.
- Elliot, G., Rothenberg, T. J., & Stock, J. H. (1996). Efficient Tests for an Autoregressive Unit Root. *Econometrica*, 64, 813-36.
- Fernandez-Corugedo, E. (2004). *Consumption Theory*. Handbook in Central Banking, No.23, Centre for Central Banking Studies, Bank of England
- Friedman, M. (1957). A Theory of the Consumption Function. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Gujarati, D. N and Porter, D.C. (2009). *Basic Econometrics*. McGraw Hill, New York, Fifth Edition
- Gupta, R. and Ziramba, E. (2009). Is the Permanent Income Hypothesis Really Well-Suited for Forecasting? University of Pretoria, *Department of Economics Working Paper* Series, 09
- Hall, R. E .(1978). Stochastic Implications of the Life-Cycle-Permanent Income Hypothesis: Theory and Evidence. *Journal of Political Economy*, *86*(6), 871-887.
- Harris R and Sollis R. (2003). Applied time series modelling and forecasting. United Kingdom, Wiley.
- Jones, Y.M. (2013). Testing the Foreign Aid-Led Growth Hypothesis in West Africa. Working Paper in Management, Birkbeck, Department of management, BWPMA 1303.
- Khalid, K. and Mohammed, N. (2011). Permanent Income Hypothesis, Myopia and Liquidity Constraints: A Case Study of Pakistan. *Pakistan Journal of Social Sciences*, 31(2), pp. 299-307
- Koutsoyiannis, A. (1977). *Theory of Econometrics*. Palgrave Macmillan, New York, Second Edition.
- Manitsaris, A. (2006). Estimating the European Union Consumption Function under the Permanent Income Hypothesis. *International Research Journal of Finance and Economics*, Issue 2.
- Mei, Y. (2012). U.S. Consumption Function: An Empirical Test of the Life-Cycle Hypothesis. Senior Theses, Trinity College, Hartford, CT 2012. Trinity College Digital Repository, <u>http://digitalrepository.trincoll.edu/theses/153</u>
- Nwala, K. (2010). Does permanent income hypothesis hold for some selected African countries? African Journal of Business and Economic Research, *5*(2,3), 27-34
- Osei-Fosu, A.K., Butu, M.M. and Osei-Fosu, A.K. (2014). Does Ghanaian's Consumption Function Follow the Permanent Income Hypothesis? The Cagan's Adaptive Expectation Approach. *Africa Development And Resources Research Institute (Adrri) Journal*, 4 (2), 133-148
- Palley, T. I. (2008). Relative Permanent Income and Consumption: A Synthesis of Keynes, Duesenberry, Friedman, and Modigliani and Brumbergh. *Political Economy Research Institute*, UMASS, Working Paper Series, Number 170
- Paz, L. (2006). Consumption in Brazil: myopia or liquidity constraints: A simple test using quarterly data. *Applied Economic Letters*, 12, 961–964.
- Phillips P.C.B., and Perron P. (1988). Testing for a unit root in a time series regression. Biometrika, 75, 335-346

- Roche, M. J. (1995). Testing the Permanent Income Hypothesis: The Irish Evidence. *The Economic and Social Review*, 26(3), 283-305
- Wang, K. (2011). Does the permanent income hypothesis exist in 10 Asian countries? Economics and Management, Issue 4, pp 92