# Impacts of Trade Liberalization on Dairy Industry in China

Ahmed, Wasim and Hussain, Safdar and Jafar, Rana Muhammad Sohail and Guang-Ju, Wang and Rabnawaz, Ambar and Saqib, Zulkaif Ahmed and JianZhou, Yang

Fujian Agriculture and Forestry University, China, University of Sargodha, Pakistan

2016

*Review Paper*

# Impacts of trade liberalization on dairy industry in China

Wasim Ahmed[1], Safdar Hussain[1], Rana Muhammad Sohail Jafar[1], Wang Guang-Ju[1], Ambar Rabnawaz[2], Zulkaif Ahmed Saqib[3], Yang JianZhou[1*]

[1]College of Economics, Fujian Agriculture and Forestry University, Fuzhou-350002, China
[2]Department of Economics, Faculty of Management & Administrative Sciences, University of Sargodha-40100, Pakistan
[3]Department of Business Administration, Faculty of Management & Administrative Sciences, University of Sargodha-40100, Pakistan

***Corresponding author's email:** yjianz2015@163.com

## Abstract

The aim of this review to call attention towards the impacts of exchange liberalization on the dairy industry, and these impacts are vital concerning the monetary development of a country. The focus of this literature is on certain issues of proficiency and worldwide competitiveness of the dairy segment in an open economy environment. It identifies the sensational competition raise in the dairy sector affected by China's liberalization programs, via signing trade agreements with several countries across the globe, and the execution of the World Trade Organization (WTO) agreements. It gives an outline of the WTO Agreement on Agriculture and its impacts on the dairy part. So we have concentrated on specific parts of dairy, particularly production, demand and trade policies to empower dairy holders under the worldwide competitiveness and indicators like the local asset cost proportion. China dairy production was 36 million tons during 2010, however alarmingly declined up to 26.52 million tons in 2014. The production declined had driven towards import from out of the country, in this manner strategies and agreements require certain facilitations to meet local dairy items demands in China. The impacts of duties by developed nations to lessen taxes, local support and export subsidies have been insignificant and unless these nations fundamentally diminish the exchange distorting endorsements to their dairy segment it will be troublesome for China to contend with the global market.

## Introduction

China dairy market has boosted quickly, and gave the crude cow milk 8 million tons (MT) production by 5 million dairy cows during 2000 while in 2010, the aggregate crude cow milk production had ascended to 36 MT, created by 12 million dairy cows (China-Dairy-Data, 2011). Local and foreign organizations put resources into new production services to anticipate in the developing interest for dairy items. The twelfth Five Year Plan energized the development of the dairy area and backings this with sponsorships, to enhance the production rate. The consumers are getting to be wealthier and can manage the cost of more animal items and Western European items, which has an impact on the Chinese nutrition (McCluskey *et al.*, 2012). Hence, the Chinese government promoted joint effort with international investors to put resources into knowledge, item advancement, process changes, item quality and safety (GOV, 2012). China is a huge country yet not all area is helpful for agriculture. The Northern areas create the biggest part of the aggregate milk production in China while the need of dairy items is higher in the Southern areas (Rabobank, 2012). The Chinese government is expanding insignificant wages to enhance harmonization of the public. Sponsorships are given to organizations that have interest to invest in China (MoA, 2012).

China's dairy supply chain has numerous players who disturbed the dairy network. After 2008, the Melamine embarrassment (Jia *et al.*, 2012), the chain has been modified by strategy changes from the Chinese government. Thus, the quantity of players in the chain has been decreased by removing numerous "middle persons" who gathered and appropriated the milk from farmers. After that, all groups were focused on their record and checked with a regular routine, and the dairy chain was improved (Qian *et al.*, 2011).

According to the information from the National Bureau of Statistics, there were 803 dairy handling organizations in 2009 (China-Dairy-Yearbook, 2010). In 2010, this got to be 828 organizations with normal sales of ¥ 21.5 million. From these 828 dairy preparing organizations, 11 organizations were observed as the expansive corporations and 141 organizations as a medium while 676 presented small investment. There were only 25 State-Owned organizations, who dealt with € 460 only in 2010. However, the number of companies had decreased from 34 to 25 during 2005 (China-Dairy-Data, 2011). Whereas huge decline has been observed during 2014 in dairy production with 26.52 MT in China, and the number of dairy producers were decreased up to 630 only. Thus, china had to import 66,000 tons of cheese and 80,400 tons of cream. The main reasons of import are following; 1) increasing dependence on imported dairy products, 2) insecurity of domestic dairy products and 3) higher raw milk price in the domestic market (China-Dairy-Data, 2015).

With financial improvement and an increase in per capital salary, the interest for dairy items in China will continue expanding, so does the interest for imported dairy items. Therefore, China will turn out to be progressively important in the following years for dairy dealers around the globe.

The aim of this work to highlight the basic policies affecting the trade liberalization towards dairy industry. Moreover, the objective of this literature is to review some problems and limitations to improve the policy feasibilities with regards of trade in China.

**World dairy production**

It was observed that world dairy production achieved 655 MT, from cows (84%), buffalo (12.5%), sheep and goats (3.2%) and other species (e.g. camels, 0.3%) during 2007 year (International-Dairy-Federation, 2007b). All countries produce milk for nearby utilization. However, the expense of production fluctuates extraordinarily relying upon components including work costs, animal genetics and innovation as well as feed and water accessibility (Blayney *et al.*, 2006). In 2006, the some cows' milk creators were at top with the production of MT the EU25 (142, 25.8%), the US (82.6, 15.0%), India (41.0, 7.4%), China (36.0, 6.5%), Russia (32.0, 5.8%) and Brazil (26.2, 4.8%) (International-Dairy-Federation, 2007b).

There has been solid and sustained development in the worldwide production of cows' milk, prompting a ten-year and one-year increase of 17.2% from 1997-2007 (470 MT) and 1.5% during 2006-07 (543 MT), individually (International-Dairy-Federation, 2007b). This development is mainly focused on China, India and the Americas. China had encountered exceptionally quick development in dairy production, with production multiplying somewhere around 1990 and 2004 (Fuller *et al.*, 2007). The number of cows and milk production was expanded by 23.0% and 41.3% during 2004-06, individually (International-Dairy-Federation, 2007b).

## World dairy demand

There is an expanding worldwide interest (3% globally, yet more than 10% in some developing nations, and 15% in China) for milk and other dairy items. Worldwide attractiveness is additionally fuelling new uses for milk-based constituents, rising interest for cheese, an expansion in specialty item advertises and expanded item time span of usability (Blayney *et al.*, 2006; Dairy-Australia, 2007).

In well-off nations, there have been significant demands for dairy items. In the EU, the interest for cheese and other milk items has risen, and butter utilization has fallen. Around 40% of milk inside of the EU is presently expended as cheddar (European-Commission, 2007). In the US, milk utilization is falling (Huth *et al.*, 2006), though margarine utilization has stayed steady. As of late, there has been a significant drive to hold a piece of the pie even with non-dairy alternates. Functional foods characterize one approach to profit by developing buyer consciousness on the part of dairy segments in wellbeing and vitality. There have additionally been fast innovative advances in dairy handling, especially the utilization of layer innovation for industry applications (Henning *et al.*, 2006). A key result of this procedure, milk protein essences are progressively utilized as food constituents and for pharmaceutical use (Blayney *et al.*, 2006). A general movement towards non-dairy substitutes has additionally been evaded, because of the rising cost of substitute fats and proteins (Dairy-Australia, 2007). In low-salary nations, dairy items, including dry milk powders, remain extravagance merchandise for some purchasers (Blayney *et al.*, 2006). Hence, in Africa, the Americas, and Asia interest is encouraged in substantial part by expanding purchaser riches. Per capita milk utilization is rising, however frequently from a low base (Fuller *et al.*, 2007; USDA, 2007). There has been a noticeable change in dietary arrays all through Asia, as a result of higher wages and changing utilization configurations (Berry *et al.*, 2006), prompting towards "western" foods including dairy items (Fuller *et al.*, 2006; Pingali, 2007). Increasing consumer salary is likewise driving expanded utilization of fluid milk in China (3.2 to 8.8 kg per capita during 2002 and 2005), India, Russia and the Ukraine, and an expanding worldwide interest for top dairy items, especially cheese (International-Dairy-Federation, 2007b, a). Likewise, Fuller *et al.* (2007) had highlighted the impact of education, publicizing and comfort, and the expanding refinement of the retail division, in the development of milk items in the Chinese market. Food safety is rising as a quality requested by Chinese customers (Wang *et al.*, 2008; Pei *et al.*, 2011).

## World dairy trade

The world dairy exchange was viewed as a secondary business sector for the transfer of surplus items. However, the trade has been encouraged by enhanced refrigeration and transportation advancements and is in effect progressively affected by expanding worldwide interest for dairy items (Blayney *et al.*, 2006). The universal dairy exchange has been ruled for a long time by the European Union (with 30% of worldwide dairy exchange) and New Zealand, 32% and Australia, 12% of dairy trade (Dairy-Australia, 2007).

Global dairy markets are exceedingly ensured. Thus, under the World Trade Organization's Uruguay Round Agreement on Agriculture, individuals consented to decrease exchange misshaping local support, import boundaries, and fare sponsorships. However, the Agriculture Agreement did not oblige individuals to decrease local provision to individual agricultural wares. Numerous individual left dairy to a great extent and diminished grant to different commodities. Under the Agriculture Agreement's business sector access procurements, individuals consented to supplant nontariff hindrances like quantities and restrictive tools with proportionate insurance as taxes (called "tariffication") and to decrease those duties. Yet, numerous individuals lessened taxes by the negligible 15%, so that nations with the most astounding insurance before the Agriculture Agreement keep up high taxes. A USDA study revealed that duties on dairy items are well over the normal agrarian tax and among the most elevated of all things (Gibson *et al.*, 2001).

Dairy businesses around the globe are a standout amongst the most twisted farming segments. With a specific end goal to determine the issue of exchange twisting and advance exchange liberalization of dairy items, GATT finished up the Uruguay Round Agreements in late 1993 following eight years of careful arrangements. As a segment of the understanding, GATT/WTO Agreement on Agriculture (AoA) requires all GATT individuals to make decrease duties on the local delivery, market approach, and trade sponsorship. The modest responsibility had been satisfied by created nations toward the end of 2000 and by creating nations toward the end of 2004. (Lee *et al.*, 2005) inspected the impacts of: (1) diminishing Korea's high over-share dairy item taxes by half by 2015 and the much lower inside of portion levies and single taxes decrease by 25% by 2015 (Doha situation); and (2) Korea totally opening its fringe for dairy item imports with zero taxes. They presume that dairy exchange liberalization would bring about noteworthy increments in imports, lower costs of prepared dairy items for Korean buyers, yet entirely little decreases incomes back to assets possessed by Korean dairy holders (Jones and Blayney, 2014).

Most significant dairy exchanging nations keep up duty rate quantities (TRQ) for dairy items. TRQs were founded to keep up and extend imports, as they were planned either to keep the same level of import access as before tariffication under the Agriculture Agreement (current access) or to guarantee that there was some expansion in access after tariffication both. TRQs work as two-level duties that consolidate both taxes and amounts (Skully, 2001; Yoon and Lim, 2013). Moreover, Fabiosa *et al.* (2005) pointed out that both Asian dairy utilization and supply demonstrate upward patterns throughout the following decade. Asian dairy request development in one decade from now is for the most part determined by its pay and populace development.

An intriguing inquiry is a way the worldwide exchange boom has changed wages in creating nations. For instance, component attributes likewise anticipate that the aptitude premium ought to be falling in quickly creating nations like China, where a load of incompetent labourers is extensive in respect to creating nations. Utilizing city-level information, Wei and Wu (2001) and Han *et al.* (2012) found that imbalance had fallen inside of China and that the decrease in rural–urban disparity has been most professed in territories that expanded their openness (trade–GDP proportions). Though, Wan *et al.* (2007) and Mah (2013) presented confirmation that expanded foreign direct investment (FDI) and exchange have enlarged disparity inside of China.

## TRADE POLICY

Government policies typically generate various trade distortions that imply departures from competitive market equilibrium. They include import policies, domestic agricultural policies as well as export policies.

Different studies exposed milk production portions and TRQs in an unexpected way. Far reaching investigations of world dairy exchange liberalization are restricted. Shaw and Love (2001), utilizing the Organization of Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD's) Aglink model, inspected the financial impacts of expanding business sector get to and diminishing fare endowments for dairy items and found that the estimation of world dairy exchange expanded significantly.

### Import Policies

A critical issue includes the portion of share rents coming about because of such confinements (McCorriston and Sheldon, 1994). The Imports then again indicated decay all through since 2000 yet demonstrated an upward pattern in 2008 and 2009. The general offer of the import in exchange stayed irrelevant food industry Role of food and nourishment for domesticated animal's production is a key as administration or creature itself yet its financial ramifications are gigantic as far as efficiency and benefit of the animal's items. The sustenance of creatures can assume a key part in advancing the meat production (Sultana and Hanif, 2009).

In this situation, all duties and tax rate quotes are dispensed with. Normal duties on dairy items are especially high in Japan (323%), Canada (220%), the EU (85%), and Korea (72%), while the normal U.S. tax on dairy items is 43% (Gibson *et al.*, 2001). TRQs posture displaying challenges in light of the imbalance conditions set by duty rate shares and the intermittence of the level of abundance supply. The viable supply bend of fares to import markets under TRQs is broken. Import amount and duty rates under a TRQ administration can be caught as a disparity and the utilization of the supposed complementarity condition. Under a complementarity condition, either a comparison is genuine or its correlative variable is at limit esteem (Dirkse and Ferris, 1997; Ferris *et al.*, 1999).

### Export Policies

The milk export was 312 tons in the year 2001 and expanded to greatest 29551 tons in 2007. From that point forward it has declined and was 25470 tons. In general, the increasing rate of milk export stayed inconsistent i.e. monstrous development rate of 200%, 201% and 129% in 2003, 2004 and 2006 yet tuned in years 2008 and 2009 (Jalil *et al.*, 2009; Mehmood *et al.*, 2015).

OECD (1999) directed a survey of 55 firms in three segments in the US, Japan, the UK, and Germany on exports obstacles. One of the divisions overviewed was the dairy business. In 1996, the USDA directed a study giving a cross-sectional bookkeeping of specialized hindrances to U.S. agrarian fares. The USDA cross-sectional information set was utilized to portray the degree of financial based assurance gave. A few studies developed from this survey measured the exchange effect of faulty specialized obstructions on U.S. agrarian fares (Roberts and DeRemer, 1997; Thornsbury, 1998).

## Domestic Policies

Most nations have restricted total estimation of backing for farming, aside from Japan and Korea (Campo and Beghin, 2005; Lee *et al.*, 2005). Japan utilizes value bolster programs for certain dairy items, furthermore gives inadequacy instalments for calves and assembling milk. Japan's value encourage program worked with production amount, which fits in with "Blue Box" approaches. Production share is under the control of the national and prefectural committees, yet ranchers additionally have the privilege to conform it. According to producer support estimate (PSE), which measures the real support got by makers in Japan, came to 50% in 2007, which was 67% during 1986. Korea likewise utilizes a value provision program for dairy items; its milk PSE came to 60% in 2007, which reached up to 70% during 1986. None of the other Asian nations use "Blue Box" strategies to bolster their dairy markets. On the other hand, the European Union raised PSE from 32% to 60% during the period of 1995 to 2014 year (OECD, 2009). The yearly milk production of 34 billion liters in Pakistan is shared between a 71% offer for the rural economy and a much littler urban offer of 29%. Just 3% of the milk production is handled and showcased through formal channels. Pakistan is one of the developing markets with driving development rate among the worldwide dairy industry like India and China (Jalil *et al.*, 2009).

The AoA obliged nations to decrease their aggregate exchange contorting local comfort, collected over all items, by 20% more than 6 years, from a 1986-88 base. The production of the "green" and "blue" boxes for support absolved from decline, in addition to generally extreme amounts of backing amid the base period, left most nations serenely under their roofs in 1996, the most recent year for which finish information exist (WTO, 2000).

Animals division in India makes a significant commitment to the agricultural economy. In 1980-81, the offer of animals division in the agrarian total national output was 16%, which expanded to 25% in 2005-06. Milk is the single biggest patron to it with an offer of around 70%. India has gained colossal ground in milk production in the course of the most recent two decades. In 1980-81, milk production was 32 MT, which expanded to 121.8 MT in 2010-11. Then per capita accessibility expanded from 128 gm/day in 1980-81 to 285 gm/day in the period of 2010-11. Milk and milk items are paid versatile in nature, and the interest for milk and milk items is to increment extensively throughout the following two decades. In India, around 60% of the milk yield is expended as refreshments. The rest is expended as different conventional milk items, for example, curd, butter, khoa, burfi, gulab jamum and so forth. Despite the fact that the quantity of sorted out assembling units expanded from 279 in 1981-82 to 835 in 1999-2000, yet just around 15% of the milk yield experiences business handling. Whatever is left of the handling happens at the family unit level (Gupta, 1997; Rao and Reddy, 2014; Fox *et al.*, 2015).

## Incorporating Government Policies

The following step is to present arrangement parameters in the above model to reflect household and exchange strategies. The fuse of particular obligations in the Samuelson-Takayama-Judge model is clear: they are equal to changes in transportation costs (Takayama and Judge, 1971). In any case, the demonstrating of advertisement Valorem duties is somewhat more perplexing. A straightforward route is to make an interpretation of promotion Valorem levies into equivalent particular obligations utilizing watched costs. The disadvantage of this methodology is that, in a business sector balance structure, import duties impact market costs. This proposes is needed to regard market costs as endogenous in the estimation of levies. This is done here by understanding for business sector harmony

iteratively, where every emphasis utilizes redesigned particular obligations likeness the advertisement Valorem taxes until joining is acquired. Upon merging, the arrangement is indistinguishable to the one got from understanding straightforwardly the related mix complementarity issue (Rutherford, 1995; Balistreri *et al.*, 2011).

### *U.S. Dairy Policy*

In the United States, the policy instruments that have been utilized verifiably to manage milk showcasing and dispersion incorporate three unmistakable however interrelated strategy instruments. First, via the value bolster program, the administration buys margarine, non-fat dry milk, and American cheddar from processors at costs figured to guarantee that the ranch cost of milk utilized for these items stays over the enacted bolster cost. Be that as it may, the Federal Agricultural Improvement and Reform (FAIR) Act of 1996 required the slow disposal of the dairy value bolster program. The disposal of the project has been delayed a few times (Bailey, 1999; Sumner, 1999).

### *European Union Dairy Policy*

The EU dairy strategy includes the administration of business sectors to create item costs that ensure milk makers the objective cost for milk. The value bolsters for dairy items are executed by having national mediation sheets by item surpluses at reported costs. Items obtained by the mediation offices are put away and discarded through sponsored deals to non-EU nations. In 1984, milk production amounts were acquainted in an endeavor with the point of confinement milk production and to diminish the monetary allowance expense of the EU dairy arrangement (OECD, 1996). Subsequent to the origin of supply administration, the EU has worked a few projects to lessen the aggregate sum of remarkable production amount. These projects have included the end of the portion through the buy of standard rights, and compulsory remunerated and uncompensated amount diminishments. A few late farming approach choices impacted the EU dairy segment.

## Policy scenarios

### *Base scenario*

The BASE situation reproduces the 2002-07 world dairy circumstances. It incorporates residential backings, taxes, import standards and fare endowments from the GATT/WTO. Created economies are expected to satisfy their 2000 GATT/WTO duties, which imply amid the recreation period (2002-07) their residential bolster, levies, and fare sponsorship was the same as their last AoA responsibilities. Creating economies are expected to satisfy their last responsibility of AoA in 2005 and stay at this level till 2007 (Peng and Cox, 2005; Couillard and Turkina, 2015). The BASE situation recreates the 2002-07 world dairy circumstances. It incorporates local backings, levies, import portions and fare endowments from the GATT/WTO. Created Economies are accepted to satisfy their 2000 GATT/WTO duties, which implies amid the limitation period (2002-07) their household bolster, taxes, and fare sponsorship was the same as their last AoA responsibilities.

### *Asia liberalization scenario*

Does exchange liberalization impact profitability development and/or specialized effectiveness of an industry? The experimental proofs are vague. A few studies demonstrate that the organizations in an industry neglect to create most extreme conceivable yield from a

given info groups in light of the nonappearance of remote rivalry. Havrylyshyn (1990) contends that there exists no relationship in the middle of profitability and openness. Likewise, Tybout and Westbrook (1995) additionally watched the little relationship between changes in openness and efficiency development in the Mexican fabricating commercial ventures. They promote contended that openness really exacerbates scale proficiency. In any case, a few studies demonstrate that exchange liberalization enhances profitability development (Kim, 2000).

**WTO 2007**

This situation investigates the first capability of exchange liberalization in Asian dairy markets. It gives critical data about the intensity of every nation in the Asian dairy market and serves as a supporting investigation for territorial exchange liberalization arrangements among Asian nations. One-sided exchange liberalization by Asian nations was diminished world normal dairy costs while expanding minimal dairy costs. Asian purchasers got more than $4 billion additions from exchange liberalization, its dairy utilization, and net dairy items imports expanded 1,584 and 357 thousand MT, individually. Be that as it may, the dispersion of the increases fluctuates altogether crosswise over various nations. More than 77% of shopper addition is from Japan, and Korea represents 11.4%. Japan and Korean dairy utilization was expanded 1200 thousand MT and 238 thousand MT, separately. Their net dairy item imports expanded 351 thousand MT and 67 thousand MT, individually. This reveals that Japan and Korean residential shopper costs for dairy items are very misshaped in terms of professional career and household bolster measures, particularly on account of Japan; henceforth, exchange liberalization diminished these contortions altogether, decreasing utilization costs, and growing utilization and imports. China, South East Asia and Other 14 South Asian nations picked up marginally.

**WTO 2002-2007**

Asian countries including Japan take out local endowment and import portion and lessen their taxes to zero for all dairy items. Likewise, for world liberalization, all nations across the globe take out household support and exchange arrangements. This situation is exhibited for examination. Different situations, for example, World No Tariff and TRQs, World No Domestic, were additionally imitated (Peng and Cox, 2005). In like manner, (Cox *et al.*, 1999) utilized a hedonic spatial harmony examination of dairy exchange liberalization for 21 world dairy locales, and found that full exchange liberalization had impacts on milk costs in Canada (-32%), the EU (-26%), Japan (-36%), Australia (22%), and New Zealand (51%). U.S. milk makers saw just little changes in milk costs (-0.4%) and production. The study investigated the purchaser surplus to be $10 billion higher under an organized commerce situation.

The world dairy markets are dainty where just 5-6% of worldwide production is exchanged. Exchange dairy items are likewise to a great degree packed as far as purchasers and vendors. As a rule, low esteem items are sent out to creating nations and high esteem items are exchanged to a great extent among created nations. The real exporters of dairy items are the EU, New Zealand, Australia and the USA and represented around two-third worldwide SMP trades, around 84 for every penny of WMP and 75 of margarine and butter oil and cheddar sends out amid 1995-98 (WTO, 2000).

The target of scenarios was to look at how the global dairy markets may react to approach changes under different suppositions. Since the ERS/Penn State WTO model incorporates

different things, we could look at the circuitous impacts of dairy business sector liberalization on different items, for example, nourish grains. An exchange liberalization situation includes reducing so as to expand market access duties and dispensing with TRQs, diminishing the volume of fare endowments, and diminishing maker and purchaser appropriations—to dairy items for nations in the model. Particular strategies that are changed incorporate duties, duty rate shares, mark-ups, import demands, advance rates, mediation costs, trade sponsorships, production standards, and buyer appropriations. Some different approaches in the model stay unaltered under the situations, incorporating promoting orders in the U.S., compensatory installments, put aside, base zone bound, and the Blair House procurements in the EU.

OECD (2004) Secretariat, utilizing its Aglink model, analyzed the impacts of at the same time decreasing or evacuating market value bolster approach measures to evaluate the effect of dairy exchange liberalization on production, utilization, exchange, costs, and welfare. The study tended to the vulnerability in regards to the supply reaction in nations with quantities by directing an affectability investigation on the standard lease and supply versatility suppositions. OECD found that costs on world dairy markets expanded altogether with dairy exchange liberalization, increments in the cost of dairy items extended from 17% to entire milk powder to 57% for margarine, while worldwide milk production declined somewhat (-0.2%). Exchange extended in cheddar (25%) and non-fat dry milk (5%) and contracted for spread (-1.3%) and entire milk powder (-3%). Exchange liberalization brought about production moving to more effective nations. Milk production fell in Japan (-19%), the EU (-7%), the United States (-5%), and Canada (-1%), and extended in Australia and Argentina (14% each) and New Zealand and Brazil (10% each).

**The Relevant Agreements**

A noteworthy achievement of the 1994 Uruguay Round has been the presentation of new guidelines for the fathoming question, through the World Trade Organization's (WTO) Dispute Settlement Body. The WTO now handles nontariff exchange hindrances through the Sanitary and Phytosanitary (SPS) Agreement and a fortified Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) Agreement. It gives more prominent significance to universal bodies, for example, the Codex Alimentarius — a worldwide code of models for human wellbeing security and reasonable practices under the Food and Agriculture. WTO Agreements apply to every single part country. Question settlement includes all around characterized methodology of warnings, the calling of a board when essential and, perhaps, of a redrafting body. Losing nations may not reject the finishes of the board and should change their regulations keeping in mind the end goal to adjust. The WTO approves sanctions if a nation found disregarding its commitment declines to go along. As a result, of this debate settlement method, global gauges, rules and proposals created by the pertinent worldwide associations and their backup bodies have increased significant consideration. Level headed discussions and choices inside of the Codex Alimentarius, for instance, have turned out to be more disputable.

Reproductions utilizing exchange models make it conceivable to survey the effect of a given regulation that hampers the aggressiveness of the specific nation that actualizes it. This methodology could be utilized to survey the impacts of SPS-related gauges, however, it likewise could evaluate new specialized guidelines identified with creature welfare and ecological administration rising in the European Union, the United States, Australia, and somewhere else (Beghin and Metcalfe, 2000; Mitchell, 2001).

As a major aspect of the URAA, countries consented to start new rural transactions by the start of 2000, and dairy bunches in a few nations described their strategy targets and positions

right off the bat in planning for the Doha Round. A white paper by the International Dairy Foods Association (IDFA) and different U.S. dairy industry bunches laid out U.S. dairy industry arranging needs, including a progressive end of fare appropriations, lessening and orchestrating of high levies, and fixing of controls on residential backings (IDFA, 1999). Taking out fare sponsorships and decreasing import boundaries, it is expected, would bring about world costs to rise adequately for the United States to be focused on world markets (Kirkpatrick, 1998). Cairns bunch nations (except for Canada), speaking to littler and medium-sized ranch item sending out nations, upheld measures that went significantly more remote than those of the United States toward business sector and exchange liberalization (Cairns-Group-Farm-Ministers, 1998).

**Free Trade Agreements**

Following the 1990s, PTAs have multiplied, with a generous movement from multilateralism to regionalism, due to some degree to the WTO's moderate advancement in multilateral exchange transactions. Current PTA patterns demonstrate an expansion in PTA exchanging accomplices for every nation (Hayakawa and Yamashita, 2011; Azmeh, 2015) and additionally an expansion in the quantity of PTAs marked in the middle of created and creating nations (Wei, 2011). Notwithstanding offering new market opportunities, PTAs give a fast distinct option for changing exchange subsequent to fewer gatherings are included than in the WTO.

Trade liberalization and the dairy sector demand for dairy items is in its full grown stage in most created nations (Gifford and Dymond, 2008), though the request is developing in numerous creating nations (Roberts *et al.*, 1999; Friel *et al.*, 2013). Most milk delivered is expended locally, principally as a result of transportation expenses and worldwide dairy arrangements (Meilke *et al.*, 2001; Meilke and Cairns, 2011). In this light, it is not astounding that the nations with the most abnormal amounts of milk production are not inexorably the top exporters of dairy items. As in different parts, low-esteem dairy items 'are sent out to creating nations and high esteem items are exchanged to a great extent among built up nations (Sharma and Gulati, 2003; Varshney and Tarique, 2014; Couillard and Turkina, 2015). The abnormal state of protectionism typically found in national dairy divisions is clarified by the specificity of the way of dairy items and the dairy division's business sector structure (e.g. contrasts between nations' capacity to contend on the worldwide business sector (Suzuki and Kaiser, 2005). Approaches used to ensure dairy segment fluctuate in arrangement and by the nation. They include measures such as tariff quotas, production quotas, import quotas, import tariffs, price support programs, export and production subsidies and other non-tariff barriers such as sanitary and labeling regulations. While such policies seek to protect dairy farmers and help to maintain or increase the competitiveness of the dairy sector, they have a highly distortive impact on the global dairy market by shifting it away from a competitive equilibrium (Zhu *et al.*, 1999). Since the WTO has yet to reach a consensus about the use of domestic policies and trade regulation in the agricultural sector, countries turn to FTAs as the main source of trade liberalization in the agricultural and dairy sectors. However, internal commodity subsidies and production subsidies remain mostly unaffected by FTAs (Ahearn, 2011). In developed countries, even those with FTAs, direct payments encourage dairy producers to overproduce relative to domestic demand for dairy products (Suzuki and Kaiser, 2005). These surpluses are then sold on the international market at prices inferior to production costs (Gifford and Dymond, 2008). Policies of this kind make it harder for developing countries to compete with dairy products from countries with such policies.

## Competitiveness of the Dairy Sector

We depend on a few indicators to evaluate the intensity of the dairy part crosswise over nations, to be specific, the uncovered comparative advantage indicator (RCA) (Fertö and Hubbard, 2003; Sarker and Ratnasena, 2014), the production of items (Kennedy and Rosson, 2002), piece of the overall industry (Kennedy and Rosson, 2002), exchange equalization (Korinek and Melatos, 2009) and ranch entryway value (Fertö and Hubbard, 2003). The RCA is a measure of relatively favorable position, a pointer which is frequently used to quantify intensity in parts profoundly reliant on element gifts, for example, and the rural area. Near point of preference is characterized as the 'relative open door cost differentials between nations' (Kennedy and Rosson, 2002).

## The Technical Barriers to Trade Agreement

The TBT Agreement characterizes principles to survey the local measures influencing exchange. It expresses that a specialized regulation (mandatory) or a standard, and, in addition, the requirement strategies must be advocated by a "legitimate objective", for example, national security, wellbeing for buyers or the earth, creature and plant wellbeing or decency of exchange. The understanding additionally tries to guarantee national measures are straightforward and minimize confinements on the exchange. Consistency with pertinent universal guidelines is empowered. The TBT Agreement covers all measures not secured by the SPS Agreement. Regarding dairy items, the TBT Agreement covers bundling, composition, labelling and quality necessities. The SPS Agreement covers wellbeing risks emerging from added substances, contaminants, poisons and pathogens contained in nourishment items. The SPS Agreement perceives the privilege of governments to limit exchange request to secure human, creature or plant wellbeing, yet such measures must be straightforward and consistent.

Specialized regulations, for example, those on the spreading of nuisances, on aflatoxins, on pesticide deposits, and on the utilization of anti-infection agents, influence exchange. While these measures may be authentic, the measure of exchange inescapable is not generally surely understood by chiefs. Joining gravity models or spatial exchange models with econometric assessments is a possibly helpful methodology for recognizing the part of regulations in inevitable exchange. Late advancements recommended by Anderson and Van Wincoop (2001), who present the idea of "multilateral resistance", and by Cheng and Wall (2001), who utilize an altered impacts model for wiping out heterogeneity inclination, ought to make it conceivable to give more understanding of the fringe impact. The utilization of proper variables, some of them taken from stock-based methodologies, ought to disentangle the different impacts clarifying exchange inevitable. Here, the blend of various strategies ought to surpass the standard writing in recognizing the reasons for the "boundary impact" and the part of regulations contrasted with different impacts (Fidrmuc, 2009). Moreover, it was portrayed a critical lessening in the fringe impact in agriculture across the European Union in the 1990s yet neglect to recognize the source (Mayer and Head, 2003; Combes and Gobillon, 2014).

An appraisal for the scope of state exchanging and assigned exchanging is appeared, together with other nontariff obstructions influencing China's import exchange. From the table, it creates the impression that state exchanging and assigned exchanging represented 11% and 7% individually of aggregate imports, and made up over a portion of the aggregate exchange scope of nontariff hindrances in China. Plainly, the administration utilized for state exchanging and assigned exchanging is a critical extraordinary component of the Chinese

exchange administration, yet all that much a minority part of the general framework, as opposed to the predominant part. The overwhelming dependence on state exchanging for major rural exchange has, be that as it may, raised worries about the straightforwardness of China's agrarian exchange administration (Dixit and Josling, 1997; Parikh *et al.*, 2013).

China's overwhelming dependence on exemptions for merchandise utilized as a part of the production of trades as an approach to empower its trade production has obviously fortified the improvement of fare preparing commercial ventures that depend vigorously on imported transitional products. In numerous regards, this is something worth being thankful for, since worldwide assembling production is progressively moving towards production sharing, where the production chain is separated into numerous little connections, and each of these connections is found wherever relative point of preference is most noteworthy (Ng and Yeats, 1999). However, the dependence on high defensive obstructions and profound exemptions, instead of a complete liberalization, has the weakness of victimizing commercial enterprises that depend the entirely upon local worth included, as opposed to imported transitional inputs. The proceeded with the vicinity of high taxes on merchandise utilized by implication as a part of the production of fares raises the cost of privately delivered products that typify exchanged products.

China's exchange administration is a perplexing mix of a present day, tax based and moderately open exchange administration, and components got from the arranging framework utilized preceding 1978. The points of interest in the framework are discussed by Martin and Bach (1998) and Martin *et al.* (2000). While the framework incorporates a state exchanging administration and various nontariff hindrances, their general defensive impact has been declining, and it is presumably sensible to concentrate on the tax administration, whose defensive impact is moderately clear, and subject to sharp diminishments under the WTO promotion package.

By China’s Custom powers, 75% of imports entered either obligation free or subject to diminished duties. The exempt and lessened classifications, with their 1998 import offers were as following:

1. Handling trade (50%)
2. Starting investment of joint projects (10%)
3. Connected stockroom imports (5%)
4. Other exempted/decreased (10%)

Such issue can be overcome by more broad liberalization, with lower duties, the expenses of local inputs to exporters. Accordingly, a trust needs to move towards dependence on exports that typify a more noteworthy measure of local value included. Obviously, this is a positive improvement, fabricating admirably on the fare base created at the time of incomplete liberalization. In any case, it is prone to require significant modification in the example of China's fares, and thus could be debilitated by assurance measures, for example, hostile to dumping that tend to oppose changes in exchange designs.

**Conclusion**

Dairy marketing foundations in China are confronting expansive globalization burdens. Supply administration has dependably been a foundation of Chinese agricultural arrangement. While the outcome of the Doha Round of multilateral exchange arrangements is still indeterminate, it is conceivable that it will involve essential business sector access

changes, and accordingly affect the capacity of supply administration to reinforce farm level costs. The impacts of exchange liberalization in the Chinese dairy industry are vital under the three principle liberalization scenarios, which are reasoned to recreate the effects of moderate or confrontational rise in business sector access responsibilities and diminishments in import taxes. Expanded business sector access will instinctively put descending pressures on costs and it is hard to expect the response of powers as for farm yield arranging. To represent this vulnerability, a market sharing quota at the farm level stays unaltered after liberalization. Correspondingly market sharing quota is made to bring back the estimation of production quantities at their prior-liberalization level.

Exchange liberalization was found to influence nations in an unexpected way. Countries with large amounts of support and insurance for the most part lose production worth. Lower-cost and low security nations pick up the most from exchange liberalization, as their manufacturers gain from higher world business sector costs and their exports rise. The impact on nations with moderate funds and assurance relies upon the strategy mix in each nation. Accordingly, world dairy exchange liberalization will expand normal dairy utilization costs in China and India, and cut down in other Asian's economy. Consumers in China and India will lose from exchange liberalization, yet other nations purchaser surplus will raise. Certain appraisals give some essential findings about the presumable reaction of the Chinese economy to increase but, in the meantime, highlight various territories in which lack of awareness is significant, and more research is required if suitable approach reactions are to be received. One of these regions is obviously agricultural exchange, where knowledge dearth about the base level of agrarian assurance makes vulnerability about whether increase will have a considerable changing impact. It is likewise clear that the unexpected assurance measures incorporated into the agreement will require cautious analysis and strategy reactions on the off chance that they are not to significantly block China's joining into the global economy.

It is observed that China and its real trading accomplices expand from agreement, while some contending nations endure minor losses. The required regulations are significantly decreased by the striking liberalization that China attempted in the 1990s. Since the previous two decades, Chinese economy has turned out to be considerably more incorporated into the global economy. China's exports have risen strikingly, and its organization has moved clearly far from basic products towards makers. Current agreements of China for WTO agreement include another extensive action forward in incorporating China into the global economy. Integral to China's liberalization over the previous decades have been excise exclusions on inputs utilized as a part of the exports production.

More prominent trade liberalization around the globe will facilitate to potential exporters or relieve importing difficulty for importers. For potential merchants, their manufacturer surplus loss and customer surplus increases will reduce while for potential exporters, their industrialist surplus profits will raise.

Ultimately, the World liberalization scenario shows that the competitiveness sequence of Asian dairy economies from minimum to maximum is China, Japan, Korea and India. In this manner, world dairy exchange liberalization will enhance normal dairy utilization costs in China and India, and reduce it in the other Asian economies. Consumers in China will lose from exchange liberalization, but the other nation's surplus will boost.

**Reference**

Ahearn, R.J., 2011. International Trade and Finance: Key Policy Issues for the 112th Congress. Congressional Research Service, 7-5700, R41553, www.crs.gov, 1-28.
Anderson, J.E., Van Wincoop, E., 2001. Borders, trade and welfare. National Bureau of Economic Research.
Azmeh, S., 2015. Transient global value chains and preferential trade agreements: rules of origin in US trade agreements with Jordan and Egypt. Cambridge Journal of Regions, Economy and Society 8, 475-490.
Bailey, K., 1999. Federal Order Reform: An Assessment of Secretary Glickman's Announced Final Rule on Federal Order Reform. Report# CA-163. University of Missouri, Commercial Agriculture Program. April.
Balistreri, E.J., Hillberry, R.H., Rutherford, T.F., 2011. Structural estimation and solution of international trade models with heterogeneous firms. Journal of International Economics 83, 95-108.
Beghin, J.C., Metcalfe, M., 2000. Environmental regulation and competitiveness in the hog industry: an international perspective. Iowa State University.
Berry, D., O'brien, B., O'Callaghan, E., Sullivan, K., Meaney, W., 2006. Temporal trends in bulk tank somatic cell count and total bacterial count in Irish dairy herds during the past decade. Journal of Dairy Science 89, 4083-4093.
Blayney, D., Gehlhar, M.J., Bolling, C.H., Jones, K., Langley, S., Normile, M.A., Somwaru, A., 2006. US dairy at a global crossroads. Economic Research Service Report 28, 1-36.
Cairns-Group-Farm-Ministers, 1998. Communiqué following talks on the upcoming WTO talks on agriculture. Sydney, Australia, April 2-3.
Campo, I.S., Beghin, J.C., 2005. Dairy food consumption, production, and policy in Japan.
Cheng, I.-H., Wall, H.J., 2001. Controlling for heterogeneity in gravity models of trade. Research Department, Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis.
China-Dairy-Data, 2011. Dairy and Products Annual - Peoples Republic of China. GAIN Report Number: CH11048.
China-Dairy-Data, 2015. Research Report on the Supply & Demand of Dairy Products in China 2014/2015. pp. 179.
China-Dairy-Yearbook, 2010. "China Dairy Yearbook," Ministry of Agriculture. China Agriculture Press.
Combes, P.-P., Gobillon, L., 2014. The empirics of agglomeration economies. CEPR Discussion Paper No. DP10174.
Couillard, C., Turkina, E., 2015. Trade Liberalisation: The Effects of Free Trade Agreements on the Competitiveness of the Dairy Sector. The World Economy 38, 1015-1033.
Cox, T.L., Coleman, J.R., Chavas, J.-P., Zhu, Y., 1999. An economic analysis of the effects on the world dairy sector of extending Uruguay Round Agreement to 2005. Canadian Journal of Agricultural Economics 47, 169-183.
Dairy-Australia, 2007. Situation and outlook. [Online] Dairy Australia, Melbourne. 2007. http://www.dairyaustralia.com.au [Accessed August 21 2007].
Dirkse, S.P., Ferris, M.C., 1997. CRASH TECHNIQUES FOR LARGE-SCALE COMPLEMENTARITY. Complementarity and Variational Problems: State of the Art 92, 40.
Dixit, P.M., Josling, T., 1997. State trading in agriculture: an analytical framework. Working Paper #97-4. International Agricultural Trade Research Consortium.
European-Commission, 2007. Milk and milk products in the European Union. European Commission, Brussels. 2006. http://ec.europa.eu/agriculture/publi/fact/milk/2007_en.pdf. pp. 1-29.

Fabiosa, J., Beghin, J.C., Dong, F., Elobeid, A., Fuller, F.H., Matthey, H., Tokgoz, S., Wailes, E., 2005. The impact of the European enlargement and CAP reforms on agricultural markets: much ado about nothing.

Ferris, M., Dirkse, S., Jain, R., 1999. MATLAB and GAMS: interfacing optimization and visualization software. Computer Sciences Department, University of Wisconsin at Madison, Madison.

Fertö, I., Hubbard, L.J., 2003. Revealed comparative advantage and competitiveness in Hungarian agri–food sectors. The World Economy 26, 247-259.

Fidrmuc, J., 2009. Gravity models in integrated panels. Empirical Economics 37, 435-446.

Fox, P., Uniacke-Lowe, T., McSweeney, P., O'Mahony, J., 2015. Production and utilization of milk. Dairy Chemistry and Biochemistry. Springer, pp. 1-19.

Friel, S., Hattersley, L., Snowdon, W., Thow, A.M., Lobstein, T., Sanders, D., Barquera, S., Mohan, S., Hawkes, C., Kelly, B., 2013. Monitoring the impacts of trade agreements on food environments. Obesity Reviews 14, 120-134.

Fuller, F., Beghin, J., Rozelle, S., 2007. Consumption of dairy products in urban China: results from Beijing, Shangai and Guangzhou. Australian Journal of Agricultural and Resource Economics 51, 459-474.

Fuller, F., Huang, J., Ma, H., Rozelle, S., 2006. Got milk? The rapid rise of China's dairy sector and its future prospects. Food Policy 31, 201-215.

Gibson, P.R., Wainio, J., Whitley, D.B., Bohman, M., 2001. Profiles of tariffs in global agricultural markets. United States Department of Agriculture, Economic Research Service.

Gifford, M., Dymond, B., 2008. The Doha round of WTO negotiations: Implications for the Canadian dairy processing sector. Canadian Agricultural Trade Policy Research Network.

GOV, 2012. The Central People's Government of The People's Republic of China. Retrieved May-July 2012, from GOV: http://english.gov.cn/.

Gupta, P.R., 1997. Dairy India 1997. pp. xv + 908.

Han, J., Liu, R., Zhang, J., 2012. Globalization and wage inequality: Evidence from urban China. Journal of International Economics 87, 288-297.

Havrylyshyn, O., 1990. Trade policy and productivity gains in developing countries : a survey of the literature. The World Bank Research Observer 5, 1-24.

Hayakawa, K., Yamashita, N., 2011. The role of preferential trade agreements (PTAs) in facilitating global production networks. IDE Discussion Paper. No. 280, 3-25.

Henning, D.R., Baer, R.J., Hassan, A.N., Dave, R., 2006. Major advances in concentrated and dry milk products, cheese, and milk fat-based spreads. Journal of Dairy Science 89, 1179-1188.

Huth, P.J., DiRienzo, D.B., Miller, G.D., 2006. Major scientific advances with dairy foods in nutrition and health. Journal of Dairy Science 89, 1207-1221.

IDFA, 1999. Milk Industry Foundation, Milk Facts. International dairy Foods Association, DC Washington. p. 64.

International-Dairy-Federation, 2007a. World dairy production and trade: trade policy and development for Asia. Bulletin of the World Dairy Federation 414, 3-72.

International-Dairy-Federation, 2007b. The world dairy situation 2007, Bulletin of the World Dairy Federation 423/2007. pp. 91.

Jalil, H., Rehman, H.U., Sial, M.H., Hussain, S.S., 2009. Analysis of milk production system in peri-urban areas of Lahore (Pakistan): A case study. Pakistan Economic and Social Review 47, 229-242.

Jia, X., Huang, J., Luan, H., Rozelle, S., Swinnen, J., 2012. China's Milk Scandal, government policy and production decisions of dairy farmers: The case of Greater Beijing. Food Policy 37, 390-400.
Jones, K.G., Blayney, D., 2014. Assessing Changes in Dairy Product Import Demand: The Case of South Korea with Implementation of the KORUS FTA. Agribusiness 30, 74-84.
Kennedy, P.L., Rosson, C.P., 2002. Impacts of globalization on agricultural competitiveness: The case of NAFTA. Journal of Agricultural and Applied Economics 34, 275-288.
Kim, E., 2000. Trade liberalization and productivity growth in Korean manufacturing industries: price protection, market power, and scale efficiency. Journal of Development Economics 62, 55-83.
Kirkpatrick, D.L., 1998. Evaluating training programs, 3rd Edition. Tata McGraw-Hill Education, pp. 374.
Korinek, J., Melatos, M., 2009. Trade impacts of selected regional trade agreements in agriculture.
Lee, H., Sumner, D., Ahn, B.-i., 2005. A component based analysis of policy change on Korean dairy: model, data and simulations. Working paper. http://aic. ucdavis. edu.
Mah, J.S., 2013. Globalization, decentralization and income inequality: The case of China. Economic Modelling 31, 653-658.
Martin, W., Bach, C., 1998. State trading in China. State Trading in the 21st Century.
Martin, W., Dimaranan, B., Hertel, T.W., Ianchovichina, E., 2000. Trade policy, structural change and China's trade growth. Working Paper No. 64. Stanford University.
Mayer, T., Head, K., 2003. The Empirics of Agglomeration and Trade. No 2003 – 15 October. 9-61.
McCluskey, J., Bai, J., Wang, H., Seale Jr, J., Wahl, T.I., 2012. The Westernization of Chinese Breakfast.
McCorriston, S., Sheldon, I.M., 1994. Selling Import Quota Licenses: The US Cheese Case. American Journal of Agricultural Economics 76, 818-827.
Mehmood, I., Hassan, S., Qasim, M., Bashir, A., Saeed, R., 2015. Economic Analysis of Peri Urban and Rural Dairy Production System: The case of Sargodha District in Pakistan. Journal of Agricultural Research 53, 589-603.
Meilke, K., Cairns, A., 2011. An Evaluation of Milk Quota Exchange Policies. CATPRN Trade Poliy Brief 2, 1-6.
Meilke, K., Larivière, S., Martin, C., 2001. Trade Liberalization in the Dairy Sector: An Overview. The Estey Centre Journal of International Law and Trade Policy 2, 118-145.
Mitchell, L., 2001. Impact of consumer demand for animal welfare on global trade. Changing Structure of Global Food Consumption and Trade. Anita Regmi, 80.
MoA, 2012. Agricultural Investment. Ministry of Agriculture: The people's Republic China: http://english.agri.gov.cn/. 65-69.
Ng, F., Yeats, A.J., 1999. Good governance and trade policy: are they the keys to Africa's global integration and growth? World Bank Publications.
OECD, 1996. Reforming Dairy Policy, Directorate for Food, Agriculture and Fisheries, Paris.
OECD, 1999. Regulatory reform in the Netherlands and Japan, OECD Reviews of Regulatory Reform, Paris.
OECD, 2004. Agriculture, Trade and the Environment: The Dairy Sector-Summary and Conclusions (Paris: OECD). 11-26.
OECD, 2009. Agricultural Policy in Japan. Evaluation of Agricultural Policy Reforms in Japan. http://www.oecd.org/japan/42791674.pdf, 31-99.
Parikh, K.S., Fischer, G., Frohberg, K., Gulbrandsen, O., 2013. Towards free trade in agriculture. Springer Science & Business Media.

Pei, X., Tandon, A., Alldrick, A., Giorgi, L., Huang, W., Yang, R., 2011. The China melamine milk scandal and its implications for food safety regulation. Food Policy 36, 412-420.
Peng, T., Cox, T.L., 2005. An economic analysis of the impacts of trade liberalization on Asian dairy market. Food Policy 31, 249-259.
Pingali, P., 2007. Westernization of Asian diets and the transformation of food systems: implications for research and policy. Food Policy 32, 281-298.
Qian, G., Guo, X., Guo, J., Wu, J., 2011. China's dairy crisis: impacts, causes and policy implications for a sustainable dairy industry. International Journal of Sustainable Development & World Ecology 18, 434-441.
Rabobank, 2012. Food & Agribusiness Research and Advisory. Retrieved May-July 2012, from Rabobank Group: http://www.rabobank.com/content/research/FoodAndAgriResearch/index.jsp?urlkort=far.
Rao, P.S., Reddy, B.R., 2014. An Overview of Dairy Industry in India. Productivity 55, 43-63.
Roberts, D.H., DeRemer, K., 1997. Overview of foreign technical barriers to US agricultural exports.
Roberts, I., Podbury, T., Andrews, N., Penm, J., O'Rourke, C., Fisher, B.S., 1999. Agricultural policies and trade on the eve of a new millennium. ABARE Conference Paper 99.26, pp. 1-25.
Rutherford, T.F., 1995. Extension of GAMS for complementarity problems arising in applied economic analysis. Journal of Economic Dynamics and control 19, 1299-1324.
Sarker, R., Ratnasena, S., 2014. Revealed Comparative Advantage and Half-a-Century Competitiveness of Canadian Agriculture: A Case Study of Wheat, Beef, and Pork Sectors. Canadian Journal of Agricultural Economics 62, 519-544.
Sharma, V.P., Gulati, A., 2003. Trade liberalization, market reforms and competitiveness of Indian dairy sector. International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI), 1-55.
Shaw, I.H., Love, G., 2001. Impacts of liberalising world trade in dairy products. ABARE.
Skully, D.W., 2001. Economics of tariff-rate quota administration. US Department of Agriculture, Economic Research Service.
Sultana, N., Hanif, N., 2009. Mycotoxin contamination in cattle feed and feed ingredients. Pakistan Veterinary Journal 29, 211-213.
Sumner, D.A., 1999. Domestic price regulations and trade policy: milk marketing orders in the United States. Canadian Journal of Agricultural Economics/Revue canadienne d'agroeconomie 47, 5-16.
Suzuki, N., Kaiser, H., 2005. Impacts of the Doha Round Framework agreements on dairy policies. Journal of dairy science 88, 1901-1908.
Takayama, T., Judge, G.G., 1971. Spatial and temporal price and allocation models. North-Holland Amsterdam, pp. 540.
Thornsbury, S., 1998. Technical regulations as barriers to agricultural trade. 192 p. Dissertation (PhD)–Faculty of Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg.
Tybout, J.R., Westbrook, M.D., 1995. Trade liberalization and the dimensions of efficiency change in Mexican manufacturing industries. Journal of International Economics 39, 53-78.
USDA, 2007. Dairy: world markets and trade. United States Department of Agriculture, Foreign Agricultural Service. Circular Series FD 1-07. http://usda.mannlib.cornell.edu/usda/fas/dairy-market//2000s/2007/dairy-market-07-24-2007.pdf.

Varshney, P., Tarique, M., 2014. Trade Competitiveness In Indian Agriculture In Post WTO Era. International Journal of Trade & Global Business Perspectives 3, 1233-1242.
Wan, G., Lu, M., Chen, Z., 2007. Globalization and regional income inequality: Empirical evidence from within China. Review of Income and Wealth 53, 35-59.
Wang, Z., Mao, Y., Gale, F., 2008. Chinese consumer demand for food safety attributes in milk products. Food Policy 33, 27-36.
Wei, S.-J., Wu, Y., 2001. Globalization and inequality: Evidence from within China. National Bureau of Economic Research, 1-34.
Wei, Z., 2011. Regional trade liberalisation: a theoretical review of dynamic time-path and stability issues. Asian-Pacific Economic Literature 25, 1-14.
WTO, 2000. Domestic Support. G/AG/NG/S/1. 13 April. Committee on Agriculture Special Session.
Yoon, J.-H., Lim, S.S., 2013. Potential trade distortion effects of state trading enterprises under the tariff-rate quota scheme. Economics 7, 1-20.
Zhu, Y., Cox, T.L., Chavas, J.P., 1999. An economic analysis of the effects of the Uruguay Round Agreement and full trade liberalization on the world dairy sector. Canadian Journal of Agricultural Economics/Revue canadienne d'agroeconomie 47, 187-200.