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Abstract

Unlike many theoretical analysis of tax effects on household debts in a monetary union, this paper builds up analysis from a household budget constraint, instead of starting from a model. By a monetary union, it is assumed that all nations in the union share same currency. The size of tax multiplier is analyzed.

1 Budget Constraint Analysis

A nation being analyzed is in a monetary union with some other nations. Thus, inside these nations, there is no exchange rate mechanism. For simplification, there are only consumption goods in an economy, without any capital goods. The nation faces the following households budget constraint, assuming such an emergent budget constraint exists:

\[ P_t C_t + T_t + \frac{B_t}{R_t} \leq W_t N_t + \Pi_t + B_{t-1} \] (1)

where \( P \) is price level, \( C \) is consumption, \( T \) is net taxes, \( W \) is nominal wage, \( R_t - 1 \) is nominal interest rate, \( \Pi_t \) is firms’ profits all distributed as dividends, \( X_t \) is net export. \( B_t \) is net one-time bond holding, with \( B_t < 0 \) implying net indebtedness. It will be assumed that the agents in the economy do not hold any bond for simplification purposes. It will be assumed for rest of analysis that \( T \geq 0 \) with assumption of zero government spending. Also, for simplification, import \( M \) will be assumed to be zero, and all nations are assumed to be in a monetary union. \( P > 0 \) for an obvious reason. \( B_{t-1} \) is assumed to be given.

Assuming that the markets clear, \( W_t N_t + \Pi_t = P_t (C_t + X_t) \). Thus, Equation 1 becomes with equality:

\[ P_t C_t + T_t + \frac{B_t}{R_t} = P_t (C_t + X_t) + B_{t-1} \] (2)

Thus,

\[ T_t + \frac{B_t}{R_t} = P_t X_t + B_{t-1} \] (3)
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\[
\frac{T_t}{P_t} + \frac{B_t}{P_tR_t} = X_t + \frac{B_{t-1}}{P_t} \tag{4}
\]

Let us define \(b_t = \frac{B_t}{P_tR_t}\).

\[
b_t = X_t + \frac{B_{t-1}}{P_t} - \frac{T_t}{P_t} \tag{5}
\]

Define relationships as in the Figure:

The above diagram shows that \(b = b(X,P,T), X = X(P), P = P(T)\). The underlying idea is that increase or decrease in taxes affect \(P\), exports are assumed to only depend on price of goods - which is a reasonable assumption given that all export demands are honored, that all nations are in a monetary union, and that quality of goods or technology does not suddenly improve solely by increasing taxes, and inverse net indebtedness obviously depends on \(X, P, T\). Thus,

\[
\frac{db_t}{dT_t} = \frac{\partial b_t}{\partial X_t} \frac{\partial X_t}{\partial P_t} + \frac{\partial b_t}{\partial P_t} \frac{\partial P_t}{\partial T_t} + \frac{\partial b_t}{\partial T_t} \frac{\partial T_t}{\partial T_t} \tag{6}
\]

Recall Equation 5:

\[
b_t = X_t + \frac{B_{t-1}}{P_t} - \frac{T_t}{P_t}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial b_t}{\partial T_t} = \frac{1}{P_t} \tag{7}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial b_t}{\partial X_t} = 1 \tag{8}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial b_t}{\partial P_t} = -\frac{B_{t-1}}{P_t^2} + \frac{T_t}{P_t^2} \tag{9}
\]

Thus,

\[
\frac{db_t}{dT_t} = \left[-\frac{B_{t-1}}{P_t^2} + \frac{T_t}{P_t^2} + \frac{\partial X_t}{\partial P_t}\right] \frac{\partial P_t}{\partial T_t} - \frac{1}{P_t} \tag{10}
\]

It is assumed that \(\frac{\partial X_t}{\partial P_t} < 0\) and for our interests, \(T_t \geq 0\).
• $\frac{\partial P_t}{\partial t_i} < 0$, $-\frac{B_{t-1}}{P_t^2} + \frac{T_{r,t}}{P_t} > -\frac{\partial X_t}{\partial P_t}$ at initial $X_t, P_t, T_t, B_t, B_{t-1}$. Then, $\frac{db_t}{dt_{r,t}} < 0$. Real value of debts increase when taxes are raised.

• $\frac{\partial P_t}{\partial t_i} < 0$, $-\frac{B_{t-1}}{P_t^2} + \frac{T_{r,t}}{P_t} < -\frac{\partial X_t}{\partial P_t}$ at initial $X_t, P_t, T_t, B_t, B_{t-1}$.
  Also, $\left[-\frac{B_{t-1}}{P_t^2} + \frac{T_{r,t}}{P_t} + \frac{\partial X_t}{\partial P_t}\right] \frac{\partial P_t}{\partial t_i} < \frac{1}{P_t}$. Then still $\frac{db_t}{dt_{r,t}} < 0$.

• $\frac{\partial P_t}{\partial t_i} > 0$, $-\frac{B_{t-1}}{P_t^2} + \frac{T_{r,t}}{P_t} > -\frac{\partial X_t}{\partial P_t}$ at initial $X_t, P_t, T_t, B_t, B_{t-1}$.
  Also, $\left[-\frac{B_{t-1}}{P_t^2} + \frac{T_{r,t}}{P_t} + \frac{\partial X_t}{\partial P_t}\right] \frac{\partial P_t}{\partial t_i} < \frac{1}{P_t}$. Then still $\frac{db_t}{dt_{r,t}} < 0$.

• $\frac{\partial P_t}{\partial t_i} > 0$, $-\frac{B_{t-1}}{P_t^2} + \frac{T_{r,t}}{P_t} > -\frac{\partial X_t}{\partial P_t}$ at initial $X_t, P_t, T_t, B_t, B_{t-1}$.
  Also, $\left[-\frac{B_{t-1}}{P_t^2} + \frac{T_{r,t}}{P_t} + \frac{\partial X_t}{\partial P_t}\right] \frac{\partial P_t}{\partial t_i} > \frac{1}{P_t}$. Then, $\frac{db_t}{dt_{r,t}} > 0$.

Now, let us change Equation 5 into:

$$b_t = X_t + \frac{B_{t-1}}{P_t} - t_{r,t}$$

(11)

where $t_{r,t} = T_t/P_t$, real taxes. $b = b(X, P, t_r), X = X(P), P = P(t_r)$.

$$\frac{db_t}{dt_{r,t}} = \frac{\partial b_t}{\partial X_t} \frac{\partial X_t}{\partial t_{r,t}} + \frac{\partial b_t}{\partial P_t} \frac{\partial P_t}{\partial t_{r,t}} + \frac{\partial b_t}{\partial t_{r,t}}$$

(12)

$$\frac{\partial b_t}{\partial t_{r,t}} = -1$$

(13)

$$\frac{\partial b_t}{\partial X_t} = 1$$

(14)

$$\frac{\partial b_t}{\partial P_t} = -\frac{B_{t-1}}{P_t^2}$$

(15)

$$\frac{db_t}{dt_{r,t}} = \left[-\frac{B_{t-1}}{P_t^2} + \frac{\partial X_t}{\partial P_t}\right] \frac{\partial P_t}{\partial t_{r,t}} - 1$$

(16)

Simplify as:

$$\frac{db_t}{dt_{r,t}}|_{t_{r,t}, X_t} = \left[-\frac{b_{t-1}}{P_t} + \gamma\right] \lambda - 1$$

(17)

where $\gamma = \frac{\partial X_t}{\partial P_t}|_{t_{r,t}, X_t}$, $\lambda = \frac{\partial P_t}{\partial t_{r,t}}|_{t_{r,t}}$, and $P_t, t_i, X_i$ represent initial equilibrium points. $b_{t-1} = B_{t-1}/P_t$.

Thus, assuming $\gamma < 0$: 
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• If $-b_{t-1} > -P_t \gamma$ and $\lambda < 0$, then $db_t / dt_{r,t} < -1$.
• If $-b_{t-1} > -P_t \gamma$ and $\lambda > 0$, then $db_t / dt_{r,t} > -1$.
• If $\lambda = 0$, $db_t / dt_{r,t} = 0$.

Let us now rewrite the budget equation into

$$b_t = X_t + b_{t-1} - t_{r,t}$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

Now $b_{t-1}$ is not $B_{t-1}/P_t$, but rather past debt is denominated in real term. Then,

$$\frac{db_t}{dt_{r,t}} = \frac{\partial X_t}{\partial P_t} \frac{\partial P_t}{dt_{r,t}} - 1 = \frac{dX_t}{dt_{r,t}} - 1$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)

Let $\gamma = \frac{\partial X_t}{\partial P_t} P_t$, $\lambda = \frac{\partial P_t}{dt_{r,t}} P_t$, $t_i$. If $\gamma < 0$ and $\lambda < 0$, then unlike in the previous cases, $\frac{db_t}{dt_{r,t}} > -1$. 