

The Effect of Infomation on Financial Markets: A Survey

Chouliaras, Andreas

Luxembourg School of Finance

17 May 2016

Online at https://mpra.ub.uni-muenchen.de/71396/ MPRA Paper No. 71396, posted 19 May 2016 17:58 UTC

The Effect of Infomation on Financial Markets: A Survey

Andreas Chouliaras* Luxembourg School of Finance**

Abstract

Researchers in finance have since long ago been attempting to quantify information, and assess its impact on financial markets. Recent advances in computational linguistics, natural language processing, machine learning and econometrics, along with improved data access to media articles, online discussions and social networks, have enabled researchers to apply such techniques in multiple fields of financial research. The applications include (but are not limited to): the effect of media columns and online discussions on stock prices, the relationship between media coverage and institutional trading, the effect of media during mergers and acquisitions (M&As), and initial public offerings (IPOs). The paper surveys a key part of the literature, and discusses possibilities for further research.

JEL classification: G10, G14, G23.

Keywords: Information, Financial Markets, Textual Analysis, News, Media.

^{*} Corresponding author. E-mail address: andreas.chouliaras@uni.lu

^{**} Luxembourg School of Finance, 4, rue Albert Borschette, 1246 Luxembourg.

In the 1970's we saw the rise of Wall Street quantitative analysts. Then came program trading. Perhaps computational linguistics and textual data mining will become the new hot technologies in financial economics.

Hal R. Varian, Sept. 23, 2004, "Good Stock Advice or Online Noise?", New York Times

1. Introduction

Since decades, researchers have been trying to analyse sources of information, and associate the content of information to financial returns. One of the first studies in this topic is Niederhoffer (1971), which analyses "world events", i.e. events that were "significant" enough for the New York Times to cover them with using a five to eight column headline. The author uses untrained observers to classify the headlines into twenty categories, using a good/bad scale with seven points. The most interesting finding of this paper is that "large changes" in the stock market are much more likely following "world events" than on random days. The paper ends with the author saying "I hope that this study will stimulate other quantitative research on the effect of information on markets."

Indeed, a lot of papers in the following years tried to quantify the impact of information on financial markets: in one of them, Mitchell and Mulherin (1994) analyse the number of daily Dow Jones news and associate these to trading volume and stock market returns. The vast majority of the studies between 1970 and the early 2000s, used rought and unprocessed proxies to quantify information, such as a simple count of the number of news. It was not until Antweiler and Frank (2004) that researchers attempted to programmatically quantify the content of information. This paper uses computational linguistics techniques - more specifically, the Naive Bayes algorithm, to quantify the content of online board messages, and classify them as bullish or bearish¹. The authors study the messages posted in two message boards that were quite popular in the beginning of 2000s: Yahoo! Finance and Raging Bull. This paper drew quite a lot of attention, with the New York Times asking Professor Hal Varian to write an editorial on the topic². By then, it was quite clear that the field of textual analysis

The authors used a software package that was developed in the Carnegie Mellon University (CMU), under the project name Rainbow, which can be found at http://www.cs.cmu.edu/~mccallum/bow/rainbow/

²http://www.nytimes.com/2004/09/23/business/good-stock-advice-or-online-noise.html

would become rather popular in Finance, with Hal Varian writing "in the 1970's we saw the rise of Wall Street quantitative analysts. Then came program trading. Perhaps computational linguistics and textual data mining will become the new hot technologies in financial economics.".

A major breakthrough in the field of textual analysis came in 2007, with the publication of Tetlock (2007): the author quantified the content of a particularly famous financial column ("Abreast of the Market") of the Wall Street Journal³. This seminal paper quantifies the content of media articles using the Harvard IV-4 psychosocial dictionary⁴, which is used in the General Inquirer content analysis software⁵. The paper was a big success, with the author winning the First Prize, Amundi Smith Breeden Prize of the Journal of Finance⁶. It is worth noting that the General Inquirer was developed in the beginning of the 1960s (Stone, Bales, Namenwirth, and Ogilvie (1962), Stone, Dunphy, and Smith (1966)), which means that -quite surprisingly- it took researchers in finance over 40 years to realise how useful such tools can be for Finance research⁷.

Since then, the field of textual analysis in finance took off, with multiple papers being written attempting to exploit these new computational tools, and to study the effect of information on financial markets under a new perspective. Another big breakthrough in the field was the publication of Loughran and McDonald (2011), who report that 73.8% of words classified as negative in the Harvard IV-4 dictionary, are not necessarily negative in a financial piece of text. Such words include the words "tax, cost, capital, vice". The authors fine tune the Harvard IV-4 dictionary, keeping the words that are relevant to finance, and classify words in a series of word lists (Negative, Positive, Uncertainty, Litigious, Constraining, Superfluous, Interesting, Modal)⁸.

Another interesting approach to quantify textual sentiment is presented in Jegadeesh and Wu (2013): the authors collapse the positive and negative words from the Loughran and McDonald (2011) word lists (LM), keeping one word for every different inflection. The initial LM list contains 353

³http://www.wsj.com/news/types/abreast-of-the-market. The column used to be written on a daily basis when the Tetlock (2007) paper was published, but is now written on a weekly basis

⁴http://www.wjh.harvard.edu/ inquirer/homecat.htm

⁵http://www.wjh.harvard.edu/~inquirer/

⁶http://www.afajof.org/details/page/2870731/Prizes.html

⁷To the best of my knowledge, Tetlock (2007) is the first study in finance to use the General Inquirer

⁸It has to be mentioned, however, as the authors themselves report in Loughran and McDonald (2011), that the advantage of using their Negative words over the Negative words classified in the Harvard IV-4 is not very big.

positive words and 2337 negative words, which are brought down to 123 positive and 718 negative words using the Jegadeesh and Wu (2013) approach. Instead of manually classifying words in positive and negative categories, they use 10-K (US listed firms annual reports) filing returns to obtain a "score" for every word separately. This is based on a weighting scheme that calculates the number of of documents in which every word occurs, taking into account the (abnormal) stock returns that occurred during the release of the filing that contained the specific word(s). Another application of textual analysis on annual reports is proposed in Chouliaras (2015b) which associates 10-K returns with the previous period stock market return of the firm.

Finally, a recent trend in the finance research, makes use of machine learning techniques. One of these techniques, deals with topic detection. One the most widely used algorithm in this field was proposed in Blei, Ng, and Jordan (2003), and is called "Latent Dirichlet Allocation" (LDA). This approach sees documents as a collection of topics, and depending on which words are used in the text, it statistically associates a given piece of text with a topic. One of the papers in finance that use the LDA algorithm is Hoberg and Lewis (2015) who study the Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) section of 10-Ks to see how similar the topics of firms that commit fraud are.

This survey paper is organized as follows: Section 2 presents the data that have been used in previous studies as far as the information data sources and the financial data sources are concerned. Section 3 presents a few studies that have used the raw count of news as a proxy for information, and possibilities for further research quantifying the content of such news. Section 4 discusses a few papers that study the effect of information on institutional investors' trading behavior. Section 5 presents how some studies were able to obtain the relevant news. Section 6 presents some causal evidence of the effect of news on financial markets. Section 7 discusses a few possibilities for further research on the field. Finally, Section 8 concludes.

2. Data sources used to study the effect of information on financial markets

2.1. News media sources

Niederhoffer (1971), being the first paper (to the best of my knowledge) to study the effects of information on financial markets, used the headlines of the New York Times as the source of news articles. Mitchell and Mulherin (1994) uses the Dow Jones announcements (known as the "Broadtape") and the Wall Street Journal articles. Antweiler and Frank (2004) uses the Yahoo! Finance and the Ragingbull.com online message boards. Fang and Peress (2009) and Fang, Peress, and Zheng (2014)) use major US newspapers articles provided by the LexisNexis platform. Another popular source of news has been the Dow Jones Factiva database. This news database has been used in quite a few of the studies, such as Tetlock, Saar-Tsechansky, and Macskassy (2008). A newspaper database that has been used much less is the Proquest Historical Newspapers database, used in Engelberg and Parsons (2011).

2.2. Financial data sources

As far as the financial data are concerned, these are typically obtained from the Wharton Research Data Services (WRDS) for the Dow Jones Industrial Average index returns (Tetlock (2007)). Stock price data are obtained from the Center for Research on Security Prices (CRSP), while analyst forecasts are typically the ones provided by the Institutional Brokers' Estimate System (I/B/E/S). Accounting information data are usually obtained from Compustat (Tetlock et al. (2008)).

3. Count (number) of news as a metric of information

Even though most of the recent studies have focused on quantifying the content of news in order to examine its impact on financial markets, a part of the literature has mainly used the number of news as a metric of information. For example, Fang and Peress (2009) use a sample of all NYSE and 500 randomly selected NASDAQ companies for a time period between 1993 and 2002, obtaining

news articles from four major US newspapers (New York Times, USA Today, Wall Street Journal and Washington Post) from LexisNexis. The authors use the "relevance" score of LexisNexis as a cutoff for keeping or discarding firm-specific news, keeping articles above the 90% relevance cutoff. The authors report that firms that are not mentioned in the media perform better than stocks that receive frequent media attention. Quite interestingly, the authors do not examine the content of news at all. The finding that stocks with higher media coverage outperform stocks with high media coverage is very interesting, but it would be useful to study what the actual news say. For example, firms that severely miss targets, report extreme losses, and/or are involved in some catastrophic incidence (such events can be accidents like plane crashes, earthquakes and other physical catastrophes) would be expected to receive very high media coverage. The same would be true for firms that severely beat earnings estimates, and/or produce some major discovery or unleash a product which ends up selling very well (for example, products such as the Apple iPhone). In a similar paper by the same authors, Fang et al. (2014) find that mutual funds have a tendency to buy more of stocks that are mentioned quite a lot in the media. The authors report evidence that funds that tend to buy highly media-covered stocks, tend to underperform on a yearly basis. Once more, the authors do not perform textual analysis to calculate the tone of articles. The authors use the stock returns and try to calculate the tone of the articles based on whether the stock had a negative or a positive return on the day the articles were released. For every day that a news article exists for a stock, the authors use the sign of returns to classify the article as negative or positive. Then, they sum up the number of negative and positive days per firm per quarter. Even though this approach is interesting, in reality it neglects the actual content of the articles. The authors report that they did not have access to the content of articles, but it would be very helpful to quantify the actual tone of news and then study whether the results hold once more⁹.

Another recent paper to use the count of news as a proxy of information is Engelberg, McLean, and Pontiff (2015). The paper makes use of 97 anomalies that have been reported in the finance

⁹It is not clear how LexisNexis calculate the relevance scores. Some information is provided in https://www.lexisnexis.com/infopro/resource-centers/product_resource_centers/b/smartindexing/archive/2013/09/06/relevance-scores-50-99.aspx and https://www.lexisnexis.com/communities/academic/w/wiki/100.relevance-score.aspx but not much is revealed on how the index itself is calculated. It might be interesting to study alternative methods of calculating news stories relevance, and see if the results are affected or not.

research papers. The research question here is whether anomaly returns are different on days with earnings announcements and on days with news. The authors report that anomaly returns are found to be 7 times higher on earnings announcement days and 2 times higher on days with corporate news. The authors use 489,996 earnings announcements and over 6 million Dow Jones news stories. Once more, the authors do not actually study the content of news, and they do not study whether the firm has beaten or missed the expectations on an earnings announcement day. The findings of this paper seem to indicate that news and earnings announcements are channels through which new information is released, which causes investors to change their beliefs, this is why "anomalies" are observed.

4. Information, textual analysis and institutional investors

An area of research in finance that has not adequately been explored from the current papers is how do institutional investors use information to invest on financial markets. Apart from Fang et al. (2014) which uses the number of firm-specific news as a proxy for information, not many other studies have attempted to provide such a connection. An exception is Solomon, Soltes, and Sosyura (2014) who finds that fund holdings with high returns in the previous period affect higher flows, but only if the mentioned stocks have also received media attention in the previous period. Finally, another paper that studies the effect of information on institutional trading is Chouliaras (2015a), a paper which associates 10-K textual analysis tone with insitutional holdings (via 13-F filings) and analysts' recommendations. Overall, the effect of information on institutional investors' trading has not been thoroughly examined in the current literature.

5. Techniques to keep relevant news

An interesting question that does not have a common answer in the research literature is how should one keep relevant news? Different papers have used different approaches to tackle this issue. For example, Tetlock et al. (2008) uses over 350,000 firm specific news stories which are retrieved from the Dow Jones and the Wall Street Journal, which contain more than 100 million words in total.

The authors use stories that refer to S&P firms and require that the story mentions the name of the firm at least once in the first 25 words. On top of that, the authors require the name of the firm to be at least twice mentioned in the full content of the article. Furthermore, the authors keep stories that have at least 50 words, at least 5 of which must belong to the "Positive" or "Negative" word lists. 3 of these 5 positive or negative words must be unique. A similar approach is followed by Chen, De, Hu, and Hwang (2014): they require the CRSP company name to show up at least once in the first 50 words of the Dow Jones News Services (DJNS) articles. The authors acknowledge that this process is not perfect because many times the name of subsidiaries are mentioned instead of the holding company (e.g. Cadbury instead of Kraft Foods), or the product is mentioned instead of the company (e.g. Camry instead of Toyota). The problem of picking up relevant stories appears mostly for papers that use the Dow Jones Newswires as the news source. On the other hand, stories that use LexisNexis do not appear to have this problem, since LexisNexis provides a relevance score for each story, and researchers can keep news articles above a certain cutoff (90% is used in Fang and Peress (2009) and Fang et al. (2014)). Chen et al. (2014) obtain online "opinions" and comments from the website Seeking Alpha (seekingalpha.com) using the relevant stock tickers provided by the website. Another approach to select relevant news, is through the use of keywords (in the title and the content of news articles), as has been used in Chouliaras and Grammatikos (2015).

6. The causal impact of media on financial markets

The majority of research studies in finance exhibit correlations between media articles and the stock markets. In some cases, researchers attempt to exhibit a causal link among the two. In one of these studies, Engelberg and Parsons (2011) were able to obtain trading data from a large discount brokerage database. This way they were able to associate local trading with the media coverage by local media. They find that local media coverage of an earnings announcement, strongly affects whether local trading occurs, as well as its magnitude, establishing a causal relationship between the media and financial markets. Another paper that attempts to establish causal links between the media and

financial markets is Dougal, Engelberg, Garcia, and Parsons (2012)¹⁰. The authors fixed effects for different journalists on daily regressions of the Dow Jones Industrial Average (DJIA) stock index. The financial column they study is the Wall Street Journal's "Abreast of the Market" (AOTM) column¹¹. The exogenous variation comes from the rotations of financial journalists. Journalists' personal writing styles (captured by their fixed effects) are able to explain daily stock returns to a large extent, being evidence of a causal link between the media and financial markets.

7. Future directions for Textual Sentiment Analysis

The approaches that are based on financial dictionaries appear to be working quite well, and have found a lot of applications in the financial domain. These approaches started off from the use of General Inquirer in Tetlock (2007), and have led to the creation of specialised financial word lists in Loughran and McDonald (2011). Even though these approaches do not perfectly capture the tone of text, they appear to be working quite well. Yet, obstacles remain, that have to do with the grammatical syntax of text, the weighting of words (most current approaches place equal weights to negative/positive words), how should one deal with negators (Jegadeesh and Wu (2013) report that not counting positive/negative words within three words of a negator appears to increase the performance of their models). Perhaps a way forward would be to combine techniques developed in other fields, such as Computer Science, to see if the current finance sentiment metrics can be further improved. For example, a very popular sentiment analysis implementation is the Python Natural Language Toolkit (NLTK) platform¹². The Python NLTK uses techniques that actually take into account the content of sentences and their grammatical/syntactical structure. The drawbacks of such tools is that they are usually constructed using corpora such as customer reviews¹³ and movie reviews¹⁴. In a finance setting, such classifications will probably not be very powerful (Chouliaras (2015c)). A hybrid approach, one that uses tools such as Python NLTK, but combines them with word lists relevant to finance, such as

¹⁰Michael J. Brennan Best Paper Award, Review of Financial Studies, 2013: http://rfssfs.org/awards/

¹¹the same column has been used by Tetlock (2007)

 $^{^{12} \}rm http://www.nltk.org/$

¹³http://www.nltk.org/_modules/nltk/corpus/reader/reviews.html

¹⁴http://www.nltk.org/book/ch06.html

Loughran and McDonald (2011), might prove to perform better.

One way to view the big picture of the field is that five interacting entities provide and receive information from (and to) one another: analysts, firms, institutions retail investors and the media. The analysts provide recommendations through their buy, sell recommendations as well as their target prices. Firms provide information through their corporate filings, press conferences and interviews. Institutions provide information through their trading behavior and possible interventions they make (in the case of activist investors, but also in the case of letters to investors). The media provide information through articles, through interviews, and generally through the information they release. The information that each of these entities provides, is the information that the other entities receive (and vice versa). The question that emerges is how does information from and to each of these entities affect the other entities: do subgroups exist, such that they outperform their peers (star analysts, star fund managers)? Is this outperformance related to a better/faster access (processing, interpretation) of information signals? Investors have storng incentives to invest heavily in information processing mechanisms, which can allow them to profit in financial markets. Analysing the cross section of investors is not sufficient: there exists significant heterogeneity between different classes of investors (mutual funds, hedge funds, high frequency traders, algorithmic traders, et cetera). Does the information processing capacity of these investors play a role in their behavior (and ultimately success or failure in the financial markets? Does investing in IT infrastructure and state of the art technology, hiring people from top universities, with top grades, top publications, PhD degrees and so on also play a role? What role do network effects play? Such networks could be LinkedIn networks (or other social media networks such as Twitter and Facebook), studying at the same university at the same time/program, having graduated from the same school might significantly affect investment decisions (friends might chat and influence each other's decision making).

8. Conclusion

The field of financial research that studies the effect of information on financial markets has attracted a lot of attention in the recent years. Going one step beyond manually classifying news in "good" or "bad", researchers were able to automatically quantify the content of informational sources. Fields that this type of research has been applied recently include media articles (Tetlock (2007), Garcia (2013)), quarterly earnings (Tetlock et al. (2008)), annual reports (Loughran and McDonald (2011)), institutional investors trading (Solomon et al. (2014)), the study of financial anomalies (Engelberg et al. (2015), Hillert, Jacobs, and Müller (2014)), mergers and acquisitions (M&As) negotiations (Ahern and Sosyura (2014), Ahern and Sosyura (2015)).

The field has evolved from the use of agents classifying the headlines of articles (Niederhoffer (1971)) to the use of psychosocial dictionaries (Tetlock (2007)) which were later fine tuned to develop financial word lists (Loughran and McDonald (2011)). Other techniques used include computationally comparing the similarity of pieces of text (Hanley and Hoberg (2010). Moving forward, researchers are starting to use tools developed in computer science and machine learning, such as topic modeling techniques (Latent Dirichlet Allocation - LDA) (Hoberg and Lewis (2015)). There is a lot of room for further research on this field. One thing is certain: looking backwards, Niederhoffer (1971) was definitely right when he was saying "I hope that this study will stimulate other quantitative research on the effect of information on markets."

Table 1: Summary table for papers, data sources, techniques, time periods and findings

Paper	Data sources	Applied to	Time Period	Techniques	Findings
Niederhoffer (1971)	New York Times	Dow Jones In-	1950 to 1966	Observers classified headlines	Large changes are "substan-
		dustrial Average		to categories	tially more likely" following
		stock returns			"world events" than on ran-
					domly selected days. World
					events are ones which have a
76. 1 11 1 1 1 1 1		ANTOD ANTON	1000 : 1000		five-to-eight column headline.
Mitchell and Mulherin	Dow Jones & Company	NYSE, AMEX,	1983 to 1990	Number of announcements	Direct relationship between
(1994)	Broadtape and the Wall	OTC returns and		used as a proxy for informa-	the number of Dow Jones an-
	Street Journal	trading volume		tion	nouncements and market ac-
					tivity (returns and trading
Antweiler and Frank	V-11 Einen 1 Denis -	D I C4l	2000	G	volume)
Antweiler and Frank (2004)	Yahoo! Finance and Raging Bull	Dow Jones Stocks	2000	Computational linguistics algorithms (Naive Bayes and	Stock messages help predict volatility
(2004)	Dull			Support Vector Machine), us-	Volatility
				ing the Rainbow package	
Tetlock (2007)	Wall Street Journal ("Abreast	Dow Jones index	1984 to 1999	General Inquirer (GI) - Har-	pessimistic media content
16tiock (2007)	of the Market" column)	Dow Jones index	1904 (0 1999	vard IV-4 psychosocial dictio-	have forecasting power on
	or the Market Column)			nary	stock prices and trading
					volume
Tetlock et al. (2008)	Wall Street Journal (WSJ)	S&P 500 firms	1980 to 2004	General Inquirer (GI) - Har-	A higher percentage of neg-
,	and Dow Jones News Ser-			vard IV-4 psychosocial dictio-	ative words in firm specific
	vice (DJNS) stories. Stock			nary	news predicts quarterly Stan-
	price data from the Cen-				dardized Unexpected Earn-
	ter for Research on Security				ings (SUE) and standard-
	Prices (CRSP). Analyst fore-				ized analysts' forecast errors
	cast information from the In-				(SAFE).
	stitutional Brokers' Estimate				
	System (I/B/E/S), account-				
	ing information from Compu-				
	stat.				

Table 1: Summary table for papers, data sources, techniques, time periods and findings

Paper	Data sources	Applied to	Time Period	Techniques	Findings
Fang and Peress (2009)	US newspaper articles from	All NYSE and	1993 to 2002	Count of media articles per	Stocks with no media cov-
	LexisNexis, 13F filings.	500 randomly se-		firm	erage perform better than
		lected NASDAQ			stocks that receive high media
		companies			coverage
Engelberg and Parsons	Earnings announcements	S&P 500 firms	1991 to 2007	Dummy variables to capture	Local retail investors increase
(2011)	dates from COMPUS-	earnings an-		whether announcement is cov-	their trading if local press
	TAT. Media coverage data	nouncements		ered by local newspapers	covers the earnings announce-
	from ProQuest's newspaper				ment
	database. Large discount				
	brokerage database trading				
	data (1991 to 1996).				
Loughran and McDon-	Annual reports (10-Ks) from	NYSE, Amex,	1994 to 2008	Financial dictionaries pro-	A higher percentage of nega-
ald (2011)	SEC EDGAR	NASDAQ firms		duced by fine tuning the	tive words is associated with
				General Inquirer (GI) -	lower stock returns in the days
				Harvard IV-4 psychosocial	0 to 3 relative to the 10-K fil-
				dictionary	ing date.
Dougal et al. (2012)	Wall Street Journal's	Dow Jones In-	1970 to 2007	Use of journalist fixed effect as	Journalist fixed effects signifi-
	"Abreast of the Market"	dustrial Average		a proxy to study the causal ef-	cantly predict DJIA returns.
	(AOTM) column	(DJIA) short		fect of different writing styles	
		term returns		on financial markets	
Garcia (2013)	Two financial columns from	Dow Jones In-	1905 to 2005	Loughran and McDonald	Media content predicts daily
	the New York Times ("Finan-	dustrial Average		(2011) word lists.	stock returns, especially dur-
	cial Markets" and "Topics in	(DJIA) returns			ing recessions.
	Wall Street")				
Loughran and McDon-	SEC Filings for initial public	1,887 completed	1997 to 2010	Loughran and McDonald	A higher percentage of uncer-
ald (2013)	offerings (IPOs) - Form S-1	US IPOs		(2011) word lists	tain words in IPO prospectus
					is associated with higher first
					day returns and larger ex post
					volatility.

Table 1: Summary table for papers, data sources, techniques, time periods and findings

Paper	Data sources	Applied to	Time Period	Techniques	Findings
Jegadeesh and Wu	SEC Form 10-K	Filing returns	1995 to 2010	Weighting scheme applied	Positive and negative words
(2013)				to Loughran and McDonald	created based on filing returns
				(2011) word lists	are found to affect filing re-
					turns
Chen et al. (2014)	User opinions extracted	CRSP/Compustat	2005 to 2012	Word lists from Loughran and	Predictability of opinions
	from Seeking Alpha website	Stocks		McDonald (2011)	transmitted through Seeking
	(http://seekingalpha.com),				Alpha on stock returns
	articles from the Dow Jones				
	News Service (DJNS)				
Ahern and Sosyura	Mergers of US listed firms	Acquirer val-	2000 to 2008	Word lists from Loughran and	Fixed exchange ratio bidders
(2014)	data from SDC database, firm	uation during		McDonald (2011) and Har-	increase the number of press
	specific news from Dow Jones	mergers		vard IV-4.	releases when private negoti-
	Factiva				ations take place, creating a
					short-lived increase in the val-
					uation of the bidder
Fang et al. (2014)	NexisLexis articles for all	CRSP Mutual	1993 to 2002	Number of firm specific news	Funds tend to buy more
	NYSE stocks and 500 ran-	Fund Database,		as a proxy for media coverage	stocks with high media cover-
	domly selected NASDAQ	daily institu-			age. Such funds tend to un-
	stocks	tional trades			derperform.
		data from Abel			
		Noser Solutions			
		(Ancerno)			
Solomon et al. (2014)	Media articles from four ma-	Fund flows from	1998 to 2008	Stock returns as a way to clas-	Funds flows are affected by
	jor US newspapers, obtained	Thomson Reuters		sify the content of media cov-	past holdings returns, only if
	from Factiva. Financial data			erage, and Loughran and Mc-	the holdings were featured in
	from CRSP and Compustat			Donald (2011) word lists	the media.
Hillert et al. (2014)	Media articles from US news-	Momentum stock	1989 to 2010	Media coverage defined as	Firms which get more men-
	papers, from LexisNexis.	returns		the natural logarithm of the	tioned in the press have higher
				number of articles, and use	momentum effects
				of Loughran and McDonald	
				(2011) word lists	

Table 1: Summary table for papers, data sources, techniques, time periods and findings

Paper	Data sources	Applied to	Time Period	Techniques	Findings
Ahern and Sosyura	Merger rumor articles from	Stock returns fol-	2000 to 2011	Examining whether merger	Merger rumor accuracy is af-
(2015)	Factiva, merger data from	lowing merger ru-		rumor is finally realised or	fected by the journalists' edu-
	SDC global merger database	mor articles		not, and weak modal words	cation, experience. Less accu-
				from Loughran and McDon-	rate stories use uncertain lan-
				ald (2011)	guage. Rumors lead to a short
					lived price overreaction.
Engelberg et al. (2015)	News items from Dow Jones	97 stock return	1979 to 2013	Use of variables that count the	Anomaly returns are found to
		anomalies con-		number of anomaly portfolios	be much higher on earnings
		structed with		each stock belongs in for every	announcement days and days
		data from CRSP,		month	with firm-specific news
		Compustat and			
		IBES			

References

- Ahern, K. R., Sosyura, D., 2014. Who writes the news? corporate press releases during merger negotiations. The Journal of Finance 69, 241–291.
- Ahern, K. R., Sosyura, D., 2015. Rumor has it: Sensationalism in financial media. Review of Financial Studies p. hhv006.
- Antweiler, W., Frank, M. Z., 2004. Is all that talk just noise? the information content of internet stock message boards. The Journal of Finance 59, 1259–1294.
- Blei, D. M., Ng, A. Y., Jordan, M. I., 2003. Latent dirichlet allocation. the Journal of machine Learning research 3, 993–1022.
- Chen, H., De, P., Hu, Y. J., Hwang, B.-H., 2014. Wisdom of crowds: The value of stock opinions transmitted through social media. Review of Financial Studies 27, 1367–1403.
- Chouliaras, A., 2015a. Institutional investors, analysts' recommendations, annual reports, textual analysis and stock returns: Evidence from sec edgar 10-k and 13-f forms.
- Chouliaras, A., 2015b. The pessimism factor: Sec edgar form 10-k textual analysis and stock returns .
- Chouliaras, A., Grammatikos, T., 2015. News flow, web attention and extreme returns in the european financial crisis.
- Chouliaras, A. S., 2015c. High frequency newswire textual sentiment: Evidence from international stock markets during the european financial crisis. Available at SSRN 2572597.
- Dougal, C., Engelberg, J., Garcia, D., Parsons, C. A., 2012. Journalists and the stock market. Review of Financial Studies 25, 639–679.
- Engelberg, J., McLean, R. D., Pontiff, J., 2015. Anomalies and news. Available at SSRN.
- Engelberg, J. E., Parsons, C. A., 2011. The causal impact of media in financial markets. The Journal of Finance 66, 67–97.

- Fang, L., Peress, J., 2009. Media coverage and the cross-section of stock returns. The Journal of Finance 64, 2023–2052.
- Fang, L. H., Peress, J., Zheng, L., 2014. Does media coverage of stocks affect mutual funds' trading and performance? Review of Financial Studies 27, 3441–3466.
- Garcia, D., 2013. Sentiment during recessions. The Journal of Finance 68, 1267–1300.
- Hanley, K. W., Hoberg, G., 2010. The information content of ipo prospectuses. Review of Financial Studies 23, 2821–2864.
- Hillert, A., Jacobs, H., Müller, S., 2014. Media makes momentum. Review of Financial Studies p. hhu061.
- Hoberg, G., Lewis, C. M., 2015. Do fraudulent firms produce abnormal disclosure? Vanderbilt Owen Graduate School of Management Research Paper .
- Jegadeesh, N., Wu, D., 2013. Word power: A new approach for content analysis. Journal of Financial Economics 110, 712–729.
- Loughran, T., McDonald, B., 2011. When is a liability not a liability? textual analysis, dictionaries, and 10-ks. The Journal of Finance 66, 35–65.
- Loughran, T., McDonald, B., 2013. Ipo first-day returns, offer price revisions, volatility, and form s-1 language. Journal of Financial Economics.
- Mitchell, M. L., Mulherin, J. H., 1994. The impact of public information on the stock market. The Journal of Finance 49, 923–950.
- Niederhoffer, V., 1971. The analysis of world events and stock prices. The Journal of Business 44, 193–219.
- Solomon, D. H., Soltes, E., Sosyura, D., 2014. Winners in the spotlight: Media coverage of fund holdings as a driver of flows. Journal of Financial Economics 113, 53–72.

- Stone, P. J., Bales, R. F., Namenwirth, J. Z., Ogilvie, D. M., 1962. The general inquirer: A computer system for content analysis and retrieval based on the sentence as a unit of information. Behavioral Science 7, 484–498.
- Stone, P. J., Dunphy, D. C., Smith, M. S., 1966. The general inquirer: A computer approach to content analysis. .
- Tetlock, P. C., 2007. Giving content to investor sentiment: The role of media in the stock market.

 The Journal of Finance 62, 1139–1168.
- Tetlock, P. C., Saar-Tsechansky, M., Macskassy, S., 2008. More than words: Quantifying language to measure firms' fundamentals. The Journal of Finance 63, 1437–1467.