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The transformation of the social system in Russia after 1990 has led to an acute crisis in agriculture, reducing its production, particularly livestock, decline of the country's food self-sufficiency. The total amount of livestock gross production (in constant prices) decreased annually until 1999 inclusively, and its general decline reached 50.3% at the same time. After the default of 1998, increased cost of imports and increased demand for domestic products selling prices of animal products rose sharply (in 1999 by 82.4% and for 1998-2001 by 2.9 times). The growth of prices has led to the decline in production suspension in 2000, and an increase in the volume of livestock production for 1999-2002 by 7.7%. But by 2003, the default effect has been exhausted and the continued decline in production (for 2 years by 2.3%) required a revision of the agricultural policy and the search for other ways of development of animal husbandry and agriculture in general.

For the improvement of agriculture in 2005, Russia has begun the development and implementation of the priority national project "Development of agriculture" for 2006-2007 years, and then the "State program of agricultural development and regulation of agricultural products, raw materials and food for 2008-2012" and a similar program for 2013-2020 years. During the two years of implementation of the priority national project the total increase in the gross output of livestock amounted to 11.0%. But the planned state programs indicators of growth have not been achieved - instead of the expected in 2007-2013 years the growth by 29.6% in livestock production the actual level of it was only 14.9%. This increase was primarily due to increased production of poultry meat by 99.0% and pigs by 45.9%, while reducing the gross output of cattle by 4.3%.

This article discusses the results of a statistical analysis of the development and efficiency of cattle breeding in Russia in general and by types of farms for the period of implementation of government programs from 2007 to 2013. The analysis was conducted based on the previous dynamics of cattle for the whole period of transformation after 1990 and the formation of multistructure agriculture of the Russian Federation (Table. 1).
Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Years</th>
<th>Milk, million tons</th>
<th>Cattle for slaughter, thnds. tons of slaughter weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>total</td>
<td>enterprises</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>55,7</td>
<td>42,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>32,0</td>
<td>14,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>32,4</td>
<td>14,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>32,6</td>
<td>14,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>31,8</td>
<td>14,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>31,6</td>
<td>14,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>31,8</td>
<td>14,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>30,5</td>
<td>14,0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2013 in %:
- by 1990: 54,8 33,0 110,5 ... 37,7 14,1 175,0 170
- by 2007: 95,3 98,6 89,1 138,5 96,1 89,7 95,6

Milk production after 1990 was reduced by 2013 in Russia by 45.2% in the first instance in the agricultural organizations - by 67.0%, with a slight increase in households by 10.5%, as well as farms. Livestock meat production fell to a greater extent (62.3% overall) first of all in agricultural organizations (85.9%) with an increase in the households (75.0%). The State Program for 2008-2012, as well as the branch target program "Development of cattle breeding and increase milk production in the Russian Federation for 2009-2012" provides for the growth in milk production in 2012 to 37.0 million tons, have not been met. The priority of the first level in the production of the state program for 2013-2020 is the development of animal husbandry industry as the backbone, using the competitive advantages of the country, most notably the presence of large areas of agricultural land. But in 2007-2013 the production of milk and meat cattle declined in all categories except farms, including individual entrepreneurs, which provided 5.9% of the production of milk in 2013. During January-December 2014, milk production has increased overall by 0.1% while the reduction in the households and an increase in organizations by 2.3%.

In December 2014, the Russian Government introduced the subprogram "Development of dairy cattle breeding" to the State program in connection with the sanctions to ensure food security of Russia in the parameters for the Doctrine of food security, rapid import substitution of milk and increase the competitiveness of industry in the context of the WTO. Sub-program provides for an increase in milk production in 2020 to 38.2 million tons and increase the share of domestic milk and dairy products in the shared resources to 90.2% compared to 76.6% in 2013.
Reduction of volumes of production of cattle breeding is directly dependent on the dynamics of the number of animals (Table 2), and their productivity.

Table 2

The dynamics of the cattle population in Russia for the end of the year, thds. heads

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Years</th>
<th>Whole number of cattle</th>
<th>Cows including</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>total</td>
<td>enterprises</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>56643</td>
<td>43177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>21546</td>
<td>10617</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>21038</td>
<td>9863</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>20671</td>
<td>9555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>19968</td>
<td>9256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>20134</td>
<td>9165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>19981</td>
<td>9060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>9564</td>
<td>8800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013 in %: by 1990</td>
<td>34,5</td>
<td>20,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>by 2007</td>
<td>90,8</td>
<td>82,9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reducing the number of cattle in general occurred to a greater extent than the decline in production of milk and meat, especially in the agricultural organizations and partly in households. In 2014, a number of cattle has decreased overall by 2.2%, including cows by 2.5%. The sector was formed anew in the farms, while the number of population was growing faster than production.

Reduced production was restrained by increasing the productivity of animals. Thus, the milk yield per cow was raised in Russia on average between 1990 and 2013 from 27.3 quintals to 38.9 quintals of milk, or 42.5%, which indicates an increase in technological efficiency of production of the surviving population. At the same time the task set in the target program to achieve the milk production per cow of 4500 kg in 2012 has not been reached. The milk yields rose to 45.2 quintals in enterprises and to 35.4 quintals in households, in farms the milk yield per cow dairy herd was 33.2 quintals in 2013 according to Rosstat.

The structure of the placement of milk production and livestock by types of farms has changed, percentage of cows with a relatively higher productivity kept in enterprises, decreased from 74.5% in 1990 to 40.8% in 2013. So, there was also a growth of meat productivity of cattle - yield cultivation on 1 head in all categories of farms increased from 121 kg in 1990 [7], up to 140 kg in 2007 and 147 kg in 2012 [8].
For a comprehensive evaluation of the effectiveness of the development of cattle breeding it should be borne in mind all its types - social, economic and environmental. Social efficiency of animal husbandry industry of Russia for the whole period of transformation has declined in general and the state program hasn’t made it to increase, as can be seen from the following data:

1. Consumption of cattle products per capita has reduced. Since the average per capita consumption of milk and dairy products fell from 386 kg in 1990 to 249 kg in 2012, with the rational norm of 360 kg per year. The import share of milk and milk products has increased, the share of domestic products in the total volume of this resource significantly below the threshold of food independence of the country and the state program assignments. This is even more reduced consumption of beef, its per capita production fell from 29.3 kg in 1990 to 11.4 kg in 2013. Russia, in fact, lost food independence for cattle production.

2. Reducing the number of livestock of cattle on 37 million goals led to a reduction in rural employment in this industry for more than two million people, as well as employment in the feed production, processing and other branches of agriculture, to the growth of unemployment, migration from rural areas and other negative social consequences; the number of villages on the census of 2010 decreased by 8.5 thousand compared to 2002.

3. Earnings of employed workers in the industry have decreased; wage in agriculture is now about half relative to the average in the economy (in cattle it is equal to 45%) compared with the achieved level in 1990 more than 90%. It disrupts the normal reproduction, not only in production but also in the social sphere of the village.

Dairy cattle in Russia haven’t become a market sector yet and it constrains the overall formation of effective market relations in the country. On average, across all categories of farms marketability milk in 2007 to the beginning of the implementation of the state program was only 58.1% and reached only 61.6%, compared to 92-95% in developed market economies by 2013. A similar situation exists with the marketability of beef in connection with a high proportion of its production in low market households.

Reduction of cattle has also led to a number of negative environmental impacts. Do not use large areas of pastures and hayfields, that formed the basis of the development of cattle as the ruminants in the pre-revolutionary and Soviet Russia are not used today, worsening their condition, the area of arable land used for crops is reduced, the use of organic fertilizers, most of whom gave the cattle, reduced almost by an order.
System analysis of ranching requires study a large set of factors that characterize the conditions and the nature of production. The internal factors of the industry in the different categories of organizations embrace the technology and organization of production, forage production, reproduction and quality of animal herds, intake and feed quality, specialization and scale of production, work organization, training, etc. External conditions include the demand for livestock products in the domestic and foreign markets, the level and disparity of prices, monopoly buyers of products, government support and regulation of the industry, competition with imported products and its other species (the meat of pigs and poultry), climatic conditions etc. Economic efficiency of cattle production reflects the ratio of results (output and income) from the use of resources (labor, feed and other production and service facilities) and costs. The indicators of cost recovery, profit and profitability are of great importance as a basis for providing simple and expanded reproduction in a market economy. In assessing the effectiveness of animal husbandry of Russia it is important to take into account the peculiarities of the period of transformations and conducting at the present stage multistructure agriculture and the analysis carried out not only in general, but also in the context of categories of farms that have different conditions and mechanisms of management.

Let us first consider briefly the economy of households, which now holds much of the production of animal husbandry products (48.2% of milk and 61.3% of meat products). The increase in their milk and meat production since 1990, its relatively weak reduction in the period of implementation of state programs, as well as the increase in the number of cattle (Table 1 and 2), was due to the hard necessity of survival of the rural and urban population, which crisis and a slump in real incomes has not been able to provide for their vital needs through the market due to lack of funds and the weak development of the market. In households prevailed subsistence production and in 90-th the marketability of milk was about 15% there, and in 2012 it reached 31.8% compared to 67.7% in individual farms and 92.9% in enterprises. The marketability of livestock and poultry in 2012 reached 45.3%, this was primarily cattle meat products, which in 2013 in households had 43.5% of all their meat products.

In households with higher costs of unpaid labor of family members the lower material costs per unit of production of cattle breeding, recycled household waste and the labor of family members, rational use plots of land are provided, it turns out environmentally friendly products, the implementation of the production goes to the local market at a high prices. Thus, according to Rosstat data [9] in 2010-2013 in households for the production of 1 quintal of milk 0.79 feed units were spent compared to 1.14 in the enterprises, including expensive and scarce for
households concentrated feed, 0.14 and 0.39 feed units. Feed costs for 1 quintal of weight gain of cattle in households were 6.3 feed units versus 13.8 centners in enterprises. Cheap green fodder was used more substantially as a part of the feed, in 2011 the proportion of pasture forage in cattle was in households 33%, while only 12% in enterprises. At the same time, as shown by the analysis of the cost per head, it should be noted that in farms that are studied by the state statistics using the sampling method, the value of costs is underestimated because most of them use the resources of large agricultural and other organizations free of charge or at reduced prices. Expert estimates show that in spite of the feed costs in households are underestimated by 20-30%, but they remain lower than in the enterprises.

At lower material costs per unit of production, households at higher prices sell the marketable part of cattle production. So, in 2000 the price of milk produced by households were higher than in enterprises by 22%, and of meat products by 32%. Such differences are retained until the present time and are often more powerful because of the high demand for the products in the local markets. It should also be borne in mind that the prices for the products of animal husbandry in the households are significantly lower than retail prices in retail chains. For example, in 2005-2007 sale price of 1 kg of milk in households was 9.16 rubles, 7.65 rubles in enterprises [2, p. 70], and the consumer price was 20.50 rubles.

At the same time due to the low intensity and the small scale of production in households, the milk productivity of cows is less and its potential is practically in the range of 40 quintals. Gradually, the production of cattle breeding in the households will be reduced under the influence of a complex of reasons: the difficulty of reproduction of livestock, especially breeding, weak food base, high cost of purchased feed, high (3 times or more) of manual labor costs per head, shortage of labor family resources while reducing their size and changes in the structure, with the growing needs of the monetary income of the population by the market, and others. At the same time, part of the large households will go into the category of farms and individual entrepreneurs that will increase the relative weight and role of this category in resolving livestock problems. However, this way, as experience shows, is quite long. In the meantime, at a relatively high rate of growth of production in farms, their share in the production of milk and meat from cattle is only about 6%. Therefore, the majority of scientists and industry executives believe that the main problems of the further development of animal husbandry and increase its effectiveness should be resolved on the basis of the state program primarily large and medium agricultural enterprises as well as small enterprises and farms, while developing cooperation and agroindustrial integration of various categories of organizations, including households.
Agricultural organizations, including small businesses, are commercial organizations operating in market conditions, and their main task and the main indicator of economic efficiency is to make profit at the appropriate level of public employees' salaries and providing this way of self-sufficiency and expanded reproduction. In Russia, in the assessment of development and economic efficiency of dairy cattle breeding is recommended to take into account the organic connection of production of two conjugate products - milk and meat cattle breeding dairy in every large organization, as there is no a system of specialized enterprises for separate production of milk and rearing and cows in Russia. The system of agricultural organizations previously established, as well as cattle-fattening enterprises has been liquidated. The purchase of breeding cows abroad is limited and there is no possibility for the large organizations to purchase young cows in the domestic market, this is only possible for farms and households from their small size of production. Therefore, the indicators of production efficiency should be considered first over the dairy farming as a whole, and then conduct in-depth analysis on specific products. Table 3 presents the data on agricultural organizations that the Ministry of Agriculture of the Russian Federation accounted for the period of the state program of development of agriculture after 2007, while taken all products sold cattle in view of its processing in the enterprise.

Table 3

Profitability of the implementation of animal husbandry production by enterprises in Russia (without subsidies)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Years</th>
<th>Profit from the sale, bn. rub.</th>
<th>Gross profit margin, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>total</td>
<td>milk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>1,4</td>
<td>16,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>20,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>-13,4</td>
<td>6,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>-0,7</td>
<td>25,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>0,6</td>
<td>24,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>-5,9</td>
<td>20,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>-15,0</td>
<td>25,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>-4,6</td>
<td>19,8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As can be seen from the table the Russian enterprises, producing 45.9% of milk across the country and 32.5% of the meat of cattle for slaughter, are stable at a loss as a whole, especially in times of economy crisis. Profit from the sale of milk does not cover the losses from the sale of cattle and products of slaughter in enterprises. The profitability of milk is very low and unstable, and the
implementation of meat is deeply unprofitable and loss ratio does not tend to decrease.

The state support for the sector remains low, especially when compared with developed countries providing for dairy farming the largest share of subsidies that are often an order of magnitude higher than in Russia. In Russia, the amount of public subsidies attributable to the production, from the budgets of all levels in relation to the total cost of sales amounted to 9.1% for milk and meat cattle only 6.5% (at comparable figures for 2009-2012). The total profitability of the conjugate products of cattle industry including subsidies was 6.0% in these years, which was insufficient for normal reproduction, especially for the transformation of animal husbandry industry on modern technical and technological basis, establishing a solid food supply and the return obtained in recent years loans given that the total amount payable in enterprises under consideration reached 2.1 trillion. rub. or 138% relative to revenue. It is clear that without a significant increase in profitability and strengthen state support of cattle breeding based on the experience of developed countries to solve the problems of the industry is impossible.

In assessing profitability of cattle breeding production and agriculture in general, it should be borne in mind that the current level it is formed at a low wage. If wages in the industry has been at the level of the average for the country's economy, profitability of cattle breeding with current grant size would be negative, and the losses would have reached 10%. Because of the low wages in the organizations cannot be complete teams of workers and highly skilled staff ensure the sustainability of production processes, it becomes absolutely essential, given the increasing technical and technological level of modern competitive livestock. During the period of reforms in the agricultural organizations of Russia accelerated turnover of the labor force for the reception and disposal, which is particularly adversely affected when working with animals and plants. If in 1995-1998 agricultural total factor workforce for the reception and disposal of turnover was 34% and was below the average for the economy by 12%, than in 2008-2012, it reached 80% and was higher than 12% on average. Therefore, it cannot be considered sufficient levels of profitability of agriculture, taking into account subsidies, not less than 12-15%, and 55% of salary to the average for the economy scheduled by elaborated State Program for 2013-2020 years [6].

Agriculture in Russia is discriminated against because of the monopoly position of its partners in the agribusiness and the disparity in prices, fiscal policy, is very low compared to developed countries, public support, the 1.3 trillion rub. of added value is removed from the sector annually [1, p. 150], which is close to the total revenue of enterprises. Low profitability remains the main reason for the
reduction of production in agricultural organizations in general and in the sector of cattle breeding. To ensure technical and technological modernization and expanded reproduction in agriculture, its profitability as a whole at the socially necessary level of remuneration should be at the level of 25% [1, p. 150]. This level of profitability can be achieved by a simultaneous decrease in the cost per unit of output, increase in sales prices and government subsidies. In order to provide food security it's necessary to take into account the experience of soviet Russia of introducing the allowances to purchase prices for the solution of such problems of cattle breeding sector and the profitability of corporate farms was generally 36.3% in 1990, including 56.6 milk and meat 17.9%; and the level of wages in agriculture was 94% relative to the average for the economy. To reduce losses enterprises are forced to reduce production of meat products in absolute and relative terms. The proportion of the cost of meat production of cattle (the full cost of sales) in the enterprises in 1990 amounted to 52.5%, in 2007 it decreased to 41.7%, and in highly unprofitable in 2013 to 39, 1%.

It is obvious that in modern conditions the solution of the problems of development of dairy cattle breeding assumes the elimination of unprofitable meat products and improve the efficiency of milk production. This, along with a significant increase in the level of prices and subsidies on products, in turn, requires finding ways to reduce costs on the basis of a comprehensive analysis of the factors separately for milk and meat production.

Profitability of production of certain products is determined by the price and cost of production ratio, which depends on the cost of keeping animals and their productivity. A number of indicators for production and sale of milk from the dairy herd, are presented in Table 4.

Cost of production and sales of 1 quintal of milk systematically increased at a rate higher than the increase in product prices, that reduces profitability. Prices are rising unevenly with a decrease in some years (2009 crisis and unfavorable, 2012). Part of the milk is processed within individual enterprises and implemented in the form of dairy products (cottage cheese, sour cream, etc.) at higher prices compared with whole milk (in 2007 by 51.7% and in 2013 by 52.5%). But its profitability less than 4-6% of whole milk due to the high cost of processing, while the share of processed agricultural associations in the milk is small (although increased over the years compared with 4.7 to 5.8%) and did not have much effect on the overall efficiency. The efficiency of milk is contributed by government subsidies, in particular by the introduction of surcharges on 1 quintal sold milk of high quality, but the size of these subsidies, many scientists and practitioners [4, 9] consider to be insufficient (in 2013 at the expense of the federal budget of 11.4% in relation to sales), and the conditions of granting to be complicated. The
relatively high quality of milk increases the average selling price - its ratio to standard in the implementation was greater than one and reached 1,068 in 2013 compared to 1,058 in 2011.

Table 4

Costs for maintenance and milk productivity of cows in enterprises accounted by the Russian Ministry of Agriculture

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2013 to 2007, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The amount of enterprises, thnd</td>
<td>24,0</td>
<td>23,0</td>
<td>2,5</td>
<td>22,0</td>
<td>21,3</td>
<td>21,0</td>
<td>20,2</td>
<td>84,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The average number of cows, thnd</td>
<td>3652</td>
<td>3548</td>
<td>3408</td>
<td>3229</td>
<td>3220</td>
<td>3141</td>
<td>2973</td>
<td>81,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The cost of 1 quintal of milk, rub.:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>production</td>
<td>708</td>
<td>843</td>
<td>938</td>
<td>1065</td>
<td>1208</td>
<td>1238</td>
<td>1411</td>
<td>199,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>commercial</td>
<td>751</td>
<td>945</td>
<td>969</td>
<td>1131</td>
<td>1290</td>
<td>1292</td>
<td>1489</td>
<td>198,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The price of 1 quintal of milk , rub.</td>
<td>887</td>
<td>1115</td>
<td>1017</td>
<td>1338</td>
<td>1486</td>
<td>1450</td>
<td>1697</td>
<td>191,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On 1 average annual cow:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>milk yield, quintal</td>
<td>37,6</td>
<td>39,0</td>
<td>40,2</td>
<td>43,1</td>
<td>43,5</td>
<td>45,7</td>
<td>45,8</td>
<td>121,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total costs, thnd rub.</td>
<td>30,0</td>
<td>39,0</td>
<td>42,3</td>
<td>51,3</td>
<td>58,7</td>
<td>63,4</td>
<td>72,4</td>
<td>241,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of which: salary</td>
<td>5,9</td>
<td>7,8</td>
<td>8,7</td>
<td>9,6</td>
<td>11,4</td>
<td>12,4</td>
<td>14,0</td>
<td>237,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>animal feed total</td>
<td>12,7</td>
<td>16,5</td>
<td>17,7</td>
<td>21,5</td>
<td>24,9</td>
<td>26,3</td>
<td>30,4</td>
<td>239,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of own production</td>
<td>9,1</td>
<td>11,3</td>
<td>12,9</td>
<td>14,6</td>
<td>15,9</td>
<td>17,9</td>
<td>20,1</td>
<td>220,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>other costs</td>
<td>11,4</td>
<td>14,7</td>
<td>15,9</td>
<td>20,2</td>
<td>22,4</td>
<td>24,7</td>
<td>28,0</td>
<td>245,6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the context of inter-state sanctions, depreciation of the ruble in 2014 and ensuring import substitution, producer prices for milk will grow due to the expansion of domestic demand for domestic products in the same way as it did after the 1998 default. But it should be borne in mind that the favorable agricultural price increase was accompanied by a decline in the standard of living and a fall in demand for food products (1998-1999 real incomes of the Russian population fell by 26.3% in relation to 1997, and retail sale of dairy products fell for two years by 12.8% and of butter by 16.3%).

Cost of milk production increases in enterprises due to the fact that the cost of maintenance of cows grow faster than their milk productivity for 2007-2013 at 141.3 and 21.8%, accordingly. Costs increased for all items costing with no exception. The amount of labor costs with deductions for social needs increased for 2007-2013 to 137.3% as wages increased quickly (3 times), while the direct labor costs per cow were reduced by only 22.1%. The level of wages in cattle breeding is
growing faster than labor productivity, but is still very low. For example, the salary of milking machine operators and dairymaids rose to 2.63 times by increasing the number of cows serviced by 1.53 times, and in 2013 reached 13.4 thousand rub. per month (44.7% of the national average). Direct labor costs for production of 1 quintal of milk remain high - in 2013, 2.4 man-hours, which is several times higher than the potential level of costs during the development of modern industrial technology. The main item of the cost of milk production is the animal feed, the cost per cow has grown over the years 2007-2013 by 139.4% due to the increased consumption of feed per cow by 18.4%, and at the same time the growth of the cost of 1 quintal of fodder units by 102.2% and per 1 quintal of milk by 96.6%, since the costs of feed units on its production were reduced by 2.8%, due to increasing of milk productivity in this period by 21.8%.

The main part of feed consumed by cattle is animal feed of own production, but the proportion of the cost of them has decreased in the last 7 years, from 71.6 to 66.2%. Feeding cattle breeding base in enterprises is of low productivity (as can be judged, for example, on productivity of hay sown grass, not exceeding 10 centners of fodder units) and its dynamics is unstable. In arid 2010 and 2012 coarse and succulent fodder was harvested less then in 2007 by 29.8 and 21.7%, as a result the number of cows fell by 11.4 and 14.0% in those years, and the number of operating enterprises decreased by 8.3 and 12.5%. The large size of milk production imply stabling cows wherein the consumption of green and pasture animal feed is very low, so hayfields and pastures are in poor condition and almost hardly used. To ensure a high level of cattle feeding in these circumstances enterprises are forced to increase the consumption of purchased primarily expensive concentrate feed, which increases the cost of rations. Only in the years 2007-2013 the price of animal feed purchased in Russia grew by 76.3%. The level of cows feeding, composition and quality of forages mainly determine the level of milk productivity, which heavily affects on the cost of production. The average milk yield per cow achieved in agricultural organizations is 45.8 centners (in large and medium-sized enterprises in 2013 50.0 c) and it is generally still low and uncompetitive because in competing countries with a developed dairy cattle this indicator is 70-80 centners, and in the United States almost 100 centners. The quality of the herd of cows and its use requires further improvement (Tab. 5).

The growth of milk productivity by 21.8% achieved during the years of the state program for 2008-2012 was provided by raising the level of cows feeding by 18.4% and return on forage growth by 2.6%, while improving the quality of a herd of cows. According to the financial statements increase the share of breeding cows was 11.5% and the live weight per head in translation to the main herd of 26 kg, or 5.8%. In addition to the positive changes there were some unfavorable processes...
that reduced the efficiency production, such as feeding level of growth was mainly due to the concentrated feed, the output of calves per 100 cows remained very low and decreased, grew their barrenness, accelerated turnover and culling cows, which were used to produce offspring and dairy products a little more than 3 years instead of 4-5 years possible.

Table 5
The dynamics of feeding, quality and use of cows in enterprises

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2012 to 2007, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consumption of feed per cow - total, centners of fodder units</td>
<td>44,0</td>
<td>45,6</td>
<td>46,7</td>
<td>49,1</td>
<td>49,1</td>
<td>52,1</td>
<td>118,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in which concentrated</td>
<td>13,2</td>
<td>13,6</td>
<td>15,3</td>
<td>17,2</td>
<td>16,9</td>
<td>17,8</td>
<td>134,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumption of feed per 1 c of milk, centners of fodder units</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>97,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>111,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in which concentrated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Live weight of the head, kg:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>calf at birth</td>
<td>25,5</td>
<td>25,1</td>
<td>26,0</td>
<td>26,3</td>
<td>26,6</td>
<td>27,3</td>
<td>17,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cows in translation to the main herd</td>
<td>445</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>458</td>
<td>461</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>471</td>
<td>105,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The share of: (at the year-end), %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tribal cows</td>
<td>22,6</td>
<td>25,1</td>
<td>29,0</td>
<td>30,2</td>
<td>31,7</td>
<td>34,1</td>
<td>+11,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>first heifers</td>
<td>26,8</td>
<td>28,6</td>
<td>29,4</td>
<td>29,2</td>
<td>28,5</td>
<td>30,0</td>
<td>+3,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The output of calves per 100 cows at the year- beginning, heads</td>
<td>78,3</td>
<td>77,6</td>
<td>77,0</td>
<td>75,4</td>
<td>75,3</td>
<td>75,2</td>
<td>96,0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The potential productivity of cows is generally sufficient to obtain high yields. In the current food base, a modern herd (average live weight per 1 cow in enterprises without small enterprises is 487 kg) and the output of milk per 100 kg of live weight of cows about 1000 kg milk yield per cow can be reached within about 50 quintals. In order to achieve yields of 65-70 centners it is necessary to raise the level of nutrition and feed quality, to improve the quality of dairy cows herd milk yield and to achieve the live weight 1200-1400 kg near 100 kg. Such indicators, as shown by our study [3, p. 26], have already been achieved in many organizations with modern technology; 50 agricultural enterprises produced 72.6 centners of milk from cows with a live weight of 527 kg, while feed costs are reduced up to 85 feeding units per 1c of milk, providing high productivity and profitability of milk production.

In addition to feed and payment of labor the most important factor in reducing costs and improving the efficiency of milk production is to reduce other cost items that increased during the years 2007-2013 (by 145.6% Table. 4). This is
the cost of electricity, fuel, oil, repair materials, payment of transport, veterinary and other services. The main reason for the increase of these costs is to increase tariffs without the necessary differentiation for agriculture and monopoly in suppliers of resources with insufficient government subsidies. Thus, in 2007-2013 the purchase price of fuel increased by 129.2%, of electricity by 100.1%, fuel and lubricants by 65.9%. Total electricity consumption on production needs in these enterprises decreased over the years by 12.6% and electricity costs increased by 103.0% in primary production.

A great impact on the overall cost increase also had a sharp increase in the amount of depreciation of fixed assets. Together with an increase in the total value of fixed assets in enterprises is 2.5 times the amount of accumulated depreciation in the main production increased by 3.8 times, and 5.0 times in animal husbandry. The share of depreciation in the total costs of livestock production as a whole rose from 5.2% in 2007 to 10.8% in 2013 due to the rising costs of basic means of production, changes in the structure of funds for modernization of production and the acceleration of the timing of their depreciation. The current trend in the last period of growth in cattle costs in the short term will continue in connection with the need to quickly improve the level of pay to secure qualified personnel, improve the capital-labor ratio and labor armament, creating a solid food base and improve the quality of the herd. The process of modernization of milk production will take a long time due to the long duration of the reproductive cycle and a low coefficient of turnover in this type of activity. Therefore, together with the improvement of equipment, technology and organization of production of milk it is necessary to increase the efficiency of meat production in cattle, including through the development of beef cattle.

The main reason for loss of meat production in cattle dairy are the low prices of its implementation, as well as the weaker pace of growth compared with the increase in production costs. The level of beef prices are now determined by a complex of unfavorable factors, in particular: low level of income of the population, competition from cheaper products poultry and swine of domestic production, competition with imported, subsidized products of developed countries (beef imports in 2007-2012 amounted to average 756 thousand tones per year, or 44.5% of total volume of livestock at slaughter), relatively low quality dairy cattle meat, especially culled adult compared to beef cattle, and others. Monopoly of buyers and processors of products of animal husbandry and trade strongly inhibits the rise in prices as a result of the still low share of agricultural raw material costs in the final retail price (according to the National Report on the implementation of the state program [5] it is lower in Russia by 10-15% than in developed countries). If cattle meat in enterprises sold at prices derived population farms at a free
competition (in 2005-2007, accordingly, 3638 and 4988 rubles for 1 quintal) [2, p. 70], its loss ratio would have been virtually eliminated.

In addition to the rising prices and government subsidies for meat products the most important area of growth is its effectiveness, as well as in the production of milk, increase animal productivity and reduce production costs of livestock rearing as dairy and specialized breeds and cross-breeds of beef cattle. The sectoral program for the development of beef cattle is implemented in the Russian Federation, it was designed to solve many of the issues of providing population with beef as an indispensable product of nutrition, as well as to achieve food security. This article does not attempt to detailed analysis of the production efficiency of beef and Table. 6 shows a number of indicators of the dynamics of the production of meat products for dairy and beef cattle.

Table 6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2013 to 2007, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Production of cattle breeding, thsd tons:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dairy cattle</td>
<td>996,9</td>
<td>828,0</td>
<td>83,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>beef cattle</td>
<td>53,6</td>
<td>151,7</td>
<td>283,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The average daily gain during rearing and fattening, gram:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dairy cattle</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>109,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>beef cattle</td>
<td>445</td>
<td>539</td>
<td>121,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production cost of livestock rearing, rub. for 1 quintal:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dairy cattle</td>
<td>6995</td>
<td>14253</td>
<td>203,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>beef cattle</td>
<td>5332</td>
<td>12526</td>
<td>234,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct labor costs for 1 quintal of production of livestock</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rearing, man-hour.:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dairy cattle</td>
<td>34,9</td>
<td>24,6</td>
<td>70,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>beef cattle</td>
<td>54,8</td>
<td>17,7</td>
<td>32,3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Meat cattle in enterprises develops relatively rapidly (increase in 6 years was 183.0%), while reducing the production of dairy cattle by 16.9%, the proportion of beef cattle production reached 15.5% in 2013. Meat productivity of beef cattle, according to its average daily gain, is higher (by 15.4% in 2013) the cost of production is below by 12.1% and labor productivity level is higher than 34.0%, and it is rising faster through the development of modern industrial technology. At the same time, livestock productivity level is still low, its weight gain below the potential level in dairy cattle by 20-25%, and beef cattle by 30-35%. Russia has accumulated positive experience of effective management of dairy cattle, which
can be judged according to the grouping of regions for 2012 to the value of the average annual milk yield per 1 cow, reflecting the general level of development of the industry (tab. 7).

Table 7

The level of development of dairy farming enterprises in the regions of Russia with different productivity of cows

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>The number of regions</th>
<th>Per 1 average annual cow:</th>
<th>The groups of regions by milk productivity, quintal</th>
<th>High group to low group %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>milk yield, quintal</td>
<td>low below 40</td>
<td>medium 40–50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>weight gain during rearing and fattening, quintal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>reared and nourished average animals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gross milk yield per 1 quintal of weight gain, quintal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The average weight gain during rearing and fattening, gram</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The production cost of 1 quintal, rub.:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>milk</td>
<td>1127</td>
<td>1222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>weight gain</td>
<td>10650</td>
<td>11617</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Consumption of feed for 1 annual average head, centners of fodder units:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>cows</td>
<td>46.8</td>
<td>57.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>young animals in growing and fattening</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>26.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Consumption of feed per 1 quintal of production, centners of fodder units:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>milk</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>1.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>weight gain</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Prime cost of 1 quintal of fodder units in rubles. in production of:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>milk</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>weight gain</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The share of top grade milk, %</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>32.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The table presents data on the results of 67 regions with a developed dairy farming. Comparison of indicators in groups shows industry opportunities and ways to achieve high results. In 15 regions of the top group, where the enterprises have more than 800 thousand cows and a high enough level of milk productivity to Russia (an average of 58.5 centners, which is more than the lowest group by 61.6%) is received. It is achieved by raising cows feeding (21.1% against the
bottom group) more expensive feeds (by 61.6%) and reducing their costs per 1 quintal of milk (24.6%). Feed of the best quality and other resources are directed primarily at the production of milk, which can be judged by a higher index of 32.1% of milk production per 1 quintal of weight gain. At the same time the growth of productivity of cows in the region increases the intensity of animal rearing (average daily weight gain higher by almost a third), which is important for growing herds of cows with high productivity.

Differences across regions due to a complex of factors - a high level of organization and intensification of agricultural production in general, as well as environmental conditions (Tab. 8).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>The groups of regions by milk productivity, quintal</th>
<th>High group to low group %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Per 100 hectare of farmland, thnd rub.:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>revenues from sales of products and services</td>
<td>853</td>
<td>3743</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the fixed assets costs</td>
<td>857</td>
<td>2901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the amount of investment in fixed assets</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the amount of subsidies from the budget</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the amount of profit from the sales</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>652</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>accounts payable, including loans and borrowings</td>
<td>607</td>
<td>1980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>costs in crop production total</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>1154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in which fertilizers</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRP per capita, thnd rub.</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The share of arable land in agricultural areas, %:</td>
<td>71,9</td>
<td>82,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The share of arable crops in the arable land, %</td>
<td>79,3</td>
<td>85,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The density of cows per 100 hectares of farmland, heads</td>
<td>2,8</td>
<td>5,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The productivity of cereals, quintal per hectare</td>
<td>12,6</td>
<td>26,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Livestock workers salary, rub. per month</td>
<td>8920</td>
<td>14384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profitability of products, works and services (excluding subsidies)%</td>
<td>14,9</td>
<td>21,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The profitability of production activities (including subsidies), %</td>
<td>9,2</td>
<td>14,4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the top group, according to the higher compared with the lowest group to 10.9% share of arable land in the area of agricultural land, the soil quality is better.
The economies of these regions is more developed, as evidenced by the large amount of gross regional product per capita by 73.5%, therefore, demand for food is higher and as a result agriculture is more developed. At higher provision of asset (in 3.4 times) the use of arable land for crops is better, the crop yields and intensity level of grain and forage crops, the density of the number of animals and the overall size of the production are higher. At the expense of debt and equity with government support investment in the renewal and modernization of the resource potential are carried out intensively. The profitability of sales and services is higher by 6.2%, the wage is also higher that contributes to better work organization. But the overall profitability and the highest group of regions is still not high enough for the expanded reproduction on the basis of innovation.

In the regions of the lower group, which is 40% of agricultural land and almost a third of the cattle enterprises, the main problem is to increase the overall level of economic development, intensification of crop and livestock, production of GRP per capita and on this basis to enhance the demand for livestock products. On order to increase the productivity of land and animal productivity and wages these regions are in dire need to create favorable conditions for business development, integration and cooperation of production, as well as to enhance public support for the WTO rules for regions with unfavorable conditions for farming.
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