An independence result concerning the arrival rate of and the provision of transport to tourists

Batabyal, Amitrajeet

Department of Economics, Rochester Institute of Technology

3 February 2009

An Independence Result Concerning the Arrival Rate of and the Provision of Transport to Tourists[1]

by

Amitrajeet A. Batabyal[2]

[2]

Department of Economics, Rochester Institute of Technology, 92 Lomb Memorial Drive, Rochester, NY 14623-5604, USA. Internet aabgsh@rit.edu

An Independence Result Concerning the Arrival Rate of and the Provision of Transport to Tourists

Abstract

What is the relationship between the arrival rate of tourists at a transport providing firm's depot and the long run expected wait time experienced by individual tourists in this depot? We use a renewal theoretic framework in this note to answer this question. Our analysis yields two results. First, we show that the long run expected wait time is *independent* of the arrival rate of the tourists. Second, we explain why this long run expected wait time is *equal* to the average residual life of a particular renewal process.

1. Introduction

There is no denying the fact that tourism is now one of the world's largest growth industries. The recent work of Olson (2003) and Batabyal (2007) tells us that tourists from all over the world generally place a high premium on visiting naturally attractive sites such as fiords, lakes, and wildlife reserves. It is not standard practice for individuals wishing to tour these kinds of naturally attractive places to arrange for their own transport. Instead, private firms—and sometimes public entities—provide the pertinent transportation services. The reader will understand that these kinds of private firms also frequently provide transport to tourists interested in visiting sites within cities such as aquariums, museums, and zoos.

Research conducted by Nordstrom (2005) and by Chaudhary and Batabyal (2008) shows that the demand for visits to locations that are tourist attractions is probabilistic. This means that a firm providing transport to tourists is typically operating in a *stochastic* environment. Hence, a key problem confronting such a firm concerns the *provision* of transport. For concreteness, assume that our transport providing firm uses buses[3] to operate its tours to a particular location. Further, suppose that these buses carrying tourists to their chosen destination leave our firm's depot at fixed points in time. To use the language of Chaudhary and Batabyal (2008), this firm schedules its buses by time.

Now, issues pertaining to the provision of transport in general and to the provision of transport to tourists in particular have been studied by several researchers. Dessouky *et al.* (2003) have analyzed an optimization model and have shown that with only marginal increases in operating costs and service delays, it is possible to cut down the environmental effects of transportation

---

[3]
The reader should note that our subsequent analysis does not depend on the specific *means* of transport.

appreciably. Louca (2006) has empirically studied the long run relationships between, on the one hand, income derived from the tourism industry in Cyprus and tourist arrivals, and, on the other, three categories of what he calls supply side expenditures. Focusing on Mauritius, Khadaroo and Seetanah (2007) point out that the demand for visits to this island depend greatly on the island's land and air transport infrastructure. Wolfler Calvo and Colorni (2007) have used a heuristic method to analyze an emerging transport system in which a fleet of vehicles, without fixed routes and schedules, carries individuals from a desired pickup point to the desired delivery point. Batabyal (2008) has studied the scheduling problem faced by a firm providing transport to tourists visiting a particular location during the slack season. Wang (2008) has used integer programming to optimize the location and the number of battery exchange stations for electric scooters that can reduce the environmental impacts of tourism transport. Batabyal and Beladi (2008) have conducted a stochastic analysis of visitor classification, vehicle breakdowns, and the provision of transport for tourism. Finally, Chaudhary and Batabyal (2008) have conducted a probabilistic analysis of two ways of providing transport to tourists.

The papers we have just discussed in the previous paragraph have certainly increased our understanding of several aspects of the provision of transport in the context of tourism. Even so, to the best of our knowledge, the extant literature has paid virtually *no* theoretical attention to the following important question concerning the provision of transport to tourists. What is the relationship between the arrival rate of tourists at a transport providing firm's depot and the long run expected wait time experienced by individual tourists in this depot? Given this lacuna in the literature, we use a renewal theoretic framework[4] in this note to answer this question. Our analysis

---

[4] See Ross (1996, pp. 98-162) and Tijms (2003, pp. 33-80) for textbook expositions of renewal theory.

yields two results. First, we demonstrate the counterintuitive result that the long run expected wait

time is *independent* of the arrival rate of the tourists. Second, we explain why this long run expected

wait time is *equal* to the average residual life of a particular renewal process.

The rest of this note is organized as follows. Section 2.1 uses renewal theory to describe a

simple and parsimonious model in which tourists arrive in accordance with a homogeneous Poisson

process[5] and then wait at our transport providing firm's bus depot. Section 2.2 first computes the

long run expected wait time of an individual tourist in the depot and then shows that this wait time

is independent of the arrival rate of the tourists. Section 2.3 explains why the expected wait time

computed in section 2.2 is equal to the average residual life of a particular renewal process. Finally,

section 3 concludes and discusses ways in which the research in this note might be extended.

2. The Theoretical Framework

2.1. A model of transport provision

Consider a transport providing private firm that offers tourists bus tours to a particular

location that is a tourist attraction. These tourists arrive at our firm's bus depot in accordance with

a homogeneous Poisson process with rate $\lambda > 0$.[6] Buses carrying tourists depart from our firm's depot

in accordance with a renewal process with interdeparture time $T > 0$. The task before us now is to

compute the long run expected wait time of an individual tourist. To undertake this computation,

we shall use the theory of renewal-reward processes as described in Ross (1996, pp. 132-149) and

in Tijms (2003, pp. 33-80).

---

[5] See Ross (1996, pp. 59-97) and Tijms (2003, pp. 1-32) for textbook discussions of the homogeneous Poisson process.

[6] The Poisson process has been used previously by Martin-Cejas (2006), Batabyal (2007), and Chaudhary and Batabyal (2008) to study problems in the economics of tourism.

2.2. Long run expected wait time

Let W_n denote the wait time of the *nth* tourist and let N_k denote the number of tourists arriving in the depot between the $(k-1)th$ and the *kth* departure of a bus. Some thought tells us that the waiting time stochastic process $\{W_n\}$ is a regenerative process that regenerates itself at the epochs N_1+1, N_1+N_2+1,... Now, assume that a reward of W_n is received for the *nth* tourist. Then, using the renewal-reward theorem—see theorem 3.6.1 in Ross (1996, p. 133) or theorem 2.2.1 in Tijms (2003, p. 41)—it follows that, with probability one,

$$\lim_{\{n\to\infty\}}(\frac{1}{n})\sum_{k=1}^{k=n} W_k=\frac{E[\Sigma_{j=1}^{N_1}W_j]}{E[N_1]}, \tag{1}$$

where $E[\cdot]$ is the expectation operator.

In words, the long run expected wait time of an individual tourist is equal to a particular ratio of expectations and that ratio is

$$\frac{E[total\ wait\ time\ within\ two\ departures\ of\ a\ bus]}{E[number\ of\ arrivals\ within\ two\ departures\ of\ a\ bus]}. \tag{2}$$

We can use equation 1.1.10 in Tijms (2003, p. 17) to mathematically describe the expectation in the numerator of (2). This procedure tells us that *E[total wait time within two departures of a bus/ interdeparture time is x]*= $(1/2)\lambda x^2$. In our case, the interdeparture time is T. Therefore, the numerator of (2) reduces to $(1/2)\lambda E[T^2]$.

Recall that the tourists arrive at our transport providing firm's depot in accordance with a homogeneous Poisson process with rate $\lambda>0$. This fact and the theory of renewal-reward processes

together tell us that the denominator of (2) is given by $\lambda E[T]$. Now, putting the results contained in this and the previous paragraphs together, we get an expression for the long run expected wait time of an individual tourist in our firm's depot. That expression is

$$\textit{Long run expected wait time of individual tourist } = \frac{E[T^2]}{2E[T]}. \qquad (3)$$

Intuitively, we would expect this expected wait time to depend on the arrival rate of the tourists. However, inspecting equation (3) it is clear that the long run wait time of an individual tourist in our model is *independent* of the tourist arrival rate $\lambda > 0$. Mathematically, this result holds because the arrival rate $\lambda > 0$ appears multiplicatively in the two expectations describing the numerator and the denominator of (2) and hence cancels out in equation (3). We now proceed to explain why the wait time in equation (3) is equal to the average residual life of a particular renewal process.

2.3. Average residual life

In the context of this note, the particular renewal process we are interested in is the renewal process for which the so called interoccurrence times are given by the *interdeparture* times of our transport providing firm's buses. Further, from Tijms (2003, p. 37) we know that for this renewal process, the residual life is "the time elapsed from epoch t until the next renewal after epoch t." Now, the mean residual life in our model is equal to the long run expected wait time of individual tourists because the Poisson tourist arrivals occur completely *randomly* in time. Put differently, this equality holds because of the well known "Poisson arrivals see time averages" or PASTA property. This property tells us that the long run fraction of *time* a stochastic system is in a particular state is

equal to the long run fraction of tourist *arrivals* who find the stochastic system in this same state.[7]

3. Conclusions

In this note, we used a renewal theoretic framework to analyze the relationship between the arrival rate of tourists at a transport providing firm's depot and the long run expected wait time experienced by individual tourists in this depot. Our analysis yielded two results. First, we demonstrated the counterintuitive result that the long run expected wait time is *independent* of the arrival rate of the tourists. Second, we explained why this long run expected wait time is *equal* to the average residual life of a particular renewal process.

The analysis in this note can be extended in a number of directions. Here are two suggestions for extending the research described here. First, it would be useful to analyze the extent to which the results in this note change when the tourists under study arrive at a transport providing firm's depot in accordance with a *nonhomogeneous* Poisson process. Second, it would be instructive to set up and solve an optimization problem for a transport providing firm in which the long run expected wait time of an individual tourist from section 2.2 is a part of the objective function being optimized. Studies of transport provision to tourists in a probabilistic environment that incorporate these features of the problem into the analysis will provide additional insights into issues in the economics of tourism that have both theoretical and practical implications.

---

[7] See Tijms (2003, pp. 53-58) for a textbook exposition of the PASTA property.

References

Batabyal, A.A. 2007. A probabilistic analysis of a scheduling problem in the economics of tourism, *Economics Bulletin*, 12, 1-8.

Batabyal, A.A. 2008. Scheduling trips during the slack season: An aspect of the economics of seasonal tourism. Forthcoming, *Tourism Economics*.

Batabyal, A.A., and Beladi, H. 2008. Visitor classification, vehicle breakdowns, and the provision of transport for tourism, *Letters in Spatial and Resource Sciences*, 1, 99-105.

Chaudhary, M.H., and Batabyal, A.A. 2008. A stochastic analysis of alternate ways of scheduling transport for tourists. *Unpublished Manuscript*, Rochester Institute of Technology.

Dessouky, M., Rahimi, M., and Weidner, M. 2003. Jointly optimizing cost, service, and environmental performance in demand-responsive transit scheduling, *Transportation Research Part D*, 8, 433-465.

Khadaroo, A.J., and Seetanah, B. 2007. Research note: Does transport infrastructure matter in overall tourism development? Evidence from a sample of island economies, *Tourism Economics*, 13, 675-684.

Louca, C. 2006. Income and expenditure in the tourism industry: Time series evidence from Cyprus, *Tourism Economics*, 12, 603-617.

Martin-Cejas, R.R. 2006. Tourism service quality begins at the airport, *Tourism Management*, 27, 874-877.

Nordstrom, J. 2005. Dynamic and stochastic structures in tourism demand modeling, *Empirical Economics*, 30, 379-392.

Olson, M.S. 2003. Practical traveler; seeking roots, finding them, *New York Times*, October 5.

Ross, S.M. 1996. *Stochastic Processes*, 2nd edition. Wiley, New York, New York.

Tijms, H.C. 2003. *A First Course in Stochastic Models*. Wiley, Chichester, UK.

Wang, Y. 2008. Locating battery exchange stations to serve tourism transport: A note, *Transportation Research Part D*, 13, 193-197.

Wolfler Calvo, R., and Colorni, A. 2007. An effective and fast heuristic for the dial-a-ride problem, *4OR: A Quarterly Journal of Operations Research*, 5, 61-73.