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Abstract

This paper revisits the Environmental Kuznets Curve hypothesis and applies a flexible semi-parametric panel fixed effects technique to identify a definite shape of the income-pollution relationship for a sample of 49 African countries for the period 1990-2010. Compared with standard panel data techniques which yields different conclusions, the former reveals that the income-pollution nexus is monotonically increasing and decreasing for CO$_2$ and PM$_{10}$ emissions respectively. Hence, the effect of economic growth differs with each atmospheric pollutants and is not sufficient for improving environmental quality. There is need for policies that emphasizes sustainable economic growth and the use of cleaner energy sources.

Keywords: Environmental Kuznets Curve; Air pollutants; Semi-parametric method; Africa.

JEL Classification: C14; C33; O55; Q5

*Correspondence: Department of Economics, University of Uyo, P.M.B 1017, Uyo, Akwa Ibom, Nigeria. Email: ekpenoeffiong@uniuyo.edu.ng; ekpenol@gmail.com. Tel. +234 802 789 0160
1 Introduction

The relationship between economic growth and the environment remains a debatable issue in the field of environment economics. Recent scientific evidence of global warming, resource depletion, air and water pollution have been linked to the harmful effects of human activities on the environment (i.e., the so-called anthropogenic effects). This has raised concerns among researchers and policy makers on how best to make economic growth (hence, income) compatible with environmental quality, that is, the sustainability of economic growth. Since the economy and environment link is complex and highly controversial, much of the analysis focus on the trade-off between income and environmental degradation (pollution) within the framework of the so-called “Environmental Kuznets Curve” (EKC) hypothesis.

According to the EKC hypothesis, the income-pollution relationship follows an inverted U-shaped pattern similar to the inequality-growth proposition of Simon Kuznets. Intuitively, it posits that higher level of income increases environmental pollution in the early stages of development through industrialization. However, pollution reduces with higher levels of income after a certain threshold level as the economy adopts cleaner and environmentally friendly technology in the production process. Thus, the EKC hypothesis emphasizes economic growth as a pre-condition for the attainment of improved environmental quality or reduced environmental degradation. This viewpoint is aptly summarized by Beckerman (1992) that “although economic growth usually leads to environmental deterioration in the early stages of the process, in the end, the best and probably the only way to attain a decent environment in most countries is to grow rich”. Such a proposition makes identifying the shape of the relationship significant for designing an appropriate joint economic and environmental policy, as the impact of economic growth on the environment may be positive or negative (Azomahou et al., 2006). For instance, the existence of a positive monotonic relationship would suggest further deterioration of the environmental quality with higher levels of income, and this trajectory can only be reversed when income stagnates. On the contrary, if the relationship exhibits a non-monotonic (i.e., nonlinear) curve, then environmental pollution will be reversed at higher incomes levels, thus making economic growth compatible with environmental quality.

Since the seminal work of Grossman and Krueger (1991), an extant literature have emerged to identify the EKC hypothesis of an inverted U-shaped environment-income relationship. To date, empirical studies have produced mixed and inconclusive evidence depending on the choice of countries, measures of environmental pollutants and econometric techniques employed. Dinda (2004), Galeotti (2007), and more recently, Kaika and Zervas (2013a,b) provide an excellent survey of the literature. Even in the context of African
countries, the evidence on the EKC hypothesis is far from a consensus. For instance, Orubu and Omotor (2011) find evidence of an inverted U-shaped relationship for particulate matter (PM$_{10}$) emissions. However, in the case of organic water pollutants, their evidence suggested a positive relationship. Osabuohien et al. (2014) find evidence of the EKC hypothesis for both CO$_2$ and PM$_{10}$ emissions. Ogundipe et al. (2014) controls for income heterogeneity in African countries, and find no evidence for the EKC hypothesis for Africa (all countries combined), low-income and upper middle-income countries except for lower middle-income countries in Africa. Yaduma et al. (2015) finds a monotonically increasing income and CO$_2$ emissions relationship for Africa based on quantile regression.

Since the underlying forces which determine the shape of the EKC relationship are assumed to be captured by a reduced form models, most studies usually employ parametric model specifications of quadratic or cubic polynomial functions to capture non-linearities and to gauge the threshold points. These models assume *ex ante* specific functional forms in validating the EKC hypothesis. Such an ad hoc approach is considered restrictive and incapable of accounting for the complexity in the EKC relationship. Moreover, when the model assumptions are inconsistent with the true data generating process, then a functional misspecification bias will result in wrong policy prescriptions. On the other hand, studies utilizing longitudinal data with standard panel data techniques often neglects the importance of heterogeneity across countries or regions due to economic, social, political, structural and biophysical differences which may have varying effects on environmental quality (Dinda, 2004). These techniques assume parameter homogeneity which implies that the income-pollution trajectory will be the same for all countries. This assumption have been rejected as being inadequate with suggestions for a more flexible approach that is robust to functional form specification and parameter heterogeneity (Vollebergh et al., 2005).

Following the need for more flexible techniques, nonparametric and semi-parametric regression models have become popular among researchers for detecting the true shape of the income-pollution relationship (Taskim and Zaim, 2000; Azomahou et al., 2006; Bertinelli and Strobl, 2005; Nguyen Van and Azomahou, 2007; Luzzati and Orsini, 2009; Kim, 2013; Chen and Chen, 2015; Nigatu, 2015; Wang et al., 2016). For instance, Taskim and Zaim (2000) examined the existence of EKC for environmental efficiency using a nonparametric methodology for cross-sectional data on CO$_2$ emissions. They find a U-shaped relationship between environmental efficiency index and income only for countries with sufficiently high GDP per capita income (more than $5000). Bertinelli and Strobl (2005) used a partially linear model with fixed-effects estimators for a panel of countries and finds a positive relationship at low incomes which flattens out before increasing again for high incomes. Azomahou et al. (2006) finds evidence of an upward sloping, monotonous income and CO$_2$
emissions relationship with structural stability for a panel of 100 countries. Chen and Chen (2015) examined the EKC hypothesis for industrial CO\textsubscript{2} emissions for 31 Chinese provinces and finds the existence of an inverted U-shaped curve. Nigatu (2015) find that as income rises the level of particulate matter (PM\textsubscript{10}) pollution rises and falls for low-income and middle income countries. Wang et al. (2016) using the semi-parametric panel fixed effects estimator of Baltagi and Li (2002), finds evidence supporting an inverted U-shaped curve for the relationship between economic growth and sulfur-oxides (SO\textsubscript{2}) emissions for China. These methods have the advantage of not requiring correct functional form specification especially when the exact nature of the relationship is unknown. Instead, it allows the data generating process to determine the true shape of the relationship by finding a smooth representation of the data dynamics. Hence, these methods are robust to arbitrary forms of functional form specification, non-linearities and parameter heterogeneity.

Given the following background and the lack of consensus on the income-pollution relationship in African countries with parametric estimation techniques, this paper revisits the EKC hypothesis based on the recent re-orientation of the literature towards non- and semi-parametric methods. Specifically, it aims to determine the possibility of a definite shape for the income-pollution relationship for Africa. For this purpose, the paper uses data from a sample of 49 African countries for the period 1990-2010, and focuses on two atmospheric air pollutants namely, carbon -dioxide (CO\textsubscript{2}) and ambient particulate matter (PM\textsubscript{10}) emissions. In addition, the analysis is conducted using the Stochastic Impacts by Regression on Population, Affluence and Technology (STIRPAT) model which has become the reference analytical framework for evaluating the anthropogenic forces behind environmental change. Also, the shape of the income-pollution relationship is gauge using Baltagi and Li (2002)'s proposed semi-parametric panel fixed effects technique which does not require *ex ante* specific functional form of the relationship.

Going forward, the balance of the paper is as follows: Section 2 describes the STIRPAT framework and methodology. Section 3 describes the dataset. Section 4 presents the empirical results of the estimations; and lastly, Section 5 gives the concluding remarks.

## 2 Theoretical framework and methodology

The paper uses the IPAT framework to investigate the income-pollution relationship. Ehrlich and Holdren (1971) first proposed the IPAT model \((I = PAT)\) to describe the changes in environmental impacts induced by human activities (i.e. so-called anthropogenic effects). The framework assesses the environmental impact of population, affluence, and technology on the environment. The intuition is that environmental impacts \((I)\) are a multiplicative
function of population size (P), affluence described per capita of economic activity (A), and the level of technology per unit of consumption and production (T):

\[ I = P \cdot A \cdot T \]  

(1)

The model is simple as it describes the anthropogenic driving forces behind environmental damages as a mathematical relationship. However, the IPAT model is a mathematical identity and is rigid in terms of the proportionality restrictions between the variables. Following this shortcoming, Dietz and Rosa (1997) developed a stochastic version of the IPAT, designated as STIRPAT, which provides a flexible quantitative framework to investigate environmental impacts. The model specification is

\[ I_i = aP_i^bA_i^cT_i^d\varepsilon_i \]  

(2)

where \( I, P, A, \) and \( T \) remains as described above; \( a, b, c \) and \( d \) are parameters of the model; \( \varepsilon \) represents the idiosyncratic error term, and the subscript \( i \) denotes observational units (e.g. countries) in a cross-section data. Taking the natural logarithm of Eq. (2) provides a convenient linear specification as follows:

\[ \ln I_i = a + b \ln P_i + c \ln A_i + d \ln T_i + \varepsilon_i \]  

(3)

As a refinement to the STIRPAT model, York et al. (2003) argues that the quadratic terms of the components \( P, A, \) and \( T \) along with additional environmental impact factors can be incorporated into the model provided it is consistent with the multiplicative specification. Thus, Eq. (3) can be extended with the incorporation of a quadratic term for the affluence (A) variable in line with the EKC hypothesis to capture possible existence of an inverted U-shaped relationship. This inverted U-shaped relationship can be explained by three basic mechanisms, namely, the scale, composition, and technique effects. The scale effect suggests the idea that environmental quality deteriorates with expansion in economic activities, and generally economic growth. In the early stages of development as the economy transits from primary to industrial production, more inputs of natural resources are exploited to increase the scale of production, and output. This generates wastes and emissions as by-products which contribute to the environmental pollution. However, economic growth generates structural change and technological progress, which in turn, creates the composition and technique effects. The composition effect is linked with the production shift from pollution-intensive industries to services-based ones which are less polluting. On the other hand, the technique effect is associated with the adoption of cleaner and environmentally-friendly production technology that that faces out dirtier techniques and reduces pollution per unit
of output. Closely linked with this production perspectives is the consumption viewpoints which suggest that higher levels of income for consumers intensifies their demand for cleaner and greener environment as well as the institution of stricter environmental regulations. Overall, the EKC suggest that the negative scale effect will be offset by the combined positive composition and technique effects which should reduce pollution over time (see Dinda, 2004; Kaika and Zervas, 2013a).

Consequently, the extended version of the STIRPAT model with all variables transformed to their natural logarithmic form and estimated coefficients interpreted as elasticities is specified as follows:

\[ E_{it} = \beta_1 gdpc_{it} + \beta_2 gdpc^2_{it} + \beta_3 pop_{it} + \beta_4 enit_{it} + \alpha_i + \tau_t + \epsilon_{it} \]  

where \( E \) is a measure of environmental quality of country \( i \) at time \( t \); \( pop \) denotes the population size; \( gdpc \) is GDP per capita; \( enit \) denotes technology which is proxied by energy intensity to capture technology damaging effect on the environment. \( \alpha_i \) represents country-specific effect that is constant with time, and a time-specific effect \( \tau_t \) to account for time-varying omitted variables and stochastic shocks that are common to all countries. Depending on the sign and statistical significance of the slope parameters of the \( gdpc \) variable, an important information as to the form of the income-pollution relationship is discernible: (i) if \( \beta_1 > 0 \) ( \( \beta_1 < 0 \), respectively) and \( \beta_2 = 0 \), then the relationship is monotonically increasing (decreasing); and (ii) if \( \beta_1 > 0 \) and \( \beta_2 < 0 \), then an inverted U-shaped curve is observed for the relationship with the turning point given as \( E^* = \frac{-\beta_1}{2\beta_2} \).

Within this framework, standard panel data techniques can be use to estimate Eq.(4). However, a major drawback of the above parametric model analysis is that it assumes \textit{ex ante} specific functional form and does not account for parameter heterogeneity across countries in the sample. Moreover, higher polynomial regression, and more generally parametric regression models, have been shown to have undesirable “nonlocal effects” (Magee, 1998). As Yatchew (1998) points out, most economic theory does not identify a specific functional form for the relationship between a dependent variable and its covariates in a regression. Thus, to avoid possible functional form misspecification in the above parametric framework, we take an alternative approach using a semi-parametric regression framework which relaxes the functional form assumptions and allows the data generating process to determine the true shape of the income-pollution relationship. Given that the true relationship is \textit{a priori} unknown, we specify a semi-parametric partially linear panel model with fixed effects as follows:
\[ E_{it} = m(gdpc_{it}) + \beta_3pop_{it} + \beta_4enit_{it} + \alpha_i + \tau_t + \varepsilon_{it} \] (5)

where \( m(\cdot) \) is an unknown smooth function with only income, \( gdpc \), entering the regression nonparametrically while other control variables are specified parametrically. This model accommodates the inclusion of more control variables without concerns for the curse of dimensionality problem associated with fully nonparametric models. The presence of the unobserved heterogeneity \( \alpha_i \) can be removed through first-differencing:

\[
E_{it} - E_{it-1} = [m(gdpc_{it}) - m(gdpc_{it-1})] + \beta_3(pop_{it} - pop_{it-1}) \\
+ \beta_4(enit_{it} - enit_{it-1}) + \varepsilon_{it} - \varepsilon_{it-1} \] (6)

To consistently estimate Eq.(6), Baltagi and Li(2002) proposed to approximate \([m(gdpc_{it}) - m(gdpc_{it-1})]\) by the series differences \( p^k(gdpc_{it}, gdpc_{it-1}) = [p^k(gdpc_{it}) - p^k(gdpc_{it-1})] \) where \( p^k(gdpc) \) are the first \( k \) terms of a sequence of functions \( (p_1(gdpc), p_2(gdpc), \ldots) \). In practice, a typical example of \( p^k \) series could be a spline, which corresponds to piecewise polynomials with pieces defined by a sequence of smooth knots which when joined smoothly reduces Eq.(6) down to

\[
E_{it} - E_{it-1} = [p^k(gdpc_{it}) - p^k(gdpc_{it-1})]\theta + \beta_3(pop_{it} - pop_{it-1}) \\
+ \beta_4(enit_{it} - enit_{it-1}) + \varepsilon_{it} - \varepsilon_{it-1} \] (7)

which can be consistently estimated by ordinary least squares. Once parameters \( \hat{\beta}'s \) and \( \hat{\theta} \) have been estimated, the values of the unit-specific intercepts \( \hat{\alpha}_i \) can be calculated in order to recover the error component residual

\[
\hat{u}_{it} = E_{it} - \hat{\beta}_3pop_{it} - \hat{\beta}_4enit_{it} - \hat{\alpha}_i = m(gdpc_{it}) + \varepsilon_{it} \] (8)

The curve \( m(\cdot) \) can be easily estimated by regressing \( \hat{u}_{it} \) on \( gdpc_{it} \) using flexible estimation methods such as kernel or spline regression. Here, we use the B-spline regression model of order \( k = 4 \).
### Table 1: Summary statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Obs</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CO(_2) emissions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>co2pc</td>
<td>1008</td>
<td>-1.165</td>
<td>1.432</td>
<td>-4.481</td>
<td>2.328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pop</td>
<td>1008</td>
<td>15.730</td>
<td>1.543</td>
<td>11.156</td>
<td>18.887</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>enit</td>
<td>1008</td>
<td>7.922</td>
<td>0.841</td>
<td>5.189</td>
<td>10.279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gdpc</td>
<td>1008</td>
<td>6.616</td>
<td>1.101</td>
<td>4.243</td>
<td>9.675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM(_{10}) emissions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pm10</td>
<td>987</td>
<td>4.019</td>
<td>0.705</td>
<td>1.768</td>
<td>5.759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pop</td>
<td>987</td>
<td>15.856</td>
<td>1.366</td>
<td>12.841</td>
<td>18.887</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>enit</td>
<td>987</td>
<td>7.909</td>
<td>0.839</td>
<td>5.189</td>
<td>10.279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gdpc</td>
<td>987</td>
<td>6.541</td>
<td>1.033</td>
<td>4.243</td>
<td>9.675</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3 Data

We investigate the definite shape of the income-pollution relationship for a sample of 49 African countries over the period 1990–2010 (see Table A1 in Appendix for country listing). Population is measured as total population, affluence which captures economic prosperity is measured as real GDP per capita (constant 2005 US dollars). Technology is measured using energy intensity. Energy intensity is often expressed as total energy use per dollar GDP. Here, energy intensity is expressed as total primary energy consumption per dollar GDP (Btu per year 2005 PPP US dollars). Environmental degradation is captured using two atmospheric air pollutants, namely, CO\(_2\) emissions and ambient particulate matter (PM\(_{10}\)). CO\(_2\) emissions (metric tons per capita) include burning of fossil fuels and cement manufacturing, but excludes emissions from land use such as deforestation. PM\(_{10}\) captures fine suspended particles less than 10µm in diameter, and is capable of penetrating deeply into the respiratory tract, causing significant health damage to humans and animals. This consist of chemically stable substances such as dust, soot, ash, smoke, and liquid droplets from fuel consumption, industrial and construction activities. The data on per capita carbon emissions, and ambient particulate matter, population size, and GDP Per capita is sourced from the World Bank’s World Development Indicators online database while energy intensity is obtained from the International Energy Statistics of the U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA). Table 1 presents the summary statistics with all variables transformed to their natural logarithm form.

---

1Available at [http://www.eia.gov/cfapps/ipdbproject/IEDIndex3.cfm](http://www.eia.gov/cfapps/ipdbproject/IEDIndex3.cfm)
Table 2: Parameter estimates of income-CO2 emissions nexus

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>OLS (1)</th>
<th>FE (2)</th>
<th>RE (3)</th>
<th>SEMI-PAR (4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>gdpc</td>
<td>1.156***</td>
<td>−0.242</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.183)</td>
<td>(0.563)</td>
<td>(0.523)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gdpcsq</td>
<td>−0.007</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.013)</td>
<td>(0.035)</td>
<td>(0.034)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pop</td>
<td>0.074***</td>
<td>−0.025</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.599**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.011)</td>
<td>(0.177)</td>
<td>(0.069)</td>
<td>(0.286)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>enit</td>
<td>0.520***</td>
<td>0.229***</td>
<td>0.294***</td>
<td>−0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.028)</td>
<td>(0.082)</td>
<td>(0.071)</td>
<td>(0.082)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>−13.793***</td>
<td>−4.789*</td>
<td>−7.148***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.645)</td>
<td>(2.849)</td>
<td>(2.385)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N 1008  1008  1008  960
R^2 0.86  0.49  0.49  0.11

Note: Country and time dummies are included in all models. OLS, FE and RE are standard panel data techniques of ordinary least squares, fixed and random effects models respectively; while SEMI-PAR denotes the semi-parametric panel fixed effects models. Robust standard errors in parenthesis. *** *, ** indicates 1%, 5% and 10% significance level.

4 Empirical Results

In revisiting the EKC analysis for Africa, Eq. (4) is estimated using three standard panel data techniques of OLS, fixed (FE) and random (RE) effects models while Eq. (5) is estimated with Baltagi and Li (2002) semi-parametric panel fixed effects models (SEMI-PAR) as the exact income-environment relationship is not known and can differ across countries or regions. Table 2 presents the empirical estimates in each columns for each estimation technique respectively. The population variable is statistically significant and has a positive coefficient estimates (i.e. 0.074 and 0.599) for the OLS and SEMI-PAR estimations respectively. This implies that higher population exacerbates pressure on the environmental quality. However, the significance is lost when estimated with FE and RE techniques. For energy intensity, the estimated coefficients are positive and statistically significant only for the OLS and FE estimations. This implies that higher consumption of fossil fuels in the production process will increase carbon emissions which in turn, will put further pressure on environmental quality. However, for RE and SEMI-PAR estimations, the coefficient estimates for energy intensity variable is positive (0.294) and negative (−0.031).
respectively and are not significant. Across all four estimation, the impact and importance of population and energy intensity differs which reiterates the issue of robustness in the literature as different estimation techniques yields different outcomes.

![Graph showing adjusted CO2 emissions relationship](image)

**Figure 1:** Partial fit of income and CO\(_2\) emissions relationship: Points in graph are estimated partial residuals for CO\(_2\) emissions; maroon curve represents fitted values for adjusted effects of other explanatory variables, and bounded by the 95% confidence bands.

Considering the income variable and its quadratic term, the OLS estimation result indicates that income is positive and statistically significant whereas its quadratic term although negative is not significant. On this basis, the result indicate that the income-CO\(_2\) emissions nexus in Africa follows a positive relationship. In other words, higher income with economic growth will increase carbon emissions which in turn worsens environmental quality. On the other hand, both income and its quadratic term are not significant in both FE and RE estimations, as such there is no evidence to support the EKC hypothesis in Africa. Unlike these parametric models which yields a unique coefficient estimate, non- and semi-parametric models provides a partial regression plots that describes the true shape of the relationship between a dependent variable and the regressor of interest while holding other regressors at a fixed point such as their means. **Figure 1** presents the partial fit for the income-CO\(_2\) emissions relationship. The fitted curve shows a relatively flat but positive relationship which supports the OLS estimation in Column 1. Further, this indicates that there is no evidence supporting the validity of the EKC hypothesis for African countries. Thus, for most African countries that are still at the intermediate stage of development with the agriculture sector being dominant and a less sophisticated industrial sector, economic growth will typically have a scale effect on the environment. This highly anticipated as
Africa’s contribution to greenhouse gases emissions has been increasing although it is the least when compared with emissions from industrialized countries.

Table 3: Parameter estimates of income-PM$_{10}$ emissions nexus

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>OLS</th>
<th>FE</th>
<th>RE</th>
<th>SEMI-PAR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gdpc</td>
<td>2.783***</td>
<td>-0.383</td>
<td>-0.694</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.202)</td>
<td>(0.526)</td>
<td>(0.615)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gdpcsq</td>
<td>-0.217***</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.014)</td>
<td>(0.034)</td>
<td>(0.040)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pop</td>
<td>0.071***</td>
<td>-1.421***</td>
<td>-0.998***</td>
<td>-1.371***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.014)</td>
<td>(0.099)</td>
<td>(0.096)</td>
<td>(0.081)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>enit</td>
<td>-0.054**</td>
<td>-0.045</td>
<td>-0.032</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.023)</td>
<td>(0.069)</td>
<td>(0.071)</td>
<td>(0.013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>-5.380***</td>
<td>28.647***</td>
<td>23.328***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.741)</td>
<td>(2.758)</td>
<td>(2.770)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$N$ = 987 987 987 940  
$R^2$ = 0.31 0.73 0.70 0.19

Note: Country and time dummies are included in all models. OLS, FE and RE are standard panel data techniques of ordinary least squares, fixed and rand effects models respectively; while SEMI-PAR denotes the semi-parametric panel fixed effects models. Robust standard errors in parenthesis. *** , ** , * indicates 1%, 5% and 10% significance level.

Turning to the alternative measure of environmental pollution, Table 3 presents the empirical results for all four estimation techniques in the case of the income-PM$_{10}$ emissions nexus. The population variable is statistically significant with a positive coefficient estimate for the OLS estimation (i.e. 0.071) in Column 1. Other estimations report a negative coefficient with statistically significance for FE, RE and SEMI-PAR estimations. Energy intensity has a negative coefficient estimates across all three parametric models whereas it is positive for the semi-parametric model. However, statistical significance is only obtained in the OLS estimation. This is understandable as domestic fuel burning for cooking and heating represents the major source of PM$_{10}$ emissions in Africa rather than industrial-related sources (Karagulian et al., 2015). In terms of the income variable and its quadratic term, there is no evidence of the EKC hypothesis for the FE and RE estimation approaches except with OLS estimation in Column 1. This means that as income rise in African countries due to economic growth, PM$_{10}$ emissions will rise and after reaching a turning point of approximately 609 U.S. dollars will reduce and much so with environmental pollution, as people switch from pollution-intensive activities such as cooking with biomass fuel.
to gas while environmentally-friendly and cleaner technologies replace dirtier production techniques. In order to validate the robustness of this outcome, Figure 2 presents the partial fit of the income-PM$_{10}$ emissions. The fitted curve shows that the relationship is monotonically decreasing as income rises.

Figure 2: Partial fit of income and PM$_{10}$ emissions relationship: Points in graph are estimated partial residuals for PM$_{10}$ emissions; maroon curve represents fitted values for adjusted effects of other explanatory variables, and bounded by the 95% confidence bands.

From the foregoing, the empirical results show that the nature of the income-pollution relationship is fundamentally an econometric problem, as the validity of the EKC relationship depends on the estimation approach used and its associated model assumptions on functional form specification. For this analysis, standard panel data techniques of fixed (FE) and random (RE) effects models do not offer insight into the existence of an inverted U-shaped EKC curve, a monotonically increasing or decreasing relationship. However, its OLS counterpart shows evidence of a monotonically increasing relationship for CO$_2$ emissions as well as the inverted U-shaped curve for income-PM$_{10}$ emission relationship. This inconsistency reiterates the econometric caveats in the literature surrounding *ex ante* restrictions on the functional form specification and robustness issues. On the contrary, the semi-parametric analysis provides a more definite shape of the income-pollution relationship with flexibility in functional form specification as a monotonically increasing and decreasing relationship is observed for CO$_2$ and PM$_{10}$ emissions respectively.

In addition, both the OLS and semi-parametric results show that differences in the income-pollution relationship depends on the indicator for environmental pollutants. For atmospheric air pollutants, evidence suggest that the EKC relationship is associated with
environmental pollutants with short-term and local impacts, rather than with global, indirect and long-term impact on human health and overall environmental quality (Arrow et al., 1995; Dinda, 2004). Local pollutants such as ambient particulate matter have recognizable negative effects on the local communities and a comparatively low abatement cost, whereas global pollutants such as CO$_2$ emission have a long-term effects with a high abatement cost. Thus, most empirical studies involving CO$_2$ emission typically indicate a positive relationship rather than the inverted U-shaped curve since economic growth is associated with increased energy use (Dinda, 2004; Kaïka and Zervas, 2013a,b). Following from the semi-parametric analysis, the evidence show that higher income levels with economic growth in Africa will lead to increased energy demand, and in turn, increased CO$_2$ emissions, as African countries are supposedly in their intermediate stages of development. In other words, African countries are still on the upward section of the EKC relationship for CO$_2$ emission which is characterized by the scale effect of economic activities on the environment. Meanwhile, economic growth with increased income levels is compatible with a reduction in PM$_{10}$ emission, and an improvement in environmental quality. As shown in Karagulian et al. (2015), domestic fuel burning which includes wood, coal and gas fuel for cooking and heating represents the highest contributor to ambient particular matter in Africa. This is followed by natural sources of soil dust and sea salt, traffic emissions from various vehicle types while a relatively smaller fraction is from industrial-related emissions such as oil combustion, coal burning in power plants. Therefore, as income rises due to economic growth, ambient particulate matter emissions from this sources should decline with the use of environmentally cleaner alternatives.

5 Conclusion

This paper revisits the Environmental Kuznets Curve (EKC) hypothesis with the aim of determining a definite shape of the income-pollution relationship for a sample of 49 African countries for the period 1990-2010. Recent orientation of the literature has led to the use of non- and semi-parametric methods which are robust to functional form misspecification and potential parameter heterogeneity as it allows the data dynamics to determine the true shape of the relationship contrary to widely used parametric methods which assumes ex ante specified functional forms. Using the STIRPAT model as its analytical framework and the semi-parametric panel fixed effects estimator of Baltagi and Li (2002) which mitigates against functional form misspecification, the true relationship between income and two atmospheric air pollutants, namely carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) and suspended particulate matter (PM$_{10}$) emissions is investigated.
The empirical evidence is summarized as follows. First, the parametric OLS estimation suggest a monotonically increasing relationship between income and CO₂ emissions whereas an inverted U-shaped relationship is obtained for the income-PM₁₀ emission relationship. Meanwhile, no form of relationship is observed with panel fixed and random effects estimations. Thus, different parametric specifications could lead to different empirical conclusion and ultimately a wrong policy prescription. Second, the semi-parametric counterpart clearly shows that the income-CO₂ emissions relationship is monotonically increasing while a monotonically decreasing relationship is observed for the income-PM₁₀ relationship. Thus, while economic growth is beneficial for the reduction of suspended particulate matter, on the other hand, it leads to an increase in CO₂ emissions in the region. Consequently, economic growth might not be a sufficient condition for improving environmental quality especially in the case of CO₂ emissions. Hence, there is need for an integrated policy design with instruments that makes promoting economic progress compatible with a green environment with emphasis on the use of cleaner energy sources.
References


## Appendix

Table A1: List of countries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algeria</th>
<th>Comoros</th>
<th>Ghana</th>
<th>Mauritius</th>
<th>South Africa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Benin</td>
<td>Congo Rep.</td>
<td>Guinea Bissau</td>
<td>Mozambique</td>
<td>Swaziland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Botswana</td>
<td>Cote d’Ivoire</td>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>Namibia</td>
<td>Tanzania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burundi</td>
<td>Djibouti</td>
<td>Lesotho&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Niger</td>
<td>Togo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burundi</td>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td>Liberia</td>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>Tunisia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cameroon</td>
<td>Equatorial Guinea</td>
<td>Madagascar</td>
<td>Rwanda</td>
<td>Uganda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cape Verde&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>Malawi</td>
<td>Senegal</td>
<td>Zambia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Africa Rep.</td>
<td>Gabon</td>
<td>Mali</td>
<td>Seychelles&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Zimbabwe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chad</td>
<td>Gambia</td>
<td>Mauritania</td>
<td>Sierra Leone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: a and b indicates countries with insufficient data on CO<sub>2</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub> emissions respectively, and were dropped in the estimation for each atmospheric air pollutants.