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Impact of terrorism on stock markets: empirical evidence from the SAARC region

This study investigates the impact of terrorism on stock markets in SAARC countries during 2000–2015. An event-study analysis and fixed-effect regression technique are employed to assess whether the impact of various terrorist attacks on the stock market returns of ‘highly affected’ countries differs from that of ‘less affected’ countries in the SAARC region. This study has important implications for policy-makers in relevant countries to combat terrorism and build investor confidence.
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1. Introduction

The purpose of this research is to investigate the impact of terrorism on the stock markets of various South Asian Association of Regional Cooperation (SAARC) countries and to establish the relationship between the type of terrorist attacks and stock market returns. In addition, this study examines whether human loss (killing) causes any significant difference in stock market returns, an issue studied by Yehuda and Hyman (2005). Terrorist activities constitute an outer shock for economies and markets. They often lead to the massive destruction of economies in both the short and long terms. However, several researchers suggest that this relationship must be corroborated by empirical evidence (Drakos 2010; Eldor and Melnick 2004; Peleg et al. 2011; Rosenfeld 2011). Therefore, it is relevant to consider the impacts of terrorism on the overall stock markets of countries.

loss of 10% of GDP in the Basque territory due to the last two decades of terrorism. Bouchet, Clark, and Groslambert (2003) explained that changes in asset prices reflect the economic costs, risk, and uncertainty that terrorism generates.

Several studies have found that acts of terror intimidation cause direct losses to a nation’s financial capital and make the country more vulnerable to potential terror intimidation (Aksoy 2014; Arin, Ciferri, and Spagnolo 2008; Eruygur and Omay 2014; Nikkinen and Vähämää 2010). Likewise, Tavares (2004) examined the financial effect of terrorism on development. Similarly, Crain and Crain (2006) indicated that terror campaigns negatively affect GDP. Blomberg, Hess, and Orphanides (2004) showed that terror intimidation and counter-activities negatively affect exchange flows. Nitsch and Schumacher (2004) examined three components through which terrorism negatively affects exchanges and found that terror-based events decreased individual trade by 4%. Eckstein and Tsiddon (2004) concluded that terror intimidation decreased the Israeli stock exchange by approximately 4% every year. Nearly exactly the same results are obtained by Blomberg and Hess (2006), Ford (2001), and Walkenhorst and Dihel (2002). In 2000–2003, U.S. investment figures show a decline in the proportion of foreign investment (U.S. gross fixed capital formation), and conversely, present an increase in U.S. foreign direct investment, according to Abadie and Gardeazabal (2007). However, Enomoto and Nguyen (2009) established the impact of seven large terror-based events on the stock markets of Iran and Pakistan, finding that terrorist activities in Indonesia, London, Madrid, and Iraq had noteworthy harmful effects on the stability of market returns.

Terrorism has significantly negative consequences for the economy (Stiglitz 2003). Government spending on security measures against attacks results has decreased employment and GDP growth (Arin, Ciferri, and Spagnolo 2008; Blomberg, Hess, and
Orphanides 2004; Drakos 2010; Eldor and Melnick 2004; Peleg et al. 2011; Rosenfeld 2011). Similarly, Brown et al. (2004) suggested that government intervention disrupts the market by preventing the private sector from adjusting to the ‘terrorist risk’.

Several studies have investigated the impact of terrorist attacks on stock market performance in different countries (Brounen and Derwall, 2010; Chen and Siems, 2004; Eldor and Melnick, 2004; Aslam and Kang, 2013; Hassan et al., 2014; Drakos 2004; Enomoto et al., 2009)

In this research, terrorist attacks and their types are classified as independent variables and daily stock returns are classified as the dependent variable. Daily stock returns for the 15 years from 2000 to 2014 are collected from the finance.yahoo.com website. In consideration of time constraints, 400 terrorist attacks are considered through stratified random sampling. These terrorist attacks are considered for study from the Global Terrorism Database (GTD 2015). This comparative study of the ‘highly affected’ and ‘less affected’ countries of the SAARC region aims to establish the relationships between terrorist activities and stock market returns. Fixed-effect regression is used to obtain conclusions from the results via the statistical software Stata.

Our results show that the attack day is significant in both less affected and highly affected countries in the SAARC region. In addition, the negative impact continues into the next day in less affected countries. Bombing attacks in highly affected countries have a negative impact on stock market returns. Meanwhile, in less affected countries, armed assault and hostage-taking have negative impacts on stock markets. We also find that the control variable of earthquake remains insignificant with respect to the stock market returns in all SAARC countries.
This paper is divided into six sections: after the introduction of the topic, the second section provides an overview of the SAARC region. The third section covers a relevant review of literature related to terrorism and attempts to find its link with stock market performance. The fourth section discusses the methodology adopted, and the results are discussed in the fifth section. The last section provides this study’s concluding remarks.

2. Overview of the SAARC region

Nearly all SAARC countries have suffered from terrorism, especially after the 9/11 attacks (Ahmer 2015). SAARC countries faced approximately 20,000 terrorist attacks of different types from 2000 to 2014 with massive destruction (GTD 2015). Pakistan received the largest number of terrorist attacks in this timeframe (6,664) whereas Bhutan faced only six (GTD 2015). By taking the mean of the number of terrorist attacks, we divide countries into two categories, namely, highly affected and less affected.

[Table 1 near here]

We consider the stock exchanges of only the following four countries from the SAARC region\(^1\) in our study: Bangladesh, India, Pakistan, and Sri Lanka.

3. Data and Methodology

Spanning a period of 15 years from 2000 to 2014, this study used three types of data: the stock indices of SAARC countries, terrorist attack news and data on earthquake news. Stock index data were collected from the stock market online databases of each

\(^1\) Afghan financial markets are undergoing a transition phase because the entire country is being reconstructed. Because no formal stock exchange exists, Afghanistan cannot be included in this study. Moreover, the Maldives Stock Exchange and the Royal Stock Exchange of Bhutan are not considered in this study because these countries had only 20 and 6 terrorist attacks, respectively, between 2000 and 2014. Finally, data for the Nepal Stock Exchange are not available, and thus this exchange cannot be considered in the study.
country and from finance.yahoo.com. The details of terrorist events were collected from
the Global Terrorism Database website (GTD 2015), which is maintained by the U.S.
Department of Homeland Security based at the University of Maryland.

The event study methodology adopted for this study was explained by Corrado
(2011). Event studies review both long-term and short-term time horizons. Furthermore,
the economic influence of an incident can be calculated using security prices over a
certain time period, which is divided into three separate time windows: ‘pre-event’,
‘day of event’, and ‘post-event’.

This study captures the effect of significant terrorist activities of different types
that occurred in SAARC countries by investigating the single-day returns of stock
markets from ‘day −1’ to ‘day +1’ around the terrorist attack. We examined the
association between the types of attacks by categorising them into four major
categories: bombing/explosions, assassinations, armed assaults, and hostage-taking
(kidnapping). If an incident occurred after the trading hours of the stock market, its
effect was considered the next working day.

A stratified random sampling technique was used for this research, keeping in
view the constraints of the study (Cohen, Manion, and Morrison 2000; Sekaran 2006).
We used fixed-effect regression analysis to analyse the relationship between terrorist
activities and their impact on the stock markets returns of the SAARC countries in the
presence of a control variable. In addition, we examine the influence of eight dummy
variables on the index return of the SAARC countries. The regression was also used for
analysing the impact of human loss (killing).

To check the overall impact, we run the following multiple regression model.

\[
R_{it} = \alpha + \beta_1 \text{Attack}_t + \beta_2 \text{Earthquake}_t + e_i
\]  

(2)

\text{Attack}_t = 1 \text{ if there is any terrorist attack on day } t; \text{ and } 0 \text{ otherwise.}
Earthquaket = 1 if there is any earthquake on day t; and 0 otherwise.

Therefore, this tool assists researchers in ascertaining whether these variables have any relationship.

We distinguish the following four types of dummy variables to determine the significance of the type of the terrorist activity: \( Di = 1 \) if a terrorist attack of type \( I \) occurs, and 0 otherwise, where \( i = \) bombing/explosion, assassination, armed assault, and hostage-taking (kidnapping). Equation (5) is used to estimate the impact of the type of terrorist attack on the index returns of different SAARC countries.

\[
R_{it} = \alpha + \beta_1 (\text{Bombing}) + \beta_2 (\text{Armed Assault}) + \beta_3 (\text{Assassination}) + \\
\beta_4 (\text{Hostage-taking}) + \beta_5 (\text{Earthquake}) + e_i
\]

where \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are parameters to be anticipated, and \( e_i \) is a random error.

4. Empirical Results

Regression results

Table 3 shows the results for all divisions of countries of the SAARC region. The interpretation of this table is presented in the subsections hereafter.

Effect of terrorism with respect to type of attack

Referring to Table 3, the results show that bombings are the only type of attack that negatively affects the stock market returns of highly affected countries. This finding indicates that bombing-type attacks have particularly adverse effects on highly affected countries in the SAARC region. The coefficient for bombing-type attacks in highly affected countries (\( \beta = -0.0116462 \)) indicates that stock market returns decline by
1.164% for every additional attack of this type, which is significant at the 1% level. Conversely, positive stock market returns are observed in highly affected countries for other types of attacks.

Notably, the high frequency of terrorism in highly affected countries causes them to learn how to recover from these incidents immediately, which results in reduced uncertainty for investors. Thus, we conclude that better recovery arrangements developed in highly affected countries create certainty for investors that timely operations will lead to recovery of the situation (e.g., assassination, armed assault, and hostage-taking). That finding explains why returns are not negative in highly affected countries during these types of attacks.

Results of less affected countries indicate that assassination and hostage-taking bring particularly adverse effect. The coefficient for assassination-type attacks in less affected countries ($\beta = -0.0004961$) indicates that stock market returns decline by 0.05% with every additional attack of this type, which is significant at the 1% level. Similarly, the coefficient for the hostage-taking attack type in less affected countries ($\beta = -0.0029479$) indicates that stock market returns decline by 0.3% for every additional attack of this type, which is significant at the 1% level.

**Effect of control variable**

We consider the news of ‘earthquake’ as a control variable to check whether the negative returns are linked to terrorism or to the earthquake. In Table 3, the p-value for the control variable ‘earthquake’ is greater than the desired significance level, suggesting that the earthquake does not have an impact on the stock market returns of highly affected countries. For less affected countries, control variable is omitted from the table as simultaneous events of ‘terrorism’ and ‘earthquake’ did not occur on the same day even once in the sample period.
Comparative analysis

We run the regression separately for all groups, including highly affected, less affected, and all countries, to check the comparative significance of the predictors.

[Table 4 near here]

The attack day negatively affects stock market returns in all groups, whereas the post-day negatively affects only the less affected countries. Conversely, when considering all the affected countries of the region, bombing is the attack type that produces negative stock market returns. In addition, assassinations, armed assaults, and hostage-taking are positively significant in highly affected countries whereas bombing is positively significant in less affected countries. Moreover, killing remains insignificant in all the groups. This phenomenon is repeated for the control variable ‘earthquake’ in all study groups.

Our findings show that terrorism negatively affects the stock market returns of both highly affected and less affected parts of the SAARC region. Aksoy (2014), Aslam and Kang (2013), and Bashir, Haq, and Gillani (2013) also suggest the negative impacts of terrorism on stock markets.

The stock exchanges of less affected countries (Sri Lanka and Bangladesh) and those of highly affected countries (Pakistan and India) respond differently to different types of terrorist attacks. The stock markets of highly affected countries respond negatively only to bombngs. However, the stock markets of less affected countries are negatively affected by assassinations and hostage-taking. Our findings are supported by the literature. Hassan et al. (2014) showed that the venue and target type of attack are important contributors to the impact of terrorist activity on stock market performance. Similarly, Alam (2013) explained that the ranking venue and target of attack are the most significant factors contributing to the performance of stock market returns. Certain
activities and sectors are more vulnerable to attacks than others are, as explained by Brück and Wickström (2004). Enders and Sandler (2006) noted that small nations are more sensitive to terrorist activities, given that their financial condition is not sufficiently strong to facilitate the reallocation of resources to decrease the wastefulness brought by terrorist intimidation. Thus, we conclude that better rescue arrangements developed in Pakistan and India compared to Sri Lanka and Bangladesh create certainty for investors and that timely operations will result in a recovery from the situation (assassinations, armed assaults, and hostage-taking). For these reasons, the stock market returns are not negative in highly affected countries during these types of attacks.

5. Conclusion

Clearly, terrorism produces adverse effects in the stock markets of the SAARC countries and affects the investors and regulatory authorities of these countries. We recommend that less affected countries (Sri Lanka and Bangladesh) should nevertheless enhance their intelligence and recovery efforts from these incidents to stop continuous losses in stock markets, as this approach would raise the confidence of foreign and local investors. Moreover, policies should be developed by the governments of highly affected countries (Pakistan and India), such as improving intelligence and regulatory enforcement, to eliminate or decrease the risks of terrorist attacks. Better intelligence could prompt a sharp decline in terror-based incidents, thereby improving peace and security situations in the country and thus building investor confidence.

Other events could contribute to negative stock market returns in the presence of terrorism. Political news, financial news, and the announcement of annual budgets could be considered as alternative control variables. Second, the event window used in this study could be extended to 5 or 7 days to determine the influence of terrorism in the days after the attack. Moreover, our study provides a comparison within the SAARC
region, whereas a future study could be conducted to obtain comparisons among different regions.

References


Table 1. Details of terrorist attacks by country and relevant stock exchange.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Countries</th>
<th>Terrorist Attack</th>
<th>Stock Exchange</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Highly Affected</td>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>6,664</td>
<td>Pakistan Stock Exchange</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Afghanistan</td>
<td>7,600</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>India</td>
<td>4,407</td>
<td>Bombay Stock Exchange SENSEX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less Affected</td>
<td>Nepal</td>
<td>840</td>
<td>Nepal Stock Exchange</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sri Lanka</td>
<td>605</td>
<td>Colombo Stock Exchange</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>Chittagong Stock Exchange</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maldives</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Maldives Stock Exchange</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bhutan</td>
<td>06</td>
<td>Royal Securities Exchange of Bhutan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Attacks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20,523</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Table 3. Fixed-effect regression results for highly affected, less affected, and all countries.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Returns</th>
<th>Highly Affected Countries</th>
<th>Less Affected Countries</th>
<th>All Countries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-day (D-1)</td>
<td>-.000734</td>
<td>-.000464</td>
<td>-0.0006127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attack-day (D0)</td>
<td>-.0119488***</td>
<td>-.0027702***</td>
<td>-0.005977*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-day (D+1)</td>
<td>-.0002401</td>
<td>-.0017048*</td>
<td>-0.0009767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bombing</td>
<td>-.0116462***</td>
<td>.0031087***</td>
<td>-0.0060277**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assassination</td>
<td>.0116219*</td>
<td>-.0004961***</td>
<td>0.0037514</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armed assault</td>
<td>.0112695***</td>
<td>-.0010704</td>
<td>0.003884</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hostage-taking</td>
<td>.0118827*</td>
<td>-.0029479***</td>
<td>0.0037711</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Killing</td>
<td>-.0000198</td>
<td>.0000663</td>
<td>-0.0000009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earthquake news</td>
<td>-.0069093</td>
<td>(omitted)</td>
<td>-0.0072833</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
* *, **, and *** demonstrate that p-values are significant at the 10%, 5%, and 1% levels, respectively

Table 4. Reports of predictors with negative impacts within the SAARC region.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Highly Affected Countries</th>
<th>Affected Countries</th>
<th>Less Affected Countries</th>
<th>All Countries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-day (D-1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attack-day (D0)</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-day (D+1)</td>
<td></td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bombing</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assassination</td>
<td></td>
<td>***</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armed assault</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hostage-taking</td>
<td></td>
<td>***</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Killing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earthquake News</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* *, **, and *** demonstrate that p-values are significant at the 10%, 5%, and 1% levels, respectively