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Abstract

This paper provides an introduction to the use of genetic algorithms for financial optimisation. The aim is to give the reader a basic understanding of the computational aspects of these algorithms and how they can be applied to decision making in finance and investment. Genetic algorithms are especially suitable for complex problems characterised by large solution spaces, multiple optima, nondifferentiability of the objective function, and other irregular features. The mechanics of constructing and using a genetic algorithm for optimisation are illustrated through a simple example.

1 Introduction

Artificial intelligence, and in particular machine-based learning techniques, have become very popular in the last decade due to significant advances in information technology. Until recently, these techniques were considered too intensive computationally and thus were neither popular nor practical. Machine-based learning techniques, such as genetic algorithms and neural networks, have been applied to numerous areas in finance and investment;
Computers are considered important in developing trading strategies, financial analysis and portfolio optimisation because humans have limited cognitive ability and can be inconsistent in decision making; see Kahneman and Tversky (1982). By using computers, numerous alternative trading strategies, financial scenarios or portfolios can be developed and quickly appraised. However, Bauer and Liepens (1992) argue that given a seemingly limitless number of different combinations, even computers are severely limited in terms of an exhaustive search. Genetic algorithms provide one method for the rapid evaluation of real-time financial and investment possibilities, which is important in today’s fast paced and dynamic financial environment.

Genetic algorithms, developed by Holland (1975), are a class of adaptive search and optimisation techniques. These algorithms are extremely efficient at searching large solution spaces. Furthermore, Dorsey and Mayer (1995) have shown that a genetic algorithm is a robust or effective method for optimisation of complex problems characterised by multiple optima, nondifferentiability, and other irregular features. Unlike other optimisation techniques, such as gradient-based methods which solve for the optimal value using an analytical approach, genetic algorithms use an iterative numerical approach.

Genetic algorithms are a form of artificial intelligence, which is based on the idea of simulating human-like decision making ability using a computer. Artificial intelligence techniques can be grouped succinctly into the three broad areas of advanced programming techniques, decision support and expert systems, and machine-based learning techniques as shown in Figure 1. Genetic algorithms belong to the class of evolutionary algorithms, which attempt to solve difficult problems by evolving an initial set of potential solutions into better solutions through an iterative process. This is achieved through a process referred to as natural selection or survival of the fittest, which is based on Charles Darwin’s theory of evolution.

[Insert Figure 1.]

Both evolutionary algorithms and neural networks are machine-based learning techniques, where the latter attempt to learn patterns or relationships usually from large samples of data. These techniques can be employed to develop and optimise trading rules, forecast financial asset returns, or construct optimal portfolios. Since a large part of the literature consists of neural algorithms, see Trippi and Turbin (1996), Deboeck (1994), and Weigend, Abu-Mostafa and Refenes (1997).

A good introduction to genetic algorithms is given by Mitchell (1996). For a more detailed description of the mathematical operations, the programming and applications of genetic algorithms, refer to Goldberg (1989).
network applications, this paper will focus exclusively on genetic algorithms and their role in financial optimisation.

This paper is organised as follows. Section 2 describes the mathematical structure and operations involved in using a genetic algorithm. Section 3 considers a simple example to illustrate the procedure involved in deriving a solution for a simple optimisation problem using a genetic algorithm. The advantages and disadvantages of this technique in relation to other optimisation methods are given in Section 4. Section 5 outlines previous studies which have applied genetic algorithms to finance and investment. Finally, Section 6 provides a summary of the paper.

2 Mathematical structure and operations

Typically, any genetic algorithm used for the purpose of optimisation consists of the following features:

1. binary representation,
2. objective function,
3. genetic operations (selection, crossover and mutation).

2.1 Binary representation

In order to solve an optimisation problem using a genetic algorithm, potential solutions or candidates are usually represented by vectors consisting of binary digits or bits.\(^2\) In general, the binary representation of an individual candidate is given by

\[
x = [x_1, x_2, x_3, ..., x_b]
\]  

(1)

where the value of each of the \(b\) elements is either a zero or one. This representation is based on the binary number system. Thus a particular candidate with a binary representation \(x_i\) has a corresponding decimal equivalent value given by

\(^2\)Although the common approach is to use binary digits, some genetic algorithms represent candidates using real numbers. Thus, vectors consist of elements given by real numbers values instead of zeros and ones. This approach is employed in certain problems where there are a large number of different parameters.
\[ y_i = \sum_{j=1}^{b} (2^{n-j})x_j \] (2)

where the elements \( x_j \) of vector \( x_i \) are the different values of the binary representation with a value of either zero or one.\(^3\) For example, a candidate with a binary representation given by

\[ x_i = [0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1] \]

has a decimal equivalent value given by

\[ y_i = (2^4 \times 0) + (2^3 \times 1) + (2^2 \times 0) + (2^1 \times 0) + (2^0 \times 1) = 8 + 1 = 9 \]

which is equal to a corresponding decimal value of nine.

### 2.2 Objective function

Ultimately the goal of a genetic algorithm is to find a solution to a complex optimisation problem, which is optimal or near-optimal, quickly and at lowest cost. This property is important for short-term trading, for example arbitrage or market making operations. In these cases, obtaining a near-optimal solution relatively quickly is more important than obtaining the optimal solution at the cost of a significantly greater amount of time. In order to do this a genetic algorithm searches for better performing candidates, where performance can be measured in terms of an objective function.

The objective function used in a genetic algorithm can be expressed as

\[ z = F(x, W) \] (3)

where the vector \( y \) consists of decimal equivalent values, each with a corresponding binary representation given by \( x \), and \( W \) is a set of other parameters or variables which are not directly of interest. In terms of financial market problems, the objective function could be represented by profit.

---

\(^3\)These vectors or binary representations can be thought of as analogous to the chromosomes in living organisms, where the zeros and ones correspond to the genes in the chromosomes. Just as chromosomes determine the characteristics of living organisms, the binary representations can be decoded to reveal particular solution candidates.
Specifically, the objective could be to maximise trading profits by choosing the appropriate parameter values for a particular trading rule given a sample of financial market data. Another financial problem, could involve optimal portfolio selection, where the objective is to choose the proportion of financial assets to hold in a portfolio such that risk is minimised given the constraint of achieving a specified level of return.

Each candidate’s performance can be assessed using the objective function given by Equation 3. For example the decimal equivalent value of a particular candidate given by $y_i$ has a performance value of $F(y_i)$. The objective function is extremely important in guiding the genetic algorithm in its search for the optimal solution; this is discussed further in Section 4.

### 2.3 Genetic operations

The search process employed by a genetic algorithm is driven by three important operations:

1. selection,
2. crossover,
3. mutation.

It is through these genetic or biological operations that an initial population of randomly generated candidates to a problem is evolved through successive iterations, referred to as generations, into a final population consisting of the optimal or a near-optimal solution. These operations can be thought of as an effective procedure for updating the candidates’ solution values from one iteration to the next. The search procedure which ensues is highly effective because of these operations.

#### 2.3.1 Selection

Selection determines which solution candidates are allowed to participate in crossover and undergo possible mutation; these terms are defined below in this section. There are a number of different types of selection methods; see either Goldberg (1989) or Mitchell (1996). The original method developed by Holland (1975) involves selecting candidates according to a probability distribution. The probability of a particular candidate being chosen is determined

---

4The term population actually refers to a sample of the entire population of possible solutions. However the term population is adopted because it is the commonly used term in the literature.
by its performance relative to the entire population. Thus the distribution is skewed towards the better performing candidates, giving them a greater chance of being selected. This method known as roulette wheel selection, is not ideal in relatively small populations, since there could be a disproportionately large number of poorly performing candidates chosen for selection due to the random nature by which candidates are selected; see Mitchell (1996). Alternative methods can be employed to overcome this problem.

One of these alternatives is the tournament selection method. This involves selecting two or more candidates at a time and then choosing the better performing candidate from the pair or group. For example, in a two-party tournament selection, two candidates will be chosen at random from the population. These two candidates are compared and the candidate with the greater performance is selected. This method captures the reasoning behind the theory of survival of the fittest, since two candidates participate in a live-or-die tournament, where only the fitter candidate lives while the other dies.

Another approach is the genitor selection method, which is a ranking-based procedure developed by Whitley (1989). This approach involves ranking all individuals according to performance and then replacing the poorly performing individuals by copies of the better performing individuals.

2.3.2 Crossover

Promising candidates, as represented by relatively better performing solutions, are combined through a process of binary recombination referred to as crossover. This ensures that the search process is not random but rather that it is consciously directed into promising regions of the solution space. As with selection there are a number of variations, however single point crossover is the most commonly used version. Other versions of crossover include multiple crossover points.

Single point crossover involves a number of steps. First, candidates from the restricted population, consisting of the candidates that have managed to survive the selection process, are randomly paired. Whether or not crossover occurs is determined stochastically according to a pre-specified probability of crossover. Next a partitioning or break point is randomly selected at a particular position in the binary representation of each candidate. This break point is used to partition the two vectors, separating each vector into two sub-vectors. The two sub-vectors to the right of the break point are exchanged between the two vectors. The vectors are then unpartitioned, yielding two new candidates.

To demonstrate this procedure, assume that two candidates, represented
by the vectors

\[
x_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}
\]
\[
x_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}
\]

are randomly paired from the restricted population and have been selected for crossover. Assume that the break point is randomly chosen to be between the second and third elements of each vector. The two partitioned vectors can be represented in terms of their sub-vectors

\[
\begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}
\]
\[
\begin{bmatrix} x_{21} & x_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}
\]

respectively. Given that crossover occurs, sub-vector \( x_{12} \) from vector \( x_1 \) is switched with sub-vector \( x_{22} \) from vector \( x_2 \) resulting in the vectors

\[
\begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}
\]
\[
\begin{bmatrix} x_{21} & x_{12} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}
\]

Finally both vectors are unpartitioned yielding two new binary representations

\[
x'_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}
\]
\[
x'_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}
\]

2.3.3 Mutation

New genetic material can be introduced into the population through mutation. This increases the diversity in the population and unlike crossover, randomly redirects the search procedure into new areas of the solution space which may or may not be beneficial. This action underpins the genetic algorithm’s ability to find novel or inconspicuous solutions and to avoid getting anchored at local sub-optimal solutions.

Mutation occurs by randomly selecting a particular element in a particular vector. If the element is a one it is mutated or switched to zero.
Table 1: The steps involved in one trial of a genetic algorithm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Determine the appropriate binary representations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Create an initial population of candidates randomly.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Calculate the performance of each candidate in the initial population.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Perform selection to determine the restricted population.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Apply crossover to the restricted population.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Apply mutation to the restricted population.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Calculate the performance of the candidates in the new generation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Return to Step 3 unless a termination criterion is satisfied.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Otherwise, if it is a zero it is mutated to a one. This occurs with a very low probability in order not to unduly disturb the search process.

To illustrate mutation, assume that no other elements are selected for mutation except for the third element in vector

\[ \mathbf{x}_3 = [1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0] \]

then mutation would alter the binary representation of this vector

\[ \mathbf{x}'_3 = [1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0] \].

2.4 Procedure

The steps involved in implementing one run or trial of a genetic algorithm are outlined in Table 1. The first step, referred to as problem representation, involves representing potential solutions to the problem as vectors consisting of binary digits, as discussed above in Section 2.1. Once this is determined, an initial population of candidates is randomly created by using a random number generator. A \( p \times b \) matrix of random numbers are generated representing \( p \) candidates, each consisting of \( b \) elements. The resulting value of each element is rounded to the nearest integer. Since the values of each element are random numbers, a real number from the interval 0 to 1 inclusive, each element becomes either a zero or a one. Then in the following step, the performance of each candidate is evaluated using the objective function given by Equation 3.

The next few steps involve the application of the operations which were discussed above in Section 2.3. First, the operation of selection determines
which of the candidates from the initial population should be chosen to participate in the operations of crossover and mutation. These two operations are then applied to the candidates in this current restricted population, recombining and randomly changing the elements of the vectors, leading to the creation of a generation of new candidates. Next, the performance of the candidates from this new generation is assessed using the objective function.

The final step in the genetic algorithm involves the verification of a well-defined termination criterion. This termination criterion is usually satisfied if either the population converges to a unique solution or a maximum number of predefined generations is reached. A maximum number of generations may be specified in order to prevent the algorithm from continuing indefinitely, which can occur with certain types of problems. If this criterion is not satisfied, the genetic algorithm returns to the selection, crossover and mutation operations to develop further generations until this criterion is met, at which time the process of creating new generations is terminated.

3 A simple example

To highlight the salient features of a genetic algorithm, a simple optimisation problem of determining the optimal value \( y^* \) for the profit function

\[
\Pi(y) = 24y - y^2 + 70
\]  

is illustrated. The optimal solution is given by \( y^* = 12 \), with a corresponding optimal profit of \( \Pi(y^*) = 214 \). Obviously such a simple problem would in practice be solved using basic calculus methods instead of using a computationally burdensome genetic algorithm. However, in a certain class of problems which are difficult to solve, a genetic algorithm might be the most effective and efficient technique. Discussion of these types of problems is postponed until Sections 4 and 5. Thus the example demonstrated in this section does not represent a realistic application of a genetic algorithm and is therefore only expository.

3.1 Binary representation

As discussed above in Section 2.1, it is necessary to develop a binary representation for each solution candidate to this optimisation problem. The length of the vectors used for binary representation must be capable of representing a specified range of values. For this particular problem, vectors are
restricted to five elements. In practice this involves careful consideration of the appropriate range of values.

### 3.2 Creation of the initial population

An initial population of solution candidates is created randomly. This is achieved by using a random number generator to determine whether each element in each binary representation, given by Equation 1, is either a zero or a one; as described above in Section 2.4. Suppose that the following vectors are realizations from the randomly created initial population:

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{x}_1 &= [1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0] \\
\mathbf{x}_2 &= [0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0] \\
\mathbf{x}_3 &= [1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 1] \\
\mathbf{x}_4 &= [0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1] \\
\mathbf{x}_5 &= [1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 1]
\end{align*}
\]

The initial population or generation \(G_0\) can be expressed using matrix notation as

\[
G_0 = \begin{pmatrix}
\mathbf{x}_1 \\
\mathbf{x}_2 \\
\mathbf{x}_3 \\
\mathbf{x}_4 \\
\mathbf{x}_5
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Using Equation 2, the equivalent decimal values for each binary representation are: \(y_1 = 20\), \(y_2 = 10\), \(y_3 = 19\), \(y_4 = 1\), and \(y_5 = 29\).

### 3.3 Calculation of performance for each candidate

The performance of each candidate can be calculated by evaluating the objective function, which in this problem is the profit function given by Equation 4, where \(y\) represents a candidate’s decimal equivalent value. The performance for each of the candidates is given in Table 2.

### 3.4 Selection

Next, candidates are selected to participate in crossover and mutation. For the purpose of simplicity a basic ranking based selection procedure is illustrated. All the candidates are ranked and then the worst performing
Table 2: Performance of the initial generation based on Equation 2.1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Binary representation</th>
<th>Decimal value</th>
<th>Performance measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \mathbf{x}_1 = [1 0 1 0 0] )</td>
<td>( y_1 = 20 )</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \mathbf{x}_2 = [0 1 0 1 0] )</td>
<td>( y_2 = 10 )</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \mathbf{x}_3 = [1 0 0 1 1] )</td>
<td>( y_3 = 19 )</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \mathbf{x}_4 = [0 0 0 0 1] )</td>
<td>( y_4 = 1 )</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \mathbf{x}_5 = [1 1 1 0 1] )</td>
<td>( y_5 = 29 )</td>
<td>(-75)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

candidate is replaced by a copy of the best performing candidate. Given that vector \( \mathbf{x}_5 \) is the worst in terms of performance, it is replaced by a copy of vector \( \mathbf{x}_2 \), which represents the best performing candidate. The current restricted population \( G'_0 \) becomes

\[
G'_0 = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

A second generation of candidates is created from this initial generation through crossover and mutation.

### 3.5 Crossover

Crossover involves the construction of new candidates, which are formed from the recombination of the binary representations of two paired candidates chosen for crossover. Mathematically, this involves recombining the elements between two vectors. Assume that only vectors \( \mathbf{x}_1 \) and \( \mathbf{x}_2 \) are chosen for crossover and that the crossover point is between the second and third elements. The crossover operator produces two new vectors

\[
\mathbf{x}'_1 = [1 0 0 1 0] \\
\mathbf{x}'_2 = [0 1 1 0 0].
\]

Details of this operation are illustrated above in Section 2.3.

### 3.6 Mutation

Mutation is a process which randomly alters a candidate’s binary representation resulting in a different binary structure. Assuming that no other
Table 3: Performance of the new generation based on Equation 2.1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Binary representation</th>
<th>Decimal value</th>
<th>Performance measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\mathbf{x}_1 = [1, 0, 0, 1, 0]$</td>
<td>$y_1 = 18$</td>
<td>178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathbf{x}_2 = [0, 1, 1, 0, 0]$</td>
<td>$y_2 = 12$</td>
<td>214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathbf{x}_3 = [1, 0, 0, 1, 1]$</td>
<td>$y_3 = 19$</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathbf{x}_4 = [0, 0, 1, 0, 1]$</td>
<td>$y_4 = 5$</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathbf{x}_5 = [0, 1, 0, 1, 0]$</td>
<td>$y_5 = 10$</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Elements are selected for mutation except for the third element in vector $\mathbf{x}_4$, then mutation would change this vector into a new vector, illustrated as

$$\mathbf{x}_4 = [0, 0, 0, 0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{x}_4' = [0, 0, 1, 0, 1]$$

The outcome of both crossover and mutation is the formation of a new generation given by

$$G_1 = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{pmatrix}$$

3.7 Calculation of performance for each new candidate

The performance of the candidates from the new generation is again calculated using Equation 4. The resulting performance values are given in Table 3. As it turns out, the optimum value has been achieved, as represented by the second vector, since its performance measure is at the maximum value of 214. However in an optimisation problem with a much greater number of possible solutions represented by a larger solution space, realistically it would normally take many more generations to find the optimum, or at least a good solution.

3.8 Verification of the termination criterion

In general, the iterative procedure will continue until the termination criterion is satisfied. Assuming that for this simple problem the criterion requires convergence of the population, it is necessary to continue this iterative procedure according to steps 4 to 7, until this condition is met.
Traditionally, mathematical optimisation problems have been solved using analytical or indirect methods, which use analytical derivatives in order to find the optimum. Some of these methods include: Lagrange multipliers, Newtons methods, and quadratic programming. In contrast, direct methods use a numerical rather than an analytical approach to solve an optimisation problem. This involves calculating the value of the objective function \( F(y) \), given by Equation 3, for a number of different solution values \( y_1, y_2, y_3, \ldots, y_n \). By starting at some initial value(s), direct methods calculate successive values of the objective function for different values of \( y \). The search proceeds in an iterative fashion employing a specific strategy to update the values of \( y \). Direct methods include: exhaustive or sequential search, random search, simplex method, adaptive random search, simulated annealing and genetic algorithms.

The difference between the direct and indirect approaches can be better appreciated by reconsidering the profit maximisation problem given in Section 3. This problem can be expressed as

\[
Max_y \quad \Pi = 24y - y^2 + 70
\]

which involves finding the value of \( y \) that maximises profit \( \Pi \). The indirect approach solves this problem by finding the first order condition

\[
\frac{d\Pi}{dy} = 24 - 2y = 0
\]

and then solving for \( y \)

\[
y^* = 12
\]

The direct approach employs an iterative procedure, which involves trying different values of \( y \) until there is no more improvement in the value of the objective function. Obviously this occurs at the value of \( y = 12 \) in this problem. In general, the iterative procedure continues until some pre-specified level of precision \( \varepsilon \) is achieved; i.e. \( F(y_{k+1}) - F(y_k) < \varepsilon \), where \( k \) is the iteration number.

In straightforward problems with no irregular features, indirect methods tend to be superior to direct methods, since they use the most efficient or
quickest method to obtain a solution. However, in complex problems characterised by irregular features, such as multiple optima, nonlinearity, and discontinuities and nondifferentiability of the objective function, analytical methods are less effective or robust compared to direct methods. This is because indirect methods rely on the existence of derivatives, continuity and unimodality. Many problems in finance can be regarded as being complex. For example, in certain options pricing and constrained portfolio optimisation problems, there may be no closed-form solutions available and hence analytical methods cannot be used. Another example is the optimisation of trading rules and strategies employing measures of the objective function such as trading rule returns or risk which are typical characterised by multiple optima and nonlinearity.

Direct methods offer increased robustness relative to indirect methods in complex problems, but depending upon the method used can be extremely slow at finding a solution, especially when the solution space is very large. Although this is not as relevant as it was in the past, since computers are much more powerful today, it is still important to improve the efficiency of these direct methods. This is because a greater number of problems in finance are becoming increasingly complex. Furthermore, in certain activities in financial markets, such as short-term trading, the issue of how quickly a solution can be obtained is of great importance.

The least sophisticated direct methods are the exhaustive and random search methods. With exhaustive search the value of the objective function $F(y)$ is calculated for a given range of different values $y_1, y_2, y_3, ..., y_n$. In the case of a maximisation problem, the search is then concentrated around the value which yields the highest value for the objective function. In the case of more than one variable or parameter being optimised a grid search procedure can be employed. The random search method extends the search by updating a single value using a random approach. At each iteration the value of the objective function $F(y_k)$ is calculated for a single value of $y_k$ which is compared to the previous value $y_{k-1}$. In a maximisation problem, if $F(y_k) > F(y_{k-1})$, then the new value $y_k$ becomes the current value and the previous value $y_{k-1}$ is discarded, otherwise the $y_{k-1}$ is retained and the $y_k$ discarded.

Both exhaustive and random search, while robust, are extremely inefficient. Therefore, other techniques have been developed to improve the efficiency of the search process. In order to improve the efficiency, it is necessary to direct the search into more promising regions of the solution space which are more likely to contain the global optimum. A number of methods have been proposed to address this issue, these include the simplex method (Nelder and Meade 1965), adaptive random search (Pronzato, Walter, Venot
and Lebruchec 1984), simulated annealing (Kirkpatrick, Gelatt and Vecchi 1983), and genetic algorithms.

Simulated annealing uses a stochastic process to concentrate the search in areas with a higher probability of containing the global optimum. Even though this method improves the efficiency relative to the unsophisticated direct methods outlined above, it is considered inefficient compared to a genetic algorithm; see Michalewicz (1994). This is especially true in very large and explosive combinatorial type optimisation problems. The reason for this efficiency is because, unlike simulated annealing which uses a single point or unidirectional search, genetic algorithms maintain a population of candidates which means that the search is multidirectional. This approach to problem solving is known as parallel processing. Unlike serial or sequential processing, this involves performing a large number of operations simultaneously rather than one at a time. Parallel processing is commonly employed in the area of information technology where computers can be linked a parallel fashion in order to solve extremely complex problems very quickly. This same idea is embodied in the structure of machine-based learning techniques, such as artificial neural networks and evolutionary algorithms.

4.1 Advantages

Genetic algorithms are efficient and robust optimisation techniques that use a direct method to search for the optimal or near-optimal solution to complex problems which typically include one or more of the following features:

1. very large search space,
2. presence of multiple optima,
3. nondifferentiability of the objective function,
4. discontinuities in the objective function,
5. nonlinearity of the data,
6. large amount of noise in the data,
7. nonstationarity of the data.

The search process of a genetic algorithm is extremely efficient compared to simulated annealing, due to what Holland (1975) describes as explicit and implicit parallelism.\textsuperscript{5} Explicit parallelism refers to maintaining a population

\textsuperscript{5}Holland (1975) provides formal mathematical proofs of implicit parallelism.
of potential solutions which ensures that the search process remains parallel or multidirectional allowing an efficient exploration of the solution space. Implicit parallelism refers to the evaluation of the performance of candidates’ binary structures, determined by the objective function, which yields information concerning a large number of schemata. This simultaneously extends the search in multiple directions of the solution space in a highly efficient manner. As a result, better performing candidates pass on their binary structure or better performing schemata to successive generations. This property of efficiency ensures a relatively faster convergence to the optimum compared to other techniques. This is important when solutions to problems need to be obtained quickly.

However, it is important to appreciate the existence of the trade-off between speed and accuracy. Speed is measured by the rate of convergence, which refers to an increasing uniformity in a population. Accuracy refers to the proximity of solutions to the optimum. As stated in Section 2.3, there are a number of different selection methods and variations of crossover. The occurrence of crossover and mutation is determined randomly according to predetermined probabilities. The choice of selection method, crossover variation and probabilities have an effect on the trade-off between speed and accuracy. Another important trade-off is described by Holland (1975) as the trade-off between exploitation and exploration. This trade-off also has an effect on the speed and accuracy trade-off. The use of information already obtained in the search, through the measurement of the performance of candidates in previous generation, to guide the search into potentially beneficial directions of the solution space is appropriately referred to as exploitation.

Unlike optimisation techniques using an indirect search, genetic algorithms are less likely to get anchored at local sub-optimal solutions. This is due to the stochastic nature of the selection, crossover and mutation operators. Selection is determined by a process where relatively better performing candidates are given a high probability of being involved in the recombination process. However, all candidates still retain the chance of being selected. This has the possibility of extending, if only seldom, the search into areas which might not appear to be promising initially, but could turn out to yield very good solutions after a more thorough search. Furthermore, whether or not crossover occurs is also determined randomly but biased towards better performing candidates. But probably the most important operator in terms of serendipity is the mutation operator.

---

6A schema, or schemata (pl.), refers to a certain pattern or sequence of binary digits in a vector representation.

7Some gradient algorithms employ a random search mechanism when the algorithm encounters difficulties such as a flat section of the objective function.
Mutation introduces diversity into the population by extending the search in different directions which may or may not be beneficial. This property is also referred to as exploration. However, this occurs with a low probability so as not to disrupt the general path of the search procedure too much. Occasionally mutations can lead to large or distant jumps, directing the search process into potentially unexplored or less explored areas of the solution space. This feature of the genetic algorithm underpins its ability to find novel, even unsuspecting solutions, see Chorafas (1994).

The existence of nonlinearity in financial prices and returns has a profound effect on the success of the particular forecasting method used. Evidence of nonlinearity in financial return series is provided by Hsieh (1989) for currency markets and by Hinich and Patterson (1985) for U.S. share markets. Traditional methods of time series, particularly ARIMA models, do not provide optimal forecasts of nonlinear time series. By considering nearby solutions during the search procedure, genetic algorithms are unaffected by nonlinear or noisy data; see Chorafas (1994).

Finally, genetic algorithms are flexible. Once a genetic algorithm has been coded as a computer program, different problems can be solved requiring only slight modifications to the original program. This is because the structure of a genetic algorithm remains essentially the same. Usually, only the problem representation and objective function need to change in order to suit the particular problem.

### 4.2 Limitations

Some of the potential difficulties and limitations of genetic algorithms as a mathematical optimisation technique are:

1. choosing a suitable representation technique,
2. selecting the selection and crossover methods,
3. determining the probability settings for crossover and mutation,
4. specifying the termination condition,
5. premature convergence,
6. failure to converge.

Determining the appropriate representation technique depends upon the nature of the problem. This consists of determining how many parameters
or variables to optimise and what range of values to consider for each parameters. Relative to the other difficulties and limitations, this issue can usually be resolved fairly easily. The second, third and fourth points listed above are important, since they can determine the efficacy of the search process. Once again, the appropriate choice of methods, probability settings and termination criteria depend upon the specific problem. Some experience with previous problems is advantageous and nearly always experimentation is necessary to make the appropriate choices.

The main limitations of genetic algorithms are the last two points - premature convergence, usually to local optima, and failure to converge in heavily constrained or highly nonlinear problems. Genetic algorithms can be classified as a weak optimisation technique, in the sense that convergence is not guaranteed. This limitation can eliminate the most important advantage of genetic algorithms which is their robustness.

However, this might not be of crucial importance when the objective is to find profitable trading rules quickly rather than the most profitable rule which may come at the cost of a greater amount of time necessary to obtain the global optimum. According to Dorsey and Mayer (1995), genetic algorithms might find only near-optimal solutions rather than the true global optimum. But typically, these solutions are closer to the global optimum compared to other approaches such as indirect methods, if these methods are applicable. This is because even though convergence is guaranteed for indirect methods, in complex problems indirect methods have a higher probability of converging to local optima compared to genetic algorithms or other direct methods.

Although not much can be done about failure to converge, especially when the nature of the problem is the cause, premature convergence can be some what avoided by slight modifications to the standard genetic algorithm. One of the more common modifications is the introduction of a form of elitism. This ensures that the candidates with a high measure of performance are not replaced by relatively poorer candidates during the operations of crossover and mutation. In the worst case the best candidate may be lost during either of these operations. Elitism guarantees that the best solution represented by the highest performing candidate can never be lost. Thus the performance of the best candidate is strictly non-decreasing over successive iterations. However, if the number of elite candidates is set too high, then this could lead to a deterioration in the exploration of the search space.
5 Applications to financial markets

Genetic algorithms are a valid approach to many practical problems in finance which can be complex and thus require the use of an efficient and robust optimisation technique. Some applications of genetic algorithms to complex problems in financial markets include: forecasting returns, portfolio optimisation, trading rule discovery, and optimisation of trading rules.

5.1 Forecasting returns

Genetic algorithms can be used for the purpose of forecasting complex data; see Packard (1990). An example, is an application by Levitt (1995), who develops a new machine learning technique called genetic based local learning, which is a synergistic combination of genetic algorithms and local prediction techniques. It is shown that this method produces statistically significant returns when applied to the foreign currency market.

Genetic algorithms are also used in investment management to forecast returns on different asset classes for the purpose of Tactical Asset Allocation (TAA). Leinweber and Arnott (1995) show that by applying a genetic algorithm to their TAA models they can substantially improve the performance of their domestic funds. Furthermore, Mahfoud, Mani and Reigel (1997) show how genetic algorithms, can be used to predict the relative returns for individual shares which is useful in strategic asset allocation. They find that the genetic algorithm demonstrates significant forecasting skill, compared to linear methods, in identifying which shares will outperform or underperform the market.

5.2 Portfolio optimisation

Another important function in investment management is to determine the appropriate weights to give individual securities in a portfolio. This process is known as portfolio optimisation or construction. Loraschi and Tettamanzi (1996) use a genetic algorithm to find the optimal weights for a portfolio of securities by minimising downside risk. They find that this approach is useful when dealing with a large solution space characterised by multiple optima.

Given the recent popularity of index funds, the importance of index replication based on a sampling approach has become an important issue for many

\textsuperscript{8}Downside risk is measured by calculating the variance or standard deviation of returns that fail to meet a specified level of return.
passive fund managers. This problem is especially relevant for managers attempting to match the performance of a broad-based index consisting of thousands of securities; for example the Russell 3000, Wilshire 5000 and the various Morgan Stanley Capital International indices. Eddelbüttel (1996) finds that a genetic algorithm provides a computationally efficient approach to the problem of tracking a market index using only a subset of the stocks that comprise of the index. This empirical application considers the German stock market DAX index, but can be easily extended to larger and more broad market indices.

5.3 Trading rule discovery

Genetic algorithms have also been used to discover profitable trading rules. Bauer (1994) uses a genetic algorithm to develop market timing trading rules for the U.S. share and bond markets. These rules are formulated using monthly macroeconomic data to uncover relationships between financial markets and the economy. The results obtained are consistent with market timing ability and small profits. However the statistical significance of these profits is not investigated. In a later study Bauer (1995) applies this methodology to the foreign exchange market, where once again promising results are found.

Allen and Karjalainen (1994) use a genetic programming technique to discover profitable technical trading rules using data on the S&P 500 share index. Genetic programming, developed by Koza (1992), is similar to a genetic algorithm but is less restrictive since it does not fix the length of the binary representation of the solutions. It is found that the profitability of these rules generalise to an out-of-sample test period and are statistically significant. However more recently, Allen and Karjalainen (1999) using a much longer historical share price series and a modified experimental design discover that there is little, if any, evidence of excess returns attributable to the trading rules.

Due to relatively lower transaction costs in the foreign exchange market, there are possibly greater opportunities for the discovery of profitable trading rules using advanced computer technology. Profitable currency trading simulation results are found by Colin (1996) and Neely, Weller and Dittmar (1997) by applying a genetic programming approach to numerous foreign exchange rates. Neely et al (1997) find that the trading rules they discover generate statistically significant excess returns. However, Colin (1996) does not take into account any trading costs and does not consider the statistical significance of his results.
5.4 Optimisation of trading rules

The application of trading rules based on either technical or fundamental indicators to financial market trading requires the selection of appropriate parameter values. In practice traders usually choose these parameters in a subjective manner largely based on intuition and experience. Also, numerous studies examining financial market trading rule profitability have ignored the issue of parameter optimisation or have used parameter values determined *ex post*. This practice can lead to a data-snooping bias and also possibly introduce a subtle form of survivorship bias into the performance study; see Lo and MacKinley (1990) and Brown, Goetzmann, Ibbotson and Ross (1992) respectively.

A more objective and valid approach to the problem of parameter selection involves the use of historical data. In order to conduct a valid evaluation of trading rule performance free from data-snooping bias, it is necessary to choose parameter values *ex ante*. This can be achieved by using an in-sample period to determine the optimal *ex ante* parameter values. The performance of these optimal rules can then be evaluated out-of-sample. A genetic algorithm is an appropriate method to select the parameter values for trading rules because of its property of robustness in the presence of multiple equilibria and non-linearity of the profit surface, and the property of efficiency in searching across very large parameter spaces.

This issue of robustness is important in the problem of searching for the optimal trading rule parameters since the profit surface, as represented by the level of profit for different parameter values, is typically characterised by multiple optima and nonlinearity. This is illustrated in Figure 2, which displays the profit surface for a filtered moving average rule using Australian share market data. The filtered moving average rule has two parameters; the number of observations used to calculate the Moving Average (MA) and the price filter (filter). This rule is representative of the types of rules commonly employed by financial market traders who use technical analysis to determine their trading decisions. Technical analysis attempts to predict future prices or price movements by using only historical price and volume data; see Brock, Lakonishok and LeBaron (1992).

[Insert Figure 2]

There are a couple of studies that have applied genetic algorithms to the problem of technical trading rule parameter optimisation. Klimasauskas (1994) develops a multiple-indicator market timing system and uses a genetic algorithm to optimise the model’s parameters. Pictet, Dacorogna, Davé,
Chopard, Schirru and Tomassini (1996) introduce the idea of robust optimi-
sation of technical trading rules by using genetic algorithms with collective
sharing. Robust optimisation is concerned with finding parameters values
which are not necessarily consistent with the global optimum but are found
in high and flat regions of the profit surface in the parameter space. Pictet et
al (1996) show that such robust optimisation generalises more effectively to
an out-of-sample period relative to standard optimisation by providing evi-
dence on the difference of profitability between these two different methods.

There are also other robust optimisation techniques which can be con-
sidered for trading rule parameter optimisation. One of the more popular
alternatives is simulated annealing; commonly employed in the field of en-
gineering. Ingber and Rosen (1992) develop a special type of simulated an-
nealing process which they claim is significantly more efficient than a genetic
algorithm. An application of this adaptive simulated annealing to financial
market trading is given by Ingber (1996).

6 Summary

This paper has provided an explication of genetic algorithms and focused
on their application to finance and investment. The mathematical structure
and operations were described, and the advantages and possible limitations
considered.

In certain complex optimisation and search problems in finance there is
a need for an efficient and robust algorithm. This is especially important in
areas where decisions must be made quickly, such as intra-day trading. This
paper has explained why genetic algorithms are more efficient and robust
compared to other search and optimisation methods and how they can be
applied to numerous complex problems in financial markets. Some of these
applications include: forecasting financial asset returns, portfolio construc-
tion, trading rule discovery, and optimisation of trading rules.
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Figure 2. Profit surface for the filtered moving average trading rule