



Munich Personal RePEc Archive

External Debt and Stabilizing Macroeconomic Policies

Piergallini, Alessandro

Tor Vergata University

10 November 2015

Online at <https://mpra.ub.uni-muenchen.de/89272/>
MPRA Paper No. 89272, posted 01 Oct 2018 17:12 UTC

External Debt and Stabilizing Macroeconomic Policies

Alessandro Piergallini*

University of Rome Tor Vergata

November 10, 2015

Abstract

This paper investigates the dynamic effects of fiscal and monetary feedback policy rules in a small open economy with flexible exchange rates and risk premia on external debt. It is shown that equilibrium uniqueness and stability occur under locally Ricardian fiscal policies regardless of the degree of reaction of nominal interest rates to inflation, in contrast with closed-economy environments. Fiscal revaluation mechanisms of the type predicted by the fiscal theory of the price level are precluded by international parity conditions. As a result, locally non-Ricardian fiscal policies are destabilizing even under an accommodating monetary policy stance.

JEL Classification: E63; E31; F41.

Keywords: Fiscal-Monetary Interactions; Foreign Debt; Equilibrium Determinacy.

*Department of Economics and Finance, Tor Vergata University, Via Columbia 2, 00133 Rome, Italy. E-mail: alessandro.piergallini@uniroma2.it. Homepage: <http://www.economia.uniroma2.it/piergallini>. Phone: +390672595431. Fax: +39062020500. I would like to thank an anonymous referee for very helpful comments and suggestions. I am also grateful to Paolo Canofari, Eric Leeper and Michele Postigliola for very useful remarks and discussions. I remain fully responsible for any incompleteness and/or mistakes in my analysis.

1 Introduction

The interaction of fiscal and monetary policy is a major issue in macroeconomic theory (e.g., Leeper [5]; Woodford [11]; Canzoneri, Cumby, and Diba [3]), but still under-explored in open-economy environments. The central contributions of this paper are to present a theoretical investigation on the dynamic effects of fiscal and monetary feedback policy rules in a small open economy with flexible exchange rates—whereby external debt is subject to credit risk, consistently with empirical evidence (e.g., Montiel [7])—and point out new analytical results that would not appear in closed-economy frameworks.

Specifically, we show that determinacy of equilibrium is verified only under locally Ricardian fiscal policies—whereby the setting of primary budget surpluses guarantees *per se* the stability of government liabilities in the neighborhood of the steady state (see Woodford [11])—*irrespective* of the stance of monetary policy. This result is in contrast with traditional closed-economy environments, in which uniqueness and stability of equilibrium require locally Ricardian fiscal policies in conjunction with interest rate policies overreacting to inflation (e.g. Leeper [5]; Woodford [11]).

In particular, we show that fiscal revaluation mechanisms of the type predicted by the fiscal theory of the price level (see Leeper and Yun [6])—involving endogenous inflation jumps that stabilize in equilibrium real government liabilities—cannot take place for they are ruled out by international parity conditions precluding arbitrage opportunities. Consequently, it emerges that locally non-Ricardian fiscal policies are destabilizing even under an accommodating monetary policy stance.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents the dynamic model. Section 3

examines equilibrium dynamics and derives the main results. Section 4 concludes.

2 The Model

Consider the following extension of the continuous-time closed-economy monetary framework set out by Benhabib, Schmitt-Grohé and Uribe [2] to an open-economy environment. Assume a small open economy which produces and consumes tradeable and perishable goods. Purchasing power parity (PPP) implies $P = P^*E$, where P (P^*) is the domestic (foreign) price level and E is the nominal exchange rate. In percentage terms,

$$\pi = \pi^* + e, \tag{1}$$

where π (π^*) is the domestic (foreign) inflation rate and e is the rate of exchange depreciation of domestic currency.

The asset menu for the domestic economy consists of domestic money, domestic government bonds and foreign assets. Domestic money and government bonds are not held by foreigners, whereas foreign assets are internationally-traded and are denominated in foreign currency. The world capital market is imperfect. In particular, the home country faces an upward-sloping supply curve of foreign debt, following Bardhan [1], Obstfeld [8], Bhandari, Haque and Turnovsky [3], and Turnovsky [10]:

$$R^* = i^* + \sigma(f), \tag{2}$$

where R^* is the nominal interest rate on foreign debt, i^* is the interest rate that prevails

in the world market, f is the stock of real foreign debt, and $\sigma(f)$ is the country-specific risk premium. Function $\sigma(\cdot)$ is continuous, increasing, and strictly positive. International capital mobility implies the following risk-adjusted interest parity:

$$R = R^* + e, \quad (3)$$

where R is the nominal rate of interest on bonds issued by the domestic government.

The representative household's lifetime utility function is given by

$$\int_0^{\infty} [u(c, h) + v(m)] e^{-\rho t} dt, \quad (4)$$

where ρ is the rate of time preferences and c, h, m are consumption, labor and real money balances, respectively.¹ Functions $u(\cdot)$ and $v(\cdot)$ obey $u_c > 0$, $u_h < 0$, $v' > 0$, $u_{cc} < 0$, $u_{hh} < 0$, $u_{ch} < 0$, and $v'' < 0$. The instant flow budget constraint in real terms is given by

$$\dot{a} + \dot{b} + \dot{m} = (R - \pi)b + (R^* - \pi^*)a + wh + z - \tau - c - \pi m, \quad (5)$$

where $a = -f$ denotes foreign assets, b government bonds, w the wage rate, z profits, and τ lump-sum taxes net of public transfers. Ponzi's games are precluded. Since atomistic agents take the rate at which the country can borrow from abroad, R^* , as given, optimality yields

$$u_c(c, h) = \lambda, \quad (6)$$

¹See Appendix B for the case of real balance effects on consumption coming from non-separability in the utility function.

$$u_h(c, h) = -w\lambda, \quad (7)$$

$$\dot{\lambda} = \rho\lambda - v'(m) + \pi\lambda, \quad (8)$$

$$\dot{\lambda} = \rho\lambda - (R - \pi)\lambda, \quad (9)$$

$$\dot{\lambda} = \rho\lambda - (R^* - \pi^*)\lambda, \quad (10)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lambda a e^{-\rho t} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lambda b e^{-\rho t} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lambda m e^{-\rho t} = 0, \quad (11)$$

where λ is the costate variable associated with the wealth accumulation equation (5).

Perfectly competitive firms face the production function

$$y = q(h), \quad (12)$$

where y denotes output, $q'(\cdot) > 0$, $q''(\cdot) < 0$. Profit maximization implies $q'(h) = w$.

The domestic government's flow budget constraint in real terms can be expressed as

$$\dot{\ell} = (R - \pi)\ell - s, \quad (13)$$

where $\ell = b + m$ denotes real government liabilities, and $s = \tau + Rm - g$ is the primary surplus inclusive of interest savings from the issuance of money, where g denotes public spending, assumed to be exogenous for simplicity.

Consistently with Leeper [2], the fiscal authority adjusts the primary surplus according to a feedback policy of the form

$$s = s(\ell), \quad (14)$$

where function $s(\cdot)$ is continuous, non-decreasing, and there exists at least one $\bar{\ell} > 0$ such that $s(\bar{\ell}) = \rho\bar{\ell}$.² In Woodford's [11] terminology, fiscal policy is "locally Ricardian" ("locally non-Ricardian") if $s' > (<) \rho$, yielding local stability (instability) of real government liabilities for all stable paths of the other endogenous variables.

The monetary authority adopts an interest rate feedback rule of the form

$$R = R(\pi), \tag{15}$$

where $R(\cdot)$ is continuous, non-decreasing, and there exists at least one $\bar{\pi} > -\rho$ such that $R(\bar{\pi}) = \rho + \bar{\pi}$. The interest rate rule (15) satisfies the "Taylor [9] principle" if $R' > 1$, that is, in the case in which the central bank responds to inflation by increasing the real interest rate.

The law of motion of net foreign debt is given by the trade deficit plus interest payments:

$$\dot{f} = c + g - y + (R^* - \pi^*)f. \tag{16}$$

3 Equilibrium Dynamics

Combining the optimality conditions (6)-(10) with the risk-premium equation (2), the international parity conditions (1) and (3), the production function (12), the domestic government debt accumulation equation (13), the fiscal rule (14), the monetary rule (15), and the foreign debt accumulation equation (16), the perfect-foresight equilibrium can be

²See Appendix A for the case of a purely debt-based fiscal rule.

expressed as

$$\dot{\lambda} = [\rho + \pi^* - i^* - \sigma(f)] \lambda, \quad (17)$$

$$\dot{\ell} = [i^* + \sigma(f) - \pi^*] \ell - s(\ell), \quad (18)$$

$$\dot{f} = [i^* + \sigma(f) - \pi^*] f + c + g - q(h), \quad (19)$$

$$c = c(\lambda), \quad (20)$$

with $c' = (u_{hh} + q''\lambda + u_{ch}q') / [u_{cc}(u_{hh} + q''\lambda) - u_{ch}^2] < 0$,

$$h = h(\lambda), \quad (21)$$

with $h' = -(u_{cc}\phi' + u_{hc}) / [u_{cc}(u_{hh} + q''\lambda) - u_{ch}^2] > 0$.

In the steady state, $\dot{\lambda} = \dot{\ell} = \dot{f} = 0$. Thus, from (17)-(21), it must be $\rho = i^* + \sigma(\bar{f}) - \pi^*$, $s(\bar{\ell}) = [i^* + \sigma(\bar{f}) - \pi^*] \bar{\ell}$, and $q(h(\bar{\lambda})) = [i^* + \sigma(\bar{f}) - \pi^*] \bar{f} + c(\bar{\lambda}) + g$. Linearizing the differential equations (17)-(20) around the steady-state equilibrium $\{\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\ell}, \bar{f}\}$ yields

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{\lambda} \\ \dot{\ell} \\ \dot{f} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -\sigma'\bar{\lambda} \\ 0 & \rho - s' & \sigma'\bar{\ell} \\ c' - q'h' & 0 & \rho + \sigma'\bar{f} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda - \bar{\lambda} \\ \ell - \bar{\ell} \\ f - \bar{f} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (22)$$

The equilibrium system (22) exhibits one jumping variable, λ , and two predetermined variables, ℓ and f . Therefore, saddle-path stability requires that the associated Jacobian displays two negative eigenvalues and one positive eigenvalue.

Explore the properties of the Jacobian matrix. Notice that one eigenvalue is $\rho - s' < (>) 0$ if $s' > (<) \rho$. Therefore, in the case in which it is negative, a necessary and sufficient condition for equilibrium determinacy is to have a positive determinant of the Jacobian. Notice that the determinant is $\sigma' \bar{\lambda} (\rho - s') (c' - q'h') > (<) 0$ if $s' > (<) \rho$. Consequently, a unique and stable equilibrium always requires a locally Ricardian fiscal policy, that is, $s' > \rho$. Whether monetary policy overreacts or underreacts to inflation is irrelevant for equilibrium determinacy.

By contrast, when fiscal policy is locally non-Ricardian, that is, $s' < \rho$, the determinant is negative and the trace, $2\rho + \sigma' \bar{f} - s'$, is positive. In this case, instability always occurs, because we have one negative eigenvalue and two positive eigenvalues. In such circumstances, passive monetary policies, even including interest rate pegs, are not sufficient to pin down unique and stable equilibrium paths.

4 Conclusions

In this paper we have analyzed macroeconomic dynamics induced by fiscal and monetary feedback policies in the context of a continuous-time optimizing model of a small open economy facing an imperfect global capital market. In particular, consistent with the empirical evidence, the framework of analysis features a risk premium on external debt influencing the transmission mechanism of policy rules.

Our major findings can be summarized as follows. In contrast with closed-economy models, we have demonstrated that the existence of a unique and stable equilibrium requires locally Ricardian fiscal policies regardless of the degree of feedback of nominal interest

rates to inflationary pressures. We have shown, in particular, that international parity conditions excluding arbitrage opportunities rule out the possibility of endogenous jumps in the inflation rate—along the lines depicted by the fiscal theory of the price level—capable to stabilize real government liabilities. Therefore, locally non-Ricardian fiscal policies are not sufficient to avoid macroeconomic instability even if the central bank follows passive monetary policies.

Appendix A: Fiscal Policy Rule Reacting to Debt

Along the paper, we follow Benhabib, Schmitt-Grohé and Uribe [2] and adopt a fiscal policy rule in which the primary surplus reacts to total government-issued assets, rather than just on interest-bearing debt. To address results' robustness, this appendix investigates the case of a purely debt-based fiscal rule, of the form

$$\tau - g = s(b), \tag{A.1}$$

where function $s(\cdot)$ is continuous, non-decreasing, and there exists at least a pair $\bar{b}, \bar{m} > 0$ such that $s(\bar{b}) = \rho\bar{b} - \bar{\pi}\bar{m}$.

The domestic government's budget constraint in real terms is given by

$$\dot{b} + \dot{m} = (R - \pi)b + g - \tau - \pi m. \tag{A.2}$$

Combining the optimality conditions (6)-(10) with the risk-premium equation (2), the international parity conditions (1) and (3), the production function (12), the domestic

government debt accumulation equation (A.2), the fiscal rule (A.1), the monetary rule (15), and the foreign debt accumulation equation (16) yields the following perfect-foresight equilibrium dynamics:

$$\dot{\lambda} = [\rho + \pi^* - i^* - \sigma(f)] \lambda, \quad (\text{A.3})$$

$$\dot{b} = [i^* + \sigma(f) - \pi^*] b - s(b) - \pi(f) m(\lambda, f) - m_\lambda \dot{\lambda} - m_f \dot{f}, \quad (\text{A.4})$$

$$\dot{f} = [i^* + \sigma(f) - \pi^*] f + c(\lambda) + g - q(h(\lambda)), \quad (\text{A.5})$$

where functions $\pi(f)$, $m(\lambda, f)$, $c(\lambda)$, $h(\lambda)$ obey $\pi' = \sigma' / (R' - 1) > (<) 0$ if $R' > (<) 1$, $m_\lambda = (u_m / u_c) / v'' < 0$, $m_f = R' \sigma' \lambda / [(R' - 1) v''] < (>) 0$ if $R' > (<) 1$, $c' = (u_{hh} + q'' \lambda + u_{ch} q') / [u_{cc} (u_{hh} + q'' \lambda) - u_{ch}^2] < 0$, and $h' = -(u_{cc} \phi' + u_{hc}) / [u_{cc} (u_{hh} + q'' \lambda) - u_{ch}^2] > 0$.

Substituting (A.3) and (A.5) into (A.4) and linearizing the resulting system around the steady-state equilibrium, one obtains

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{\lambda} \\ \dot{b} \\ \dot{f} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -\sigma' \bar{\lambda} \\ -\bar{\pi} m_\lambda - m_f (c' - q' h') & \rho - s' & \sigma' \bar{b} - \pi' \bar{m} - \bar{\pi} m_f \\ c' - q' h' & 0 & + m_\lambda \sigma' \bar{\lambda} - m_f (\rho + \sigma' \bar{f}) \\ & & \rho + \sigma' \bar{f} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda - \bar{\lambda} \\ b - \bar{b} \\ f - \bar{f} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

The determinant and the trace the Jacobian matrix are $\sigma' \bar{\lambda} (\rho - s') (c' - q' h')$ and $2\rho + \sigma' \bar{f} - s'$, respectively, as in the case of the fiscal policy rule responding to total government liabilities employed in the paper. The results on determinacy of locally Ricardian fiscal

regimes and instability of locally non-Ricardian fiscal regimes, that are obtained in the main text, are not affected.

Appendix B: Real Balance Effects

Along the paper, we employ a utility function separable in real money balances. This appendix investigates the case real balance effects on consumption coming from non-separability. For this purpose, following Benhabib, Schmitt-Grohé and Uribe [2], consider the household's utility function of the form

$$\int_0^\infty [j(c, m) - k(h)]e^{-\rho t} dt, \quad (\text{B.1})$$

where $j(\cdot, \cdot)$ is strictly increasing and strictly concave in c and m , which are Edgeworth complements ($j_{cm} > 0$), and $k', k'' > 0$.

At optimum,

$$j_c(c, m) = \lambda, \quad (\text{B.2})$$

$$k'(h) = w\lambda, \quad (\text{B.3})$$

$$\dot{\lambda} = \rho\lambda - j_m(c, m) + \pi\lambda, \quad (\text{B.4})$$

$$\dot{\lambda} = \rho\lambda - (R - \pi)\lambda, \quad (\text{B.5})$$

$$\dot{\lambda} = \rho\lambda - (R^* - \pi^*)\lambda, \quad (\text{B.6})$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lambda a e^{-\rho t} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lambda b e^{-\rho t} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lambda m e^{-\rho t} = 0, \quad (\text{B.7})$$

Combining the optimality conditions (B.2)-(B.6) with the risk-premium equation (2), the international parity conditions (1) and (3), the production function (12), the domestic government debt accumulation equation (A.2), the fiscal rule (A.1), the monetary rule (15), and the foreign debt accumulation equation (16), the following perfect-foresight equilibrium dynamics is given by

$$\dot{\lambda} = [\rho + \pi^* - i^* - \sigma(f)] \lambda, \quad (\text{B.8})$$

$$\dot{b} = [i^* + \sigma(f) - \pi^*] b - s(b) - \pi(f) m(\lambda, f) - m_\lambda \dot{\lambda} - m_f \dot{f}, \quad (\text{B.9})$$

$$\dot{f} = [i^* + \sigma(f) - \pi^*] f + c(\lambda, f) + g - q(h(\lambda)), \quad (\text{B.10})$$

where, setting $\Delta = j_{cc}j_{mm} - j_{cm}^2 > 0$ by concavity, functions $\pi(f)$, $m(\lambda, f)$, $c(\lambda, f)$, $h(\lambda)$ now obey $\pi' = \sigma'/(R' - 1) > (<)0$ if $R' > (<)1$, $m_\lambda = -(j_m/j_c)j_{cm}/\Delta < 0$, $m_f = j_{cc}R'\pi'\bar{\lambda}/\Delta < (>)0$ if $R' > (<)1$, $c_\lambda = (j_m/j_c)j_{mm}/\Delta < 0$, $c_f = -j_{cm}R'\pi'\bar{\lambda}/\Delta < (>)0$ if $R' > (<)1$, and $h' = q'/(k'' - k'q''/q') > 0$.

Using (B.8) and (B.10) into (B.9) and linearizing around the steady-state equilibrium, one obtains

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{\lambda} \\ \dot{b} \\ \dot{f} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -\sigma'\bar{\lambda} \\ -\bar{\pi}m_\lambda - m_f(c' - q'h') & \rho - s' & \sigma'\bar{b} - \pi'\bar{m} - \bar{\pi}m_f \\ c_\lambda - q'h' & 0 & +m_\lambda\sigma'\bar{\lambda} - m_f(\rho + \sigma'\bar{f}) \\ & & \rho + \sigma'\bar{f} + c_f \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda - \bar{\lambda} \\ b - \bar{b} \\ f - \bar{f} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{B.11})$$

The determinant and the trace the Jacobian matrix are $\sigma'\bar{\lambda}(\rho - s')(c_\lambda - q'h')$ and $2\rho + \sigma'\bar{f} + c_f - s'$, respectively. Because $c_f > 0$ when $R' < 1$, the result that, even under a

passive monetary policy stance, locally non-Ricardian fiscal policies generate equilibrium instability continues to be verified.

References

- [1] Bardhan, P. K. (1967), “Optimum Foreign Borrowing”, in Shell, K. (Ed.), *Essays on the Theory of Optimal Economic Growth*, Cambridge (MA): The MIT Press, 117-128.
- [2] Benhabib, J., Schmitt-Grohé, S. and Uribe, M. (2001), “Monetary Policy and Multiple Equilibria”, *American Economic Review* 91, 167-186.
- [3] Bhandari, J. S., Haque, N. U. and Turnovsky, S. J. (1990), “Growth, External Debt, and Sovereign Risk in a Small Open Economy”, *IMF Staff Papers* 37, 388-417.
- [4] Canzoneri, M. B., Cumby, R. and Diba, B. (2011), “The Interaction Between Monetary and Fiscal Policy”, in Friedman, B. and Woodford, M. (Ed.), *Handbook of Monetary Economics*, Amsterdam and Boston: North-Holland/Elsevier, 935-999.
- [5] Leeper, E. M. (1991), “Equilibria under ‘Active’ and ‘Passive’ Monetary and Fiscal Policies”, *Journal of Monetary Economics* 27, 129-147.
- [6] Leeper, E. M. and Yun, T. (2006), “Monetary-Fiscal Policy Interactions and the Price Level: Background and Beyond”, *International Tax and Public Finance* 13, 373-409.
- [7] Montiel, P. J. (2011), *Macroeconomics in Emerging Markets*, New York: Cambridge University Press.

- [8] Obstfeld, M. (1982), “Aggregate Spending and the Terms of Trade: Is There a Laursen-Metzler Effect?”, *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 97, 251-270.
- [9] Taylor, J. B. (1993), “Discretion Versus Policy Rules in Practice”, *Carnegie-Rochester Conference Series on Public Policy* 39, 195-214.
- [10] Turnovsky, S. J. (1997), *International Macroeconomic Dynamics*, Cambridge (MA): The MIT Press.
- [11] Woodford, M. (2003), *Interest and Prices*, Princeton and Oxford: Princeton University Press.