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Abstract

The present study presents financial incentives for General Practitioners (GPs) to engage in specific preventive care services and describes new roles for nurses to provide recommendations regarding brief nutrition counseling. To calculate the total number of personnel required to provide nutrition counseling, we considered 4 elements: (1) published and estimated time required to deliver nutrition counseling (2) the number of the maximum patients seen per day (3) the annual workload of the provider and (4) the number of people requiring those services. We annualize the frequency of performing specific preventive care services by GPs based on the official recommendations by the American Cancer Society. One exclusive nurse could provide a plan of care, designed to help almost 20,000 individuals either maintain the assessed status or attain a healthier status. Screening tests for cervical, colon and breast cancer should be performed by GPs to at least 3,537,810 individuals of the total Greek population for the year 2018.
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Introduction

Greece is in the phase of reforming its primary healthcare system and decision makers should emphasize on health promotion and disease prevention. Any proposed resource allocation system must take into account the requirements to provide recommended preventive services for every member of the population. The Greek healthcare system lacks characteristics of strong primary care, such as disease prevention and health promotion1.

Preventive health means screening for illness or disease before you have signs or feel sick. Preventive health screenings may find diseases early when they are easier to treat and stop some diseases from occurring. One of the highest challenges for public health is to provide essential preventive facilities to all the population, so as to postpone disability and to maintain the health of the ageing population2. Preventive primary care involves an initial comprehensive assessment and individualized follow-up3.

At this point, we describe how some health care systems address certain preventive health care needs as part of a focus on primary care. Many countries emphasize on preventive services and case studies serve as excellent sources of knowledge.

In USA, a program was designed to prevent cigarette use among middle and high school students and it was found that for every dollar invested in school tobacco prevention programs, almost $20 in future medical care costs would be saved4. Trust for America’s Health estimates that an investment of $10 per person per year in community-based programs tackling physical inactivity,
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poor nutrition, and smoking could yield more than $16 billion in medical cost savings annually within 5 years. The American Heart Association recommends motivational interviewing as an effective approach for interventions to promote health outcomes such as weight loss. A Danish study reported that preventive health screening and consultation in primary care produce significantly better life expectancy without extra direct and total costs over a six-year follow-up period.

Nutritional guidance letters have been developed by the Dutch Nutrition Center and are an explanation of the diagnosis, relevant investigations, and pharmacologic treatment, given in comprehensible language. Australian general practice guidelines encourage the use of nutrition leaflets as they provide a simple, time-saving method to provide information to patients. A New Zealand survey of GPs found pamphlets and information kits to be the two most preferred options for relaying nutrition information to patients.

In a study conducted in Spain on physical activity promotion by general practitioners indicates that general practitioners were effective at increasing the level of physical activity among their inactive patients during the initial six months of an intervention but the effect leveled off at 12 and 24 months.

Despite the fact that several studies demonstrate the delivery of preventive services and its contribution to overall health, the portion of physician visits allocated to prevention is very short. Many theories have attempted to explain why physicians do not offer preventive services to their patients, and this range from lack of motivation on the part of users and the lack of time and reimbursement appear to be the main obstacles linked to physicians. The reimbursement must be addressed if physicians are expected to provide this service. In addition, there is the lack of training, especially in nutrition and in counseling techniques.

As a further consideration, we should examine the effectiveness of nutrition counseling. The literature shows that medium-to-high intensity nutrition counseling of unselected patients by primary care providers appears to produce medium or large changes in dietary behavior. A high-intensity (more than 360 minutes/year) or medium-intensity (more than 30 minutes/year) dietary counseling require resources that may not be available in most primary care settings. In the present study, by involving nurse practitioners we intend to emphasize on health promotion and
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disease prevention. Therefore, nurses will assess the nutritional status of patients and will provide them nutritional counseling. The nurses must be educated, certified and licensed to assess the nutritional status of patients and to provide them nutritional counseling\textsuperscript{18}.

On the basis of the studies reviewed in this article, we believe that health for the Greek population will improve through financial incentives given to GPs, in order to promote higher screening coverage. Many countries emphasize on promotion of health screening tests.

Incentive payments are used for preventive health activities in primary care in Australia and the UK including asthma management, cervical cancer screening and cardiovascular risk assessment, but it consists a significant cost to the Australian government\textsuperscript{19}. Greene examined the impact of a subset of Pay for Performance incentives on Australian GPs\textsuperscript{20}. In particular, this study focused on the payment of AUSS35 for screening women for cervical cancer who had not been screened in the last four years and the result was a five percent increase in the number of screens.

Hurley et al. study illustrated that the provision of incentives to Ontario primary care physicians for colorectal cancer screening, among 11 preventive services, increased the provision of this particular preventive service (56.7\%)\textsuperscript{21}. The service enhancement payments for preventive care include two components, one that rewards Primary Care Practices for contacting patients to schedule an appointment to receive a targeted preventive service and a second that rewards Primary Care Practices for achieving high rates of coverage for the preventive service in the target populations.

In France, the nationwide Pay for Performance scheme on cervical cancer screening for general practitioners implemented in 2012, had a modest positive effect on recommended screening participation\textsuperscript{22}. The payment bonuses are made if a certain level of screening participation is attained.

Despite the pay for performance, screening rates have been declined in countries such as Luxembourg and the United States\textsuperscript{23}. Population-based screening programs have been implemented in most countries of the European Union (Greece is not included on the list)\textsuperscript{24}. In these countries, written invitation letters are sent to all eligible men and women to participate in the screening program through screening registries. However, there is little evidence that financial incentives for preventive care are effective\textsuperscript{25}. Dahrouge et al. examined the impact of remuneration and organizational factors on completing preventive activities in primary care settings and found that no funding model was clearly associated with superior preventive care\textsuperscript{26}.

\textbf{Method}

To calculate the total number of personnel required to provide nutrition counseling, we


\textsuperscript{23} OECD. Cancer Care: Assuring Quality to Improve Survival. OECD Health Policy Studies , OECD Publishing. doi:10.1787/9789264181052.


multiply the number of visits per hour for medical counseling, the total number of annual workload hours and we divide it by the number of eligible patients. In general, the total number of personnel required for the total population for 1 year can be expressed:

$$\sum_{s=1}^{2} (v \cdot w / n)$$

where $s=1$ for tobacco cessation counseling and $s=2$ for nutrition counseling and where $v$ is the number of visits per provider per hour, $w$ is the total number of annual workload hours and $n$ is the number of individuals who are due for this service.

We annualize the frequency of performing preventive screening tests, based on the official recommendations by the American Cancer Society\textsuperscript{27}. Papanicolaou tests are recommended every 1 to 3 years, so the annual frequency of service for the women between the ages 21 and 65 is 0.33. Likewise, mammography in women aged 40 and older is recommended almost every year. Additionally, the frequency of performing for men and women aged 50 and older is approximately every 5 years, so the annual frequency of service is 0.20. We take account of the age structure of the population for the year 2018, using survey data collected by the World Bank\textsuperscript{28}. In general, the total number of referrals for screening required for the total population for 1 year can be expressed:

$$\sum 0.33w_{21-39} + w_{40+} + 0.20m_{50+}$$

where $w_{21-39}$ is for women in the age range of 21 to 39, $w_{40+}$ is for women aged 40 and older, and $m_{50+}$ is for men aged 50 and older.

**Results**

This study is based on a hypothetical scenario, where are exclusive nurses for providing nutrition counseling services to the majority population. The purpose of the scenario study is to develop models which could help guide the debate on the future organization of primary care\textsuperscript{29}. A dietary intervention at work place is designed to take at most 8 to 10 minutes, but it can be carried out in less time\textsuperscript{30}. We could explore the option of other forms of communication such as email and postal services, which are not time and cost intensive\textsuperscript{31}.

We examine the availability of provider and we assume that the annual workload of a nurse is 1645 hours (47 weeks x 5 days/week x 7 hours/day). Each nurse must have four holiday weeks per year and one week for training. All citizens could fill out and submit a Nutrition Questionnaire, therefore time will be saved and the nurse will need approximately 5 minutes to assess the nutrient requirements and nutritional status of an individual. Subsequently, one exclusive nurse could provide a plan of care, designed to help almost 20,000 individuals either maintain the assessed status or attain a healthier status.

The frequency of performing preventive screening tests is based on the official recommendations by the American Cancer Society\textsuperscript{32}. Therefore for the forecasted population of


Greece in 2018 (10,737,000 residents), screening tests should be performed to at least 4,074,810 individuals, 37.95% of the total population.

Discussion

Yarnall et al. estimated that a primary care physician would need to spend 7.4 hours per working day to deliver recommended preventive services to a panel of patients, which leads to frustrated clinicians and dissatisfied patients. Therefore, we must have broader policy about setting priorities in prevention.

It is reported that physicians deliver preventive care during clinical visits, a fact that produces disappointing results because patients are reluctant to commit to preventive services when they are ill and time constraints prevent adequate discussion of preventive care. Therefore, in order to facilitate an effective prevention program in primary healthcare, interventions need to be on an annual visit dedicated to the task of delivering preventive care by exclusive personnel. Furthermore, many physicians reported that 50% of their time do not perform functions that require a medical degree. Therefore, GPs should concentrate their time on clinical diagnosis of patients and on care coordination of patients with chronic conditions.

A comparison of type provider in primary care showed that the cost per consultation with a nurse was lower than with a GP. By delegating certain tasks from more expensive doctors to less expensive nurses, it may be possible to deliver the same (or more)services at a lower cost. There are studies, which have shown that appropriately trained nurses can produce a high quality care as primary care doctors and achieve as good health outcomes for patients. Numerous studies show that the intervention delivered by nurse facilitators was effective in producing significant improvements in preventive and chronic care. However in the past, the Oxcheck study reported that general health checks by nurses are ineffective in helping smokers to stop smoking, but they help patients to modify their diet and total cholesterol concentration. In addition, another study suggest to reinvest in nurse-led health promotion and disease prevention interventions in home care.

While nurses greatly outnumber physicians in most OECD countries, in Greece there is a doctor-nurse imbalance. In 2013, the nurse-to-doctor ratio among OECD countries is the lowest in

Greece (with only about half a nurse per doctor). In Greece, general practitioners do not have nursing support. According to the latest available information, the 2009 nursing numbers per 1000 population is 3.3 and is ranked 32 among 34 OECD countries.

It is noted that the adult obesity rates in Greece are around the OECD average. The percentage of the population aged 15 and over, who defined as overweight or obese is 56.3% of the total population for the year 2014. Obesity’s growing prevalence foreshadows increases in the occurrence of health problems (such as diabetes and cardiovascular diseases), and higher health care costs in the future. Apparently, nutrition counseling should be among the highest priorities in prevention.

The findings of a systematic review reports that the nutritional screening interventions could improve clinical outcomes, but the evidence was limited. There is no evidence that delivery of nutrition counseling by a nurse, following brief training, affects outcomes differently compared to delivery by a dietician.

As outlined in a previous review, there are more than seventy nutritional screening and assessment tools available for use by nurses to enable them to identify adults who are malnourished or at risk of malnutrition. It is typically used a questionnaire-type format, which allow the information obtained to be recorded promptly. Each question examines known risk factor for malnutrition, and the score may lead to identification of an appropriate course of action.

In the new Greek healthcare system, all citizens are required to register with a particular Family Physician. The registration procedure could be accomplished by completing and submitting a Nutrition Questionnaire. It should identify the patient’s dietary habits and attitudes in order to provide them the appropriate nutritional counseling. Dietary assessment enables to identify both poor and desirable food habits, and thus is fundamental in determining possible inadequate intakes of specific nutrients. Additionally, the assessment of the weight status through the Body Mass Index (BMI) for age and gender is recognized as a preferred measure for detecting overweight in children and adolescents. Studies have shown that by implementing routine comprehensive health risk assessments in primary care, it can be easily identified a high number of behavioral and psychosocial health risks.

Except personal contacts, other forms of communication should be explored such as e-mail contact. There is as limited data are available regarding the effect of e-mail approach for weight maintenance both in adults and in adolescents. A recent study has showed that television-based health promotion in a General Practice waiting room may be an effective medium to improve
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patient's knowledge in health services. However, Färnkvist et al. concluded that screening provided by nurses with a structured, motivational health dialogue is more effective than screening without this dialogue. By using digital communication such as e-mail contact, there are no idle hours, since there is no cancellation of appointments.

Furthermore, studies indicate that intervention for malnutrition will not take place unless it is considered an integral part of nursing assessment that is required by policy and resourced appropriately. Performance based incentives and bundled payments should be structured to recognize nurses as eligible providers.

Many patients will decline the nutrition counseling, but we must respect the patient's preferences and remember that patient treatment preferences and readiness to change vary with time.

It is widely believed that payment schemes have an effect on physician's professional behavior and especially in terms of the quantity of activity they undertake. An adequate financial reimbursement can overcome the barriers to preventive care, but it must be based on things that can be measured. It is much easier to count something that does occur than to estimate the number of events that might have occurred but did not. Additional reimbursement should be provided to GPs for cancer prevention counseling services. For example in the United Kingdom, a bonus payment was applied of £100 per 1000 patients on the practice list was applied if the practice screened 10% of the practice target population.

The use of financial incentives to reward primary care physicians for improving the quality of primary healthcare services is growing. Studies show that different types of financial incentives show positive but modest effects on quality of care for some primary outcome measures. However, other studies have reported that the pay-for-performance scheme for primary care physicians was associated with little or no improvement in screening rates despite substantial expenditure.

The remuneration of GPs varies substantially across countries. Measured in relation to the average wage in the country, the relative remuneration of GPs is the highest in Iceland, the United States and Germany. On the other hand, in Finland, it is only about twice as high as the average wage. In the Greek health system, the maximum doctor's salary is equal to the average national salary. We need considerable financial incentives, such as pay-for-performance associated with cancer screening rates, in order both increase the income of all General Practitioners in Greece and promote higher screening coverage. The government can orient its incentive policy to determine the
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size of the new payment as a percentage of total revenue of general practitioners.