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Abstract. A multichannel attribution model based on gradient boosting over trees is proposed, which was compared with the state of the art models: bagged logistic regression, Markov chains approach, shapely value. Experiments on digital advertising datasets showed that the proposed model is better than the solutions considered by ROC AUC metric. In addition, the problem of probability prediction of conversion by the consumer using the ensemble of the analyzed algorithms was solved, the meta-features obtained were enriched with consumers and offline activities of the advertising campaign data.
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1 Introduction

In recent years, the volume of advertising on the Internet has begun to reach the volume of advertising on television \cite{1,3}, which together makes up 80\% of the advertising market. According to the annual issue of the World Survey of the Entertainment and Media Industry, we should expect a decrease in the share of television advertising and a significant increase in the share of online advertising by 2021 \cite{7}. Changes in the advertising and marketing industries are encouraging advertisers to pay particular attention to researching online advertising campaigns. One of the effective methods of researching advertising campaigns on the Internet is multichannel attribution. In the past decade, due to the lack of data on advertising campaigns, methods based on intuition and heuristics were used to solve the multichannel attribution problem, which did not always give adequate results. Currently, each advertiser uses the services of advertising servers, which allow counting the number of user interactions with advertising, such as impressions and clicks. Thanks to the data stored on advertising servers, advertisers move away from heuristic-based attribution and solve this problem
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based on data. Which, in turn, allows you to build more complex and accurate models based on machine learning. The data-driven approach includes several well-known methods for solving problems that are part of the multichannel attribution spectrum. These are machine learning methods such as Bagged Logistic Regression, Hidden Markov Chains, Shapley value approach, Survival Analysis, relative weights, and probabilistic approaches. Each of these methods has its own advantages over similar methods of solving the problems of multichannel attribution, which in turn allows you to choose one or another method based on individual needs and goals of advertisers. However, it is worth noting that today, in machine learning inventory, the Gradient Boosting is proven as one of the most effective algorithms. At the same time, we assume that the Gradient Boosting method copes better with the tasks of multi-attribute attribution due to unbalanced data sets for classification.

The paper is organised as follows. Section 2 introduces mathematical formalisation of the problem. Section 3 shortly describes how to address multichannel attribution problem with such ensemble learning techniques as Gradient Boosting over Decision Trees and Staking. Related work on both heuristic-based and data-driven approaches is summarised in 4. Section 5 is devoted to machine experiments with the data of three real Internet advertising campaigns. Finally, Section 6 concludes the paper.

### 2 Problem Statement

Let us consider a set of users or clients $U = \{u_1, \ldots, u_n\}$ and a set of advertising channels $C = \{c_1, \ldots, c_p\}$. Our data are represented by a matrix-vector pair $(A, y)$, where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ is a matrix of user-to-channel interactions, and its element $a_{ij}$ shows the number of interactions of the user $u_i \in U$ with the advertising channel $c_j \in C$, while $y$ is a binary vector of size $n$ with $y_i = 1$ for happened conversion action and $y_i = 0$ otherwise.

Let the function $f(A_i, \theta)$ describes a certain classifier that receives the vector of interactions $I_i$ of the consumer $u_i$ with advertising channels $C$ as an input; the function determines a certain value of $r$, which reflects the chance of a conversion action by this consumer. Under certain conditions, the value $r$ can be transformed into a probability that a consumer will take a conversion action; one of these conditions is monotonicity meaning the higher the value of $r$, the higher the probability of a conversion. It is necessary to find such parameters under which the classifier will give the best probability estimates in terms of the selected metrics. The weight of the influence of the advertising channel on the decision to perform the conversion action should be considered as follows: we choose $k$ consumers $U' \subseteq U$, for them we get the matrix $I' \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times p}$. We introduce an indicator function

$$
1(u_i, c_j) = \begin{cases} 
1, & a_{ij} > 0, \\
0, & \text{otherwise,}
\end{cases}
$$
then we express the influence of the advertising channel as

\[ \text{impact}(c_j) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{u_i \in U'} f(I', \theta) \cdot 1(u_i, c_j). \]

3 Ensemble Learning for Multi-Channel Attribution

3.1 Gradient Boosting over Decision Trees

Boosting over decision trees is considered one of the most efficient machine learning algorithms. The idea of boosting approach is as follows: it iteratively trains new basic classifiers that improve the composition of previously chosen ones, i.e. each new classifier compensates the errors of the composition of all the previously ones. In turn, gradient boosting optimises the differentiable loss function. The initial idea of boosting arose from the question [11]: is it possible to get strong classifier using many weak classifiers? Due to effectiveness of this machine learning approach, it is an important part of many search engines [14,4] and a tool of choice for data science athletes that won many machine learning competitions [2]. An additional motivation for using this machine learning approach comes from the reduction of the task of multi-channel attribution to the binary classification problem. We need to train a classifier that can sort out consumers into two classes: those who will perform the conversion action and those who will not. Since only 0.5% - 2% of all consumers who saw ads reach conversion, this classification problem contains highly unbalanced classes. Gradient boosting over trees is just one of the techniques that address this class of problems well. Here, we use one of the most successful implementations of gradient boosting over trees named XGBoost.

3.2 Other ensemble approaches and Stacking

4 Related Work

Today, there are several methods for solving the multichannel attribution problem. They can be divided into two types: heuristic-based approaches and data-based approaches [16].

4.1 Heuristic approaches

Let us consider heuristic-based multichannel attribution techniques.

1. Last-touch attribution is the most common method of attribution, which is based on intuition to a greater extent. This method assigns all the “weight” to the last channel, after which the consumer completed conversion action. However, in essence, the approach is erroneous [9], since it does not take into account the effect of other channels through which the consumer was attracted.
2. First-touch attribution is an approach, on the contrary, where the greatest “weight” is given to the first channel with which the consumer interacted. Although this method may be useful for understanding how consumers are involved in an advertising campaign, this method does not allow to correctly assess the impact of advertising channels on consumers. For this reason, this approach is used much less often than others.

3. In case of linear-touch attribution, all “weights” are equally distributed between all channels leading to the conversion.

4. Time decay attribution is a model in which the largest “weights” are given to the most recent consumer interactions with advertising channels.

### 4.2 Bagged Logistic Regression

In order to evaluate the impact of advertising channels on consumers’ decision to perform a conversion action, it is proposed to use logistic regression [9]. Therefore, the task of multi-channel attribution is reduced to the classification problem, in which all consumers are divided into two classes, those who performed the conversion action and those who did not such an action within the short term (during the analysis period).

In [12] the authors propose the use of logistic regression because of its easily interpretable coefficients. To cope with the problem of multicollinearity of independent variables, the use of the bagging technique is proposed, which leads to a stable and reproducible result, while maintaining an easy interpretation of the usual logistic regression. The training of this meta-algorithm takes place in two stages:

1. From the data set, the observations are selected in accordance with a predetermined proportion. In the same way, characteristics with a share are selected. For the selected observations, we obtain a new data set on which the logistic regression is trained. The estimated logistic regression coefficients are recorded.

2. Step 1 is repeated once. And the final estimate of the logistic regression coefficient is obtained by taking the average of all the coefficients obtained in iterations.

The proportions of observations and attributes as well as the number of iterations \( M \) are hyper parameters of bagged-logistic regression. The authors conclude that for fractions that are very different from 0 and 1, the results of the meta-algorithm are similar, and the number of iterations does not affect the results [12].

### 4.3 Markov Chains approach

In this approach, the authors propose using a graph model based on Markov chains [5]. Markov chains are probabilistic models that can represent relationships between sequences of observations of a random value.
Visits to all consumers are presented as chains in the Markov graph. Formally, this model can be formulated as follows:

Let us consider a Markov graph \( M = (S, W) \), which is composed from the states \( S = \{s_1, \ldots, s_n\} \) along with the associated transition matrix \( W \) with edge weights \( w_{ij} = P(X_t = s_i | X_{t-1} = s_j) \), such that \( 0 \leq w_{ij} \leq 1 \) and \( \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_{ij} = 1 \) for all \( i \).

Consumer chains contain one or more interactions with advertising channels. In this model, each state corresponds to one advertising channel. Three special states are also introduced: START is a state that represents the beginning of the consumer chain, CONVERSION is a state indicating the successful completion of the conversion action, and for chains that were not completed by the conversion action, the NULL state is introduced.

The element of the transition matrix \( w_{ij} \) corresponds to the probability that after interacting with advertising channel \( i \), interaction with advertising channel \( j \) will follow. For the first channel in the chain, an incoming connection with the START state is added. If the consumers sequence of actions ends with a conversion, then after the last interaction with the advertising channel, a connection with the CONVERSION state is added. Otherwise, it falls into the NULL state, and each CONVERSION state goes into the NULL state as well.

Since the number of parameters in such a model grows exponentially with the chain length, the authors limit themselves to a maximum chain order of four.

To assess the impact of each advertising channel on the conversion action, the authors propose using the effect of removing the advertising channel \( s_i \) from the model and tracking the change of the probability of reaching CONVERSION from START state. Since this removal effect reflects well the degree of change in conversion, it can serve as an estimate of the contribution of each channel.

### 4.4 Shapley value approach

This attribution methodology is equivalent to the Shapely Value solution to value distribution in Cooperative Game Theory [13]. We refer interested readers to [6] for a thorough treatment on the first application of the Shapely Value distribution methodology to value allocation in advertising attribution. Instead, we would like to provide a reader with a tailored machine learning interpretation of Shapley values named SHAP (SHapley Additive exPlanation) values [10].

To compute SHAP values the authors define \( f_x(S) = E[f(x) | x_S] \) where \( E[f(x) | x_S] \) is the expected value of the function conditioned on a subset \( S \) of the input features.

SHAP values combine these conditional expectations with the classic Shapley values from game theory to attribute \( \phi_i \) values to each feature:

\[
\phi_i = \sum_{S \subseteq N \setminus \{i\}} \frac{|S|!(M-|S|-1)!}{M!} (f_x(S \cup \{i\}) - f_x(S)),
\]

where \( N \) is the set of all input features. In our advertising setting channels play the role of features.
Table 1. Statistics for advertising campaigns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adv. campaign</th>
<th>AC 1</th>
<th>AC 2</th>
<th>AC 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>#Days</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#Consumers</td>
<td>9432773</td>
<td>39101</td>
<td>35849</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#Shows</td>
<td>36526572</td>
<td>876192</td>
<td>104518</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#Clicks</td>
<td>79626</td>
<td>2607</td>
<td>5137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#Conversions</td>
<td>21041</td>
<td>2653</td>
<td>4740</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5 Machine Experiments

All experiments performed in this work were carried out on real data from advertising campaigns of advertisers from the food industry and the production of consumer goods. The experiments were carried out on three data sets. Each data set contains data on the interaction of consumers with an advertising message with a time stamp. For each consumer and advertising message, it is known on which site the interaction took place, the category of the advertising message (online video, promo post on the social network, banner advertising, etc.), creative ID, consumer action category (ad display, click, conversion), time stamp, the identifier of the location of advertising on the site.

It is worth noting that two of the three data sets have a great advantage over the third. In fact, most data on advertising campaigns is collected only in special advertising servers, where each consumer is identified by cookie. This approach has several disadvantages:

- A consumer may have multiple cookies, for example, when a consumer uses multiple devices;
- One cookie may belong to multiple consumers, for example, when more than one person uses the same device;
- Advertising servers may “nullify” some cookies when trying to use third-party targeting services;
- In such data, many cookies may be assigned to crawlers, not real persons;
- Browsers update cookies every month.

To cope with the above problems, each cookie is matched with the account of a real person on the advertiser’s website and only after that the consumer ID is used.

Below are the statistics for each advertising campaign.

For advertising campaign 2 additional data about consumers are known: city of residence, region of residence, platform used (web, iOS, Android, etc.), browser used, categories of purchased items, data on the advertiser’s offline activities (TV advertising, outdoor advertising, etc.). These additional data were used in conjunction with meta-attributes to train the meta-algorithm.

As follows from Table 5, in our case, the proposed method for solving the multichannel attribution problem provides better results than the state-of-the-art solutions according to the ROC AUC metric. However, it can be seen from
Fig. 1. Channel attribution for advertising campaign 1

Fig. 2. Channel attribution for advertising campaign 2
Table 2. Attribution results in terms of AUC ROC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>AC 1</th>
<th>AC 2</th>
<th>AC 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bagged LogReg</td>
<td>0.6861 ± 0.00260</td>
<td>0.6095 ± 0.00507</td>
<td>0.7694 ± 0.01971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Markov Chains</td>
<td>0.6622 ± 0.00753</td>
<td>0.5830 ± 0.01547</td>
<td>0.5572 ± 0.00921</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GBM</td>
<td>0.7042 ± 0.00361</td>
<td>0.8647 ± 0.00745</td>
<td>0.7759 ± 0.00986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GBM Meta</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.8731 ± 0.00528</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3. Channel attribution for advertising campaign 3

Fig. 1, 2 and 3 that the impact of advertising channels on conversion is roughly the same for all methods, except for the model based on Markov chains in advertising campaign 1.

Figures 4 and 7 shows the ROC curves for advertising campaigns 1 and 3, where our meta algorithm have not been applied due to missing auxiliary users’ features. By identifying dominating curves, we can prove that Gradient Boosting is superior technique. For campaign 3 figures 7 (ROC curve) and 7 (Precision-Recall diagram) provide the evidence of superiority of our meta solution, which features Gradient Boosting over stacked (in sense of [15]) Markov chains, bagging of logistic regressions, and k-nearest neighbours approach.

6 Conclusion

In this work, the problem of multichannel attribution was considered, which is devoted to assessing the impact of advertising channels on consumer conversion actions. In the scientific literature, the problem of multichannel attribution is usually divided into two approaches: heuristic, attribution based on intuition, and a data-driven approach. Due to the growing interest and development of cloud technologies in the modern world, many advertisers are beginning to collect more data about their advertising campaigns, which makes data-driven approaches the most popular.
Fig. 4. ROC curve for advertising campaign 1

Fig. 5. ROC curve for advertising campaign 2
Fig. 6. 2-class Precision-Recall curve for advertising campaign 2

Fig. 7. ROC curve for advertising campaign 3
We considered different approaches to multi-channel attribution: the approach based on game theory, the approach based on Markov chains, and Bagging of logistic regressions among the others.

To solve the multichannel attribution problem, we used Gradient Boosting method and an ensemble of classical algorithms to increase the accuracy of predicting the probability of a conversion action by a consumer.

The quality of the proposed algorithms was compared with conventional solutions of the multichannel attribution problem for three real data sets. The proposed solution gave the best result among all in terms of ROC AUC. Thus, the used ensemble classification has improved the ability to estimate the likelihood of a consumer to perform a conversion action.

One of the direction for future work might be analyses of market segments (represented by groups of users) with respect to channels where the positive response was recorded by means of abject-attribute biclustering [8].
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