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ABSTRACT
The work deals with the peculiarities of Georgia-EU relations; Current and future opportunities that the European Union and European integration offers to Georgia have been studied; The study uses statistical data, international organizations research and data from political documents. The possible difficulties that Georgia can create in the economic integration process are analyzed. The author's opinions about the existing problems and the ways of their overcoming are expressed and proved with arguments. Finally, based on the results obtained from the research, the author's recommendations are established.
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1. Introduction

European integration as a strategic goal and process is a very important result for Georgia, the right to free movement of people allows Georgia to be a second country from the Eastern Partnership countries, after Moldova, whose citizens are entitled to visa free travel in Schengen space. This positive influence also affects the relations between people, which in turn strengthens European values in Georgia. Causes the formation of a trading system compatible with the EU market; Increase the attractiveness of the investment environment and encourage foreign investment; Create new jobs as a result of stimulating foreign investments; Increase of competitiveness of Georgian products; Increase of Georgian exports; Improvement of quality of Georgian products; Stimulating economic growth and economic development of Georgia in general (Ministry of Economy and Sustainable Development of Georgia, 2014).

The existence of deep and comprehensive free trade space with the EU positively affects on the prospects of integration into the world market, the existence of a global supply network and in turn gives entrepreneurs new business opportunities. That is why it is appropriate to investigate whether the globalization process is compatible with the protection and strengthening of sovereign and small countries' economic security (Abuselidze, 2012).

1.1 The purpose and objectives of the work

The goal of the work is to analyze the main stages of development of Georgia-EU relations, major economic achievements and existing challenges. The work is an attempt to set the main tasks on the background of Georgia's ongoing integration with the European Union and develop recommendations that will be fulfilled and will speed up Georgia's trade-economic and financial-credit integration process. An analysis of the
importance of the European integration and the possibilities that the Union offers to
Georgia's economy, analysis of possible obstacles and challenges in parallel with this
process.

1.2 Theoretical value of the work

The work is innovative in terms of its approaches and recommendations, as well
as in a new perspective of researching topics. The issues and provisions given in it may
be used to analyze existing theoretical positions. In addition, the theoretical significance
of the thesis is that the issues discussed in it and the expressed opinions are polemic and
requires deeper research. Consequently, it can become a motif and a major base for
further studies in the given direction.

1.3 Practical meaning of the work

Practical realization of the conclusions, recommendations and proposals
received as a result of the research will facilitate financial stability and economic growth
in parallel to the country's European integration. It is great base for Increasing
population revenues, attraction of investments and completion of diversified and
credible source formation of financing.

2. Problem Formulation and Methodology

2.1. Research methodology

The paper uses research assessment and quantitative methods, more specifically, system
analysis method, as a result, the study material is divided into parts, and the next stages
cover each part's assessment and include final results. Statistical data, tables and diagrams
are used to analyze and conclude the issue within the quantitative method of research.

2.2. Peculiarities of Georgia's Financial and Economic Integration in the
European Union

The ongoing integrative processes in the world are irreversible, offering small challenges,
along with significant opportunities and small challenges.

Access to the EU's one of the largest and richest markets in the world is a strong
impetus for diversification and development of Georgian business, local production and
export. There is a significant basis for the growth of Georgia's attractiveness for direct
foreign investments, which, in turn, will facilitate the introduction of new technologies
and know-how, stimulating the emergence of competitive local produce and creating
jobs, it will help to form trading system compatible with the EU market, create new jobs
as a result of stimulating foreign investments, increase export, improve quality and
stimulate growth of economy.

Georgia-EU relations started from the independence of Georgia. The EU appeared on
the South Caucasus stage in the early 90s. In the period when the countries took the first
steps towards market economy and democracy (Fischer, et al., 1996). According to
Bartlett et al. (2017), Since the beginning of the 1990s and the transformation of the
former socialist countries, interest in the quality of institutions as a determinant of
economic growth has increased considerably.
Since 1995, Georgia benefits from the EU's Generalised System of Trade Preferences (GSP), but since 2005 it was the EU's Generalised System of Preferences (GSP + trade regime) and now, country benefits from Deep and Comprehensive Free Trade Agreement (DCFTA) that was officially signed in 2014. The Deep and Comprehensive Free Trade Agreement (DCFTA) signed between Georgia and the European Union in 2014 is the largest free trade agreement that has made the European Union available to any country (Michael, 2016). On 22th April of 1996, the European Union and Georgia signed a Partnership and Cooperation Agreement (PCA), in Luxemburg. On September 1, 1997, in accordance with the decision of the Parliament our country started harmonization of legislation according to the EU’s demands (Abramishvili, 2016).

Economic integration is accompanied by a process of trade-economic and financial-credit integration, so it is necessary to analyze and discuss about important issues of foreign economic relations such as: Foreign trade, foreign money transfers and investments (Putkaradze, 2017).

According to Guiso et al. (2004), the financial integration is bound to accelerate the development of the most backward financial markets and to allow companies and households from these countries to access the credit and security markets of the more advanced countries of the Union. The same author claims that the recent theoretical and empirical literature demonstrates that financial development is associated with higher economic growth, and economists and policy makers expect financial integration to have a “growth dividend” in Europe (Vyklyuk et al., 2013). According to Vukovic et al. (2017), Financial integration is fundamental for Europe. It ensures that its economy remains internationally competitive and continues to prosper, which in turn is essential for maintaining the EU’s political legitimacy (Grossman & Leblond, 2011).

According to Vukovic et al. (2017), recent decades showed that financial integrations in the European Union (EU) gave excellent results. Grossman and Leblond (2011) argue that the recent history of financial integration in Europe can generally be considered a success story. Grahl and Teague (2005) claim that the financial integration policies launched by the EU are a remarkable success. According to McCreevy (2006), Europe has made “real progress” on financial integration.

2.2.1. Investment environment

In Georgia, special importance is given to the investment attractiveness of the country and the liberal investment policy, association Agreement with the European Union and new perspectives, favorable geographical location and many other factors create a firm basis for starting a business in Georgia and for its successful development.
According to the data of the Department of Statistics of Georgia, foreign direct investments made in Georgia from 2006 to 2016 are about 14 billion US dollars. Foreign Direct Investment - FDI in Georgia in 2015 amounted to 1564 million US dollars, which is 11 percent lower than the corresponding figure of 2014, while the volume of direct foreign investments in Georgia in 2016 is 1645 million US dollars which is 5 percent more than in 2015. In the year 2017, foreign direct investment in Georgia amounted to $ 1 862 million, accounting for 16.2% ($ 259 million) in 2016 (National Statistics office of Georgia, 2017).

At the same time, the International Financial Institution (EBRD), which has existed since 1991, is actively involved in the former Soviet Union countries, financing both private and public sector projects and promotes sustainable economic and democratic development of the countries.

The European Bank for Reconstruction and Development in strategic document EBRD (2018) developed in December 2016 allocates 4 central investment directions in Georgia:

- Funding of innovative projects in the private sector with the standards set out in the Deep and Comprehensive Free Trade Area Agreement (DCFTA) with the European Union;
- Financing of commercial banks' financial assistance projects;
- Funding of Georgia's transient potential strengthening projects in the region;
- Financing of Georgia's energy efficiency projects.

The first funding of Georgia in the Investment Archive of the European Bank for Reconstruction and Development (EBRD) was fixed on 23 October 1996 - 4 million euros given to Absolute Bank. In the year 2000, EBRD has implemented an equivalent amount of € 165 million in Georgia. In 2001-2002 the bank suspended investment activities in Georgia. The volume of investments made from 2003 to 2016 is 2.8 billion euros. From the date of its arrival to 2016, EBRD has issued 3 billion euros in 201 projects implemented in Georgia. 41% of the total amount was spent in energy sector, 27% in the industry, trade and agriculture, 24% in the financial sector and 8% for infrastructure projects. From 2010 to 2016, EBRD has invested 860 million euros in Georgia. During this period, the largest investments - 248 million euros were made in 2016 (European Bank, 2018).
The European Bank for Reconstruction and Development (EBRD) started to work in Georgia in 1996 and invested a total of 3 billion euros. 91% of these are private and 9% in the public sector.

Although recent foreign direct investment rates are characterized by a growing tendency in Georgia, but according to recent dat of International Business Compass 2018 survey, which deals with the investment environment of the world, has not changed its position and is still in the 47th place. The goal of the research is to determine how attractive the country is to the private sector investment. According to the survey, Georgia's rating score is 60.43 from 100. The study includes three indicators: economic, political-legal and socio-cultural. Georgia is in the 41th place with 73 points in the political-legal indicator, which is a deterioration of 5 positions compared to the previous year (Hüning, et al., 2017). The country is ranked 53th on socio-cultural criteria, while the economic environment is estimated at 57. Last year Georgia was ranked 48th on the economic environment criterion.
Table 1: INDEX RANKINGS 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Continent</th>
<th>Index</th>
<th>Economic Rank</th>
<th>Economic Value</th>
<th>Politico-legal Rank</th>
<th>Politico-legal Value</th>
<th>Socio-cultural Rank</th>
<th>Socio-cultural Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Latvia</td>
<td>EU</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>62.72</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>59.70</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>76.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>EU</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>62.55</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>58.05</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>72.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovakia</td>
<td>EU</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>62.41</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>58.93</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>74.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>EU</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>62.36</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>56.35</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>72.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>AS</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>62.35</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>58.74</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>65.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuwait</td>
<td>AS</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>62.31</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>62.37</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>58.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>EU</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>61.67</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>56.80</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>70.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
<td>AS</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>60.94</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>62.14</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>37.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>AS</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>60.73</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>54.46</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>79.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samoa</td>
<td>OC</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>60.63</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>50.85</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>66.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>AS</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>60.43</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>53.94</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>73.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uruguay</td>
<td>AS</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>59.96</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>51.13</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>79.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: BDO INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS COMPASS 2017

Table 2: INDEX RANKINGS 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Continent</th>
<th>Index</th>
<th>Economic Rank</th>
<th>Economic Value</th>
<th>Political-legal Rank</th>
<th>Political-legal Value</th>
<th>Socio-cultural Rank</th>
<th>Socio-cultural Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Barbados</td>
<td>LAM</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>50.41</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>78.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latvia</td>
<td>EU</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>59.99</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>55.68</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>77.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>EU</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>59.51</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>52.54</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>79.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithuania</td>
<td>EU</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>59.48</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>56.03</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>79.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>EU</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>59.41</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>54.80</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>76.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovakia</td>
<td>OC</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>59.37</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>54.19</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>74.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuwait</td>
<td>AS</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>58.86</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>50.50</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>66.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>AS</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>58.68</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>50.50</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>66.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mauritius</td>
<td>AF</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>57.85</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>48.38</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>63.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
<td>AS</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>57.65</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>64.62</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>70.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: BDO INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS COMPASS 2018
file:///C:/Users/Lenovo/Downloads/BDO_IBC_2018_EN_20180524_v4.pdf

Taking into consideration the above mentioned, it is necessary to ensure the integrity and liberalization of the investment policy in order to improve Georgia's European integration process. It is necessary to take more efficient measures to attract European (and not just) investments.
2.2.2. Condition of Money Transfers

An important issue that requires deep analysis is money transfers in the integration process. The value of money transfers is even higher for developing countries, because for many families living there money transfers are main source of income. According to the World Bank, since 2000, the number of money transfers in the world is growing steadily. Their volume is almost equal to the amount of direct foreign investments and significantly exceeding foreign aid received by developing countries.

For February 2015, the amount of money transferred from Russia is 28170 million dollars, Greece is in the second place with 13 726 million dollars, Italy occupies third place with 8957 million dollars, in the same period, one of the key factors of Lari’s devaluation was to reduce the volume of transfers, which led to the Russian-Ukrainian conflict and the economic crisis in these countries.

➢ Reduction of transfers negatively affects economic activity. This is especially noticeable on the background of impaired negative balance sheet and depreciation of the national currency exchange rate.

Transfers from Russia has been significantly reduced since 2015, the Russian ruble has been significantly diminished and Russia’s economy is facing problems due to sanctions.

![Figure 3: The volume of transfers received from Russia](image)

Source: author

During the year 2016, in the form of money transfers in Georgia 1.15 billion US dollars, which is 6.6% more than the previous year. By 2017, this indicator will be 1.24 billion dollars, in the first half of 2018, employers in the EU countries transferred over $ 41 million as money transfers in Georgia. In January-June, 255 million dollars from the European Union and 214 million dollars from Russia were transferred. So far more money has been sent from Russia than from EU territory. Monetary remittances from Russia are cut by an average of 0.5 million dollars a month, and the EU withdraws from month to 11 million dollars (Forbes Georgia, 2018).
Figure 4: Money transfers from the EU and Russia, million dollars

The most from the EU countries are sent from Italy, Greece, Germany and Spain.

Figure 5: Volume of Money Transfers (Million USD)
Source: https://m2b.ge/post/197835-fuladi-gzavnilebis-moculoba-17-it-gaizarda –

As we see in Russia, Ukraine and Greece, the processes in the country can cause a sharp decline in the volume of money transfers, which negatively impact Georgia’s economy. According to the current data, the CIS countries, especially Russia, therefore, for the purpose of diversification of risks, the country needs to find alternative and stable ways of receiving remittances as a priority.

The community and the state have a more serious approach to the issue of money transfers. It is very important to understand the impact and importance of money transfers and search for the ways of forming more efficient policies for management of transfers. It should be taken into consideration that the number of transfers in Georgia exceeds the flow of grants and direct foreign investments, which once again emphasizes it as a strategically important factor for the development of our country.

Money transfers are an important source of foreign currency inflow that allows the purchase of imported goods, allows to pay foreign debts, at the same time, the
country is more protected and is less susceptible to foreign shocks. In addition, in contrast to other foreign sources of direct foreign investment and development assistance, money transfers are not characteristic to the repayments of percent debt or dividends. Depending on the foregoing, the state should strive to increase the share of EU countries in foreign remittances, and on the way to European integration, make money transfers as potential means of growth.

![Money transfers](figure6.png)

**Figure 6:** Dynamics of money transfers and foreign investments (Million USD)

### 2.2.3. Foreign trade
Leveraging trade and integration into world leading markets is the main priority of Georgia's foreign policy, today, the country has one of the most liberal trade policies in the region, which is reflected in simplified foreign trade regime and customs procedures, low import tariffs and minimum non-tariff regulation. Foreign trade trends in Georgia show negative trade balance.

![Exports and Imports](figure7.png)

**Figure 7:** Foreign Trade (Million USD)
According to the data of 2013, Georgia's foreign trade turnover increased by 6% in 2012 and was 10,784 million US dollars. Export increased by 22% and was 2,909 million US Dollars. While import increased by 0.4% and was 7,874 million US dollars. Georgia's negative trade balance was 4,965 million. US dollar improved by 9.1% compared to the previous year and made 46% of the foreign trade turnover. The number of Georgia's trade partner countries has been defined by 134 countries. From this, positive trade balance was observed with 40 countries (Ministry of Economy and Sustainable Development of Georgia, 2013).

In 2014 Georgia's foreign trade turnover increased by 4% with EU countries, amounting to 2,990 million USD and occupied 26% of Georgia's trade turnover:

- Export increased by 2% was $ 621 million and occupied 22% of total exports;
- Import increased by 4%, amounted to 2,369 million USD and occupied 28% of total imports (Ministry of Economy and Sustainable Development of Georgia, 2014).

Georgia's foreign trade turnover for January-June 2015 has decreased by 13% compared to the same period of 2014 and was determined by 4,761.2 million US dollars. Exports amounted to 1,081.6 million USD and decreased by 24% compared with the same period last year, while imports amounted to $ 679.6 million and decreased by 9% compared to the same period last year.

At the same time, Georgia's foreign trade turnover increased by 2% in January-June 2015:

- Export fell by 2% to 293 million US dollars
- Import was increased by 3% and were 1,141 million USD (Ministry of Economy and Sustainable Development of Georgia, 2015).

In 2016, Georgia's foreign trade turnover amounted to 11,966 million USD, which is 20% more than the previous year.

- Exports decreased by 4% and reached $ 114 million
- Imports increased by 27% and amounted to 9,852 million USD

Negative trade balance amounted to 7,738 million USD and 65% of trade turnover.

In 2016, Georgia's external trade turnover increased by 14% compared to 2015, export was reduced by 12%, amounted to 571 million USD and occupied 27% of total exports. Import was increased by 20%, 3,030 million USD and 31% of total imports (Ministry of Economy and Sustainable Development of Georgia, 2016).

Georgia's foreign trade turnover increased by 13.8% in 2017 and amounted to 10,707.4 million USD.

- Exports increased by 29.1% and amounted to 2,728 million USD;
- Imports increased by 9.4% and amounted to 7,979.4 million USD;
- The trade balance amounted to 5,251.1 million USD and 49% of foreign trade turnover.

Trade turnover increased by 2% to USD 2,844 million and occupied Georgia's trade turnover of 26.6%, exports increased by 13% and imports decreased by 0.8% compared to the same period last year (Ministry of Economy and Sustainable Development of Georgia, 2017).
Based on the foregoing, the foreign trade trend of 2012-2017 is following:

While foreign trade, particularly export share, looks as follows in EU countries:
From the United National Statistics Office (National Statistics office of Georgia, 2017), the trade turnover between Georgia and the EU member countries has been observed. However, the extent of the scales is insufficient, is needed to reduce the negative trade balance and increase and diverse export.

Socio-economic or political processes taking place in CIS countries, especially in case of Russian example, clearly illustrates the fatal outcome which can be caused by a significant dependency on the Russian market, due to unpredictability of the market, EU association is one of the strongest tools which is a guarantee of export growth in EU member states.

From the diagram it is clear that despite the fact that Georgia is not a member of the CIS, it has very close and growing trade relations with member countries, exports have
growing tendency with the EU and declining tendency toward the CIS countries, which is primarily the result of a Deep and Comprehensive Trade Agreement signed with the European Union, this promotes the development of the quality and competitive products in the country and the formation of a trading system compatible with the EU market.

Research result

As a result of some amendments Georgia has made to the way of Deep and Comprehensive Free Trade Areas (DCFTA), Georgian legislation is in line with EU’ economic governance. According to the analysis carried out by the EU funded and independent research institutes, DCFTA will bring significant changes in the long-term prospects of Georgia's export-import, GDP growth, average wages and the purchasing power of the population, however, in the short term, many resources will be taken due to the details of the legislation and the short term static effects. Consequently, gradual implementation of approximation is recommended for better monitoring and predictability of short-term losses and development. The paper deals with the processes of economic integration, in particular the analysis of the trade-economic and financial-credit integration process, which shows that although recent foreign investments are characterized by a growing tendency in Georgia, but according to recent data, the International Business Compass 2018 survey (Friederiszick, et al., 2018), which deals with the investment environment of world countries, Georgia's position has not changed and is still in the 47th place. Therefore, it is necessary to continue care of the investment policy of Georgia for the enhancement of the European integration process. It is necessary to take more efficient measures to attract European (and not just) investments. The decline in volume of foreign transactions (due to unpredictability of markets) significantly damages the Georgian economy. This is especially noticeable on the background of impaired negative balance sheet and depreciation of the national currency exchange rate.
The state should try to increase the share of EU countries in foreign money transfers, make money transfers as potential means of growth on the way of European integration. Despite the fact that Georgia is not a member of the CIS, it has very close and growing trade relations with member countries (Putkaradze, 2017). However, socio-economic or political processes in these countries, especially in the example of Russia (due to unpredictability of the market.), clearly reflect the fatal result caused by a significant dependency on the Russian market.

Conclusions and suggestions
- For the improvement and enhancement of the process of Georgia’s European integration, it is necessary to maintain a permanent commitment to liberalize investment policy. It is necessary to take more efficient measures to attract European (and not just) investments.
- Money transfers are an important source of foreign currency in the country, which allows the purchase of imported goods, pay foreign debts, also country is more protected and less susceptible to foreign shocks. The state should try to increase the share of EU countries in foreign money transfers and turn on European integration, making money transfers as potential means of growth. The country should be less dependent on the unpredictable markets of the CIS countries.
Georgia has very close and growing trade relations with CIS member states, but in recent years exports are growing with the EU and declining tendency towards the CIS countries, deepening of the relationship with the EU and competitive products in the country and allows to create a trading system compatible with the EU market.
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